Your plan is of course basic. Peace must come
in the hearts of each of us individually, we
must work for it in any way that lies at hand.

I feel that it must be done by individual action
in each community, learning to work together for
the betterment of the community,
continuously growing as
one which will affect
the plate or the nation or
finally the world. This
Understanding. This will lead to better communities.
I urge you on your interest. I hope many women will feel the same way.
Saddle River,
August 21, 1941

Dear Mr. Roosevelt,

I read your article today on women and peace, together with the letter from South Australia.

One cannot help but agree wholeheartedly. It is a foregone conclusion that had Mr. Roosevelt lived, peace would not
be a drugging and dragged out kind.

I know quite well the attitudes people take toward peace and its attainment.

They vary all the way from "what are we paying the leaders for and beyond they missing it up to what is it for me and who wants to mess with that stuff."

Well, I do. I believe most of the carelessness, the apathy and indifference is due to the fact that no one knows much about peace, how they can help or what one could do to really work on it.

Strange and odd as it seems either do I? But I want to learn.

During waking hours while doing ones homework and often through
The right I am thinking of peace and the winning of it. I cannot shake it off. I keep worrying about it and I find I must try to learn something, what I can do.

We go along with you all the way at the surprising lack of sincerity and stamina where such a tremendously important job is to be accomplished.
music go together, has an original
symphony which could be a pianosolo of peace to talk
like to try it. Do I could? Do through an idea
I would I go to ask
and undertaking.

To Mrs. Roosevelt

I am wondering if I may, tell you of a plan. I think of very often, and perhaps it would help, if others were to do the same. I am not sure at all how to go about such an idea but, a peace conscious world is one world and when we make others see it, some of the job is done.
Some mothers who are sincere in wanting such a world, meet in groups at Women's Clubs and social and school functions and at least there are also theatres, churches and other places.

If we could speak honestly all the way from the sermon on the mount and show how it could be lived, untangle this vast web, we alone known about peace, I mean the polite, the selfishness of leaders and put the peace first, each citizen in the world building a peace shrine in his own heart first, don't you think as one went on people would follow and want it too?

I do. I believe it can be done.
Peace and music go together. The writer has an original peace symphony which could be played on a piano while the story of peace is told. I would like to try it. Do you think I could? Is it good enough an idea to try?

To whom would I go to ask about such an undertaking?
To Mrs. Roosevelt:

My weakness is sorry to have taken so much of your time. I know how busy you are.

My plan is not too important, it is only an idea. But I would like to know if you would sometime let me know if, how or when I could help.
If this is a telegram, pardon it please.

Knowing four grown children of my own, I am anxious to see one world and that one at peace. I believe it can be done and if I am the smallest link in a long chain of workers for it, I will be very happy.

Sincerely yours,

Estelle M. Jeraldian

Saddle River

New Jersey
Dear Mrs. Roosevelt:

There are some letters that I think you may enjoy reading in the enclosed marked copy of one of our little local sheets. It seems promising for our country's future that "The Inquiring Reporter" should casually encounter such warm and spontaneous appreciation of your husband, and I think that great man himself would be amused at the, perhaps unconscious, humor in two of them.

I suppose thoughts of him were more than ever in the minds of millions of us on this April 12th, when the Reporter was making his rounds, but it is good to know that his memory is so alive in the convictions of these clerks, students, salesmen and electricians, and further proof that his soul goes marching on.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Mrs. Franklin Delano Roosevelt,
Hyde Park, New York.
The Inquiring Reporter
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April 11, 1949

Dear Mrs. Garfinkel:

What I said was that ADA could not hope to prevent communists in its membership, but it hoped to prevent communist leadership, or communists in key positions in its staff or in its policy making group.

PCA has American communists in its staff and in key positions. I did not mean PCA of following the Moscow line, but I did say that there were people in policy making positions who were believed to be either out and out American communists or extremely sympathetic to the communists.

Being opposed to American communists does not mean that one is opposed to Russia or to the Russians, or that one does not think it possible to work in the same world with them. It simply means that one wishes to have one's leadership in this country democratic and not communist.

If democracy fails it will be because we did not put enough into it. It will require real effort and belief in democracy as against both communist and fascism to see that it is really given a chance to develop in this country.

I am afraid Russia is in many ways imperialistic. I do not think we are imperialistic though I think we have reached a point where we realize that Russia has to know that we will not allow her to dominate other states any more than we ourselves wish to dominate.

Perhaps you are too good a person to recognize the rather deceitful workings of communists within organizations in this country.

Very sincerely yours,
March 16, 1947.

Dear Mrs. Roosevelt:

Your San Francisco lecture was inspiring and informative, but your reply to the question regarding the differences between the P.C.A. and the A.D.A. left the writer aghast, and bewildered. First of all, you pointed out that A.D.A. does not bar Communists from membership, whereas P.C.A. has Communist leadership. The many implications in this contention seem inconsistent. This however, is in the nature of an observation. My criticism is that you accused P.C.A. of following the Moscow-Communist Line, whatever that may be. This, I feel is also inconsistent and irreconcilable with Roosevelt tradition.

As Mr. Paul Draper pointed out at a party which P.C.A. gave for him and for Mr. Larry Adler last night, there are no telephone wires from any P.C.A. unit or chapter to Moscow and there is NOONE who advocates overthrow of the government. None of us are interested in anything but peace and a decent standard of living, education, and the end of minority persecution and discrimination. This is your husband's program and it is his policy, both foreign and domestic which is our plan, policy, and prospect...his Economic Bill of Rights, his Four Freedoms, his Atlantic Charter. These principles we, too, advocate and work for and use as a yardstick to measure up candidates for office before we will support them.

In the face of recent departures by President Truman, our State Department, and our Congress from F.D.R.'s gains for world peace and domestic reforms, P.C.A.'s integrity and adherence to the need for a return to progressive thinking and action stands like a beacon of light, despite the impact of hysterical red-baiting.

I am one of the original members who formed the S.F. voters' Leagues right after the 1944 elections which later affiliated with N.C.PAC and recently with P.C.A. I was an officer in the neighborhood league and for the last two years I am on the executive board of the S.F. Chapter. I feel I am qualified to state that I am familiar with/policy, procedure, structure, and membership. Undoubtedly there are some Communist members; there are also Republicans, Democrats, Socialists, Negroes, Jews, Japanese, Chinese, Catholics, Protestants, et cetera, et cetera. We all work to enlighten, educate, and activate the residents of this country to assume their civic responsibility and the great need for vigilance that is necessary to keep democracy alive. Is that Communism?

I should like to have you cite ONE INSTANCE where P.C.A. has followed the Moscow "line" and not the F.D.R. "line." We have tried to carry out his policies to the letter, including the Atlantic Charter which promised not only "hands off" but AID to help the liberated countries in casting off the yoke of Feudalism. I mention this because this manifestation has evoked a hue and cry that Russia was going in for imperialism because she encouraged this democratization of these small nations and because P.C.A. feels that F.D.R.'s greatness carried such good will and intention towards these same small nations and also feel that we should not bolster their oppressive governments, we
Altho I am actively interested in P.C.A. and an officer of the San Francisco Chapter, I am writing this letter purely as an individual, and ask that you will give it the consideration that I am sure you will, and that you will not feel that it is being directed to you, in any way.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Mrs. D. A. Garfinkel, 1347-37th Ave., San Francisco, Calif.

I am writing this letter purely as an individual, and ask that you will give it the consideration that I am sure you will, and that you will not feel that it is being directed to you, in any way.