I. 2-1/2% 1962 - 67

Boston assumed it would be reopened early in August. N. Y. suggests reopening and estimates $500 to $750 M. Also suggests coupon as well as registered form and redemption features similar to F & G War Savings bonds. Philadelphia thought reopening would bring $500 M. Richmond reopen would bring about $550 M.

St. Louis reopen
Kansas City reopen
Dallas reopen; also for estate taxes.
San Francisco reopen.

II. Certificate of Indebtedness

Boston suggested C/I for 6 or 8 mos.
N.Y. " C/I
Phila " C/I 3/4% May 1943
Richmond " C/I 7/8 Aug. 1943
Kansas City suggested C/I
San Francisco " C/I

III. Treasury bills

Philadelphia suggests increasing bills to $400 M in Aug. and to $500 M in Sept.
Rich. increase to $400 M on Aug. 5
St. Louis increase to $400 M
K. C. tap at highest bid price

IV. Tap issue

Philadelphia suggests this to get business funds, etc. St. Louis divergence of opinion on this proposal, but it is recommended to keep fund out of banks. Minneapolis thought this would attract corporate funds. Dallas " " " idle "

V. Periodic Drives

Boston thought this better than an attempt to sustain steady sales effort (see letter)

VI. Refunding $894 M

New York suggests this early in September with Treasury note, raising at same time with some security $500 M in cash. Richmond $1,500 M note issue with $600 M cash.

VII. Large Issue

New York suggests $4 or $5 billion issue late September or early October. Early decision is necessary in order to make preparation.
VIII. Tax Notes

New York suggests limit on Series A be raised from $1200 to $5,000 or $10,000. Rate on Series B be raised from .48% to .72%.

Philadelphia suggests adjustment of rate on Series B

Minneapolis - Higher limit on A and higher rate on B.

IX Miscellaneous

New York - Victory Fund Com. be given responsibility for F & G's. Market bond issues under war financing be fixed at definite maturities.

Philadelphia - shortcomings in organizational set-up responsible for lack of greater success in sale of F & G. Also discount feature of G.

St. Louis - 2-1/4% bond might be considered.

Minneapolis - Increase limit on F & G to $250,000.

Kansas City - A note issue

Dallas - 7 - 10 year bond issue would be well received.
VIII. Tax Notes

New York suggests limit on Series A be raised from $1200 to $5,000 or $10,000. Rate on Series B be raised from .48% to .72%.

Philadelphia suggests adjustment of rate on Series B.

Minneapolis - Higher limit on A and higher rate on B.

IX Miscellaneous

New York - Victory Fund Com. be given responsibility for F & G's. Market bond issues under war financing be fixed at definite maturities.

Philadelphia - Shortcomings in organizational set-up responsible for lack of greater success in sale of F & G. Also discount feature of G.

St. Louis - 2-1/4% bond might be considered.

Minneapolis - Increase limit on F & G to $250,000.

Kansas City - A note issue.

Dallas - 7 - 10 year bond issue would be well received.
July 28, 1942
9:45 a.m.

INFLATION

Present: Mr. Bell
Mr. Paul
Mr. Stewart
Mr. Haas
Mr. Cairns
Mr. Friedman
Mr. Viner
Mr. White
Mr. B. Bernstein
Mrs. Klotz

H.M.JR: This is what I asked you people to come together about. I have been doing a lot of thinking since last Saturday about this whole price question and inflation and financing. We go across the street and give ideas on Friday at the Cabinet to the President, a device, if he wants to control prices without going to Congress. Well, we immediately run into all kinds of troubles. We are talking here very, very much in the room, although I lifted up the paper yesterday, and the New York Times had a very accurate account of the thing as of yesterday. Today's Times carried it on a little further; but anyway, at least what goes on here we ought to be able to keep to ourselves.

What they ran into was this, to be frank, that the President seemingly over the week end decided he wanted to really get tough on both agricultural prices and on wages. Well, I think I had as much to do as anybody did two or three months ago when he made his seven-point speech, to ask him to put it up to the country that they would be reasonable on wages.

I think that the leaders of the two big labor unions would risk their leadership, for instance, by
putting across no double time on Sunday, and so forth and so on, and that they have, I think, personally behaved extremely well — I mean, in the face of being kicked out by John Lewis of the United Mine Workers. And the head of CIO, Philip Murray, is really risking his whole leadership in his union by insisting on no overtime, and so forth, and there have been practically no strikes.

I feel — don't know if anybody agrees with me — that by putting it up to him on what I call an American basis, war effort basis, I think they have behaved well.

I also was responsible for the President leaving subscriptions to War Bonds on the volunteer basis, and I think that the people have responded fairly well on that, not as well as I had hoped; but, on the other hand, as Senator George said yesterday, there has been sufficient distraction to take their minds off the thing, tax bills, statements every day, and so forth and so on. They haven't come up to my expectation, but it has been pretty good. We will do over nine hundred million this month.

Now, we go over there with a legal device on how the President could do this thing without going to Congress, because Congress told him it would take two months, and the very two months' discussion would be disastrous, and Congress doesn't want to do it before election. We find that he wants to get — I think the best thing is that he wants to average the wage for the entire country, I mean the South and the North, and simply say that if a man is getting the average wage for the North and South that that practically is the ceiling. I am not saying that you get higher wages or lower wages, but I personally think we are in the position — I also think the position of the War Labor Board hooking up increases with increases in the cost of living is reasonable — is a reasonable way out. Suddenly to throw all of that into the ash can when there are a lot of labor leaders who don't want to
win the war, are not interested in winning the war, would like to wreck the United States, and it seems sort of silly the CIO finds itself now in the conservative corner, trying to hold down their membership. They reached an impasse.

Even Henderson couldn't stomach what the President seemingly wanted to do over the weekend, and of course there is a fight with the Man Power group. Is War Labor Board under McNutt, or is that directly under the President?

MR. BELL: It is independent, I think.

MR. WHITE: They are not under McNutt.

H.M.JR: Who are they responsible to?

MR. WHITE: I think the President.

MR. PAUL: Mr. Secretary, what Henderson couldn't stomach was the agricultural end of it. That was purely a legal difficulty.

H.M.JR: That is the question of a hundred and ten percent parity, the President groping for a new parity. Well, whatever they do on the agricultural front, the thing that I say - I wouldn't say I can't stomach it because I told the President I could - it is an Alice in Wonderland. If you give them a hundred percent parity, and then in the case of pork, if the packer can't buy it and process it and has to take a loss after giving the farmer that, instead of saying, "Well, pork is too high," you say to the packer, "You show us your books and we will make up the difference, whatever you lose on packing of fifteen cent hogs or sixteen cent hogs" - has it gotten above fifteen, George?

MR. HAAS: Around there.

H.M.JR: It doesn't make any difference. It reaches a point where you have a condition like up
in Boston where they simply say - the packers say, "To hell with it, we are not going to kill or process any more meat, that is all; we are just going to stop." If they are going to continue the same thing, I very grudgingly admit that rather than have inflation, I would rather see them make up the losses of the companies that are trying to operate under these ceilings. I am being very, very frank here, I have to be if I am going to get advice. I told that to the President, that it is the least of two evils, and rather than see them raise the pork to eighteen or twenty, let them make up the deficit in the processing.

Incidentally, weeks ago I drew Henderson's attention to the fact that nobody, for instance, has ever attempted to go to the Army and Navy and say, "In your purchase of meat why don't you, if you are buying pork chops five times a week, buy it three times a week and buy a little poultry, which happens to be cheap, and coordinate the buying of the Army and Navy with the buying of Lend-Lease." As far as I know, that has never been done, and they are scared to death of the Army. I mean, they have never said to the Army - they did ease up, I think it was last week, on Lend-Lease on buying the pork, and George gave me a chart, and when they ease up on their buying - it is in this week's chart - of pork for Lend-Lease, the prices just go with the buying of Lend-Lease, but now if they added that to the Army, to which they don't, George, and they are scared of it. So I arrive at this thing, I called up Rosenman about fifteen or twenty minutes ago and told him that I would like to come over there this morning before eleven o'clock with one or two of my people and just let him listen to the idea of the rationing of money as an alternative. He says, "Are you ready to recommend it to the President?" I said, "No, I want you to listen to it."

If I understand it, and I think I understand it, that if we went the route of saying to the family that has three thousand dollars, "You can spend two thousand" - I mean, I am going the whole hog now for the minute,
"and we will give you for your two thousand a series of books, so much for food, so much for clothing, so much for this and that," I think I am correct that all these other things would fall by the wayside, Rosenman immediately will raise the question, "Could the President do the rationing without going to Congress," and I don't know how to answer that. Paul, do you?

(Mr. Bernstein entered the conference.)

MR. PAUL: Yes. You have got an opinion drafted on that?

MR. CAIRNS: Yes.

H.M.JR: What is the answer?

MR. PAUL: Yes.

MR. WHITE: Yes, and no; it depends on how it is done, and also there would be a large appropriation necessary so that you probably would have to go to Congress anyway.

H.M.JR: You would need an appropriation?

MR. CAIRNS: You have got to police it some way.

MR. BELL: OPA has a hundred and twenty million dollars.

MR. WHITE: If you could use that--

MR. BELL: I assume you would put it under Henderson and he would go ahead and use it. When he ran out of money, he would stop. Congress would have to give him more money.

MR. WHITE: It would take a lot of money. You may be able to handle it with that for the first six months or so.
H.M. JR: Just to throw it in, to get it by election, if that is the hurdle - I mean, I am not going to let that influence me, but there is no reason in the world that with well over a million Federal employees the President couldn't ask every department to help, if necessary.

(The Secretary held a telephone conversation with Vice President Wallace.)

H.M. JR: The point I want to get at is this - I unburden my heart here, and saying you are terribly worried doesn't help any, but I mean, unfortunately the President permitted story after story to go out of the White House for almost three weeks.

Now that he is going to do something, and nobody around him - the Attorney General is sitting over on the trial of the eight saboteurs, and he did absolutely nothing that I could find on the thing, and so nobody around him did any ground work until I talked to him at Cabinet Friday and laid a piece of paper on his desk. I mean, instead of somebody following what the President does every day and saying "Wait a minute. Before you go any further, you had better see where you are at and bring in the various people involved because there are so many people involved in this." But he didn't, and I sort of brought it to a head. I don't think that is an over-statement, is it, Randolph, by bringing this memo - giving it to him at Cabinet, and so forth, and so on?

MR. PAUL: No.

H.M. JR: Now, I would like Judge Rosenman to take a look at this other thing as a possible alternative. I am not recommending it; I just want to bring it to his attention. And until I hear from him - he says he is going in to see the President in the morning; and when he comes out, he is going to phone me.

I have unburdened my heart very, very confidentially and fully. Let's start with Mr. Bell.
MR. BELL: Well, I think the whole subject has to be reviewed again. I don't know whether rationing of expenditures is the right attack or not, and I don't know whether we have the authority to do it, but I do think we have to review the subject. I just don't know which way to step, frankly.

H.M. JR: I don't either.

MR. WHITE: We had three alternatives that we were going to suggest to you. That rationing of expenditures was one. There was a form of compulsory savings which was a little different than the ordinary concept of compulsory saving which would arrive at somewhat similar results; and third, which was almost identical with the compulsory saving, with the modifications, except that it approached it through a progressive tax. We were going to have that ready this noon, but I still think that the rationing of expenditures is probably the best, though I don't think one should underestimate the administrative difficulties which will be very substantial, and the expenses may be as high as a hundred and fifty million. It may even be two hundred million in a year. I just don't know enough about it at this stage of the game.

H.M. JR: That wouldn't--

MR. WHITE: It is a cheap price to pay for stopping inflation.

H.M. JR: If it was the fact--

MR. WHITE: If you spent that much, it would be unquestionably effective.

MR. CAIRNS: The eight o'clock radio said that the President was deferring his anti-inflation program until he received a special report from Judge Rosenman.

H.M. JR: Well, I just talked to Judge Rosenman. He said to me, "Henry, we are completely in the air."
I mean, I just called him up a little after nine o'clock. He said, "We are completely in the air."

MR. VINER: Who does he mean there? I mean, who is working on it?

H.M. JR: Well, there is Judge Rosenman--

MR. WHITE: OPA.

H.M. JR: Henderson and his General Counsel, and supposedly Oscar Cox.

MR. PAUL: He doesn't do very much work on it. Practically all the work has been done by Dave Ginsburg and our shop.

H.M. JR: And in the room here, Justice Byrnes working with Ginsburg--

MR. PAUL: He only approached that one end of it on the agriculture, and the question of 3-F on a legal basis.

H.M. JR: I brought it to sort of a head Friday and sort of precipitated the thing, because each day you read in the paper that the President was going to do something.

MR. WHITE: We had a memorandum here ready indicating why we think the Executive Order is most unwise.

H.M. JR: Well, let's just for the minute take that for granted.

MR. VINER: That is an order that has not yet been issued?

H.M. JR: It hasn't even been written.

MR. PAUL: It has; we drafted a couple of them, and it is still in the very formative stage.
H.M. JR: I mean I doubt even - to bring you up, Roseman has lunch with the President Monday and puts up to him this thing, and he begins to see people, and anybody that is at all affected by this in Washington, you might say - well, I don't suppose anything has happened in a long time which has created as much excitement in Government circles. Many people have different opinions, you see, and in view of that and my grave doubts about doing anything, and I happen - people in the Treasury don't all agree with me - I happen to believe, well, for two reasons, that the President has to do something.

In the first place, he is out on the end of a limb. He said he would; and even if he hadn't said anything, I believe he should. Now, some people here don't agree with me, but I think that the thing can't just go on drifting this way; and if he suddenly announced, "I am not going to do anything; everything is lovely," I think that would be just too terrible.

MR. PAUL: I don't think anybody disagrees with you that something has to be done. He only says we have a reasonable time in which to do it.

H.M. JR: No, he sat here yesterday and told me there was no hurry and we had months, that prices are all right, ceiling isn't cracking.

MR. PAUL: I don't think that is Harry's point.

H.M. JR: He told me this.

MR. WHITE: May I talk for myself?

H.M. JR: On those things I have got a photographic mind, and I know what Harry said. I told Harry I wouldn't argue with him because I didn't want to get excited.

MR. WHITE: What is behind it is--

H.M. JR: That is what I would like to know.
MR. WHITE: I said that it wasn't too urgent - it is urgent from the point of view of getting to work on something, preparing something.

H.M.JR: You were talking about the price level, the cost of living, how beautiful it was, and when I spoke about the case of subsidies and the packers, all that you said was, "Well, just trifles."

MR. WHITE: Well--

H.M.JR: Harry, you sat right in that chair, didn't you?

MR. WHITE: You can take anything out of context, Mr. Secretary.

MR. VINER: What he said, I think, was you have two months at least for good, careful, cautious planning, that there is going to be an explosion if you don't do something; but I would be sure that you have got at least two months.

MR. PAUL: That is the opinion of Colm.

H.M.JR: Colm and Viner? (Laughter)

MR. WHITE: If that is the opinion of Colm, why take the position that the explosion is around the corner? (Laughter)

H.M.JR: Five months ago, last November - did I bring Viner all the way back from Chicago to concur with Colm? (Laughter)

MR. VINER: I don't care whom I concur with; I am democratic. I don't care whom I concur or disagree with. (Laughter)

MR. PAUL: If he isn't in a hurry - Colm, he has been the fanatic on inflation controls.

MR. VINER: I am in no hurry on the Government working out a plan, because I think it will take
very careful consideration, and I think the most important thing to remember is don't have your plan quarter-baked or half-baked; have it ready to put into operation before you say a word to the public, because I think some of the things, good steps, that have already been taken are sour because they were started so clumsily and so badly, such bad press, that they are never going to work.

H.M.JR: I just want to say here - if anybody questions it, they can look at the stenographic notes last November - everybody said inflation was not around the corner, but was right on us, and there was only one man that didn't agree at that time, and that was Lauch Currie. I mean, everybody said it was just desperate. That was last November, and Lauch Currie said, "There is no hurry."

MR. BELL: Do you think we have got three months to study it?

MR. VINE: Oh no, I don't think it is a question of study; I think this will take at least a month to work out the orders, procedure, staffing, and so on. You have two or three months for study and administration, the preliminary administration, so that you have a machine ready to go.

MR. WHITE: We thought it would be ready to go right after election since there seems to be some serious doubt about before election; but if you wanted it right after election, you have to begin full speed ahead at this moment.

Mr. Secretary, there is one thought I have in mind. I wonder whether you think it might be effective to tell Judge Rosenman--

H.M.JR: You forget everything you said yesterday?

MR. WHITE: You didn't have a photograph of what was in my mind.
H.M. JR: You are wrong; I knew what was in your mind. That is why I didn't argue with you. I thought what was in your mind was all right, but what you were saying wasn't.

MR. WHITE: Would this be effective argument to give Rosenman that, after all, they are not going to even partially solve this problem of inflation by that Executive Order which they had suggested, because there still would be a gap of from twenty to thirty billion dollars which must be closed somehow, even if labor didn't get a single cent increase in wage rates? Even if they didn't get a single cent increase in wage rates, you would still have the major portion of your problem left. You are not solving it this way. Therefore, why throw a monkey wrench in the machinery for an end which is so partial, and I don't think they realize that. They think if they stop wage rates they have got the problem licked. They are not even touching it.

MR. HAAS: It completes the ceiling arrangement.

MR. WHITE: But you still have twenty or thirty billion dollars you have got to take care of.

H.M. JR: Just a minute.

(Discussion off the record.)

H.M. JR: Well, anyway, where were we?

MR. WHITE: There is some correction, Mr. Secretary, in your explanation of the rationing system; you said something that wasn't quite clear to me. This particular proposal doesn't envisage the giving of different books to individuals.

H.M. JR: Well, that is--
MR. PAUL: I would like to say something that I think goes more to the heart of the whole situation. I think what the President - the direction in which he is moving is a very dangerous one, and I think the reason he is moving is because he is assuming that we can't control inflation by various devices. He says we can't get it by taxes, we can't - we have gone in for voluntary savings. He doesn't know about the rationing of money. He hasn't that in mind at all. He thinks he is driven to this step because of the inability to control the situation through all the other measures. Now that, to my mind, forces us to reexamine it. It is true you can't do it with taxes, but we ought to reexamine our compulsory savings position; we ought to shoot as hard as we can on this rationing proposition, and everything we have decided so far seems to me now to be open to question because we are put on the spot. Something has got to be done, and we have to get another alternative. We can't just complain about this one.

M.M.JR: Walter, do you have any thoughts on this?

MR. STEWART: I am not clear about this prospective Executive Order. If it is intended to be a ceiling on wages and farm prices, a completion of ceiling without any proposal for rationing or absorbing the additional purchasing power, then I think it is just worse than futile.

M.M.JR: Let me do this, because I haven't yet had Paul outline it to me, and I would be very glad to hear it myself. Supposing, Paul, you or one of your men give me--

MR. PAUL: I can do it, but I think perhaps Mr. Bernstein, because he has been spending more hours on it than I have--

MR. BERNSTEIN: What the President said he wanted to do--
H.M.JR: Pull up your chair. You fellows sit in the back row, and you are like on a mourners' bench.

MR. BERNSTEIN: With respect to the wages, what the President wanted to do was this: He wanted to determine on a nation-wide basis the average wage paid, industry by industry; and anyone now getting the average wage or higher in an industry would get no increase in wages. Anyone getting less than the average wage could, by collective bargaining or otherwise, work his way up to the average wage. At that point wages would be frozen tight.

At the same time they would set up a new cost-of-living index in which would be included only the necessities of life, including no luxuries and no borderline cases. That index would be fixed as of August, 1942; and only if that cost-of-living index increased by five percent or more would there be reconsideration of wages. The idea, therefore, is to do something much tighter than the War Labor Board proposal, because that was too liberal; that was too generous. That was going to result in a tremendous increase in wages with a huge inflationary result.

On the agriculture side the President was prepared, despite what Barkley and Byrnes said, and I think was somewhat influenced by our suggestion that you could control prices by controlling credit, because Rosenman said the President immediately hopped on that idea, to cut across Section 3 of the OPA statute, which requires a hundred and ten percent parity as the minimum prices for agricultural products. He would cut across that on the theory that the fundamental purpose of the whole program was to assure agriculture a hundred percent of parity, and the hundred and ten figure was included simply to assure on a year-round basis that the farmer would get a hundred percent. So he would say to the farmer, "I will assure you a hundred percent of parity, but not a hundred and ten, and we, therefore, will fix prices on those items that are still subject to fixing at a hundred percent of parity."
In reply to that the OPA people began to belittle several of the agricultural items to be fixed, saying there were only four or five classes of items, that the bulk of the items either had passed the hundred and ten percent of parity or were so far down because of huge supplies. It rests on that basis. The OPA was supposed to draft an order.

H.M.JR: Do you want to ask some questions?

MR. STEWART: It is what I said, it is completing a ceiling.

H.M.JR: It is what?

MR. STEWART: It is completing a ceiling with just as good a chance the house will burn down as there was before.

H.M.JR: That is what bothers me. I don't know who stated it here. The thing that bothers me, and I don't know - I am sure that up to this morning I haven't got the answers - that the President, finding himself out on a limb, angry with the farm bloc, particularly, might do something which really might set a match to a very - well, to a fire which he couldn't put out. I don't, as far as I can make out - he is really angry with the farm bloc, and he sees these things popping through the ceiling here and there, and the danger signals, and they come along.

I don't know if other than coal that they have given a subsidy in any instance, but they have, you know, in coal. In moving coal to New England, whatever the loss is the Government has made it up - the Government--

MR. VINER: Also petroleum.

H.M.JR: I don't know whether they have or not. They might have. I can't keep up with it all, so I just feel that possibly we here might think up something
better than what is before him now. It gets down -
I don't know, maybe I am all wrong, but after all,
you have so much money in your pocket. I have a
hundred dollars in my pocket, and there is only so
much goods on the shelves that I can buy. The
question is, what am I going to do with the rest of
this money. Am I going to compete for the goods
that are left, or am I going to lend it to my Govern-
ment to pay for munitions?

Now, I don't think that is over-simplifying, is
it?

MR. WHITE: That is one way of stating it. There
will be seventy to eighty billion dollars of money
looking to buy about sixty or sixty-two billion dollars
worth of goods.

MR. PAUL: And that won't be touched by this
control that is there now.

MR. HAAS: No, but it will come before the
year is up.

MR. WHITE: The wage control doubtless will reduce
it over what it might otherwise be by several billion
dollars.

MR. PAUL: It doesn't touch that twenty billion
that is there anyway.

MR. WHITE: That is figured on a conservative
estimate.

MR. PAUL: The only way we have of touching that
is voluntary saving, compulsory saving, or rationing.

MR. WHITE: Or taxes.

MR. PAUL: In taxes we know we can't go far
enough.

H.M.JR: Well, I remember that last fall this
question of rationing of money intrigued me very
much. I was amazed to read there is available here - anybody may have it - a memorandum from Sir Frederick Phillips, and the English haven't gone nearly as far as I thought. They haven't gone anywhere except on clothing.

MR. WHITE: Meats, clothing, and certain foods.

H.M.JR: Who has it now?

MR. WHITE: I have it now.

H.M.JR: If anybody wants it - also an amusing thing, "I herewith enclose a confidential memorandum from OPA on this subject, which is the best that I can give you at this time," and he enclosed about thirty pages of OPA on the subject - Sir Frederick Phillips of the British Treasury. (Laughter) But I don't know how you felt, Harry, I was disappointed that the English evidently haven't gone further than they have. It seems as though they just made a nibble at it.

