Dear Sirs,

I read your letters and the minutes of the meeting with much interest.

In answer to your question, I think the Com. Ernest accipt the leadership for former Florida-mandated islands with the modification sometimes.

I do not think there is any case in urging the more ideal arrangement of the N. lines for collection. Secondly,

I do not think it will be feasible at this time to achieve more than the 
informal five
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WE WERE VERY SORRY THAT YOU WERE NOT ABLE TO ATTEND THE RECENT MEETING OF THE CONSULTANTS OF THE WOMEN'S ACTION COMMITTEE. WE WOULD LIKE, HOWEVER, TO HAVE THE BENEFIT OF YOUR ADVICE CONCERNING OUR POLICIES.

ENCLOSED ARE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING AND ALSO OUR UNREVISED POLICY STATEMENT. WE WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS. WE WOULD PARTICULARLY LIKE TO HAVE YOUR ADVICE ON THE FOLLOWING:

1. Should the Women’s Action Committee accept the present situation as inevitable and support the proposed trusteeship agreement for former Japanese mandated islands with modifications?
   a) of the amount of area included as strategic?
   b) of duration of the agreement limiting it to the period until the United Nations have developed collective security further?
   c) urging that the agreement for the “captured” islands should limit strategic areas as much as possible?

2. Should we urge the more ideal arrangement of United Nations bases for collective security?

3. Do you think that at this time it will be possible to achieve more than an informal five powers understanding regarding the use of the veto? If so, what would you suggest?

4. Do you think the International Bill of Rights should set goals to be worked toward or establish minimum standards which nations could bind themselves to put into immediate effect?

Would you approve the Panamanian proposal? This proposal includes the generally accepted regular freedoms...
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of speech, religion, assembly, etc.; also certain legal
freedoms such as freedom from detention and right of
trial; also social and economic freedoms such as right
of education, right to work under reasonable conditions,
right to adequate food and housing, ownership of property
and social security; and finally, protection against
arbitrary discrimination because of race, religion or sex.

4 - Have you any light to throw on the developments in the
Atomic Energy Commission?

In the control of atomic energy, shall we uphold:

a) International ownership or control of uranium and
   thorium?
b) International production of fissionable material?
c) The inspection of plants producing commercially
   atomic energy?
d) The licensing of material beyond the dangerous
   stage?
e) Punishment of infringement of the treaty agreement?
f) Elimination of the veto in cases of violation of the
treaty agreement?

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Dr. Emily Hickman]
MINUTES
of the
CONSULTANTS' MEETING

Held Saturday, November 16th at the residence of Mrs. Norman deR. Whitehouse.

The following points were discussed with a view to revising or adding to the items on our policy list:

1 - Trusteeship - The recently published U. S. Trusteeship Agreement covering the former Japanese mandated islands was discussed at length. Some present were disappointed that the agreement called for virtual annexation of a large island area under military control, without even inspection of the bases by the United Nations. It was questioned whether the whole area need be considered as one strategic area. It was also felt that while the United States was acting within the letter of the Charter, she was setting a dangerous precedent in taking full advantage of the strategic area provisions. One person stressed that this country should have given leadership towards the establishment of United Nations bases. However, it was pointed out that the flight was lost at San Francisco under pressure from our War and Navy Departments.

It was conceded that we could not hope for anything better at present than an agreement embodying protection of the rights of the nations and military protection for ourselves. It was hoped that the picture might change when agreement was finally reached as to what contingents and military installations were to be put at the disposal of the Security Council.

It was agreed that the Women's Action Committee should reiterate its support of the Trusteeship principle and at the same time point out that one nation trusteeship of strategic areas does not constitute real collective security.

In connection with the present Trusteeship Agreement, it was agreed that we urge that:

1 - If possible the United States should limit the strategic area to specific islands instead of designating the entire region as a strategic area.

2 - That the present agreement be limited in duration and subject to alteration as the United Nations developed an adequate system of Collective Security.

3 - It was also suggested that in offering trusteeship agreements for other "captured" islands, the United States be urged not to designate all as strategic areas.