MR. WHITE: I think the items they cover - it is practically all clothing, meats, and some foods. It is a pretty substantial area of the kind of expenditures which the bulk of persons make.

H.M.JR: The way I feel this morning, and I have arrived at this alone - I mean, I haven't talked to anybody, but I have been doing an awful lot of thinking, within the limitations of my brain, and where I have arrived at now, I should think with the people in this room what we could do is to set up an ideal plan. Let's forget elections; let's forget politics, but let's set up an ideal plan for the President which would do the whole job, no matter whose corns it would step on or whose pet theories, including my own - and I haven't got any. But I would like to approach this thing. Here is this gap that we have been talking about; going back again to those meetings with Mr. Barnard, we have been fooling around with this thing, and we have been trying to do the thing, and a series
of compromises with the politicians - that is what it amounts to.

Now, I can make the most beautiful speeches in the world about pre-Pearl Harbor exemption.

MR. PAUL: I notice how they are picking up your phrase. Lindley was using it this morning.

H.M.JR: I get a lot of personal satisfaction out of it, but it doesn't get me anywhere.

MR. CAIRNS: 'One'limitation I assume - there is one limitation in your proposal that I assume you want us to act on, and that is that we do it without legislation.

H.M.JR: Not necessarily. What I am saying here - what I am asking for is an ideal plan, irrespective of how you arrive at it. I mean, you can't immediately first say you have got to do it without legislation.

MR. CAIRNS: We can't do general rationing without legislation. That is the only way.

H.M.JR: Huntington, what I want to say here is this, because I think this, that if the plan was good the President could get this legislation, and what I hope it would be would be a new route so these fellows wouldn't have to say, "Well, I voted for a hundred and ten percent, and I am on record," so that when he goes back to reelection he wouldn't be facing a hundred and ten. Well, he will say, "We still have the hundred and ten percent on the books. I did my best for you. The President didn't change that. Now, he did something else, and that isn't my fault. The President did something else."

But the thing that the President has been bucking, and that is why he can't get anywhere - Barkley won't let him - he goes right up against these fellows, says, "You have got to have the hundred and ten percent, and
we will let you sell a hundred and twenty-five million
bushels of wheat, or corn, or whatever it is, provided
it goes into the mouths of animals at eighty-five per-
cent of parity." That is the only concession he got.
I wanted to fight on the hundred percent level, and
Wickard wouldn't do it.

I want to say this, that Wickard, on the price
thing, has been terribly good, much more forceful than
Henry Wallace ever was, much more forceful and much
more conscious of the whole - well, I think he is a
much better Secretary of Agriculture than Wallace ever
was, because he is a fighter.

MR. BELL: He is in more hot water than Wallace
ever was with his own people.

H.M.JR: You have got to be, Dan, if you are
going to fight. So to answer your question, what I
am asking for is something which - purely forget
Congress, forget elections, and we can go to the
President and say, "Look, Mr. President, here is an
over-all plan that as far as we can see, looking for-
ward to expenditures of two hundred billion dollars
and a constantly decreasing amount of supplies, going
down to '32 levels for civilian supply - that this will
cope with that situation."

(The Secretary held a telephone conversation
with Judge Rosenman.)

MR. BELL: How about this expenditure tax?

MR. PAUL: The spending tax is one of the three.

MR. WHITE: But the chief difficulty about that
is that they will take that tax, and they will make
of it a revenue sales tax, slightly progressive sales
tax, which will help meet a revenue problem, but will
not even approach the problem that we are after.

MR. BELL: It is big enough that it will certainly--

MR. WHITE: Yes.
MR. BELL: ... hold the expenditure down.

MR. PAUL: It could be one--

MR. HAAS: You could do that and still do the other later on.

MR. BELL: I like that, I was asking about the expenditure tax. Harry said that was one of the things he suggested.

MR. WHITE: One of the three alternatives, but the great obstacle in our mind is that they have converted it into a revenue measure - it is so akin to a sales tax.

MR. PAUL: It is quite different from a sales tax, Harry.

H.M.JR: What I would like to do, if Bell and Paul and White will accompany me - I would like to walk over there now and see the Judge. What did we have at eleven?

MR. BELL: Nothing. We cancelled that.

H.M.JR: And then when we come back, I would like to continue this conversation.

MR. WHITE: About one o'clock we will have a memorandum comparing all these things which this group could begin working on.

H.M.JR: I will be ready in three minutes, and I would like Bell and Paul and White to accompany me.
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H.M.JR: Frankly, I smell a rat, the rat being that you fellows, knowing my friend Jack McCloy, most likely will say, "I can't pull this on Morgenthau without letting him know, because three months from now he may ask me to pay a hundred million dollars; but if I have told him all about it, then he can't do anything."

MR. WHITE: I think this time the rat is in the other fellow's stable.

H.M.JR: In the English?

MR. WHITE: I mean there certainly is no rat here.

H.M.JR: Are we very honest and ethical about this?

GENERAL MEYERS: I feel so, sir. I feel that we have approached it from not only an equitable angle, but from a point of view of our position before the American public. I feel that it is a situation in which, by happenstance, for strategical reasons, we have taken certain models of airplanes from British contracts and given them certain models of airplanes from United States contracts. It could have had the strategical situation—or, the employment of the weapon could have been a little different, then it might just as well have been that they would have gotten all their own airplanes and we would have gotten all our own airplanes.

MR. WHITE: The General remarked that some of the planes that we took from them they didn't want—a large number.
GENERAL MEYERS: That is very pertinent. In one case of our Lockheed P-38 model airplane, that two-engine fighter, their version of that airplane was called the Lightning. There are a hundred and nineteen of those airplanes right now that they refuse to accept.

H.M.JR: That is several months old?

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, sir.

Now, of course, as Mr. White stated, it is one point - it is an angle.

H.M.JR: The machine wasn't any good.

MR. WHITE: They ordered it, didn't they?

H.M.JR: Yes, but we made it.

GENERAL MEYERS: But we made it, that is right.

MR. WHITE: I mean with the specifications, the carrying out of the specifications - the whole conception of the plane.

GENERAL MEYERS: It isn't really pertinent to the case. It is a fact.

H.M.JR: Incidentally, has the plane - the defects - been corrected, the wobble in the tail, and all that?

GENERAL MEYERS: That isn’t the reason these airplanes--

H.M.JR: But the subsequent ones?

GENERAL MEYERS: It has been corrected to a point where the airplane is operational. We can now fly that airplane at speeds of four hundred miles an hour, indicated air speeds of four hundred miles an hour, which speed is a true speed in excess of five hundred miles an hour. The tactical employment of any airplane would rarely demand, in the present situation, a greater
performance than that.

H.M. JR: Yes, but there were other things which - I mean I know you took delivery at San Diego and then moved it somewhere into Texas.

GENERAL MEYERS: We moved from Los Angeles down to Dallas.

H.M. JR: And started monkeying with it down there, trying to make a good plane out of it.

GENERAL MEYERS: There were several things about their planes. As a background, when the British originally ordered their so-called Lightning airplanes, the British were completely devoid of supercharger consciousness. They wouldn't go along with us on superchargers at all at that stage of the game. The war was going to be fought at fifteen thousand feet - by order it just was going to be fought at fifteen thousand feet, so they wouldn't have that supercharger on that airplane. That was one thing. So that precludes the use of that airplane without superchargers for the purpose for which it was really intended in the light of the developments of the whole operation.

Another thing, they built that airplane and wouldn't go along with us with opposite rotation propellers. Both engines revolved their propellers in the same direction, which created a bad aerodynamic effect on the airplane. Now, that is what is being corrected - changed at Dallas now, and the tails - the adjustment to the tails to eliminate that flutter, as well. So there were several things about it that made the airplane unworthy as a fighting airplane.

H.M. JR: But, General, what I can't understand is that we kept right on taking delivery of those things. We kept on building them with the defect - I mean, the reason I am spending so much time is you have got a plane - and you have all kinds of trouble with it. As I understand it, there are great difficulties in bailing out; you have got to turn the plane upside down
to get out, or else get caught in that cross bar. Is that right?

GENERAL MEYERS: That isn't totally right. It is difficult.

H.M., JR.: It is very difficult. You are apt to get caught in that cross bar connecting the tail and—

GENERAL MEYERS: It is difficult.

H.M., JR.: I mean, it is very difficult to bail out.

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, sir.

H.M., JR.: No, but I mean the thing I would like to ask you, still we keep right on making it, and the last I heard, which is not very recent, they still hadn't - I mean, they still had to take the planes from San Diego down to Dallas and still keep——

GENERAL MEYERS: No, sir, not the airplanes that are coming out of production now.

H.M., JR.: Are they fightable, the ones that come off the lines now? Can you fight with those?

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, sir, but now I must qualify that statement. Nearly all our airplanes that are coming off the production line are going through what we call modification centers. For example, the Flying Fortresses, with which you are familiar, the B-17 and the B-24, the Consolidated, those airplanes, after all this production, are going through what we call modification centers to incorporate changes that have become necessary in the light of operations and of experience in actual combat.

For example, when the B-17's first went over there the Japs were coming in from the four quarters where there was a blind spot in the armament protection. As soon as we learned that they were able to do that, we immediately set up this modification center and put in a gun on either side at that point, and things such as
that develop all the time, constantly. We hope to reach the ideal of having an airplane come off the production line into a combat unit. I don't believe it will ever happen. For example, the crisis that I spoke of yesterday, we just can't get these light bombers over to Russia in the time that we want to get them there, so I struggled and struggled to get extra gasoline tankage in those airplanes.

If we had told the factory to have the airplanes come out of the plant with those tanks in, they would have had to stop production and re-jig and replan the flow of that manufacturing cycle to such an extent that there would probably have been two months when we wouldn't have gotten one airplane off that line. We are always trying to approach that, so we say, "Well, you go ahead and deliver those airplanes as they are coming off the production line. We will set up this modification center, and we will have the parts built here, there, and yon, and do it ourselves over here, or have someone else do it."

Then you figure doing it up here in the three hundred and fiftieth article, so that you can plan your production line accordingly, and that is exactly what we did in the case of this light bomber, the A-20, at the Douglas plant.

However, in spite of that plan to do that, when they got the engineering all finished and got the tank in it, and got all this Rube Goldberg plumbing that is essential to put in it to make it operate, the first airplane that flew with the tank was just like a sieve. The tank leaked, so you say, "Well, why wouldn't you have tested the tanks? You can put gasoline in, shake it up here in a tumbling machine, and try it." Well, we do do that, but when the airplane gets in the air, it leaks.

Now, you have all the best engineering talent in the country developing and producing that tank, but in spite of all the best engineers in the country, it leaks, or the plumbing or the pump doesn't work right. You have - you design a pump or a raw piece of equipment
to go into this melee of plumbing that goes into it, and it is very complicated. To build a gas tank in
the plane where there are these various ribs and pro-
trusions and other equipment, you have to almost mold
a tank in there. It is of a wierd shape to get it
in there in the first place. And it is that sort of
thing that requires this - I spend this time on it
because it is that sort of thing that requires what I
say is this modification center. There is no such
thing, I am satisfied, of not interfering with produc-
tion when you make changes in the production line.
There "ain't" no such animal, when you are using all
the productive facilities of a plant - there is no
such thing.

H.M.JR: Well, thanks for the explanation. Now,
let's go back to the English.

Harry, do you want to give me your viewpoint? I
imagine Phillips is outside.

MR. WHITE: In a letter to you from Secretary
Stimson on April third, he made a statement with respect
to the treatment of transactions encompassing this
very one.

H.M.JR: Stimson?

MR. WHITE: Stimson did, and the Portal agreement
that you spoke of, which the General brought along and
showed me, in a cursory examination doesn’t touch on
these matters at all. It is an agreement which relates
to the strategic disposition of various types of planes,
the various theaters of war, and goes into some detail
as to what they may expect in the way of distribution
from a general pool, so that that agreement doesn’t
really bear on the question of financing at all.

Now, I would like to read the one phrase from
this letter which I think bears on the point. This
letter indicates that all the planes which are pro-
duced here will go into a pool, irrespective of the
origin, irrespective of whose it is, and from that
pool they will go out to various theaters of war. This refers now to planes which go to some foreign source belonging—

H.M.JR: This is the Portal agreement?

MR. WHITE: No, I am reading from Stimson's letter.

H.M.JR: To me?

MR. WHITE: To you, of April third.

H.M.JR: Is that the famous one McCloy wrote, and Stimson said he couldn't understand?

MR. WHITE: Yes, but this statement is clear. "It will be the responsibility of the foreign governments, from whose contracts equipment has been taken, to see that appropriate documents are forwarded to the War Department upon which a credit can be made to their Lend-Lease account."

If I might paraphrase that, I would say it will be the responsibility of the British government, from whose contracts equipment has been taken, to see that appropriate documents are forwarded to the War Department, on which a credit can be made to their Lend-Lease account.

H.M.JR: Of course, that is Stimson's viewpoint.

MR. WHITE: That is Stimson's.

H.M.JR: Let me just ask you this. Where do we stand, Harry, with them, going back to March 15, '41, when I was trying to get that three or four hundred million dollars—

MR. WHITE: The three hundred or four hundred million has been obtained. You remember there was a letter which you thought of sending, which you are holding up, which explains—
H.M.JR: Which I have not read. I have not read it, so I don't know what is in it. Is the three or four hundred million taken care of?

MR. HICKS: About three hundred and seventy million has been obtained.

H.M.JR: I mean, clean-cut?

MR. HICKS: Without considering any of the marginal cases at all, I believe--

H.M.JR: So I mean I can say to them that that--

MR. WHITE: I definitely think so - definitely. The only hesitation about sending the letter arose from some other cause, not because of that.

H.M.JR: I had another reason. But now, are you through?

MR. WHITE: No, I was going to say their situation, you remember, when we considered what to do with the three hundred million dollars of pre-Lend-Lease contracts, whether to take them out or not - well, part of that three hundred million is this hundred million, and according to General Meyers, they probably haven't known until now that this hundred million dollars' worth of planes going to the pool was not going to go to them. They are getting other models instead, so that it seems to me that the question of whether they should get this hundred million or not was part of the question which was tentatively answered at the time we were discussing the three hundred million.

They now have seven hundred and seventy million dollars' worth of gold.

H.M.JR: Gold?

MR. WHITE: Gold and dollars other than the Belgian gold. They will lose, in the next six months, about three hundred million, leaving them about four
hundred and seventy-three. They will get approximately three hundred and forty million, putting them back over seven hundred million.

In addition to that, they will get around ten million a month from troop expenditures, and we are buying, sterling, about ten million a month, and it may run more than that. That would mean another six hundred million, so they will have at the end of the year, we estimate, at least eight hundred million, and maybe closer to the nine hundred million.

H.M.JR: Ten million a month - isn't that--

MR. WHITE: No, but in addition to what they already have.

H.M.JR: The thing that I have got to decide here for the War Department is this, gentlemen, - everything is finished now, washed up - I make this decision, and from now on anything - either one of two things happen to the remaining contracts, "Either you pay for them or they go into the pool, and you get things in exchange."

GENERAL MEYERS: Based on the strategic need of the equipment.

H.M.JR: "And the stuff that you turn into the pool - the stuff you pay for you get a credit for with Lend-Lease against the money we are advancing."

Now, the question is, should I say to this fellow, "Now, we made good on the three to four hundred million. That is all we ever promised you - and it never was promised you."

MR. WHITE: Never was definitely promised.

H.M.JR: "And so, from now on you can't expect anything more on those old contracts."

MR. WHITE: Or you might say that--

H.M.JR: I mean I am just practicing. I am not
saying. I am going to say that.

MR. WHITE: Or you might also say that the dollar position looks as favorable as they had hoped it would be - expected it would be.

H.M.JR: I am not saying I am going to say this. How much more outstanding contracts have they got?

MR. WHITE: Now?

MR. HICKS: Less than three hundred.

MR. WHITE: Somewhere between two hundred and fifty and two hundred and eighty-five. I don't know the exact figure.

H.M.JR: Of course, the other thing which nobody has brought up - I don't care whether Phillips waits a few minutes - I told the Senate - you check me on this - that they would pay for all outstanding contracts which, as I remember, was around a billion two hundred million.

MR. WHITE: That is definitely what you testified.

H.M.JR: That is what I testified. Of the billion two hundred million, how much do you suppose they really paid for?

MR. HICKS: Probably more than that, in all, because there have been adjustments and amendments to the contract. I think they could show that they have paid that amount that you said they would pay.

MR. WHITE: That is because there were a lot of adjustments and some additions that probably amounted to four hundred million dollars.

H.M.JR: Additional?

MR. WHITE: I think so, so that they probably have paid a little more than that even though you
have taken - you have had some take-outs that you have taken over.

H.M.JR: Of course, then the other thing is, looking at it from another standpoint, supposing this - we here figure on a five-year war, if we are wrong we are lucky, and if we don't - I mean, can't figure on a one to two-year war. Supposing this war lasts five years, the English will need money. Can we get it for them any easier than reimbursing them for this three hundred million dollars' worth of contracts they have outstanding now?

MR. WHITE: I can't think of any way, off-hand, other than Congress giving it to them.

H.M.JR: Yes, and that is the thing that I hate to go up for. I mean, that is the other side. Do you see what I mean?

MR. HICKS: There will always be adjustments in reciprocal aid to provide money if at that time useful.

H.M.JR: What do you mean?

MR. HICKS: They are now making agreements to provide certain things our troops will need in the British areas under reciprocal aid. If it should later become convenient to increase their dollar balances, you could make an adjustment to that agreement.

MR. WHITE: There is something more than that, it seems to me. If it is going to be a five-year war, they are going to need a lot more than this hundred million. So I think you will be placed in the perfectly legitimate position that when you went to Congress you asked them to undertake the Lend-Lease arrangement, because they were out of money, which was true at the time; and the Lend-Lease operations took place. Since then they were able to accumulate some money, and they will have seven or eight hundred million; maybe in the second or third year, circumstances will be such that they will lose some of that, and it will be quite
appropriate to go to Congress.

H.M.JR: When you figure seven or eight hundred million at the end of this year, are you figuring they are going to pay the three hundred million dollars in cash?

MR. WHITE: Yes.

H.M.JR: They can pay the three and still have seven or eight - you are sure?

MR. NICKS: A few items--

H.M.JR: I will give you a leeway of a hundred million.

MR. WHITE: Within seven hundred, anyhow. I think it will be more than eight hundred.

H.M.JR: Let me state it once more, that they can pay for this with cash and still, on the first of January, have seven hundred million?

MR. WHITE: Yes, and still pay even that fifty million on the movies - that is, of course, counting their gold, which they don't like to count, which they won't count, and that is not including Belgian gold, and not including their private investments, and things of that kind.

GENERAL MEYERS: I had just one thought. Isn't it true that part of this three hundred million dollars you gave them was to pay for these very airplanes we are talking about now?

H.M.JR: I don't think so.

MR. WHITE: No, we took on some other contracts.

GENERAL MEYERS: In effect - then I will discard that.
H.M.JR: No, that would confuse it. The hard-boiled decision I have got to make is to say to this fellow, "Now look, Sir Frederick, we are right up against this, and I am telling you today that this hundred million dollars, or the balance of the stuff, we expect you to pay cash for. Now don't come around doing the baby act."

GENERAL MEYERS: Have you talked to him at all? Maybe he doesn't expect this - I don't know.

H.M.JR: Oh, you don't know yet? I will put it as fairly as I can.

MR. WHITE: You might like to do one other thing for your protection. If you like, you can let him - it has been over a month at least - more than that - since we have gone over all the figures. Now, if you would like to satisfy yourself before you give him a final answer, give him an opportunity to re-examine the figures.

H.M.JR: I will put it to him. I think I know how to put it to him. He was told what this was going to be about. I don't know even if he is here.
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H.M. JR: The reason I asked you to come over, the War Department is about to conclude an arrangement whereby they are going to take over, roughly, a hundred million dollars' worth of planes which you have on order here, and are going to Lend-Lease you, roughly, a hundred and fifty million dollars' worth of other planes.

They propose to give you a credit on the hundred million dollars' worth of planes in Lend-Lease - I mean, just credit you with that amount. Now, the point they raise is, is there any reason why they shouldn't go ahead and do it that way?

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: Well, I hadn't heard of this proposal, but I am not quite clear - why do they propose to give us a credit? We ought to have some cash, if that is agreeable.

GENERAL MEYERS: The reason for it is this, that the airplanes were, in effect, thrown into a pool by the combined Chiefs of Staffs. Out of the pool, for strategic reasons, the combined Chiefs of Staff decided that certain models of airplanes would go to certain theaters, regardless of who procured them. In that tumbling act certain models came out to go to the United States theaters and organizations. Certain ones of those airplanes happened to be British-procured airplanes. Likewise, out of the tumbling
act came certain models that went to British organizations, regardless, as I stated, of the contracts.

It could just as well happen that the United States would have operated all P-40 airplanes, and the British all P-38 airplanes, but because of this happenstance that we happened to get some of their models and they happened to get some of our models, it doesn't seem to be justifiable from the War Department's point of view that we should assume the financial responsibility for those particular models.

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: Well, I don't know. It seems to me the War Department's point of view ought to be changed. Aside from the development of these reciprocal aid proposals, it seems to me you have a much better case for developing - for taking over these contracts than you have ever had before because we are deciding this reciprocal aid - I say we should be deciding this reciprocal aid shortly, in a week or so, I hope, and it seems to me to start off now would stop your providing us American production and stop our providing British production.

GENERAL MEYERS: My view on it is that I don't see that it is even pertinent. Here are two - getting down to practical demonstrations, we have got two airplanes. We bought one, and you bought one. We are each going to get one of those airplanes, depending on the strategic uses of them. We take out of the bag - we take one, you get one, and it doesn't make any difference whether I have got this airplane or that airplane, I have still got one airplane, assuming the same value, and so forth, of course. It is just a strategic happenstance rather than a financial dealing, it seems to me.

We are saying to you, "You use our P-40 airplane and we will use your P-38 airplane." Now, from a very crude explanation of it, that is the way I see it.

We have a group of airplanes here. We each can use one of those airplanes. You bought one and we...
bought one; you say, "Well, we need the airplane that you bought," and we say, "We need the airplane you bought."

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: Well, but General, your case is that it is an accident that you want to take a hundred million dollars' worth of our planes; it might have been that you didn't want to take any of them. If there was strategic distribution it would be different or equal. It might have been you want to take a great many more of them - you might have wanted to take four hundred millions of them. The mere fact that the figure is settled down at a hundred million doesn't mean you can settle the matter, anyway.

GENERAL MEYERS: I don't think it is a matter of what the figure is. We can't say that without, by the same token, saying that there was two hundred million dollars' worth of airplanes, not one hundred or two airplanes. We decided that - we jointly decided that it was strategically advantageous for us to use your airplanes than for you to use our airplanes. It is an exchange of material, as I see it, rather than any financial entanglement or financial consideration. It is physical.

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: I should say it was an exchange of material which gives you a very convenient opportunity to make it desirable to make an adjustment.

GENERAL MEYERS: But on what justification?

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: Justification of the President's first report on Lend-Lease, that the true basis is that each country shall contribute about the same proportion of its production to the war. Well, we are - you are making gigantic strides, and I am quite willing to accept that sometime in '43 you will be contributing the same proportion to the war as we are. But that isn't true now, and it hasn't been true since December. This year we are contributing a vastly greater proportion to the war. That is a
thing which ought to be rectified. This is a very convenient method of rectifying a bit of it.

GENERAL MEYERS: But we, likewise, are out of this grab-bag, this adjustment of equipment, we are - the British are getting airplanes that were purchased not on Lend-Lease. They are getting an approximate amount of airplanes in money value, dollar value, that were purchased from the War Department appropriations, which were not purchased from Lend-Lease authorization.

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: That is true of all weapons now, isn't it?

GENERAL MEYERS: No, sir, it is not true of all weapons.

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: You are placing all the orders. Orders for weapons aren't being placed through us; they are now all going through you.

GENERAL MEYERS: That is correct, but this equipment wasn't in that category. This equipment was procured specifically for the War Department from War Department appropriation by Congress, aside and apart from those appropriations that authorize airplanes to be turned over under Lend-Lease.

H.M.JR: I would like to - this particular swap of planes, who initiated this, the U.S.A. or the English?

GENERAL MEYERS: It was jointly initiated, sir. It was based on the original - President Roosevelt and Prime Minister Churchill's conversations, which were followed up subsequently by the conversations with General Arnold and General Marshall with Mr. Churchill in England, and then with the succeeding conversations in this country with the combined Chiefs of Staffs.

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: Did any of these authorities discuss or mention any financial settlement?
GENERAL MEYERS: No, sir. It was never considered insofar as I have knowledge of.

H.M.JR: And the Army wanted to settle it yesterday. I persuaded them to wait until today, because both our Armies are at fault, that they overlooked the Treasury right along. I mean, we are the forgotten man. But in this question of where the plane can be of the most use, I don't blame them for overlooking the Treasury.

GENERAL MEYERS: It didn't appear to have a financial consideration. The airplanes are produced, and for strategic reasons they are assigned to the American organization. I don't think - as I see it, it isn't a question of us taking over - buying the airplanes, or of you buying the airplanes, as it is the assignment or the use of the weapon. It seems to me a matter of financial adjustment is something aside from that.

H.M.JR: You haven't had a chance to prepare yourself for this?

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: I did know, roughly, that as you know, sir, this was a settlement as regards certain airplanes taken over off our contracts. I think that was the case where you had to go to the President to get the thing cleared up, and they paid down the lump sum of seventy millions, or a little bit more. I know it was understood there were more of our planes going over and above the seventy million, but this is the first--

H.M.JR: Have these gone?

GENERAL MEYERS: These airplanes are being delivered right now. It is in process at the moment.

H.M.JR: Oh, it is?
GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, sir.

H.M.JR: If they are being delivered, why such pressure?

GENERAL MEYERS: Well, the pressure is now that it was started by virtue of these Lightning airplanes. I want to get them accepted from the factory; I wanted to take them over from the factory. The British refused to accept the airplanes because they maintained, right or wrong, that the airplanes aren't according to specifications and do not meet the performance guarantees, and so forth and so on, but will not accept these airplanes.

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: That is all new to me. I am very sorry.

GENERAL MEYERS: That is just one of the complications that make it necessary for a prompt decision as to what we are going to do.

H.M.JR: Well, who is pressing you, the manufacturers?

GENERAL MEYERS: Well, really I am instigating the pressure myself because I want to get those airplanes now, so we can work on them on our own, out of the jurisdiction of the factory.

H.M.JR: You mean - are these planes finished, the ones that you want, these English planes?

GENERAL MEYERS: They are finished as much as the factory is going to do on them.

H.M.JR: They are lying in the yards - Lockheeds - at Dallas?

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, sir.

H.M.JR: They have left California?
GENERAL MEYERS: They have left California, but are still under Lockheed control.

H.M.JR: But Dallas hasn't started to revamp them?

GENERAL MEYERS: No, sir. That is right, sir.

H.M.JR: You mean, they are waiting there to work them over?

GENERAL MEYERS: That is going to be one of these modification centers.

H.M.JR: But they are - are these hundred Lockheeds there?

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, sir.

H.M.JR: I heard about those hundred and nineteen, two or three months ago.

GENERAL MEYERS: That is right, and I can't tolerate it any longer to have those airplanes sitting there.

H.M.JR: Excuse me, when this officer came on from Dayton, you remember, to take me up to New York - you remember that materiel man - they sent him on. I will tell you, a year or two ago he was a captain in a Pursuit Squadron. They sent him over to England. He was the first man to go to England, almost. Now he must be under you.

GENERAL MEYERS: Kelsey?

H.M.JR: Kelsey. Now, that was fully two months ago.

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, it is.
H.M. JR: The story - that must be two or three months ago.

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, sir.

H.M. JR: Kelsey--

GENERAL MEYERS: He is over there now.

H.M. JR: Kelsey was the first man that came back and said England would hold out in '40, as far as I am concerned.

GENERAL MEYERS: As far as I am concerned, he is the first man that told me about that.

H.M. JR: Captain Kelsey came back in July or August of '40 and said that England will not fall.

GENERAL MEYERS: He was the only optimist that came back.

H.M. JR: A very interesting fellow - I have never forgotten that. How high up now?

GENERAL MEYERS: He is a Colonel.

It is a very unsatisfactory situation as it stands, because your people, our people, the factories, they don't know who is to do what. There is just that added confusion into our over-all effort that makes it very desirable that we should settle it. However it is settled, it should be settled.

H.M. JR: I heard of those one hundred and nineteen planes - it was fully two or three months ago. How much do they amount to alone, that group?

GENERAL MEYERS: That group would amount to fifteen million dollars.

H.M. JR: And what are the other planes?
GENERAL MEYERS: The other planes - there is a total of three hundred of that type airplane. There is another one hundred and eighty-one of those. Then there are approximately three hundred pursuit P-40 type airplane, if I remember correctly, and some A-20's.

H.M.JR: Are there any planes, other than these hundred and nineteen that the English have refused to take, I mean?

GENERAL MEYERS: No, sir. That is the only one in that particular category.

H.M.JR: Couldn't Sir Frederick be furnished with a list, and I be furnished with a list of what goes into the hundred million?

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, sir. I can do that right readily. I will give it to you. There are two hundred and fifty-four medium bombers type B-34, forty-seven million dollars. There are two hundred and nineteen light bombers, Vengeance, model V-72, eighteen million dollars. There are three hundred Lightning, P-38, forty two million dollars; total, a hundred and seven million dollars.

H.M.JR: Couldn't you furnish Sir Frederick with a copy of that?

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, directly.

H.M.JR: I have got to think this thing over more, and you would like to think it over.

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: Yes, very much. In fact, you--

H.M.JR: In fact, you want to.

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: I would have to tell my people. I am afraid I can't presume to speak for them.
H.M.JR: Could you rush it up?

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: I will get their view quickly, but I am pretty sure it will be as I stated.

H.M.JR: It can be settled on this side of the water?

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: I think so, yes.

GENERAL MEYERS: How would it be - if you will take this with appreciation that it is a very rough, quick get-up of figures - it is not exact at all. (Paper handed to Sir Frederick Phillips.)

SIR FREDERICK PHILLIPS: Going to be doubled a little later on or--

GENERAL MEYERS: No, I mean it is within pennies - you know what I mean.

H.M.JR: Well, I think that is about, maybe, as far as we can go today. You might let me know when you are ready.

(Sir Frederick Phillips left the conference.)

H.M.JR: As the thing stands now, I think we will rule against the War Department.

GENERAL MEYERS: You would have to rule against it?

H.M.JR: Yes, sir. I am going to call up the President after you leave and ask him how important it is right now, not to irritate the English. My guess is it is very important not to have Sir Kingsley Wood rush in and see Churchill just at this moment and tell him that - well, if you don't mind my using the language - we are trying to put over a fast one. Of course they wouldn't use that kind of language. But I am going to call up the President and tell him just
how important it is, because I know that they have a way of knowing what is going on. It isn't a matter of a hundred million. The whole war is at stake, right now, and I don't want to be a part in it, and I am sure Mr. Stimson wouldn't - just at something they would call a Cabinet meeting and have Sir Kingsley Wood take a couple of hours to discuss it - here we are, grabbing off a hundred odd million dollars' worth of planes, and they are going to have to pay good English pounds for them, and so forth - dollars. I think it is something bigger.

GENERAL MEYERS: I appreciate that.

H.M.JR: I mean, I want to be sure that I am not even doing something which at the cabinet meeting in England might be a discussion for a couple of hours.

Churchill is never interested in the dollars and cents, but if he thought we were trying to put over a fast one he might get very irritated, and I am going to ask the President how he feels about it.

MR. WHITE: It is a hundred million dollars.

H.M.JR: You see what I mean, Harry?

MR. WHITE: I think the statement about the seventy million - remember, when the Army decided to take over the seventy million they said that is all they could see their way to take over, so there was no indication left that they were going to do it with the remainder.

GENERAL MEYERS: Too, I don't think that that should establish any precedent for what we are doing, now. That was a different situation.

H.M.JR: On the other hand, if you don't mind, I think this: I think where the Army makes a mistake, and I don't know who it is, so I will say Mr. Stimson, the civilian side, or whatever it is - I think that
when they do these things they shouldn't wait until the deal is half way through before they let the representative of the English Treasury know, because he is against it before he starts.

GENERAL MEYERS: I agree with that.

H.M.JR: And I got their Air Secretary - what is his name, the man in charge of their air--

GENERAL MEYERS: Evald?

H.M.JR: On the civilian side. He has been here for three years.

GENERAL MEYERS: Dick Perry? Sir Henry Self was--

H.M.JR: Has he left?

GENERAL MEYERS: Sir Richard Ferry is here now.

H.M.JR: Sir Henry--

GENERAL MEYERS: Henry Self.

H.M.JR: Has he left?

GENERAL MEYERS: Yes, sir. He has gone back.

H.M.JR: Why did they call him back?

GENERAL MEYERS: I don't know. There was a little machination there. They moved him out of there up into the combined Chiefs of Staffs, and it was just a--

H.M.JR: As a number fellow he was awfully good.

GENERAL MEYERS: He was clever.

MR. WHITE: When did the Chief of Staff make the decision, aside from these P-38's that you are going to fix up - when did they make the decision with the remainder, the eighty-five or ninety million dollars'
worth of British planes, not to distribute to England, but somewhere else?

GENERAL MEYERS: This was all finished up about three weeks ago.

MR. WHITE: In other words, the British couldn't have known it before three weeks ago, because the decision wasn't made?

GENERAL MEYERS: They were part of this agreement.

MR. WHITE: I mean financial.

H.M.JR.: Of course, it is their own people. It isn't up to us to notify them. You people can take that position. This man is a truthful man (Sir Frederick Phillips).

GENERAL MEYERS: I mean, their people have known it.

H.M.JR.: But they haven't let him know.

GENERAL MEYERS: In the same manner we haven't discussed it with you.

H.M.JR.: I am used to that. (Laughter) That doesn't bother me. I mean, if you can put them to good use, more power to you. That is my only interest. I am used to that, and they are not, but anyway, I am going to ask the President, myself.

GENERAL MEYERS: Sir, I think this is a matter really outside of the War Department. I don't think it is a War Department matter at all.

H.M.JR.: I want him to know how important it is for me to say to the British at this time, "From now on, you pay for every dollar you have got here. We don't help you out any more on the rest of the contracts" or, "Here is another hundred we may take that over. We may take them all over."

Regraded Unclassified
GENERAL MEYERS: I think I will get together with Mr. Ferry and Air Marshall Evald and make an agreement with him, give them a piece of paper, if they want it, and say for them to accept those airplanes and turn them over to us, subject to any decision that is made for the financial settlement.

H.M.JR: That is all right.

MR. WHITE: So as not to hold up--

H.M.JR: That I think would be smart, because this thing can't be done--

GENERAL MEYERS: It is obvious.

H.M.JR: I should think you could get a piece of paper that will be like the seventy millions, something to settle with. Then another thing, if that could slide over a week or two, whatever the situation is, London will have to - they can't sit there forever.

GENERAL MEYERS: All right, sir, I will see Ferry.
Notes on meetings held to discuss the means of payment for planes diverted from British contracts for the uses of the United States

Tuesday, July 28, 1942

General Meyers of the Air Corps called upon Mr. White at 9:45 A.M. Mr. Hicks was also present. General Meyers explained that the planes in question were British planes manufactured in the United States but assigned to the United States Army under the terms of the Arnold-Portal-Towers Agreement. This Agreement called for delivery to the United States Army of approximately $107 million worth of planes from British contracts in the United States, and for delivery to the British of approximately $193 million worth of American planes from Lend-Lease contracts and $90 million worth of planes from War Department contracts. The planes that the British would receive would be charged to their Lend-Lease account, and General Meyers wanted to know whether the Treasury wished to have the Army pay dollars for the British planes to be received by the Army, or credit Britain's Lend-Lease account for that amount.

Mr. White asked whether the Arnold-Portal-Towers Agreement contained any statement about the terms of payment. General Meyers replied that the Agreement made the distribution exclusively on strategic grounds, and that no mention was made of the financial arrangements. Mr. White then read to General Meyers the paragraphs from Secretary Stimson's letter of April 3 to Secretary Morgenthau in which Secretary Stimson stated that all munitions manufactured in the United States were being put into a pool for assignment on strategic grounds, and that materials assigned to Britain from that pool would be debited to Britain's Lend-Lease account and British financed materials put into the pool would be credited to Britain's Lend-Lease account. General Meyers said that he understood that was the arrangement in use, but that the War Department would like to have a specific statement of the Treasury's preferences in the case in question. Mr. White also asked General Meyers whether the British expected to be paid dollars for these planes. General Meyers replied that the matter had not been discussed with the British and that they might not even know that a decision was about to be made.

At 10:00 A.M. General Meyers, Mr. White and Mr. Hicks went to the Secretary's office to discuss the matter with him. General Meyers described the planes in question and the terms under which they had been assigned to the United States and American planes had been assigned to Britain. Mr. White then added a brief review of Britain's dollar position, and concluded that there did not seem to be need at this time to make further arrangements designed to increase Britain's dollar balances.

The Secretary asked whether, if this opportunity to increase Britain's dollar balances should be passed up, there would be any other opportunities in the future? Mr. Hicks replied that under the terms of the reciprocal aid negotiations now being completed, the United States would receive from
various British countries a substantial amount of military materials and services as reverse Lend-Lease, and that, if it should appear desirable in the future further to increase Britain's dollar balances, changes in the reciprocal aid procedures could be made so that the United States might pay dollars for all or any portion of materials now being received as reverse Lend-Lease.

The Secretary then called Sir Frederick Phillips into the conference. The Secretary explained to Sir Frederick that the manner of paying for British planes to go to the United States under the terms of the Arnold-Portal-Towers Agreement was under discussion, and that the possibility of paying for the planes with a credit on Britain's Lend-Lease account was being considered.

Sir Frederick replied that the British would prefer to receive dollars. Sir Frederick pointed out that in the President's fifth report under the Lend-Lease Act it was stated that all of the United Nations should be expected to devote the same portion of their income to the common war effort, and Sir Frederick said that at the present time the British were using in the war effort a much larger portion of their national income than was the United States. Consequently, paying for these planes in cash would be a convenient means of increasing the contribution of the United States and reducing the contribution of Britain. Sir Frederick then left the conference.

The Secretary closed the meeting with the statement that he was unable to make a decision without obtaining the President's view upon the matter. He said that he wanted to make certain that an arrangement of this sort at this time would not interfere with full cooperation among the United Nations.
Hello.

Samuel Rosenman: Hello.

Henry Jr: Sam?

R: Hello, Henry.

Henry Jr: How are you?

R: How are you?

Henry Jr: God, you swore all my boys to secrecy, what you're talking about over there....

R: Yeah.

Henry Jr: ....and I read the whole thing to the last detail in this morning's Times.

R: Did you? (Laughs)

Henry Jr: Have you seen it?

R: No, where is it? (Laughs)

Henry Jr: Well, everything is there.

R: Where - what page?

Henry Jr: Well, I don't - I get clippings. It says, "President starts wage curbs study," and "Judge Rosenman is in charge of it."

R: Really?

Henry Jr: Yeah.

R: That's pleasant.

Henry Jr: And then it goes on, and "Under this proposal, as well as that framed by C. David Ginsburg, General Counsel, it will be possible..." and so forth - I mean - it will - where do you suppose....
R: That's terrible.
HMJr: What? It sounds - the whole - the whole thing - I mean, if I had to guess I'd say it looks as though Ginsburg had talked.
R: Well, that's what I should say.
HMJr: Well, have - you haven't....
R: You don't know what page that's on so I can find it here. I haven't read the Times.
HMJr: No, I - but it's a big headline: "President starts wage - wage curbs study."
R: That's terrible.
HMJr: What?
R: That's terrible.
HMJr: Because I - when I heard that....
R: Is it a Stark article?
HMJr: What?
R: Is it written by Stark?
HMJr: It - no, it - it - the unusual thing, it just says Washington. It doesn't say Washington Times Bureau or doesn't give any name.
R: Uh huh.
HMJr: Hello?
R: Yeah, because a Stark called me up, and I - I said I was out.
HMJr: Yeah.
R: I guessed that's what he wanted, because he writes these stories.
HMJr: Well, I'd be curious if you find out, because I think it's outrageous.
R: So do I.

HMJr: What?

R: Terrible.

HMJr: It's the whole thing down to the last detail.

R: Well, I think - I think it may have been Leon himself because he - he was very hot last night. He called me up. He's coming in to see the President today. He wants to tell him that he disagrees with the whole thing. But last night he called me after Ginsburg spoke with him....

HMJr: Yeah.

R: ....and he was - oh, very hot about it. He said he didn't want anything to do with it, and so forth.

HMJr: Well....

R: I - I - I sort of think it was he.

HMJr: It's this - it says, "President Roosevelt was reported today to have requested Supreme Court Justice Samuel I. Rosenman...."

R: I have it.

HMJr: What?

R: I have it here.

HMJr: You got it?

R: Yeah.

HMJr: I think it's terrible that you can't - I mean, because I - I bet a thousand dollars to one it wasn't my boys.

R: Oh, I - I'm sure it wasn't. "Treasury had formed a........problem...." Yeah, it's certain it - it must have been either Ginsburg or Henderson himself.
Well, I - I - the way - if you read it, I think it - because it favors them, so I think it would - it doesn't mention B. Bernstein or Paul or anybody by name....

R: Yeah.

HMJr: ....but just Ginsburg.

R: Well, I'm pretty sure it is....

HMJr: Are you reading it?

R: I got it here, yeah. Yeah, I don't know where - it must - must have been he. I think - I think I'll ask him flatly.

HMJr: Why don't you ask him?

R: Yeah.

HMJr: Let me know what he says.

R: Yeah, it's terrible.

HMJr: Because - Bernstein when he called me up, he said, "Now Judge Rosenman said that under no circumstances must anybody know about it."

R: Yes.

HMJr: So I said, "Well, Bernie, when you've talked to Paul and White--they're the only two--impress on them this is the President of the United State's business, and there just can't be any leaks."

R: Yeah, well....

HMJr: Well, that was last night at seven.

R: And there it is in the paper.

HMJr: What?

R: And here it is this morning.
In the whole detail.

Yeah.

Well, you know Henderson has done that kind of thing before when he didn't like it.

Well, I - I imagine he's done it this time.

Yes, well, if - if....

He's here now. I'm going to ask him. I mean he's in the building now.

Yeah. Okay.

All right, I'll let you....

I'm glad I called you.

Yeah. I'm glad you did too, or I - I probably wouldn't have seen it.

I - no other paper has it.

Well, it must have been Stark....

Yeah.

....who - who probably got a hold of Leon.

Yeah.

Because Stark usually writes these stories. He tried to reach me here, and I stayed - I said I was out.

Okay.

All right, thanks, Henry.

All right.

Goodbye.
INFLATION

Present:
    Mr. White
    Mr. Paul
    Mr. Cairns
    Mr. B. Bernstein

H.M.JR: Well, after Bernstein told me that that whole thing was a secret, did you read the New York Times?

MR. BERNSTEIN: I have it with me.

H.M.JR: Did they miss it?

MR. BERNSTEIN: They certainly had the story on the basis of what had been considered up to yesterday's meeting, but what took place at yesterday's meeting is not out yet.

H.M.JR: I called up one Judge Rosenman and drew his attention to the story and said you were even afraid to tell me what happened over there - which was a slight exaggeration - and cautioned everybody that this was the President's business and how I had cautioned you all. He hadn't read the story. He says, "There is only one place it could come from." He says, "That is from Leon himself", and he said that Leon is over at the White House and he would immediately ask Leon point-blank if he had told this to the New York Times.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Ginsburg called me a little while ago. He was quite upset about it. He wondered whether the Treasury had given it out. I said, "Absolutely not." He began to wonder whether Justice had.
H.M.JR: Why didn't he wonder whether he had given it out?

MR. BERNESTEIN: I think the story as it reads is one more favorable to the Treasury than it is to OPA.

MR. PAUL: Yes, that is true.

MR. CAIRNS: It puts the Treasury in a favorable light.

H.M.JR: Well, but it talks of - it doesn't mention any of us by name. Well, I made the flat statement to Rosenman that it didn't come out of here. He was going to ask--

MR. PAUL: It certainly didn't.

H.M.JR: Nobody here has seen any reporters, have they?

MR. PAUL: In fact, up to yesterday morning--

H.M.JR: Has anybody talked to any reporters?

MR. CAIRNS: Not me.

MR. PAUL: Nobody.

MR. ODEGARD: About this Executive Order?

H.M.JR: No.

MR. ODEGARD: No.

MR. WHITE: Not to anybody.

H.M.JR: The reason that Rosenman said he thought - Stark tried to talk to him and he wouldn't talk to him. The reason he thought it was Henderson is that Henderson is so opposed to this. Henderson, he thought, went to the press with it.
MR. CAIRNS: Didn't you say the Post had the story, too?

MR. BEINSTEIN: The Post also had the story. I would be surprised if Henderson gave it out.

MR. PAUL: Might it not have come from the White House?

H.M. JR: I always say the best leaks come from the White House. (Laughter) I don't know. I am not upset about it, but I should think that the President would be.

MR. PAUL: Well, we have been talking it over for some time and we are pretty disturbed about the whole thing, from other merits apart from publicity.

H.M. JR: Well, I am not disturbed because by the time the President consults everybody in town it will be watered down plenty.

MR. PAUL: The question uppermost in our minds is what we can do to impress the unwisdom of it on the President and to perhaps develop it - in the first place, to impress the unwisdom and the lack of need for immediate action, and second, perhaps to develop a counter-movement in favor of rationing - general rationing, or something of that sort.

H.M. JR: "So far as could be ascertained tonight organized labor's spokesmen are inclined toward the Treasury proposal because it falls in line with its idea of having wages up before the War Labor Board."

(Read from New York Times)

MR. PAUL: We should say - that is just what they took out yesterday, that is just what they took out.

H.M. JR: What you said about the rationing, that was the only thing that I could think of as a possible
substitute, if the President wanted to jump to that.

MR. WHITE: I think there are two points that need to be made about that. One is that there is not any urgency for action within the next couple of months.

H.M.JR: On what?

MR. WHITE: On the President's part - on inflation.

H.M.JR: I disagree with you wholly. I think it is a matter of days to get this thing straightened out, Harry - what is happening in the packing industry with pork products - I mean that is as good an example as any.

MR. WHITE: How is the fixing of wages going to affect that?

H.M.JR: That is just part. I am thinking of agricultural prices, this whole question of subsidies. I mean you have got the thing blowing up through the ceiling on prices. I am not thinking of wages.

MR. WHITE: It is very far from that except in one or two instances.