4 - It was suggested that Mrs. Whitehouse contact Chester Williams with a view to obtaining an appointment with Dr. Carig and Mr. Dulles to discuss the Trusteeship Agreement.
VII - Bill of Rights: There was considerable discussion concerning the Panamanian proposal and the likelihood of its adoption. Some of the group felt that Russia was beginning to feel the effect of world opinion, while others were less hopeful. All agreed that it would be a slow process.

VIII - Admissions of New Members: It was felt that "the war effort" should not be a determining factor in considering the requests for membership. After considerable discussion concerning the meaning of "peace-loving States," etc., it was agreed that it might be best for the Women's Action Committee not to take a stand on this subject.

IX- Atomic Energy: In view of the fact that compromises would probably have to be made in order to achieve agreement with Russia, it was the sense of the meeting that the Women's Action Committee should not limit itself to support of the Baruch plan; rather the Women's Action Committee should support any plan which will incorporate the goals already set down in our policy statement, i.e. a system of international control including international production of fissionable materials, licensing and inspection.

There was some discussion of the United States formula for eliminating the veto as set forth in Memo No. 5 presented to the Atomic Energy Commission on July 18th. It was felt, however, that this Memo was too complex to be covered in our policy statement.

It was suggested that those not able to attend the meeting be informed as to the consensus of opinion and be asked to give their comments and advice.

Respectfully submitted,

Louise Laidlaw Backus,
BRIEF SURVEY OF HIGH POINTS IN ACTIVITY:

In 1943 we started our program with an appeal to the Senate to "endorse at once the peace program and, U.S. accept its full responsibility for participating with the United Nations and nations of like mind in a system of Collective Security."

Since then we have consistently supported every measure for collective security before Congress. Our members are kept advised of such moves through information in the Newslog, and through "Calls to Action"—postcards asking them to write to their Representatives in support of specific bills vital to international cooperation. In addition, the Committee has sent special messages to key leaders in Congress, and has sent limited "Calls to Action" to State Chairman and leaders of the Women's Action Committee, and to women who are constituents of members of Congressional Committees when action in those committees is pending. "Calls to Action" were sent in support of 12 or more measures before the 79th Congress, all of which were finally adopted and crippling amendments were successfully opposed.

POLICY:

The Women's Action Committee for Lasting Peace rejoices that the United Nations has been legally established by fifty-one nations to function in international affairs according to the provisions of the United Nations Charter.

This is the foundation upon which a lasting peace may be built. But it is only the foundation. Much remains to be done. The following concrete points have been adopted by the Women's Action Committee as its program of education and action.

I. — FULL SUPPORT OF THE UNITED NATIONS:

In order to ensure that the United States fulfill its responsibility to the United Nations, the Committee urges that the United States:

a. Work for the strengthening of the United Nations by using this organization as much as possible and by supporting the fullest exercise of the powers of the United Nations as established in the Charter,

b. Forbide security to the growing strength of the United Nations,

Obligations already accepted by the United States:


Goals still to be reached:

3. Negotiating a prompt agreement with the Security Council relating to the size and character of the armed forces which we will make available to preserve international peace.


II. — FULL PARTICIPATION IN ALL EFFORTS TO PROMOTE INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN THE WIDEST VARIETY OF FIELDS:

Obligations already accepted by the United States:

1. Membership in the International Labor Organization.

2. Membership in the International Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

3. Membership in the Food and Agriculture Organization.


5. Membership in the International Civil Aviation Organization.


7. Appropriations to UNRRA.


Goals still to be reached:

9. Contributing to the continuation of the work of UNRRA by the United Nations after UNRRA expires.

10. Membership in the International Health Organization.

11. Membership in the International Refugees Organization.

12. Establishment of an International Trade Organization to provide for commercial cooperation on a multilateral basis.


III. — CONTROL OF ATOMIC ENERGY:

Obligations already accepted by the United States:

1. Domestic control as provided for in the McMahon Bill.


Goals still to be reached:

9. Establishment of a system of international control to prevent use of atomic energy in national weapons of war; and to provide for the international ownership of uranium and thorium; for the international production of fissionable material for atomic energy, with sale of denatured material to licensed plants and for international inspection of such plants.
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