H.M.JR: I disagree with you.

MR. BERNSTEIN: To get the whole picture on that, Henderson apparently feels so strongly opposed to what the President is thinking about on the fixing of agricultural prices, or at least that is what Ginsburg said yesterday afternoon, that he is going to argue with the President this morning and try and stop any action on agricultural prices, even if that means postponing action on agricultural prices and on wages until some time after the election. He doesn't think, apparently, that that will be too troublesome a matter.
H.M. JR: Well, the only thing, you people know - I mean this (indicating clipping) came in to me from the President. It is dated July 27. The National Grange, Worcester, Mass., at which Mr. Peter Odegard was present - he may have even written this - this is what is underlined in red: "First some advance in prices is a natural accompaniment of the great destruction of wealth as a result of war. This advance is not inflation. It is an unavoidable cost which all must bear."

I think, gents - all I sent for you for was to tell you of my conversation with Rosenman, and I think we had better just sit tight because the Judge will call me.

MR. PAUL: No--

H.M. JR: Wait a minute. He will call me after Henderson sees the President. As far as I am concerned, I don't see what anybody else can do.

MR. PAUL: Well, the only thing - I am not opposing that, of course, but I do feel that we ought to make up our minds, one, on the question of whether there is an urgency, and second, that we ought to rush the general rationing program.

H.M. JR: Yes, well--

MR. PAUL: Most of the boys here, all except Roy Blough, seem to feel there isn't an urgency.

H.M. JR: Is not?

MR. PAUL: Yes, Harry feels very strongly that way.
H.M. JR: Well, I totally disagree with Harry.

MR. WHITE: It depends on what you mean - your urgency for what.

H.M. JR: I don't see how it could be any worse.

MR. WHITE: There may be a political urgency. There certainly isn't any economic urgency. Look at the price data and the cost of living. There is no sense in that being called an urgency. There will be an increasing need, of course, and something should be done to get us ready for the spring of next year - something should be done before then. It certainly doesn't have to be done in the next month or two.

H.M. JR: Harry, don't, just because the thing isn't the way you like it, let that influence you.

MR. WHITE: That goes both ways - I mean I don't think the facts influence me any less than they do you; and the facts seem to bear out what I say, that the cost of living has been rising extremely slowly, the wholesale prices have not been rising, and it will become increasingly more difficult and there will be increasingly more pressure. But the situation is in no sense becoming serious from a price point of view until either late this fall or until next spring.

H.M. JR: But, Harry, the price thing - it is the workability of the thing, and the thing is breaking up all over.

MR. WHITE: I think that is why we ought to substitute something else.

H.M. JR: Well, anyway, I haven't got the time now, between now and one o'clock, and I am not so inclined anyway, to go into a long, lengthy discussion on this thing. Just talking for myself, I am going to wait now until I hear from across the street.
MR. PAUL: I think we can develop in the meantime all we can on the rationing.

MR. WHITE: We are doing that.

H.M.JR.: They were told yesterday to go ahead.

MR. PAUL: At the bottom of page two it indicates that Judge Rosenman will want a memorandum outlining the defects in the President's wage proposal.

H.M.JR.: That is all right. You can go ahead on that.

MR. PAUL: Moreover, he apparently does not want that memorandum just at this time.

H.M.JR.: Well, anyway, gents--

MR. WHITE: I was hoping you would throw your weight towards stopping this thing, which I think only hurts matters.

H.M.JR.: Harry, you can't - I don't want to argue about it.

MR. WHITE: I am not arguing with you, just expressing a hope.

H.M.JR.: Well, I am not going to between now and until I hear from across the street, until I hear what is going to happen I am not going - I mean I know my President. There is a time to do everything, and this is not the time for me to stick my oar in.

MR. PAUL: Suppose we get up a memorandum to be used when you want to use it.

H.M.JR.: On the labor--
MR. PAUL: On the defects in the whole scheme.

H.M. JR: I thought you would have one by now.

MR. PAUL: By now?

H.M. JR: Sure. After all, Bernie got through at seven last night and he had nothing to do but eat supper and go to bed. (Laughter) Sure, Harry wants me to jump over on the President's neck, and I haven't even got a memorandum. You had better first get me a memorandum.

MR. PAUL: All right.

H.M. JR: I am glad, I am just sitting here waiting for the memorandum.

MR. PAUL: All right, at least we can work on that in the meantime.

H.M. JR: That will give Harry something to do. All right, the Judge will call me.
July 28, 1942.
12:24 p.m.

HMJr: Hello.

Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt: Hello, Henry.

HMJr: Yes, Eleanor.

R: Henry, I don't want to bother you, but do you remember I told you some days ago that I didn't think the presentation was awfully good at the theatre of the War Bonds and Stamps?

HMJr: Yes.

R: Well, now I told Elinor this this morning....

HMJr: Yes.

R: ....because yesterday Monroe Robinson, my cousin who's been doing some speaking for you in New York....

HMJr: Yes.

R: .....on the New York group, brought two men - I - I mean - he didn't tell me ahead what they were coming about and it's none of my business, do you see? He brought two men who are - one of them is on your staff, both of them are working, and they said they'd been to Lowell Mellett because they were deeply troubled that they weren't getting the right thing either in the movies or on this stage thing or even on the radio sometimes in the sort of "spot" things, and they hadn't got much satisfaction with Lowell Mellett because he had a six-reel picture and they only wanted a three, and they were ready to do it themselves, but - in fact, they had everything all set but they just couldn't seem to get anywhere. So I spoke to Elinor this morning. She told me to call Mr. Duffus....

HMJr: Yes.
July 28, 1942.
12:24 p.m.

Hello.

Hello, Henry.

Yes, Eleanor.

Henry, I don't want to bother you, but do you remember I told you some days ago that I didn't think the presentation was awfully good at the theatre of the War Bonds and Stamps?

Yes.

Well, now I told Elinor this morning....

Yes.

....because yesterday Monroe Robinson, my cousin who's been doing some speaking for you in New York....

Yes.

....on the New York group, brought two men - I - I mean - he didn't tell me ahead what they were coming about and it's none of my business, do you see? He brought two men who are - one of them is on your staff, both of them are working, and they said they'd been to Lowell Mellett because they were deeply troubled that they weren't getting the right thing either in the movies or on this stage thing or even on the radio sometimes in the sort of "spot" things, and they hadn't got much satisfaction with Lowell Mellett because he had a six-reel picture and they only wanted a three, and they were ready to do it themselves, but - in fact, they had everything all set but they just couldn't seem to get anywhere. So I spoke to Elinor this morning. She told me to call Mr. Duffus....

Yes.
R: ....which I did, and he says he's in touch with them all the time, and I'm wondering if I - if really what - what they wanted perhaps was something that - that he can't do, which is perhaps to get a little pressure on Mr. Mellett to talk with them and him and see if they have a good idea, and if what they think is something that ought to be pushed. Now is that something you can suggest that Mr. Duffus might do....

HMJr: Well....

R: ....or do you suppose it would be bad?

HMJr: I don't - who were the two men, Eleanor, that....

R: I'll tell you, Bruce Smith of your War Savings Staff....

HMJr: Yes.

R: ....and - a Mr. Max Cohen, who represents the motion picture industry, but told me very carefully that he didn't want to come as representing the industry. He wanted to come just as an interested person.

HMJr: Yes.

R: Now they both seem to be working at the New York office. Mr. Bruce Smith is War Savings Staff, 1270 Sixth Avenue....

HMJr: Yes.

R: ....and the other man is 214 West 42nd. Now the only reason I called you was that I thought perhaps--Mr. Duffus said he talked to them all the time--perhaps I was just doing a stupid thing and I'd better leave it in your hands rather than get - ....

HMJr: Well, let me find out, Eleanor. I'm - I'm not familiar with the thing that - that they're complaining about, you see?

R: Well, would you like me to send you over their names and....
I've written it down.
You have.
Bruce Smith and Max Cohen.
Yes.
And - now I've written it down, and I'll....
And it's none of my business. Monroe Robinson just asked if he could bring them in, and they told me their unhappiness because they thought they weren't getting enough contributions....

...and they thought it was because on these things they weren't getting the right presentation, but they'd been to Lowell Mellett and hadn't got anywhere.
Well, personally, I don't - I don't like this talking in the theatres at all.
And - I had had - I told them how I had felt about it....
Yeah.
...do you see, and they agreed, but they said also that they felt something better could be done with the movies....
Well....
...and....
...let me - could I leave it this way, if - if I need your help, I'll call you back.
Well, you don't need my help because if you just let them know either that you want them to come down and talk or that you don't or Mr. Duffus doesn't....
Yes.
R: ....but I just had an awful feeling - oh, goodness, Mr. Duffus says that they must be crazy because they - he sees - he's in touch with them all the time, and I thought, oh, heavens, I've probably done....

HMJr: No, no, no, you've....

R: ....something wrong, you see?

HMJr: You can do no wrong.

R: (Laughs) Well, it's only that they probably said something they were troubled about, do you see, and just felt that as far as Lowell Mellett went, they hadn't got anywhere.

HMJr: Well, that's perfectly possible. That's happened to me too.

R: (Laughs) Well, if there's anything I can do, you let me know, but....

HMJr: I will. I'll....

R: ....this - I know this isn't my business, and I'm....(laughs)

HMJr: That's all right, that's - I'm delighted. I'm delighted. Always delighted to get suggestions from you and....

R: All right. (Laughs)

HMJr: ....now please always feel any time, anything, I don't care what it is.

R: All right. (Laughs)

HMJr: I'm always glad....

R: Had a nice meeting with Elinor and Miss Elliott this morning.

HMJr: Well, don't - don't ever feel that it's not your business.
R: All right. (Laughs) Well, goodbye. (Laughs)

HMJr: Goodbye.
Operator: Go ahead.


C. Glass: How do you do, Mr. Secretary?

HM Jr: Hello Senator, how are you?

C. Glass: I'm just fairly well.

HM Jr: Oh, I'm sorry.

C. Glass: Mr. Secretary...

HM Jr: Yassah!

C. Glass: The people in Roanoke want to know if it would be just as convenient to you to come there on the twenty-third as on the twentieth.

HM Jr: Twenty-third?

C. Glass: Yes, because they say they can get a tremendous crowd on the twenty-third.

HM Jr: That's the twenty-third of uh . . .

C. Glass: July, of August.

HM Jr: August. Instead of what?

C. Glass: Instead of the twentieth.

HM Jr: Twentieth. That's a Sunday, isn't it?

C. Glass: Yes. They say they can get you 25,000 people then.

HM Jr: I see. Well now, I couldn't give you an answer over the phone, but how soon would they have to know?

C. Glass: Well, they want to know right away, because they want to make the announcement.

HM Jr: Well, I could let them know in twenty-four hours.
C. Glass: All right, if you'll let me know in twenty-four hours I'll communicate with them.

HMJr: Sunday's kind of hard for me. I try not to work on Sundays.

C. Glass: Well, I think that's right, but this is an exception.

HMJr: Yeah. Yeah. Well, let me look into it, Senator, and I'll let you know, not later than tomorrow.

C. Glass: All right, thank you. I hope you may be able to go.

HMJr: Now take care of yourself.

C. Glass: Thank you. I'm trying to do that.

HMJr: Do that. Thank you.

C. Glass: Goodbye.

HMJr: Goodbye.
July 28, 1942.
3:12 p.m.

Hello.
Go ahead.
Hello.
Hello, Harry.
Henry, I've heard nothing from Hull....
Yeah.
...since - in regard to that currency matter....
Yeah.
...since I received Herbert Feis's memorandum in answer to you in....
Yes.
...not in any criticism of yours, but in answer to it.
Yes.
And I wrote back to him that I'd received it, and I concurred with his views. It seemed to be all right. Now the only - only - as I understood them, the situation was this, that we thought that your suggestion of having a currency ultimately issued....
Yes.
...in the name of the occupied country....
Yes.
...would be the best one....
I see.
S: ....or in the - in the - or by a central bank after things got stabled down.

HMJr: Yes.

S: In the beginning, I think - I know Feis told me that he felt that there was a little--just the way I did--that there was a little preference on his part for our own money without its being marked.

HMJr: Yes.

S: The - as he put it, the - there were advantages and disadvantages to marking. He thought rather that the balance was a little on the side of the disadvantages.

HMJr: Well, what's the next move, Harry?

S: Well, I should say, Henry, the next move - move is to occupy a country.

HMJr: (Laughs)

S: I don't see that that's very - very imminent just now.

HMJr: You don't.

S: I don't....

HMJr: Not with all these people returning, it's not....

S: (Laughs) Well, I - not enough to print any special money, I should think.

HMJr: Well, after all you're - you've got a front seat on that show, and I don't.

S: Well, you're - if - if that's - I - I don't know whose move it is next - I mean....

HMJr: On this thing?

S: On this thing.
Well, I'll make it simple for you - hello?

S: Yes.

HMJr: I mean, I'm not going to stand on any ceremony, and if you'd like to have a - it's - a meeting at your office - it's military - I'd be glad to come over there.

S: Well, there's no - no - it's very kind of you. I don't think there's any occasion for a meeting.

HMJr: You don't.

S: Don't think anybody - I mean I've read your memorandum and I thought it was excellent.

HMJr: Yes.

S: I - and I called up Hull and he said, "See Feis."

HMJr: Yeah.

S: I did so. Feis seemed to - and I seemed to agree.

HMJr: Yeah.

S: Now I've reported that to you - I can put it in writing if you like.

HMJr: Well, I think....

S: If Hull has anything different, it's up to him to say so.

HMJr: Well....

S: But....

HMJr: If - if you didn't mind putting it in writing, and then I could simply have it and take it up with our people....
S: We were all against - as I understood it, we were all rather against joining the British plans.

HMJr: Yes, but - so that - I sort of feel, right or wrong, that it's our responsibility to be ready when you call on us.

S: Yes, yes.

HMJr: That's all.

S: Well....

HMJr: When you call on us, we'd like to be ready.

S: I - we'll try to be.

HMJr: Yes.

S: And - I should think there's a regular - what I mean is there's a regular system of paying our troops, now for instance in Great Britain....

HMJr: I know. There's no trouble with that.

S: ...and we're paying them in our currency, isn't it?

HMJr: Oh, yes - our - our men work very closely with yours and we have no troubles and....

S: Yes.

HMJr: ....there are no troubles on that.

S: Well, then the - I mean if they should move into some other country, why, we'd just continue that for the present, at any rate, until the thing got in shape to follow one of the other steps that you suggest.

HMJr: Yeah. Well, if you didn't mind dropping me a little note, and then if I don't hear from Hull I can call him up and say....

S: All right.
..."I've heard from Stimson, now what about you?"

S: All right, I'll send you a little note.

HMJr: Is that all right?

S: That's all right.

HMJr: Well, thank you for....

S: I'm - I'm very much obliged to you for bringing it up.

HMJr: Well, thank you.

S: All right. Goodbye.

HMJr: Goodbye.
July 28, 1942.
4:05 p.m.

Operator: Go ahead.
HMJr: Hello.
General Myers: Hello.
HMJr: Yes, General.
M: I proposed a meeting this morning on -
I have made - carried out the thought and
made arrangements with the British in the
manner that I spoke to you about this
morning.
HMJr: Well, then there's no hurry as far as I'm
concerned.
M: That's right, so you can - don't have to push
it.
HMJr: Good for you. I congratulate you.
M: All right, sir.
HMJr: Thank you.
M: You're welcome.
July 26, 1942.
4:12 p.m.

HMJr: Hello.
Operator: Mr. Babcock.
HMJr: Hello, hello.
H. E. Babcock: Henry?
HMJr: Ed?
B: Yes, it's awfully nice of you to call me.
HMJr: That's all right.
B: I thought it might be the best way to save your time, to wire you. You saw - or know that the President had Goss up to see him.
HMJr: Yeah.
B: I wanted to say this, that - you know the Farm Bureau has not had the support of the other farm organizations in a good many of the positions it's taken.
HMJr: I hope so.
B: Well, I wanted you to take my word that it hasn't.
HMJr: Yeah.
B: We've had to be courteous and avoid a break as much as possible and go along.
HMJr: Yeah.
B: Now this man Goss is - you know him....
HMJr: Yeah.
B: ....but he's got character.
HMJr: Yeah.
B: The President has asked him and Claude Wickard to do some figuring for him on the way these price control ceilings are operating.
HM Jr: That's right.

B: And the National Council is going to back Goss and Claude and we're interested in helping the President and in doing a constructive job. I just wanted to get that message to him.

HM Jr: Good.

B: Now we would like to draft - to borrow Bill Myers for a few days to put at work on the job if you could release him.

HM Jr: Where is he?

B: Well, I - he's around somewheres on Treasury assignment.

HM Jr: I - I - last I heard was he's in Iowa.

B: Well, I know he's going to be here Saturday, because his daughter's going to be married.

HM Jr: Well, I'll give him off Saturday.

B: Huh! Well, you mean Saturday evening? How about Sunday?

HM Jr: (Laughs) Well, that's big-hearted of me, isn't it?

B: (Laughs)

HM Jr: What do you want it - would....

B: Well, I would like to ask Bill Saturday when he's home for the wedding if he would go down to Washington and check in with Goss and possibly Wickard.

HM Jr: Sure, that's all right. He doesn't - just tell him when he comes down, check in if he - you know it may - it may be an hour, it might be two days.

B: Yes, it might be three or four.

HM Jr: Well, this is - if - if it will keep till next week, it's all right.
B: Well....

HMJr: I don't know whether it's going to keep that long.

B: Yeah, that's the thing - the other thing that worries me, I - I - I hope that the President won't go off - you're farmer enough to know that there's two sides to some of these questions.

HMJr: Yeah, well, all I can say is he's studying it awfully hard. He - in fact, he sent me a memo - evidently God blessed him, he sent me that today.

B: Yeah, well....

HMJr: So he still thinks of me as a farmer.

B: Well, I - I've thought - I hoped he did. I'd rather take you as a farmer than some other real farmers down there.

HMJr: What do you mean? I'm a real farmer.

B: I said I'd rather - did I - I admitted that, I....

HMJr: (Laughs) Well, anyway, you can tell Bill if he's - that I say when he comes down if he wants to put in a little time on this thing, it's okay with me.

B: And - I - if you get a chance I would like to have ....

HMJr: Well, have.....

B: ....you say - what's that?

HMJr: Well, then when he comes down if he has some ideas, let him bring them in and show them to me.

B: Yes, and I'd like to have you say to the President that he - we don't want - we're not all in the same group and that we're all - that there's some of us trying to be constructively helpful.

HMJr: Okay. He'd be glad to hear that.
B: Yeah, okay.

HMJr: Thank you.

B: Thank you, Henry. Goodbye.
Hello.

I was sorry I couldn't get to that meeting yesterday.

It's just as well.

I just wanted to get from you how you - how you think it went and how did - are you making progress on it?

Well, your General Myers, as you know, is a very able citizen....

Yes.

...and he just called me up two minutes ago, and told me that he's arranged with the English that they can go ahead on their swap with the planes....

Yes.

...irrespective of any financial arrangement.

In other words, you go right ahead and accept the planes for the British.

Yeah....

Uh huh.

...and take the planes from the British.

Yes.

Now the way I feel is this, I had Sir Frederick in, and I don't know whether it's the job of somebody in the United States Army to keep him posted what's going on, but he - but nobody told him anything, see?

Yes.

And, naturally, his back is kind of up.
M: Yeah.

HMJr: Now the - the thing that bothers me, quite frankly, is this, how important is it not to irritate the British at this time, you see?

M: Yes, yes.

HMJr: See what I mean?

M: Yes.

HMJr: Now I mean, I don't know how much pressure we're putting on them or not.

M: Yeah.

HMJr: And that's what I'd like to find out.

M: Of course, an awful lot's going on, and - I suppose that - or you can get the best answer to that from the White House. I....

HMJr: That's what - that's what I want to ask the President.

M: Yeah, that's where it all centers up, and....

HMJr: I want to ask perfectly frankly.

M: Yeah.

HMJr: I - I lean a little bit right now towards that we pay them for those planes....

M: Yeah.

HMJr: ....but the - with the arrangement that Myers has made, there's no hurry now.

M: Yeah, I'd - we're - in other words, we're ready to go anyway you decide, in substance.

HMJr: In other words, you can go ahead and take these planes....

M: Yeah.
HMJr: ....from the arrangements that he's made....
M: Yeah.
HMJr: ....and we could take a couple of months to chew over it.
M: All right, and you can talk to the President in the meantime.
HMJr: See? So there's the thing that I gathered, that you fellows wanted the decision to get the planes, and Myers has put over a deal that you can get them.
M: That's good, good.
HMJr: So there's no hurry.
M: All right, fine.
HMJr: But I do lean right now a little bit towards paying them.
M: Uh huh, uh huh. Well, if we can - I think - I think we can work out anything that it turns out that it's best to - best to do.
HMJr: But when you put up a proposition to me, I always look at it at both sides.
M: I know you do. (laughs)
HMJr: (laughs)
M: Well, some day I may get you to overcome that attitude. (laughs)
HMJr: You're only looking after the Army end, and that's what you're hired for.
M: (laughs) All right.
HMJr: All right.
M: Goodbye.
July 28, 1942.
5:28 p.m.

HMJr: Hello.
Operator: Be right on.
Samuel Rosenman: Hello.
HMJr: Hello.
R: Yeah.
HMJr: Henry talking.
R: Hello. Sam Rosenman.
HMJr: Howjado?
R: All right.
HMJr: They said you wanted me earlier in the afternoon.
R: Yeah, I wanted to tell you what I - after I talked with these people, it - of course it's awfully hard to tell where a story leaks.
HMJr: Yeah.
R: But - their - their claim is that it probably leaked from Bernstein's sis... - wife. You know Bernstein has a wife who works over for a fellow by the name of Fowler, who works in McNutt's outfit....
HMJr: Yeah.
R: ....and they insist that there have been other leaks through there, and, of course, I know that - that Bernstein's wife knows all about this. It was somebody who knew what was in the Treasury plan a hundred percent....
HMJr: Yeah.
R: ....and the only people who knew, besides you people and Henderson and Ginsburg, was his wife--I know she was in on it, because she helped draw up a couple of things--and Fowler over in Man Power. They say that Fowler has leaked a lot of things. There seems to be a lot of feeling about Fowler and Bernice, who's Bernstein's wife.

HMJr: Oh, really?

R: I - I can't - I can't tell who - who leaked it, but I - I don't think either Bernstein or Ginsburg or Henderson did, and it - it may have been Fowler. But I - I don't know - I....

HMJr: No.

R: It's silly to try to chase it around.

HMJr: Yeah.

R: But I know that Bernstein has a wife who - who is....

HMJr: Yeah.

R: .....a lawyer. Do you - you know about that?

HMJr: Yeah, I don't know where she works. I know....

R: She works over with Fowler in Man Power, and there's a big scrap between Man Power and O. P. A....

HMJr: I see.

R: .....and - I know it's - life is too short really to bother except....

HMJr: Yeah.

R: .....it's a damn shame that....

HMJr: Yeah.

R: .....these things break.

HMJr: Yeah.
R: Yeah, this is a hell of a job I got. Nobody - nobody - I can't get anybody in agreement.

HMJr: I see. Well, where are....

R: But I'll keep on working.

HMJr: ....where are we tonight?

R: Well, we're no place, and....

HMJr: But we're no place. What?

R: ....I'm still talking with people. I - the reason I couldn't call you was I had Davis and the War Labor Board here for about two hours, and also the Parity fellow here from Agriculture. What's his name? Tully?

HMJr: Yeah.

R: I've been talking with him for an hour or so.

HMJr: I see. Is there any - of course, the thing that, as you know - that our boys are worried about is that - the reaction on labor.

R: That what?

HMJr: The reaction on labor.

R: I know it, and the War Labor Board is very strong on that.

HMJr: You mean - which way?

R: Well, they - they think - they think that if you freeze labor without giving them any - anything like their formula, you know....

HMJr: Yeah.

R: ....that there'd be a terrible reaction.

HMJr: I see. Well....
R: The Boss is going to - you see, unfortunately, during these last three weeks no one has really been collecting these things for the President. He's just been talking to different people....

HMJr: Oh.

R: ....hit-or-miss, you know, and....

HMJr: I know.

R: ....you know what's happened.

HMJr: I know. Well, thanks for calling anyway.

R: All right. We - we'll keep at it. I'll be around - oh, this will take several days, I think.

HMJr: Right. Let me hear from you.

R: Yeah.

HMJr: Goodnight.

R: Goodnight.
MEMORANDUM

To: Secretary Morgenthau

From: Mr. Paul

July 28, 1942

This memorandum will briefly recount my luncheon conference with Senator George today.

(1) Sales Tax. The Senator said that he doubted if the sales tax would pass the Senate or the House, and that he was inclined to abandon the idea of the sales tax unless it should be advocated by the President or unless the President should say that he would like to have the sales tax to make up the deficit in the yield of the revenue act. I told Senator George that I did not believe that the President would do so, and the Senator agreed. Senator George asked me what would be the Treasury's attitude with respect to the sales tax if we fell short of the $8.7 billion, and I told him we would stick to our opposition.

(2) The Estate and Gift Tax. The Senator said he was sure we could not get any increase in the estate tax rates since none had been voted by the House. He thought we might have a chance to get through our recommendation as to the $5,000 annual exclusion per donor, as distinguished from the $3,000 exclusion per donee voted by the House. I am getting figures on the yield of this item. I am also getting figures on the yield of a reduction of the $60,000 estate tax exemption to $50,000, which Senator George thought might be a possible way of increasing the estate tax rates.

(3) Excise Taxes. We discussed a number of excise taxes. Senator George seemed to be in favor of an increased tax on fermented malt liquors and transportation of persons. He did not oppose a tax
on carbonated soft drinks, candy and chewing gum, and we discussed the technical problems of these taxes. He did not oppose a tax on gasoline since price is not a consideration in respect to gasoline, and this subject remains open. The Senator did not express himself with respect to the cigarette tax.

(4) Tax Exempt Interest. Since we are beaten on the taxation of outstanding State securities, I handed the Senator a confidential copy of the so-called Seltzer Plan for a credit of one-half of one percent. We discussed this idea briefly and the Senator said he would read this document with the idea of questioning Tobin when he appears to testify.

(5) Individual and Corporate Taxes. Senator George thought that our post-war excess profits tax rebate would fall standing by itself. He said that it might possibly be adopted in combination with the scheme of individual and corporate taxation, involving higher rates, debt relief, compulsory savings, and medical expense relief (for individuals). He mentioned the rates of 95 percent excess profits tax, 55 percent corporate, normal and surtax, and our rate schedules for individuals. I am going to try to develop some concrete plan along these lines and submit it to you.
MEMORANDUM for the Secretary:

In the absence of Commissioner Helvering, it is my view that I would be remiss in my responsibility to him and to you if I should fail to direct your attention once more to certain basic objections and reasons why it is felt a withholding tax should not be enacted at this time.

In a memorandum dated April 20, 1942, this Bureau called attention to the fact that there was no immediate occasion for the enactment of a withholding tax from a revenue-raising viewpoint inasmuch as the proposed law is not expected to increase internal revenue collections. To the contrary, there are reasons to believe that a loss of revenue will result due to the inescapable fact that some employers will abandon their businesses or go into bankruptcy after expending the monies which have been withheld from employees for tax purposes. Under the self-declaration system now in effect, the Bureau of Internal Revenue over a twenty-one year period has collected more than 82 billion dollars. The amounts abated annually as uncollectible have averaged only about $11,600,000. This record eloquently demonstrates the success of the voluntary or self-declaration system which we now propose to partially abandon for what may be called an involuntary process. The past experience of the Bureau fails to demonstrate the need for such a revolutionary change as is being proposed.

There can be no question that a withholding tax will entail an additional burden, additional expense and great inconvenience upon industry. It has been estimated that the additional cost to industry by reason of the withholding tax on wages and salaries will exceed 50 million dollars annually. Representatives of the American Bankers Association have estimated that the cost to the banking system alone by reason of a withholding tax on dividends and bond interest will approximate 17 million dollars.
A statement has been made to the Finance Committee of the Senate that the Internal Revenue Service would require from 8,000 to 10,000 additional employees by reason of the requirements of the Revenue Act of 1942. This is an understatement. The withholding tax alone would require at least 12,000 additional employees in the sixty-four internal revenue collection districts. In addition, more than 5,000 employees will be required to perform the necessary functions in connection with the additional individual income tax returns that will be filed. Further additions to the force will be necessary depending upon what relief measures are finally enacted into law. The Internal Revenue Service is faced with the recruitment of over 5,000 employees even though the withholding tax is not enacted into law. Aside from the administrative costs incident to the hiring of over 12,000 employees to administer a withholding tax, there is great doubt whether the Bureau can successfully recruit as many as 17,000 qualified employees under present day conditions. In addition to the cost to the Internal Revenue Service other Governmental agencies, including various branches of the Treasury Department, must incur considerable additional expense if the withholding plan is enacted into law.

The proposed law as it relates to a withholding tax implies that the Bureau will make quick refunds in those cases where the amounts withheld are in excess of the liabilities disclosed on the returns. The Bureau can probably make a considerable number of such refunds within a period of several months after the returns are filed. However, the refunds will probably be so numerous that it will be impossible to complete this task within the short period of six months. In all the conferences on the subject, the representatives of the Bureau have called attention to the fact that the period within which refunds can be made will cover several months after the returns for a given year are filed. In some cases, an indeterminable period would be necessary in which to make refunds, depending upon the difficulties involved in the substantiation of the taxpayers' claims.
Memorandum for the Secretary.

The recent survey conducted by selected men from both the Treasury and the Bureau, supplemented by questionnaires directed to various business firms, indicates that the industrial public can with considerable difficulty and inconvenience discharge its responsibility in withholding a portion of the income tax at the source. The survey disclosed that there are a number of business men who state their establishments will require additional accounting equipment if the withholding plan is enacted into law. Just how the problem with respect to accounting equipment needs would be solved is a matter over which this Bureau is without jurisdiction.

The Bureau is not unmindful of the fact that a withholding tax has been enacted in Canada, England and elsewhere but it must be remembered that Canada will in 1943 have only 2,500,000 individual taxpayers and 30,000 corporation taxpayers, whereas in this country, about 35,000,000 individual returns and 600,000 corporation returns will be filed. It is my understanding that Canada proposes to administer its income tax law with an expanded force of 5,950 employees. At the present time, there are approximately 29,000 employees in the Bureau of Internal Revenue with 30,000 authorized. These employees administer not only the income tax law but the many other Federal revenue laws. If the Bureau of Internal Revenue should staff its offices on the same basis as the Canadian offices are staffed, we should require more than 80,000 employees.

In view of the burden that a withholding tax law would impose upon industry, as well as upon the Federal, State and local governments and in view of the present day difficulties, if not impossibilities, of obtaining necessary mechanical equipment and competent personnel, both of which are vital to the success of the war effort, it would seem wise to give serious consideration to these factors before the withholding plan is permitted to go forward to enactment.

N. A. Bann
Acting Commissioner
I. 2-1/2% 1962 - 67

Boston assumed it would be reopened early in August.
N. Y. suggests reopening and estimates $500 to $750 M.
Also suggests coupon as well as registered form and
redemption features similar to F & G War Savings bonds.
Philadelphia thought reopening would bring $500 M.
Richmond reopen would bring about $550 M
St. Louis "
Kansas City reopen
Dallas reopen; also for estate taxes.
San Francisco reopen.

II. Certificate of indebtedness

Boston suggested C/I for 6 or 8 mos.
N. Y. " C/I
N. Y. " C/I
Phil. " C/I 3/4% May 1943
Richmond " C/I 7/8 Aug. 1943
Kansas City suggested C/I
San Francisco " C/I

III. Treasury bills

Philadelphia suggests increasing bills to $400 M in Aug.
and to $500 M in Sept.
Rich. increase to $400 M on Aug. 5
St. L. " to $400 M
K. C. tap at highest bid price
IV. Tap Issue

PhiLA suggests this to get business funds, etc.

St. Louis divergence of opinion on this proposal, but it is recommended to keep fund out of banks.

Minneapolis thought this would attract corporate funds.

Dallas " " " idle "

V. Periodic Drives

Boston thought this better than an attempt to sustain steady sales effort (see letter)

VI. Refunding $894 M

New York suggests this early in September with Treasury note, raising at same time with some security $500 M in cash.

Richmond $1,500 M note issue with $600 M cash.

VII. Large Issue

New York suggests $4 or $5 billion issue late September or early October. Early decision is necessary in order to make preparation.
VIII. Tax Notes

New York suggests limit on Series A be raised from $1200 to $5,000 or $10,000. Rate on Series B be raised from .48% to .72%.

Philadelphia suggests adjustment of rate on Series B

Minneapolis - Higher limit on A and higher rate on B.

IX Miscellaneous

New York - Victory Fund Com. be given responsibility for F & G's.

Market bond issues under war financing be fixed at definite maturities.

Philadelphia - shortcomings in organizational set-up responsible for lack of greater success in sale of F & G. Also discount features of G.

St. Louis - 2-1/4% bond might be considered.

Minneapolis - Increase limit on F & G to $250,000.

Kansas City - A note issue

Dallas - 7 - 10 year bond issue would be well received.
By dear Senator:

I have received your letter of July 22, 1942, asking for my comments relative to a communication addressed to you on the subject of conditions now existing in the Bureau of Engraving and Printing. The writer of the communication refers to the common use of the lunch room and locker room facilities by both white and colored employees, and refers to current statistics relative to communicable diseases in Washington.

The regulations now in effect in the Bureau of Engraving and Printing regarding the use of the cafeteria and locker rooms provide for equal facilities and no segregation as between white and colored employees. These regulations have been promulgated in conformity with Executive Order No. 8802, dated June 25, 1941, and the President's letter of September 3, 1941, addressed to Heads of all Departments and Independent Establishments.

The scope of physical examinations for persons entering the Federal Service is a matter that is not within the province of the Treasury Department. Such matters come within the purview of Section 611, Title 5, U. S. Code, under the authority of which regulations for the admission of persons into the Civil Service of the United States are prescribed.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) H. Morgenthau, Jr.

Secretary of the Treasury.

Honorable Robert A. Reynolds,
United States Senate,
Washington, D. C.

Regraded Unclassified
Dear Mr. Morgenthau:

I am in receipt of a letter reading as follows:

"I am writing to you to call to the attention of our representatives in Congress the conditions between the white and colored Government workers. An Executive Order has come through to the effect that both white and colored employees are to have the same privileges and that there be no discrimination because of creed, race or national origin. At the Bureau of Engraving, where I am employed, the colored are now eating with us in the lunch room and using the same locker rooms, although they have been provided with a section in the lunch room and their own locker rooms, equally as large and as well equipped as ours.

"It is not a pleasure at this time to discuss a matter so distasteful, especially with our country at war, but something must be done about the situation.

"If you will view the history of the Negro, you will perceive the enormity of the danger of expanding infection, also, the need for concentrated and immediate action in preventing disease. Dr. Leiby estimates there are now some 60,000 cases of Gonorrhoea and 80,000 cases of Syphilis in Washington. A more staggering assertion by Dr. Oetis Anderson of the Virginia State Department of Health is that 'only 20% to 40% of persons under treatment carry that treatment to a point of cure.'

"Upon entering the Federal Government the only physical examination required is a general check-up, whereas, if Wasserman tests were given to both white and colored, it would reveal the dangers of such close contact.

Regraded Unclassified
"We are sitting on top of a powder keg and if something is not done immediately to prevent the lid from blowing off, someone will be hurt."

I shall appreciate it if you will give me your reactions to the above-quoted communication.

Yours very sincerely,

R.K. Reynolds
Chairman.

Hon. Henry Morgenthau, Jr.,
Secretary of the Treasury,
Washington, D.C.
MEMORANDUM TO THE SECRETARY:

There is submitted herewith the operating report of Lend-Lease purchases for the week ended July 25, 1942.

We are now making plans for the training of selected employees, having a knowledge of Government procedure, to become warehousemen and to represent the Procurement Division in the warehouses now being constructed by the War Department for joint use with the Procurement Division for Lend-Lease materials.

Of the nine warehouses under construction, seven are expected to be completed during September and October, and they are located at Terre Haute and Fort Wayne, Indiana; Marion and Warren, Ohio; Louisville, Kentucky; Bound Brook, New Jersey; and Springfield, Illinois.

Clifton E. Mack
Director of Procurement
### STATEMENT OF ALLOCATIONS, OBLIGATIONS (PURCHASES) AND DELIVERIES TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS AT U. S. PORTS
### AS OF JULY 25, 1942
### (In Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>U. K.</th>
<th>Russia</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>Administrative Expenses</th>
<th>Undistributed &amp; Miscellaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allocations</strong></td>
<td>$1771.4</td>
<td>$1003.4</td>
<td>$443.7</td>
<td>$56.0</td>
<td>$3.7</td>
<td>$264.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1768.8)</td>
<td>( 976.4)</td>
<td>( 436.2)</td>
<td>( 56.4)</td>
<td>( 1.6)</td>
<td>( 298.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purchase Authorizations (Requisitions)</strong></td>
<td>$1329.8</td>
<td>$ 873.6</td>
<td>$ 401.9</td>
<td>$ 46.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1312.0)</td>
<td>( 863.3)</td>
<td>( 395.5)</td>
<td>( 46.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>( 6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requisitions Cleared for Purchase</strong></td>
<td>$1285.3</td>
<td>$ 847.8</td>
<td>$ 384.3</td>
<td>$ 46.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1256.4)</td>
<td>( 827.5)</td>
<td>( 376.6)</td>
<td>( 46.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>( 5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obligations (Purchases)</strong></td>
<td>$1225.9</td>
<td>$ 833.3</td>
<td>$ 346.6</td>
<td>$ 40.2</td>
<td>$ 1.7</td>
<td>$ 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1198.5)</td>
<td>( 817.6)</td>
<td>( 335.6)</td>
<td>( 40.0)</td>
<td>( 1.6)</td>
<td>( 4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deliveries to Foreign Governments at U. S. Ports</strong></td>
<td>$ 484.9</td>
<td>$ 387.5</td>
<td>$ 75.6</td>
<td>$20.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 471.7)</td>
<td>( 377.2)</td>
<td>( 72.7)</td>
<td>( 20.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>( 1.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Deliveries to foreign governments at U. S. Ports do not include the tonnage that is either in storage, "in-transit" storage, or in the port area for which actual receipts have not been received from the foreign governments.

Note: Figures in parentheses are those shown on report of July 18, 1942.
EXPLANATION OF DECREASE

The decrease of $400,000 in Allocations for China was due to cancellation of six requisitions totaling this amount for that country.
July 30, 1942.

Dear Mr. Holmes:

Mr. Morgenthau has noted the enclosures which you sent him with your letter of July 16. I think that you would also like to have these back, and I am sending them to you for your own files.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) H. S. Klotz

H. S. Klotz,
Private Secretary.

Mr. Brutel Holmes,
O/O Mr. W. E. Behnsender,
Procurement Division,
Seventh and D Streets, S.W.,
Washington, D. C.

Enclosures.
Dear Henry:

I thought it as well to pass the enclosed, received this morning, on for you to see. I shall appreciate your using the enclosed envelope to return it. It is hard for me at this distance to understand the "crossed wires" but maybe it is not uncommon these days.

Mr. Ludington's call to me here over the weekend ought to give me something on how things look now. He is Chairman of the Committee of bag men which O P A asked to recommend an "Administrator". He is a fine and very capable fellow.

The despatches on O P A's appropriations have been more encouraging this week. Aside from personal desires, I am distressed about the loss of such precious time when the issues are so vital. It all simply increases many times the tremendous tasks ahead of O P A and other related agencies.

Also glad to hear the good progress of your own program. You evidently will not get all your recommendations but the satisfying thing is the obvious respect back of the attention they are receiving. Good work!

Again, I hesitate to intrude on your well-deserved peace and rest, and ask forgiveness. If I am "wearing you down", shoot me and I won't hold it against you, nor will Ethel.

Love to all from all here. Elinor is still with us here.

Faithfully,

Brant

Mr. Henry Morgenthau, Jr.
Ashkill Farms
Hopewell Junction, New York

P. S. - Wrote the above before receiving your wire. However, will think the enclosed will interest you. You know how much I appreciate your taking valuable time to arrange my meeting with Mr. Mack. Contrary to my wire reply, I shall not leave here until the 4:05 Sunday and take a sleeper from New York in time to see Mr. Mack at 9:30 Monday.

B B H
Mr. Brent Holme
Marles - Mead R.F.D. 3
Troy, N.Y.

Dear Brent,

Funny thing — yesterday I received a letter from Mr. Frederick W. Brown, Principal Examiner, Agricultural Unit, United States Civil Service Commission, Washington, D.C., suggesting that there was a vacancy within the O.P.A. for a Commodity Specialist who would act as chief of a unit having to do with miscellaneous fibers, including burlap, sisal, hemp, henequen and woven containers — the letter further explains that the position would involve responsibility within the Office of Price Administration "for the formulation of price controls, the direction of explanation of the General Maximum Price Regulation and existing price schedules of this unit...."

Mr. Brown's letter further says that the person selected for the particular position he has in mind "must have had several years experience as a production and distribution executive in large firms manufacturing and distributing cotton and burlap containers".

I immediately telephoned Mr. Halliwell, read him the letter, and at his request am sending him today, air mail, special delivery, a copy of it. Mr. Halliwell seemed a little embarrassed that wires had been crossed and explained that very likely Mr. Brown didn't realize that while such a man was needed at the moment, O.P.A. personnel was frozen and that it was a matter of settling on their budget, etc.

I am going to be in Washington on Friday and will try my best to see Mr. Halliwell.

Your letter of July 9th much appreciated, and I doubt if anyone feels any more keenly your disappointment than I do, particularly since you and I together in Washington discussed the program with Messrs. Halliwell and Oppenheim and came home more or less assured that your application would be approved on short notice and that the Office of Price Administration would be in a position to establish a so-called Bag Unit, etc.

Certainly even if I miss connections with Mr. Halliwell in Washington on Friday, the subject of your appointment will be discussed with someone, and I will try to give you a little more definite information when I get back. I will telephone you probably over the week-end.

My trip to the Middle West uncovered nothing of any particular con-
sequence - I will call you at CENTER BRUNSWICK 26 F 52 unless you notify my office to the contrary.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Regraded Unclassified
AIR MAIL  July 15th, 1942

Mr. A. Davis Halliwell
Temporary Building "D"
Room No. 2740
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Halliwell:

Following our conversation yesterday, here are two copies of letter we received from Mr. Frederick W. Brown, Principal Examiner, Agricultural Unit, United States Civil Service Commission, Washington, D.C. - also two copies of my reply.

I am going to be in Washington Friday to attend a meeting of a newly organised Industry Advisory Committee for the New Textile Bag Industry and I hope I will have an opportunity to see you while I am there.

With kindest regards,

Sincerely yours,

PHIL:S

cc: Regular Mail
July 15th, 1942

Mr. Frederick W. Brown, Principal Examiner
Agricultural Unit
United States Civil Service Commission
Washington, D.C.

RE: FILE 1585:565

Dear Mr. Brown:

July 11, 1942

Your letter dated July 11th which arrived yesterday advises that the United States Civil Service Commission is in need of Commodity Specialists to serve the Office of Price Administration, and you emphasized the present need for someone who has had "several years experience as a production and distribution executive in large firms manufacturing and distributing cotton and burlap containers." Your letter is appreciated.

Not so very long ago a qualified individual in the person of Mr. Brant Holme volunteered to serve within the Office of Price Administration in connection with matters having to do with Textile Bags. Mr. Holme made a trip to Washington, conferred with Mr. Burton E. Oppenheim and Mr. R. Davis Halliwell of the O.P.A., filed application, and it is my understanding that his application was approved, and it is my further understanding that later because of budget difficulties his appointment was not officially confirmed.

Mr. Brant Holme at the present time is in Troy, New York - his address being:

BRANT HOLME
Meredith-Mead R.F.D. 3
TROY, N.Y.

TELEPHONE: CENTER BRUNSWICK 26 F 32

If there is any further information, or if I can in any way be of further help, I would appreciate hearing from you.

Sincerely yours,

CHASE BAG COMPANY

PHILLS
PRESIDENT
United States Civil Service Commission
Washington, D.C.

July 11th, 1943

Mr. Frank C. Ledington, President
Union Bag Company
125 E. 46th Street
New York, New York

Dear Mr. Ledington:

The U.S. Civil Service Commission is in urgent need of Commodity Specialists available for War Service Appointments in the Office of Price Administration in connection with the administration of price control systems.

At present, there exists in Washington, D.C., a vacancy in the $4800 grade requiring the services of an individual to act as Chief of a unit dealing with miscellaneous fibers including burlap, flax, hemp, henequen, and seneen containers. The position will involve responsibility for the formulation of price controls, the direction of explanation of the General Maximum Price Regulation and existing price schedules of this unit, and amending and enunciating the unit's price schedules, formulating press releases, freeze orders, etc.

The person selected for this position must have had several years experience as a production and distribution executive in large firms manufacturing and distributing cotton and burlap containers. Academic training is desirable, and will enhance opportunities of appointment, but is not essential.

The opportunity thus exists for a qualified person to render an important service to the nation at this critical time. Please give serious consideration, therefore, to making your own services available. It is also desired that you bring this matter to the attention of any qualified persons in your own organization or elsewhere. If circumstances prevent you from submitting an application, it is suggested that you consider, possibly together with the other executives of your organization, the designation of one of them to serve your number whom you feel can be spared for the duration of the war. It should be understood that the filling of an application does not obligate you to accept an offer of appointment when made, but it will give the Commission and the operating officials a chance to consider your qualifications. No written examination is involved. The decisions are based upon the applicant's own statements regarding his education and experience. For this reason, the description of the applicant's experience should be sufficiently in detail that an accurate conception of his background may be gained by the operating officials.

While the vacancy described above is of immediate concern, it is expected that other positions of lesser responsibility will be vacant in the near future. Persons who have had broad and responsible experience in this field, and who may be available for these positions when the demand for their services arises, should also submit applications. These positions range in pay, in accordance with their duties and responsibilities, from $2800 to $4800.

It is our hope that persons of the highest attainments will feel that they should make their services available wherever they can be used to advantage.

Regraded Unclassified
The necessary Application Forms 8 or 57, and 4006-ABCD may be obtained at the Second U.S. Civil Service District Office, Federal Building, Christopher Street, New York. After execution, they should be forwarded to the Agricultural Unit, Room 261, Civil Service Commission in Washington. All positions in this field are entitled Commodity Specialist.

By direction of the Commission:

Very truly yours,

(Signed) FREDERICK W. BROWN
PRINCIPAL EXAMINER
AGRICULTURAL UNIT
The Senate yesterday rose to its own defense. A front page editorial, sharply critical of Congress, appearing in the Washington Post of the preceding day, was the immediate cause of this self-vindication. But the debate expanded into a general reply to the widespread and insistent criticism of Congressional behavior by newspaper and radio commentators.

There has been of late widespread editorial criticism directed at Congress as a body. The general charge most commonly leveled is that the legislative branch of the Government continues to engage in "politics as usual" without full awareness of the war.

Editorial discontent regarding Congress became pronounced with the quickly rescinded Congressional pension plan. Later it was expressed with renewed vigor over the granting of unlimited gasoline ration cards to Congressmen. More recently, the criticism has centered around Congressional failure to enact a satisfactory tax bill, obstruction of the OPA price control program, the conflict concerning dollar-a-year men, interference with WPB in connection with
synthetic rubber production and lack of resistance to farm and labor blocs.

The Washington Post editorial specifically accused Congress of "political profiteering." By implication, it blamed the legislative branch for the inferiority of American military equipment, for a failure to place ceilings on farm prices and wages, for confusion about tires and gasoline and for a general pandering to popular desires out of concern over the approaching Congressional election.

Senator Taft was alone in expressing specific condemnation of the Post editorial. He argued that the executive departments of the Government, rather than Congress, were responsible for the shortcomings enumerated in the editorial. He defended Congressional action on farm prices, wages and rubber, asserting that "if blame attaches to anyone .... of course, it must attach to the administrative officials." Congress, he said, cannot be blamed. And he ended by accusing the newspaper's editor of "deliberately fomenting dissatisfaction and disunity and the kind of bitter personal recrimination that is bound to interfere with the progress of the war to a greater degree than any action that Congress may or may not take."

At the conclusion of this speech, the Senate's attention was diverted temporarily to a consideration of war production. In a very short time, however, Senator O'Mahoney rose to discuss the general subject of criticism of Congress by
commentators and newspapers. He declared that for several years Congress has vigorously supported the Executive, appropriating most of the money requested by him and supporting every effort of the War and Navy Departments to expand war facilities. "More than that," he said, "I believe the record will show that the Congress has been far ahead of both the War Department and the Navy Department in its desire to prepare for war."

The Senator launched upon an analysis of the way in which editorial comments are devised. In his opinion "too many radio commentators and too many editors are merely propagandists who are trying to convey to their listeners or their readers the points of view which they themselves hold, even though, in many cases, they entertain these opinions without any factual basis." He cited Raymond Gram Swing as a notable exception, but accused broadcasters in general of filling the air every night with "meaningless words."

Mr. O'Mahoney's indictment prompted Senator Chandler to interrupt with an excoriation of radio commentators in general and Upton Close in particular. Senator Lucas joined the chorus, observing that so far as the Japanese situation is concerned, Close "was just as wrong as Kimmel and Short."

Senator O'Mahoney, thus encouraged, went on to assert that "The gentlemen of the press and of the radio who think they are defending democracy are undermining the very basis of democracy because they are destroying the faith and
confidence of the people of the United States in their elected representatives and they are building the groundwork upon which totalitarianism can be reared." He suggested that radio programs may be influenced by the advertisers who sponsor them, that newspaper headlines give distorted versions of events and concluded with a glowing defense of his colleagues. "I know of no member of Congress who is putting his political fortune ahead of his country.

Only Senator Pepper interposed any objections to Senator O'Mahoney's observations. The Senator from Florida felt that Congress could scarcely escape some share of responsibility for inadequate legislation.

Senator Lucas offered the suggestion that Mr. Elmer Davis "prepare a 15-minute radio address once or twice a week for the benefit of the millions of listeners he had throughout America prior to the time he accepted his present important position."

Senator O'Mahoney inserted into the record an article from the Washington Star by T. H. Thomas to the effect that the press has been so busy bolstering morale that it has given rose-colored news and a grossly optimistic version of the war's progress. According to the Washington Post, there were never more than 25 senators in the Chamber during the progress of the debate.
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BUCKINGHAM PALACE

28th July 1942.

Dear Mr. Morgenthau,

The King and Queen have now received from Lord Halifax the newsreel films which you sent to him with your letter of June 19th.

Their Majesties wish me to thank you sincerely for your kind thought. They are very glad to have these films, which are indeed a stirring record of the wonderful welcome which the American people gave to Squadron Leader Nettleton and his men throughout their recent visit to your country. The King and Queen were delighted to hear how successful it has been.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

H. Morgenthau Esq., Jr.,
The Secretary of the Treasury,
Washington.
Attached is a copy of the results of the survey conducted at your request in greater New York.

I think it is a very thorough job and presents some most interesting facts. I wish to call your attention to the full list of persons interviewed contained in back of the report.
Mr. T. R. Gamble
United States Treasury Department
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Gamble:

It is a pleasure to send you two copies of the Compilation of the Market Survey as summarized by Batten, Barton, Durstine & Osborne, Inc., under the direction of the head of their Market Research Division, Mr. Robert N. King.

The 1,006 completed questionnaires which form the basis of this tabulation are being sent you under separate cover.

The interviews in this Survey were made, as you know, with individuals who had signed pledges during the Greater New York War Bond Pledge Campaign, June 14-28, 1942, to purchase War Stamps and in a few instances War Bonds regularly, designating in each instance a Post Office as the source of purchase. A total of 2,000 names, divided in lots of 200 each, were supplied each of the 10 cooperating agencies so that they might complete 100 interviews with a minimum of call-backs.

These 2,000 names were purposely chosen from eight low-income groups and two middle income groups in scattered geographic areas in the city. The majority of pledges in the two middle income groups comprised servants, small tradesmen, and in certain instances housewives whose husbands were pledged to buy War Bonds at sources other than Post Offices.

Most significant is the fact that in the opinion of the investigators 801 of the 1,006 persons interviewed (79.6%) are "Serious" in their determination to fulfill their pledges; 190 (18.2%) are regarded as "Doubtful" as to fulfillment; and 15 (1.5%) as "Insincere".

These results are even more conclusive when it is realized that both Mr. Madden and the writer personally discussed this phase of the survey with
the various agency heads in charge of the actual interviewing and expressed the strong desire that reactions and opinions as to the seriousness of intent in relationship to pledge fulfillment be conservative, objective and as scientific as humanly possible. Furthermore, each interviewer received a confidential note of instructions asking them to record their careful observations and judgment as to the seriousness of intent of the persons interviewed in relationship to their fulfillment of pledges.

Therefore, we have reason to believe that this check-back, conducted under the restrictions imposed, sincerely represents the true feelings of the 1,006 persons interviewed.

The organizations voluntarily cooperating with us in this market survey include:

Batten, Barton, Durstine & Osborn, Inc.  
385 Madison Avenue  
Mr. Robert N. King

Book-of-the-Month Club, Inc.  
385 Madison Avenue  
Mr. Meredith Wood

Compton Advertising, Inc.  
630 Fifth Avenue  
Mr. Edward Battey

Erwin, Wasey, Inc.  
420 Lexington Avenue  
Mr. J. M. Snyder

Kenyon Research Corporation  
480 Lexington Avenue  
Mr. C. W. MacKay

Arthur Kondner, Inc.  
630 Fifth Avenue  
Mr. Robert Thompson

Lennon & Mitchell, Inc.  
17 East 45th Street  
Mr. A. P. Livingston

Marshalk & Pratt, Inc.  
535 Fifth Avenue  
Mr. Edward F. Garish

McCann-Erickson, Inc.  
50 Rockefeller Plaza  
Miss Margaret C. Booss

Ruthrauff & Ryan, Inc.  
405 Lexington Avenue  
Mr. Wilson Main

The individuals listed above are the heads of the market research departments of their respective agencies with the exception of Mr. Meredith Wood who is treasurer of the Book-of-the-Month Club and was delegated this responsibility by Mr. Harry Scherman, president, Book-of-the-Month Club.

You will note the names and addresses of the people interviewed in this survey are listed in the rear of Mr. King's report as a permanent reference source for further possible checking on their performance records in fulfilling pledges.

Kindest personal regards.

Cordially yours,

L. Rohe Walter

Greater New York War Bond Pledge Campaign

LNMw  
Encl. (2)  
cc: Mr. John T. Madden - 1 Compilation of Market Survey  
    Mr. Bayard L. Pope - 1 Compilation of Market Survey  
    Mr. Robert N. King
REPORT OF SURVEY ON

WAR SAVINGS STAMP PLEDGES

made by

GREATER NEW YORK WAR BOND PLEDGE COMMITTEE
The findings in this report are based upon the replies made to a questionnaire by 1,006 residents of New York. These individuals had signed pledges during the campaign conducted by the Greater New York War Bond Pledge Committee, to make systematic purchases of War Savings Stamps through Post Offices.

The principal objectives of the survey were to ascertain the extent to which Pledgees had started to buy stamps, where they prefer to buy them and why and, by making this personal contact, to get a measure to some degree (based on personal observation and judgement) of the sincerity on the part of the Pledgees in carrying out their agreement.

The list of interviewees was compiled by the Committee. The names were selected from various areas in Manhattan according to types of neighborhoods in order to obtain the economic cross-section desired. The individuals selected to be interviewed were confined to those who had pledged to buy stamps and expected to make their purchases at a post office. Calls were made on the tenement dwellers of the lower east side, on people in rooming houses, and on residents of other sections of the borough in order to reach a representative group of the mass middle and low income families.
The interviews were made evenings during the period of July 6th to 25th, 1942. Nine leading New York advertising agencies and one commercial firm conducted the field work. These firms assigned their own men to make the interviews and each group was under the supervision of the marketing and research executive of its respective firm.

The firms participating were:

Batten, Barton, Durstine & Osborn, Inc.
Book of the Month Club, Inc.
Compton Advertising, Inc.
Erwin Nesse & Company
Kenyon Research Corp. (Kenyon & Eckhart)
Arthur Kudner, Inc.
Lemmen & Mitchell
Marshalk & Pratt, Inc.
McCann-Erickson, Inc.
Ruthrauff & Ryan, Inc.

A list of names and addresses of the Pledgees who answered the questionnaires appears in this report and samples of the following material with which the reporters were supplied is also shown.

Credential certificate
Confidential instructions
Questionnaire forms
War Bond Purchase Authorization Blanks
War Bond Application Blanks
$50 in War Savings Stamps

A tabulation of the replies appears on the pages immediately following.

Respectfully submitted,

GREATER NEW YORK WAR BOND PLEDGE COMMITTEE

July 24, 1942

Report compiled by:

Robert N. King, Director of Research,
Batten, Barton, Durstine & Osborn, Inc.
New York, N. Y.
Table 1 - WHERE PLEDGERS PREFER TO BUY WAR STAMPS OR BONDS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post office</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail store</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings bank</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous booths</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of employment</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checking a/c bank</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary deduction</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children buy at school</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union office</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury Department</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other miscellaneous</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No one particular place</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know where to buy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The sum of the percentages exceed 100% as many respondents mentioned more than one place of preference to make purchases.
## Table 2 - Reasons for Preference According to Source of Purchase Named:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post Office</th>
<th>Savings Bank</th>
<th>Checking a/c Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No.</strong></td>
<td><strong>% of Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>No.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Post Office</th>
<th>Savings Bank</th>
<th>Checking a/c Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Because convenient</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have bank account</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can exchange for bonds</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed in bank</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank holds bonds in vault</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer buying from a bank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booths are reminders to buy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer has payroll plan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer buying from post office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular reason</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Place of Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Salary Deduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### All Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Salary Deduction</th>
<th>All Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Because convenient</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have bank account</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can exchange for bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed in bank</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank holds bonds in vault</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer buying from a bank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booths are reminders to buy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer has payroll plan</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer buying from post office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular reason</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above based on persons only who gave a reason for one particular source of purchase.
### Table 2 - REASONS FOR PREFERENCE ACCORDING TO SOURCE OF PURCHASE NAMED:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Post Office</th>
<th>Retail Store</th>
<th>Savings Bank</th>
<th>Checking a/c Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>% of Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>468</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because convenient</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have bank account</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can exchange for bonds</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed in bank</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank holds bonds in vault</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer buying from a bank</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booths are reminders to buy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer has payroll plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer buying from post office</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular reason</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Place of Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because convenient</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have bank account</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can exchange for bonds</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed in bank</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank holds bonds in vault</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer buying from a bank</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booths are reminders to buy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer has payroll plan</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer buying from post office</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular reason</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above based on persons only who gave a reason for one particular source of purchase.
### Table 5 - Source of First Stamp Purchase on Pledge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Purchase</th>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post office</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail store</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings bank</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of employment</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various booths</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checking a/c bank</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children buy at school</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union office</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury Department</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various other places</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on persons who have made initial purchase

185 or 18.2% of total persons interviewed have not yet made their initial stamp purchase
Table 4 – WHEN STAMPS WILL BE BOUGHT BY PLEDGees WHO HAVE NOT YET MADE THEIR INITIAL PURCHASE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* TOTAL</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within a month or so</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can't say, no time given</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too poor to buy now</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will have salary deduction taken</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not intend to buy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 16 persons did not reply to this question
Table 5 - OPINION OF REPORTERS ON SINCERITY OF RESPONDENTS TO FULFILL PLEDGE AGREEMENT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - Sincere</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - Doubtful</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X - Insincere</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COPY OF QUESTIONNAIRE AND

MATERIAL CARRIED BY REPORTERS
HEADQUARTERS OFFICE
U. S. TREASURY GREATER NEW YORK
WAR BOND PLEDGE CAMPAIGN
521 Fifth Ave., New York, N.Y.

Good evening, ................., I'm from the head office of the War Bond Pledge Campaign. We are calling on people who have signed pledges to buy War Stamps and Bonds regularly to find out just where they would prefer to buy. Furthermore, we are hopeful that this cross-section survey may develop information useful in similar campaigns throughout the country which will help our Nation WIN THE WAR.

1. Where do you prefer to buy War Stamps or Bonds?
   Savings Bank.......................... Retail Store...................
   Checking a/c Bank........................... Elsewhere (state)...........
   Post Office..........................
   Treasury Dept..........................

2. Would you mind telling me the reasons why you prefer to buy from 
   ............................ ? (Comment)

3. I don't want to know how much you've pledged or how much you have bought but would like to know where you made your first purchase on your pledge whether at a post office, bank or other place?
   Savings Bank ....................... Retail Store...................
   Post Office .................... Elsewhere (state)...........
   Treasury Dept .................. Not bought yet ............
   Checking a/c Bank .............

4. If not yet bought ask: About when do you expect to start buying War Stamps or Bonds?
   ............................

5. Did the Minute Man have time to explain that ALL BANKS are ready and willing to serve you by making arrangements for the purchase of War Stamps or the opening of a special War Bond Account, without cost to you, and how their service can be of benefit to you?
   YES................................. NO..............................

NOTE: If the answer is NO - explain that for the convenience of the public, neighborhood banks in Greater New York will supply information and assist pledges to work out War Bond arrangements. The banks' program includes:
a) Convenient arrangements for purchasing War Savings Stamps at tables and special windows.

b) The opening of War Bond accounts with a first deposit of only $5. When the account totals $18.75 the bank will buy and deliver a War Bond to the depositor at the designated address.

c) Arrangements whereby depositors may instruct banks to make deductions from their checking accounts at regular intervals for the purchase and delivery of War Bonds to a designated address.

6. So many people we've called on have asked us for stamps that we're carrying a supply. If you care to buy now, I can sell you some:

Bought ................................ Did not .....................

7. If stamps bought, list purchase and amount:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10¢</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25¢</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50¢</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. If no stamps bought record verbatim any reason voluntarily given for not buying now: (Comment)

...............................

9. I also have a number of Application forms for use in purchasing War Bonds for all denominations. If you wish to place an order now for War Bonds I shall be pleased to fill out the details for you. You can then drop around to your neighborhood bank or post office and complete the purchase. Should you desire I shall be pleased to leave a copy of this Application Form at the bank you suggest and tell them you will be in tomorrow or the next day to complete the purchase of your War Bond.

Amount of contemplated purchase of War Bonds $........................

Date of purchase ...........................................

Where Bonds will be purchased .............................

10. If respondent is interested in buying War Bonds continue: If you maintain a checking account at a commercial bank you can use this bank draft form to buy a War Bond now. At the same time, you
can instruct your bank to make periodic deductions from your checking account and buy and deliver War Bonds to you regularly at a designated address.

Amount of War Bonds purchased per bank draft $ ........................................
Name of Bank .................................................................
Branch ........................................................................
How frequently similar purchases will be made ...........................................

11. Reporter to check:
    A ........................................
    B ........................................
    X ........................................

Name of Pledgee:

(Street address)

Reporter:

(Agency)

July _______ 1942.
WAR BOND PURCHASE AUTHORIZATION

DATE: __________

To
(insert name of bank)

BRANCH _____________________

This will authorize you to charge my account $_______
on the of each and purchase a $________
United States War Bond "Series ",
The bond is to be registered as follows:

Name(s) ____________________________

Address ________________________________

Address of Co-Owner or Beneficiary
If Different from Above ____________________________

Please mail the bond by registered mail to my address
on file with you.

These instructions are to continue until cancelled in
writing by the undersigned.

(signature of customer)

(Maturity date)
Application for

UNITED STATES DEFENSE SAVINGS BONDS—SERIES E
(10-YEAR APPRECIATION BONDS)

The undersigned hereby applies for United States Defense Savings Bonds of Series E (issued pursuant to Treasury Department Circular No. 653, dated April 15, 1941) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of pieces</th>
<th>Denomination (Maturity Value)</th>
<th>Issue Price (Each bond)</th>
<th>Maturity Amount (Total Cost)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$18.75</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$37.50</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total amount of purchase: $1

Bonds to be inscribed (see other side) (Please print or write legibly):

CAUTION—No one person may hold Defense Savings Bonds of Series E originally issued in any one calendar year in his name alone or with another as coowner to an amount exceeding $5,000 (maturity value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of purchaser</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature of purchaser</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date: 1941

This application is to be retained by the issuing agent.
This is to certify that the bearer of this card

represents the Government of the United States.

* * *

HE IS CALLING ON YOU TO SHOW WHY IT IS TO YOUR ADVANTAGE TO PLEDGE A PORTION OF YOUR FAMILY INCOME FOR THE PURCHASE OF WAR BONDS AND STAMPS FROM NOW ON UNTIL VICTORY

GREATER NEW YORK WAR BOND PLEDGE COMMITTEE
CONFIDENTIAL

FOR INTERVIEWERS ONLY

In discussing Question 5, please do not make any suggestions encouraging people to take money from their Savings Accounts to purchase War Bonds and Stamps. The Treasury Department is interested primarily in encouraging people to make systematic purchases of War Bonds and Stamps out of current income.

In Item 11 space is provided for:

Reporter to check:
A . . . . . . .
B . . . . . . .
X . . . . . . .

Please record here your careful observations and judgment as to the seriousness of intent of the person interviewed in relationship to their actual or contemplated fulfillment of their pledge. Record this vitally important phase of this questionnaire as follows:

Check A if you believe person is Serious about his pledge.

Check B if you believe Doubtful as to fulfillment

Check X if you believe Insincere

Please use great care in recording your impressions...

... and please record your observation for every person interviewed.
CONFIDENTIAL
FOR INTERVIEWERS ONLY

In discussing Question 5, please do not make any suggestions encouraging people to take money from their Savings Accounts to purchase War Bonds and Stamps. The Treasury Department is interested primarily in encouraging people to make systematic purchases of War Bonds and Stamps out of current income.

In Item 11 space is provided for:

Reporter to check:
A
B
X

Please record here your careful observations and judgment as to the seriousness of intent of the person interviewed in relationship to their actual or contemplated fulfillment of their pledge. Record this vitally important phase of this questionnaire as follows:

Check A if you believe person is Serious about his pledge.

Check B if you believe Doubtful as to fulfillment

Check X if you believe Insincere

Please use great care in recording your impressions...
... and please record your observation for every person interviewed.
### PLEDGEES INTERVIEWED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geo. E. Marr</td>
<td>1063 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura S. Robbins</td>
<td>344 East 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice Morgan</td>
<td>204 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annie Miller</td>
<td>1001 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ida Ianelli</td>
<td>323 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bina Ianelli</td>
<td>323 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Williams</td>
<td>863 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Witaker</td>
<td>529 West 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Goldberg</td>
<td>234 W. 42nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chas. S. Dangker</td>
<td>234 W. 42nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidney Sats</td>
<td>235 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Agnes Hunn</td>
<td>352 East 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Barbieri</td>
<td>320 E. 86th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Miklos</td>
<td>419 W. 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Lyons</td>
<td>119 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bertha Cassady</td>
<td>234 W. 42nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Cilluffo</td>
<td>389 E. 10th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William L. Heath</td>
<td>957 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Isidore Rosenblath</td>
<td>431 E. 82nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Novins</td>
<td>343 West 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Jacura</td>
<td>345 W. 50th St. (Polyclinic Hospital)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary A. Hill</td>
<td>100 Lewis St., N.Y.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna O'Brien</td>
<td>72 Lewis St., N.Y.U.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lillian Waterhouse</td>
<td>344 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Anne P. Wilson</td>
<td>419 W. 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayim Donstein</td>
<td>225 E. 79th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aron Feldman</td>
<td>317 W. 54th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Rose Gross</td>
<td>95 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris Wittman</td>
<td>344 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosaline Sats</td>
<td>419 W. 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethel B. Duck</td>
<td>72 Lewis St., N.Y.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Sally Liebling</td>
<td>95 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abraham Silverberg</td>
<td>344 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissa Morales</td>
<td>424 E. 83rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurt Berger</td>
<td>609 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Febling</td>
<td>647 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Guastella</td>
<td>795 9th Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Yaskin</td>
<td>52 W. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Panos</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Pullin</td>
<td>627 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May Sullivan</td>
<td>639 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary McCabe</td>
<td>159 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fay Trachtenberg</td>
<td>225 E. 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverly J. Le Roy</td>
<td>442 W. 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fay Auerbach</td>
<td>350 W. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Winkler</td>
<td>404 W. 54th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Archer</td>
<td>175 E. 74th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Mariani</td>
<td>323 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret L. Fidler</td>
<td>175 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Lita Wiesenikoff</td>
<td>310 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Krumming</td>
<td>235 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbert Ruge</td>
<td>438 E. 86th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. D. Goshorn</td>
<td>225 E. 74th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte Hersch</td>
<td>630 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Fleming</td>
<td>428 W. 56th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Henning</td>
<td>438 W. 42nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esther Pollack</td>
<td>85 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Tepper</td>
<td>374 E. 10th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Westreich</td>
<td>350 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnes Maloney</td>
<td>195 E. 76th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris Harris</td>
<td>224 E. 47th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas S. Sheehan</td>
<td>333 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ella Pensinger</td>
<td>352 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo Epstein</td>
<td>244 W. 39th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. M. H. Baker</td>
<td>302 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Piscarmona</td>
<td>410 W. 44th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. F. Kramer</td>
<td>225 E. 74th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frieda Greenberg</td>
<td>421 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Hurwitz</td>
<td>562 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris Blumstein</td>
<td>560 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob Klein</td>
<td>514 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Conniff</td>
<td>1065 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Hapler</td>
<td>321 E. 43rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanche Daurie</td>
<td>309 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May J. Doherty</td>
<td>944 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna O'Connor</td>
<td>1050 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Palmer</td>
<td>222 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene Fontana</td>
<td>304 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Moran</td>
<td>167 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Joseph Kovacs</td>
<td>312 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennie Morer</td>
<td>316 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Samuel Gilbert</td>
<td>170 E. 61st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Moro</td>
<td>322 E. 61st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barney G. Sediack</td>
<td>339 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John T. Mahoney</td>
<td>433 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. B. Ingham</td>
<td>176 E. 75th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Aron Berman</td>
<td>100 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhoda Mitchell</td>
<td>159 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanford Moore</td>
<td>145 E. 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thora Johnsen</td>
<td>507 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eila Koz</td>
<td>430 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Adele Mahoney</td>
<td>174 E. 74th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary O'Sullivan</td>
<td>121 E. 64th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esther Cook</td>
<td>273 Stanton St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Everett</td>
<td>606 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Margaret Mulligan</td>
<td>416 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Katherine Watson</td>
<td>567 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Bartley</td>
<td>340 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peggy Roddy</td>
<td>911 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Wadulack</td>
<td>68 Lewis St., N.Y.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Florence Pellak</td>
<td>541 W.113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Angelina Sirianni</td>
<td>438 W. 40th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Antonio Sirianni</td>
<td>438 W. 40th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violet Anderson</td>
<td>76 E. 101st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. S. Webb</td>
<td>1 West 64th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman Bernie</td>
<td>303 W. 74th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubbe B. De Fay</td>
<td>340 W. 72 St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Christine Weitiver</td>
<td>216 E. 15th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Selma Dreyfus</td>
<td>322 W. 72nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. L. Bruckman</td>
<td>200 W. 70th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Wenwood</td>
<td>305 W. 71st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Thomas</td>
<td>315 W. 71st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith Krodetz</td>
<td>225 E. 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axel Persons</td>
<td>225 E. 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melvin A. Comant, Sr.</td>
<td>17 E. 97th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza Wicker</td>
<td>245 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Eugene Goodman</td>
<td>500 West End Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Jane V. Smeallie</td>
<td>200 E. 16th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Ferera</td>
<td>1 W. 64th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Shirley Gerber</td>
<td>390 West End Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Josephson</td>
<td>235 W. 71st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Mischa Elman</td>
<td>101 Central Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo Carino</td>
<td>72 East 110th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Nina Speaker</td>
<td>431 E. 15th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Sachonka</td>
<td>51 E. 98th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Pauline Lasser</td>
<td>6-M - 200 E. 16th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. Louis Prowman</td>
<td>9 E. 97th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidney E. Liss</td>
<td>30E E. 61st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph W. Blum, Sr.</td>
<td>210 W. 70th St.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Jane Hess ............................. 225 E. 63rd St.
Mrs. Meeshan ................................ 25 West 68th St.
Majorie E. Goldman ............................ 200 W. 70th St.
Carol Brooks .................................. 330 W. 72nd St.
Mr. & Mrs. A. J. O'Brien .......................... 15 W. 81st St.

Irving Kay .................................. 2 West 86th St.
Mrs. Kelley ................................... 310 West 73rd St.
Mr. Sue Gee .................................. 107 W. 71st St.
Mr. Jack Wiseberg ......................... 20 West 72nd St.
Beatrice K. Wiseberg ...................... 20 West 72nd St.

Hugo Lederman .................................. 522 West 62nd Ave.
Mrs. Henrietta Sullivan ................. 348 E. 15th St.
Frieda Boise Holmes .......................... 215 E. 15th St.
Sara Warrenoff ................................ 40 West 67th St.
Mrs. Marion Aronack .......................... 19 East 109th St.

Ruby Benoe .................................. 118 W. 72nd St.
Thomas D. Moliterno ........................ 301 East 62nd St.
Elisabeth Marynchuk ...................... 48 E. 96th St.
Mrs. Rose Goodman ................................ 218 East 16th St.
Joseph Alexander .......................... 260 W. 72nd St.

Mrs. N. Ghaz .................................. 12 West 72nd St.
A. Klein ...................................... 144 West 86th St.
Olypea del Campe ......................... 124 W. 72nd St.
Miss Grayce C. Farrant .............. 51 E. 90th St.
George J. Olsson, M.D. .............. 56 E. 87th St.

George Bernstein .......................... 26 E. 105th St.
Mr. Clark E. Verrett .................. 437 E. 15th St.
Luba Shapiro .................................. 171 W. 71st St.
Steffa S. Elrod ...................... 44 E. 98th St.
Virginia M. Walsh .......................... 61 E. 97th St.

Miss Jean Beattie .......................... 211 Central Pk. W.
Mrs. Sylvia I. Sax .......................... 15 Central Pk. W.
Isadore Bickart .......................... 295 Central Pk. W.
Mrs. Rose Bickart ...................... 295 Central Pk. W.
Mrs. Molly R. Runnels .............. 15 Central Pk. W.

Dr. Hoenig .................................. 146 Central Pk. W.
Mrs. Josephine Marie Nolan ................ 433 E. 15 St.
Rose Doucchin ................................ 51 E. 98th St.
Mr. Albert Gilbert .......................... 305 West 74th St.
Angelo S. Pampinati ....................... 330 E. 15th St.

J. Marshall Hoag .......................... 226 W. 70th St.
Anna Blanch Goldfarb .................. 15 Central Pk. West
Elsie M. Cook ................................ 68 West 68th St.
David Cohen .................................. 141 West 73rd St.
Mildred Courteney .............. 43 E. 15th St.

Mrs. Rosie Weinfeld ...................... 348 E. 15th St.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angelina Becocchi</td>
<td>506 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John V. McDermott</td>
<td>229 E. 76th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. L. Fischer</td>
<td>228 E. 75th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Helen Courtney</td>
<td>725 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Emil Lime</td>
<td>245 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Pauline Lukas</td>
<td>643 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julius Edely</td>
<td>405 West 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada Viola Blough</td>
<td>430 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine B. Everett</td>
<td>606 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen H. Ries</td>
<td>533 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Inse Tammat</td>
<td>540 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eva Marie Stutsman</td>
<td>360 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl Adie Martyn</td>
<td>319 E. 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glise Blumennau</td>
<td>351 East 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridget Kiesel</td>
<td>301 East 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Margaret Herrigan</td>
<td>284 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnes V. Masterson</td>
<td>421 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis C. Hall</td>
<td>430 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucile Wyler</td>
<td>349 W. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Weiser</td>
<td>612 West 114th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herman Wichter</td>
<td>420 W. 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Jeanne Franks</td>
<td>508 W. 114th St. (Apt. 84E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Fredwicks Leshinsky</td>
<td>310 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Jill Klauder</td>
<td>422 East 58th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Margaret Zelekna</td>
<td>326 East 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary G. Reich</td>
<td>115 E. 61st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Buchanan</td>
<td>323 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Wittmer</td>
<td>419 W. 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Rodnicke</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Sling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Rothenberg</td>
<td>829 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. David J. Puttermann</td>
<td>1049 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Dora Stammer</td>
<td>1105 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilda Johansen</td>
<td>940 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip F. Troy</td>
<td>929 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Moscatelli</td>
<td>323 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald J. Fidler</td>
<td>175 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iolanda Gumbas</td>
<td>302 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grace Freeman</td>
<td>1548 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Warl</td>
<td>1032 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nora Frances Gomley</td>
<td>160 E. 48th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. P. Brennan</td>
<td>302 West 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gladys Millay Bailey</td>
<td>360 East 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evangeline M. Pooler</td>
<td>319 East 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Wahey</td>
<td>863 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Orr</td>
<td>343 West 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza Orr</td>
<td>343 West 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Cameron</td>
<td>128 W. 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. Friedman
Patricia H. Palmer
Adolph Hofner
Mrs. Jane D. Ferguson
Mrs. Ella Leidal

Estelle H. Ries
George Sullivan
Louis P. Beekers
Herbert C. Nordberg
Constance Hedberg

George Klegbald
Boris Volkov
Grace P. Paine
Margaret C. Langellotti
Louise S. Kibbe

Mr. G. A. Hess
John Kreech
Laura E. Judge
Nora Cantwell
Elizabeth Con

Mrs. Rebecca Rosea
Rudolf Bornemann
Molly Bartel
John J. Valentine
Marjorie Grossfield

Rafaela Gonzalez
Lydia M. Vaughn
K. M. Crawford
J. K. Sutherland
Mrs. Rose Speljaris

Miss Freysira
Katherine McBride
J. Shubert
Marland Stewart
Hazel I. Haft

Ruth Rosenberg
Mrs. Swodoin F. Hazard
Rose Clyne
Gertrude Maas
Paul Mortara

Harold Geissenheimer
Harding Leon
Dolly Lowell

310 West End Ave.
15 Central Park West
9 East 97th
9A - 200 East 16th Street
69 East 87th Street

533 W. 112 St.
344 East 55 St.
444 E. 57th St.
310 East 55th St.
235 E. 50th St.

361 E. 50th
527 W. 113th Street
343 East 65th St.
349 East 65th Street
445 East 65th St.

245 W. 51st St.
236 E. 33rd. St.
233 E. 81st St.
321 E. 65th St.
233 E. 81st St.

115 Cannon
424 W. 46th St.
819 9th Ave.
149 East 55th St.
225 E. 63rd St.

405 W. 49th St.
420 W. 119th St.
321 E. 43rd. St.
400 W. 118th Street
523 9th Ave.

823 Park Ave.
457 W. 57th St.
1120—
545 W. 112th St.
405 W. 56th St.

225 E. 63rd St.
303 E. 63rd St.
225 E. 63rd St.
225 E. 63rd St.
410 W. 44th St.

442 W. 36th St.
403 W. 54th St.
1120 Park Ave.

Regarded Unclassified
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Kilmer</td>
<td>389 E. 10th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Gallub</td>
<td>250 E. 4th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Gallub</td>
<td>250 E. 4th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gizella Ferency</td>
<td>414 E. 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esther Spaner</td>
<td>390 E. 10th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Spuner</td>
<td>390 E. 10th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Hedovance</td>
<td>829 E. 10th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Elizabeth J. Hickey</td>
<td>421 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. B. Miller</td>
<td>149 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Marie Bruce Scott</td>
<td>300 W. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vyola M. Williams</td>
<td>340 W. 53rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fritos Harold Minors</td>
<td>325 W. 52nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junius Williams</td>
<td>334 W. 53rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nell Petrie</td>
<td>245 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Madeline Papillaw</td>
<td>312 W. 48th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Roy Thompson</td>
<td>823 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Marie Wong</td>
<td>335 East 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss E. Long</td>
<td>138 East 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Hurihan</td>
<td>535 W. 48th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith G. Blackman</td>
<td>245 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Singer</td>
<td>310 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred C. Kleiberg</td>
<td>342 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marath F. Sanders</td>
<td>235 E. 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathryn McCallaf</td>
<td>421 W. 45th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma C. Miller</td>
<td>234 W. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Kleiberg</td>
<td>342 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wesley Morse</td>
<td>140 E. 46 St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadie Kletter</td>
<td>349 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nora A. Thyni</td>
<td>349 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriet O'Ken</td>
<td>335 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Barrett</td>
<td>206 E. 75th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeanes Sisater</td>
<td>100 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen Rodda</td>
<td>147 E. 72nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Belle Ackerley</td>
<td>419 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Anderson</td>
<td>212 E. 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen L. Jarrold</td>
<td>23 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertrude Blumenall</td>
<td>351 E. 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane L. Fax</td>
<td>310 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte Loeffler</td>
<td>145 E. 82nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Appel</td>
<td>145 E. 82nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert J. Teusig</td>
<td>324 E. 81st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Tessie Goldstein</td>
<td>119 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret L. Page</td>
<td>110 W. 69th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Marx Ryall</td>
<td>122 West 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Rebecca Konigsberg</td>
<td>101 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Molly Hartman</td>
<td>110 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molly Krout</td>
<td>134 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abraham Seltzer</td>
<td>342 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Katharine Cockson</td>
<td>174 E. 74th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Fouquier</td>
<td>225 E. 29th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mae Reggi</td>
<td>316 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur J. Smith</td>
<td>312 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Erbe</td>
<td>144 E. 47th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen Collins</td>
<td>319 W. 116th St. (Apt. 2-D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lois Ruth Anderson</td>
<td>205 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Alice D. Fox</td>
<td>400 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. G. Diamant</td>
<td>512 W. 372nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Delaney</td>
<td>730 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Noonan</td>
<td>189 E. 64th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Pincus Lesser</td>
<td>140 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bertram Newton</td>
<td>317 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. J. S. Warren</td>
<td>142 E. 47th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Dorothy Gawey</td>
<td>730 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Carmela Brauda</td>
<td>456 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Breuta</td>
<td>456 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Bubello</td>
<td>48 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilma Mauricio</td>
<td>414 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Jansen</td>
<td>140 W. 69th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Helen Berger</td>
<td>160 E. 47th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice Mably</td>
<td>204 E. 47th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. H. Wheeler</td>
<td>330 E. 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Martin</td>
<td>153 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Isabel O’Connor</td>
<td>419 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelina Ulena</td>
<td>240 E. 7th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrra Rabinovich</td>
<td>414 W. 120th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Agnes I. Hunn</td>
<td>416 W. 18th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Lecky</td>
<td>416 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo. Petrocelli</td>
<td>416 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Von Roeschlaub</td>
<td>416 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia J. Kenny</td>
<td>502 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armanta J. Brown</td>
<td>911 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince A. Gaines</td>
<td>911 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melita Liagg</td>
<td>620 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Weiss</td>
<td>290 Stanton St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Mary Burke</td>
<td>138 W. 69rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Chiarel</td>
<td>114 W. 69rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray W. Fairman</td>
<td>1021 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha Bosch</td>
<td>983 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Compton</td>
<td>224 E. 47th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Patrick Haren</td>
<td>374 E. 74th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard Weinberg</td>
<td>562 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elisabeth Stein</td>
<td>611 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll Stein</td>
<td>611 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sara C. Carnegie
Wm. Paul Greenwald
Wm. E. & Mary Rofferty
J. H. Fealler
Gertrude Sieberberg

J. Merrill
B. Norgan
Mildred Imperato
Mr. Louis Harly
Mrs. Edith G. Oppenheimer

Joseph Litt
Mrs. Sara Gotsman
M. Baruchin
Selma Diamond
Mrs. Dorothy Danberg

Mr. Danberg
Elizabeth Spainer
Dr. Harry B. Silver
Lillian L. Lyon
Maurice Bernstein

Gertrude Olbrick
Bayles Family
Sophie Espinosa
Robert Curtiss
Charles Tocilko

Joseph J. Hallman
Celia Agresti
Ana Ortiz
Mrs. Wm. H. Greenburg
Anne Jahrs

Mrs. A. S. Kelly
Mrs. L. Martin
Gerald Marks
Lyli E. Johinen
Miss Bea Agnas Frain

Jennie F. Siba
Mrs. Joan Bolte
Mrs. Neomi Finkelstein
Mrs. M. Parera
Mrs. Martha M. Wharton

S. Kanitz
Mr. Robert Childs
Paul H. Kuhn, M.D.
Helen Malone
J. Douglas Rankin

Bertha M. Baiza
Maree Boesa
Loretta Tiernan

226 W. 70th Street
226 W. 70th Street
63 97th Street
5 West 63rd Street
104 West 70th Street

12 West 68th Street
9 West 64th Street
141 West 62nd Street
440 West End Ave.
310 West End Ave.

140 West End Avenue
55 Central Park West
61 West 73rd Street
154 West 70th
44 West 63rd Street

44 West 63 Street
333 West 86th
320 West 87th Street
161 West 87th Street
235 West 71st Street

235 West 71st Street
6 East 97th Street
23 East 108 Street
211 East 15th Street
235 West 71st Street

116 West 71st Street
348 East 15th Street
23 East 108th Street
236 West 70th Street
423 East 15th Street

58 East 86th Street
24 East 99th Street
154 West 71st Street
216 East 16th Street
51 East 90 Street

23 East 108th Street
61 East 86th Street
68 East 86th Street
1 West 64th Street
218 East 16th Street

120 West. 71st Street
21 East 90th Street
56 East 87th Street
24 East 97th
5 West 63rd Street

8 East 109th Street
8 East 109th Street
51 East 106th Street
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sara Geline</td>
<td>609 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Josie Nobile</td>
<td>609 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Julia Veselaski</td>
<td>607 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard &amp; William Renstle</td>
<td>615 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernest Flotman</td>
<td>631 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isidore Pullin</td>
<td>627 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Mary Hoffman</td>
<td>506 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Lee Wilson</td>
<td>612 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Scarola</td>
<td>424 E. 82nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Hellinger</td>
<td>534 E. 83rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. A. Bartels</td>
<td>321 E. 43rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyla Jacqueline Moore</td>
<td>311 E. 43rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Soloman</td>
<td>7 E. 32nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florence Gilligan</td>
<td>1100 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary H. Heyduk</td>
<td>415 120th St. St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roderick &amp; Carroll Stein</td>
<td>611 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Choquette</td>
<td>1125 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Janice McCarthy</td>
<td>773 10th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Schwartz</td>
<td>162 W. 56th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Rose</td>
<td>787 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Trimble</td>
<td>773 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Z. Faller</td>
<td>132 E. 47th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick White</td>
<td>151 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Gentile</td>
<td>513 E. 48th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennie Solineo</td>
<td>160 E. 47th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Rogers</td>
<td>161 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Gelandek</td>
<td>522 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Menin</td>
<td>526 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Maekamara</td>
<td>526 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Menzie</td>
<td>542 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary G. Riddleberger</td>
<td>404 W. 116th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Chasman</td>
<td>508 W. 114th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Fish</td>
<td>420 W. 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Edyth F. La Vay</td>
<td>141 E. 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Langer</td>
<td>172 E. 61st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Betty Prestera</td>
<td>172 E. 61st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Doris White</td>
<td>60 W. 45 St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Hattie W. Franks</td>
<td>508 W. 114th St. (Apt. 84E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Cook</td>
<td>407 W. 52nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lillian Lamb</td>
<td>400 W. 52nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet G. Hallock</td>
<td>404 W. 116th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. J. H. Lebeska</td>
<td>242 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. B. Bradshaw</td>
<td>176 E. 75th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylvan Peterson</td>
<td>230 E. 75th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester Jansson</td>
<td>230 E. 75th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrie M. Azud</td>
<td>50 W. 69th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ella Rainer</td>
<td>312 West 120th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Stephan Smith</td>
<td>162 East 82nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Ann Gower</td>
<td>601 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Anna Liptin</td>
<td>508 E. 114th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. S. Robinson</td>
<td>423 W. 120th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lillian Benjamin</td>
<td>140 W. 69th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George Ball</td>
<td>60 W. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otte Reisinger</td>
<td>304 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret McDonell</td>
<td>412 E. 65th St. (Apt. 22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheila Cameron</td>
<td>412 E. 68th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Francis Leutz</td>
<td>128 W. 61st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter J. Savage</td>
<td>113 West 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Margaret Fowler</td>
<td>401 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Minnie Doehay</td>
<td>204 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. H. Gwin</td>
<td>430 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. G. Bacon</td>
<td>336 W. 56th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. W. Cavonis</td>
<td>424 W. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constance Kinimmonth</td>
<td>300 W. 54th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine Daly</td>
<td>318 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Lynn</td>
<td>865 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Mano</td>
<td>535 W. 112 St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Lew Christensen</td>
<td>350 W. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Posser</td>
<td>615 E. 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James F. O'Brien</td>
<td>628 W. 114th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena Beringhauser</td>
<td>137 Rivington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Bella Sturm</td>
<td>85-9 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Mary Nelson</td>
<td>140 W. 69th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Ryan</td>
<td>175 E. 74th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadie Hilton</td>
<td>774 10th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine Ironside</td>
<td>423 W. 120th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Crane Kennedy</td>
<td>214 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. M. L. Rosenthal</td>
<td>400 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnes Graham</td>
<td>955 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Bienerstock</td>
<td>295 Stanton St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Horbeckey</td>
<td>633 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. A. Fleming</td>
<td>428 W. 56th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. Caroline Ponas</td>
<td>639 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl R. Anderson</td>
<td>554 W. 54th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma C. Kennell</td>
<td>363 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Fuchs</td>
<td>611 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chas. S. Chasman</td>
<td>508 W. 114th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas Schmidt</td>
<td>334 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James McCarthy</td>
<td>323 E. 62nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice Nulty</td>
<td>910 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor M. Sinisi</td>
<td>356 W. 56th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose E. Finn</td>
<td>475 W. 57th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annie Walker</td>
<td>317 W. 120th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Hattie Franks</td>
<td>508 W. 114th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss May Lynch</td>
<td>435 W. 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Jeanne Franks</td>
<td>508 W. 114th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Harter</td>
<td>401 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Muslewa</td>
<td>422 E. 83rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Notu</td>
<td>25 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Szolisa</td>
<td>455 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. W. McClain</td>
<td>307 W. 120th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Ryan</td>
<td>531 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elisabeth M. Kondrat</td>
<td>318 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Crane</td>
<td>430 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idella Tennesen</td>
<td>318 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Lee</td>
<td>378 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Earnest Pfeiffer</td>
<td>328 E. 86th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Alexander</td>
<td>454 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Margaret Alexander</td>
<td>454 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erik Johanson</td>
<td>430 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine McGee</td>
<td>230 E. 75th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May McGee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha McGee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Lach</td>
<td>350 W. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. S. Wallerstein</td>
<td>125 E. 72nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte Reinfeld</td>
<td>344 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Rose Sheinmu</td>
<td>292 Stanton St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Harold Hickerson</td>
<td>506 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleanor H. Smith</td>
<td>501 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Nuslaya</td>
<td>422 E. 82nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. M. Edwards</td>
<td>245 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julius Jacowitz</td>
<td>258 E. 4th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah James</td>
<td>512 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesse Williams</td>
<td>534 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert Pinwax</td>
<td>53 W. 52nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Rose Lehman</td>
<td>85 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada White</td>
<td>307 W. 120th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tena Kerth</td>
<td>317 West 120th St. (Apt. 6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Doris Peck
Marian Whitham
Lu Ruchman II
Augustus Setner
Aaron Pfeffer

Joseph Doyle
Sam & Beochie Tepper
M. James Lavin, Jr.
Frederica Bigelow
Helen Marie Morrissey

Mrs. Erna Altman
Mrs. E. F. Brady
Mary Garcia
Mary Latin
Evelyn Schumer
Rose Schumer
Florence Schumer

Miss Annie Monroe
Minnie Klein
Grace V. C. Schley
Niles Trammel (Mrs.)
Mrs. Grace C. Babcock

Katherine W. Burch
Charles Kirk
Michael Speciale
Carl Zettl
Phillip Henry Stennett

Mr. Errol H. Byron
Mrs. Geo. W. Butler
Mr. Irvin Davis
Giovanni Tambure
Hilda Baker

L. Hennie
Ceil Raimain
Charles Hughes
Mona Lee
Charlotte Kearney

Joe Camaorato
Betsy Ritchie Aron
Vivien E. Joseph
Josephine Falk
Mrs. Mabel Ayre

Mrs. Priscilla A. Clemens
Walter T. Brown
Ruby Hikoch Claff
Thomas Sheehan
Elsie Lorents

186 Rivington
930 Park Ave.
225 E. 73rd St.
250 W. 39th St.
250 W. 39th St.

404 W. 116th St.
374 E. 10th St.
1268 Park Ave.
430 W. 116th St.
1249 Park Ave.

205 E. 82nd St.
167 E. 79th St.
460 W. 57th St.
225 E. 63rd St.

140 E. 46th St.
340 E. Houston St.
629 Park Ave.
730 Park Ave.
770 Park Ave.

863 Park Ave.
304 E. 55th St.
169 E. 65th St.
412 W. 56th St.
310 W. 53rd St.

150 E. 49th St.
145 E. 49th St.
349 E. 49th St.
55 W. 70th St.
58 W. 70th St.

338 E. 49th St.
117 W. 70th St.
227 E. 49th St.
348 E. 49th St.
349 E. 49th St.

337 E. 49th St.
159 E. 49th St.
150 E. 49th St.
117 W. 70th St.
349 E. 49th St.

349 E. 49th St.
207 E. 49th St.
307 E. 49th St.
333 E. 49th St.
333 E. 49th St.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Katherine Buchanan</td>
<td>400 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith L. Smith</td>
<td>117 W. 70th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildred June Senanti</td>
<td>242 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Shapiro</td>
<td>117 W. 70th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudolf Baumbusch</td>
<td>131 W. 70th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie White</td>
<td>307 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evelyn R. Miller</td>
<td>349 W. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Panighetti</td>
<td>220 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophie Hemances</td>
<td>238 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Roth</td>
<td>400 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bena S. Steen Peterit</td>
<td>146 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. F. White</td>
<td>151 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo. B. Mack</td>
<td>400 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eula H. Christie</td>
<td>306 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Palmer</td>
<td>400 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Murphy</td>
<td>145 E. 48th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine Lotito</td>
<td>611 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas W. Evans</td>
<td>320 E. 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bettie Kleinrock</td>
<td>110 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elisabeth Clemens</td>
<td>321 E. 43rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Kuszorski</td>
<td>318 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard D. Campbell</td>
<td>229 E. 79th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Peter J. Breda</td>
<td>320 E. 86th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen McGrath</td>
<td>420 W. 56th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Borden</td>
<td>611 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. R. Donner</td>
<td>405 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. Jeremiah Moynihan</td>
<td>1065 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Catherine Campbell</td>
<td>1088 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss E. Ramoska</td>
<td>508 E. 114th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Fleischer</td>
<td>216 E. 75th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doris Adolph</td>
<td>548 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon W. Pollock</td>
<td>345 W. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel A. Barforder</td>
<td>10 W. 61st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Hamilton</td>
<td>245 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen Rodrigues</td>
<td>359 W. 54th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Margaret M. Henry</td>
<td>308 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jade Ling Chan</td>
<td>56 W. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William Whalen</td>
<td>510 E. 86th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Godell</td>
<td>430 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eloise G. Miller</td>
<td>327 W. 52nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Godel</td>
<td>76 Cannon St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. Goldberg</td>
<td>414 E. 10th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norma Gordon</td>
<td>251 E. 4th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonette Halligan</td>
<td>534 E. 83rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Campbell</td>
<td>1088 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Szilagi</td>
<td>993 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. Dengler</td>
<td>321 E. 43rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Fitzpatrick</td>
<td>138 E. 72nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Harold Witham</td>
<td>227 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palma Sperazi</td>
<td>163 E. 46th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine Stach</td>
<td>311 E. 43rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Dorothy Garguito</td>
<td>677 9th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustave Kylmanen</td>
<td>170 E. 64th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Jacoby</td>
<td>179 E. 79th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Keegan</td>
<td>309 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene Keleshek</td>
<td>424 E. 83rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Keleshek</td>
<td>424 E. 83rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yetta Yairman</td>
<td>421 E. 10th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teresa Grico</td>
<td>217 E. 76th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Keegan</td>
<td>309 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Babib</td>
<td>101 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine B. Carlucci</td>
<td>1049 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethel S. Blinder</td>
<td>1225 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peggy Anne Blinder</td>
<td>1225 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. C. Consalman</td>
<td>417 E. 82nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marguerite Mahoney</td>
<td>306 E. 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Schoendorf</td>
<td>340 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Mazeapa</td>
<td>316 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Hempstead</td>
<td>535 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Helen Leach</td>
<td>630 E. 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Dula</td>
<td>255 E. 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nettie Runberg</td>
<td>526 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Murray</td>
<td>442 W. 36th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiny Huff</td>
<td>245 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena Feldman</td>
<td>72 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubbles Parker</td>
<td>245 W. 51st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion C. Outhit</td>
<td>405 W. 118th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise J. McKeon</td>
<td>502 W. 113th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles S. Sinatra</td>
<td>421 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valma Frank</td>
<td>1075 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Schmakenberg</td>
<td>911 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Dora Lubin</td>
<td>119 Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James B. Keegan</td>
<td>309 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscar Hyman</td>
<td>22 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Yetta Hyman</td>
<td>22 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia E. Sinatra</td>
<td>421 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Rothman</td>
<td>309 E. 10th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry Dorcy</td>
<td>345 E. 57th St.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regraded Unclassified
Alice Cliffe
Edwin Saunders
Sara MacDonough
Julia Foller
Harry Zeiger
Margaret Breen
Dawn Ladvenseur
Robert Schults
Marie Held
Saul Seichman
Mrs. Betty Holmes
Marjorie Rough
Mary L. McClure
Nellie Sullivan
Mrs. Clara Robbins
H. Halle
James McGregor
P. E. Kuhn
Philip Hoffman
Mrs. Della Rooca
Henry Horsler
Mrs. J. L. Israel
Anna Besthorn
Eileen Shields
Rose Goldberg
Elisa Palin
Yetta Horn
Herbert Horn
Isador Ronneger
Maxine Labinson
A. Labinson
Harriet Lazarus
Rebecca Davis
Jack Howard
Sophie R. Bergman
Selma Zelda Rachlin
Miss Auty
Eliza Timol
Mrs. B. Braten
Isidore Bloch
Beatrice Spiegler
Mary Astarita
Michael Droby
Mrs. Jennie Brozovsky
Lucy Hendrickson

245 West 51st St., New York City
1088 Park Avenue, New York City
157 East 55th St., New York City
429 East 64th St., New York City
229 East 4th St., New York City

611 West 112th St., New York City
611 West 112th St., New York City
544 West 113th St., New York City
777 Tenth Ave., New York City
229 East 79th St., New York City

50 East 86th St., New York City
430 West 116th St., New York City
400 West 118th St., New York City
417 West 120th St., New York City
508 East 114th St., New York City

125 W. 72nd St., New York City
360 East 55th Street, New York City
430 West 118th St., New York City
414 West 118th St., New York City
500 East 114th St., New York City

430 West 119th St., New York City
215 East 73d St., New York City
183 East 73d St., New York City
311 East 43d Street, New York City
414 East 10th St., New York City

421 East 10th St., New York City
421 East 10th St., New York City
421 East 10th St., New York City
281 East 4th St., New York City
283 East 4th St., New York City

283 East 4th St., New York City
993 Park Avenue, New York City
955 Park Avenue, New York City
136 East 64th Street, New York City
114 West 61st Street, New York City

225 East 63d St., New York City
545 West 112th St., New York City
545 West 112th St., New York City
523 West 112th St., New York City
162 East 62nd St., New York City

175 East 63d Street, New York City
775 Tenth Ave., New York City
371 East 10th St., New York City
424 East 10th St., New York City
39 West 55th St., New York City
Michael Hanley
Mrs. Ruth Slaton
and Daughter
Mr. John Reed
Mrs. Ruth Falkowitz
F. Kirstein

Frances Gallina
Cecil Brewster
Lea W. Mishkin
Carmen Cequet
Dorothy Rodrigues

William Barnes
Lina Montanes
Walter L. Meneses
Samuel M. Wertheimer
Lillie Mae Williams

Elizabeth Payser
Samuel G. Maetzler
Mrs. Muriel Bernstein
Mrs. Percy Mishkoff
Miss Fanny Salek

Mr. Lamont
Bessie Markin
Mrs. Juliana Goldmann
Mrs. Thirer
Mrs. McGaffey

Rose RBIin
Dr. Anthony Stoff
Mrs. Anna S. Lubell
Harry I. Sobel
Morris Kletter

Eva Menes
Rose Vestal
Lynne Louise Runa
Miranda Mengual Averys
Carmen Revers

Cruz M. Hernandez
Lillian Parsons
Lottie Moore
Miguel G. Seda
Josefa Vincenzi

Dr. Leon Antell
Adelaide Jacoby
James Jacoby
Lionel Rigman
Mrs. Pauline E. Struck

174 East 74th Street, New York City
140 East 46th Street, New York City
224 East 47th St., New York City
101 Cannon St., New York City
225 East 74th St., New York City

217 East 76th St., New York City
14 East 14th St., New York City
98 Riverside Drive, New York City
49 East 112th St., New York City
4 East 112th St., New York City

8 East 112th St., New York City
46 East 112th St., New York City
10 Second Street, New York City
588 West End Avenue, New York City
19 East 113th Street, New York City

137 West 72nd St., New York City
112 West 72nd Street, New York City
585 West End Avenue, New York City
585 West End Avenue, New York City
175 West 72nd Street, New York City

5 West 63d St., New York City
7 West 61st Street, New York City
118 Riverside Drive, New York City
317 West 83rd Street, New York City
45 West 75th St., New York City

205 West 88th St., New York City
65 Central Park West, New York City
155 Riverside Drive, New York City
1819 Broadway, New York City
1841 Broadway, New York City

68 East 102d Street, New York City
65 East 103rd Street, New York City
20 East 103rd Street, New York City
20 East 103rd Street, New York City
75 East 112th St., New York City

49 East 112th St., New York City
14 East 114th St., New York City
14 East 114th St., New York City
23 East 113th St., New York City
83 East 113th St., New York City

46 East 91st St., New York City
50 West 72nd St., New York City
50 West 72nd St., New York City
53 West 72nd St., New York City
61 East 95th St., New York City
Miss Annabelle Laga
Maximilian E. Kloofain
Joseph B. Dunat
Mrs. Rose Plank
Mrs. Fannie Pfitzner

Mrs. Hannah Antill
Carmelina Falmes
Javita Maisonet
Mrs. Rose Newman
Judith Greenfield

Anacelia Velez
Theodore Malardie
Richard Routhbaum
Mr. G.A. Kammerer
Miss Susie Connor

Irene McCooey
A. Kridel
Richard C. Sinatra
Arthur A. Cohen
Quock Wah Chin

Rose Leiss
Mrs. Sarah Schulman
Walter Gleish
John Harbeson Fitzgerald
Barbara Carlton

Alfred Morer
Martin Morer
Elsie Berger
Charles Christ
Mr. John B. Etchinghame

Sylvia Peterson
L. M. Lorine
Lester Bridenbach, Jr.
Harriet M. Sherren
Rudy George Hodovaine

Mrs. Sarah Davis
Mrs. Gertrude Kaufman
Ella Driscoll
Helen G. Mo Namara
John J. Rathner

Gladys Collins
Ellen Corny
Albert Kirchner
Mary Margaret Walters
Betty Merwin

25 East 94th Street
2020 Broadway
322 W. 72nd Street
114 West 82nd Street
68 East 94th Street

46 East 91st Street
21 East 112th Street
14 East 112th Street
251 West 91st Street
12 West 72nd Street

56 East 102nd Street
330 W. 72nd Street
158 West 81st Street
165 W. 72nd Street
165 West 91st Street

14 East 114th Street
1111 Park Ave.
421 East 65th Street
1111 Park Ave.
349 East 65th Street

291 East 4th St.
79 Lewis Street
929 Paul Ave.
127 East 61st St.
309 W. 57th Street

316 East Houston St.
316 East Houston St.
252 E. 61st St.
309 W. 57th Street
425 East 86th St.

201 East 61st St.
225 E. 74th St.
1070 Park Avenue
567 East 13th St.
389 East 10th St.

292 Stanton St.
605 East 11th St.
316 East 55th St.
328 East 50th St.
417 - 118th St.

413 East 82nd St.
421 E. 82nd St.
234 East 50th St.
310 East 75th St.
119 East 64th St.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Paula Marron</td>
<td>418 W. 36th Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward C. Stirnlight</td>
<td>319 East 50th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Esther Pass</td>
<td>601 W. 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Hecker</td>
<td>286 Stanton St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy E. Heyburn</td>
<td>406 W. 57th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francie Brown</td>
<td>412 E. 64th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Edith Jevozovsky</td>
<td>290 Stanton St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Salvatore Adamo</td>
<td>432 W. 43rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine Tismon</td>
<td>400 East 59th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Woods</td>
<td>350 W. 57th Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernest Rizzo</td>
<td>72 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret O'Reilly</td>
<td>1120 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John G. Livingston</td>
<td>160 W. 44th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon Richkin</td>
<td>79-81 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mr.) &amp; Mrs. Mary Mersinger</td>
<td>447 W. 37th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Gabri</td>
<td>1100 Park Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mr. W. Belcher)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mrs. Annie B. Haskett)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Satz</td>
<td>420 West 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constance A. Satz</td>
<td>344 East Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Saatuf</td>
<td>344 East Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bella Klein</td>
<td>45 East 31st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Jerry Foley</td>
<td>79-81 Lewis St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vera Anna Novacs</td>
<td>228 East 81st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Winifred Sundquist</td>
<td>623 East 12th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie V. Buda</td>
<td>239 East 73rd Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith Jackson</td>
<td>239 East 73rd Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hans Morris</td>
<td>235 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John G. LeGrand</td>
<td>305 E. Houston St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion E. Pierce</td>
<td>419 W. 119th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Franco</td>
<td>122 East 62nd Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isabel S. Scott</td>
<td>40 West 55th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter, Mary &amp; Josephine Dziczko</td>
<td>957 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John T. Atkinson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mille Tratto</td>
<td>611 East 11th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karin M. Johvotin</td>
<td>505 West 112th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Elizabeth O'Connor</td>
<td>251 E. 4th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann K. Rosenblum</td>
<td>1021 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miriam Korman</td>
<td>200 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truman Bidwell Jr.</td>
<td>239 East 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marvin I. Haberman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Kays</td>
<td>348 East 49th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosalie Schubert</td>
<td>840 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Schubert</td>
<td>155 W. 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197 E. 76th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1120 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Samuel Pinkelstein</td>
<td>70th St. &amp; Bway. (Sherman Sq. Hotel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. E. J. Swarts</td>
<td>730 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. V. Berrock</td>
<td>330 W. 72nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Leitman</td>
<td>225 E. 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Grace Schley</td>
<td>620 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauline Vehay</td>
<td>863 Park Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Sidney Bier</td>
<td>330 W. 72nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. A. Alexander</td>
<td>32 W. 82nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Patrick McCullum</td>
<td>18 E. 80th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William Waite</td>
<td>18 E. 80th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Bain</td>
<td>526 Columbus Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgil Markham</td>
<td>118 E. 18th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duane Barry</td>
<td>339 E. 16th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilda Berry</td>
<td>339 E. 16th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John Novitsky</td>
<td>310 E. 18th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennie Weiss</td>
<td>345 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Anna C. Winterhalder</td>
<td>304 E. 18th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reese Jones</td>
<td>415 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl Gloria Weinberger</td>
<td>441 E. 16th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clara Levin</td>
<td>417 E. 16th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Charta</td>
<td>536 E. 16th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Mickiewics</td>
<td>401 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyyne Barkola</td>
<td>119 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James A. McLaughlin</td>
<td>205 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary B. Benjamin</td>
<td>233 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Shanahan</td>
<td>333 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria A. Jederan</td>
<td>208 E. 18th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Lily Pepper</td>
<td>208 E. 18th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Blatty</td>
<td>333 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flora Marchowski</td>
<td>107 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henrietta Adler</td>
<td>331 E. 17th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Gullen</td>
<td>243 E. 63rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Petruska</td>
<td>430 E. 71st St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnes McDonald</td>
<td>433 E. 67th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Wake</td>
<td>204 E. 69th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert Falton</td>
<td>135 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaland Carter</td>
<td>180 E. 64th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Dwojak</td>
<td>222 E. 67th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cumnakay</td>
<td>433 E. 69th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. Louis C. Goodspeed</td>
<td>444 E. 66th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret L. Seward</td>
<td>119 E. 64th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary R. McGuire</td>
<td>303 E. 65th St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. C. Honor</td>
<td>30 Beekman Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Rozicky</td>
<td>433 East 72nd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bionohe K. Miller</td>
<td>155 E. 73rd St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doris Ismay Jones</td>
<td>30 E. 68th St.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mrs. Michael Corr
Mr. & Mrs. Michael Dziedzick
Mona Lee
John Brussick
Margene Vasiluth
Charles Hughes
Richard Keegan
William S. Keegan
Miss India Niblack
Amelia Rodie
Richard A. Walsh
Natalie Erdman
Karolina Olleseh
Anna Klinberg
Mrs. D. M. Morris
Mr. Austin T. Rogers
Mr. Henry Slater
Marilyn O'Neill
Mr. Charles E. Hall
Maud Salm
John Hauser
Stanford Moore
Rose F. Schaff
Mr. Edward H. Kessler
Mr. Frank Dula
Eileen Delaney
William Murphy
Walter Ludwig Speyer
Gretchen Degenkolb
Mrs. Isabella Schloss
Catherine Shortis
Mrs. M. Epstein
Dr. Nathan Portman
Mrs. Margorie Terry
Robert Hojgaard
Dr. Martin Slitaker
Julius Koppel
Peter Beck
Eugene Walker Keniley
Mr. Roy Thompson
Pierre Simon
Dr. Herman Numberg
Miss Anna Marie Winter
Delys Sane
Eva Passon

501 West 40th St.
630 East 11th St.
348 East 49th St.
346 East 48th St.
319 East 55th St.
227 East 49th St.
309 East 65th St.
309 East 65th St.
542 W. 112th St.
225 East 63rd St.
435 East 57th St.
163 W. 65th St.
170 E. 64th St.
170 E. 64th St.
246 E. 46th St.
161 E. 46th St.
9 Columbus Circle
166 E. 78th St.
243 E. 46th St.
333 E. 58th St.
40 E. 72nd St.
145 E. 63rd St.
46 E. 91st St.
170 E. 49th St.
255 E. 49th St.
730 Park Ave.
620 Park Ave.
141 W. 73rd St.
150 CentralPk. S.
240 CentralPk. S.
27 Columbus Ave.
121 W. 72nd St.
320 W. 87th St.
222 E. 49th St.
26 E. 91st St.
150 E. 49th St.
7 E. 89th St.
165 E. 49th St.
146 E. 49th St.
823 Park Ave.
875 Park Ave.
875 Park Ave.
983 Park Ave.
35 E. 76th St.
35 E. 76th St.
Anna K. O'Brien
Patrick J. Duffy
Mary E. Johnson
W. B. Walter
Dr. Abraham L. Wolbarst
Margaret Fumell
Delia Ahern
Max Lennoy
Oscar Wallack
Genevieve Noble
Katherine E. Spaatz
Joseph Weinberg
Miss Sylvia Wiskind
Miss Julia Kepacka
Mildred B. Leau
Joseph Franco
Mrs. Elizabeth Zimmerman
Mr. Rudolf Stallindun
Miss Jean Acette
Mrs. Anne F. Hodgkins
Mrs. Catherine Sohn
Romaine Krantz
Mrs. Griffith
Mr. Walter Hillmer
Mrs. N. Ellinger
Eliza Fuchs
Minnie D. Coffin
Mrs. Hofstader
Mrs. Ella Davis
303 E. 69th St.
231 E. 70th St.
210 E. 64th St.
20 Beekman Place
114 E. 64th St.
136 E. 64th St.
228 E. 67th St.
172 E. 63rd St.
18 E. 64th St.
446 E. 66th St.
35 E. 63rd St.
141 E. 18th St.
346 E. 18th St.
346 E. 18th St.
227 E. 18th St.
340 E. 18th St.
332 E. 18th St.
332 E. 18th St.
345 E. 18th St.
138 E. 19th St.
345 E. 18th St.
169 E. 77th St.
34 E. 68th St.
177 E. 77th St.
142
56 E. 78th St.
169 E. 78 St.
175 E. 79 St.
135 E. 71st St.
TO
Secretary Morgenthau

FROM
Mr. Haas

DATE July 28, 1942.

Attached is a memorandum on the Operation of Payroll
Savings Plan in June.
OPERATION OF PAYROLL SAVINGS PLAN IN JUNE

1. During the past 4 weeks 13,089 companies employing 9,500,000 workers have submitted data to the Treasury covering the operation during June of the payroll savings plan in their organizations in response to your monthly letter to such companies requesting this information. The figures cover about 43 percent of all workers in companies with payroll savings plans and are believed to be representative of the operation of the payroll savings plan in all companies in the country.

2. On the basis of the application of the figures reported by the 13,089 companies, it is estimated that 15,300,000 persons (approximately 69 percent of all workers in companies with payroll savings plans) had funds deducted from their pay for the purchase of war savings bonds during the month of June. It is further estimated that these deductions amounted to 5.3 percent of the monthly pay of these persons or to approximately $9.96 for each one of the persons participating. In the aggregate it is estimated that the amount of deductions from pay amounted to approximately $153 millions during June, but that as a result of a lag between the time deductions are made and bonds are actually purchased from the funds accumulated, the total purchases of war savings bonds under payroll savings plans during June amounted to only $135 millions.

3. Figures similar to the foregoing have been given to you from time to time in the past. A table summarizing these figures will provide an overall picture of the progress that has been made during the past 7 months, and a cue to the distance that still has to be traveled. Such a table follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Number of firms with 100 or more employees</th>
<th>Number of employees exposed to payroll savings plan (millions)</th>
<th>Estimated number of employees participating (millions)</th>
<th>Percent of pay of participating employees deducted</th>
<th>Average deduction per employee participating</th>
<th>Aggregate bonds purchased (millions)</th>
<th>Aggregate funds accumulated (millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec....</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>$7.10</td>
<td>$5.0</td>
<td>$3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan....</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb....</td>
<td>16,428</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar....</td>
<td>17,260</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr....</td>
<td>20,114</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May....</td>
<td>21,301</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June....</td>
<td>23,855</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>153.0</td>
<td>135.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept....</td>
<td>33,695</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$500.0</td>
<td>$500.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Figures in first column relate to firms with 100 or more employees only. Figures in all other columns relate to all firms in the country.
United States Savings Bonds - Total

Comparison of July sales to date with sales during the same number of business days in June and May 1942

(At issue price in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Daily sales</th>
<th>Cumulative sales by business days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$28,418</td>
<td>$28,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24,269</td>
<td>52,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27,277</td>
<td>79,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>46,531</td>
<td>126,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31,110</td>
<td>157,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>43,451</td>
<td>201,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>39,918</td>
<td>240,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>47,755</td>
<td>288,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36,127</td>
<td>324,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>47,164</td>
<td>372,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>30,102</td>
<td>402,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>33,807</td>
<td>435,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>31,670</td>
<td>467,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>37,659</td>
<td>505,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>21,929</td>
<td>527,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>53,257</td>
<td>580,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21,686</td>
<td>602,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>34,511</td>
<td>636,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>33,434</td>
<td>670,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>32,987</td>
<td>703,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>19,510</td>
<td>722,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>53,821</td>
<td>776,393</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office of the Secretary of the Treasury, Division of Research and Statistics.

Source: All figures are deposits with the Treasurer of the United States on account of proceeds of sales of United States savings bonds.

Note: Figures have been rounded to nearest thousand and will not necessarily add to totals.
### UNITED STATES SAVINGS BONDS - SERIES E

Comparison of July sales to date with sales during the same number of business days in June and May 1942

(At issue price in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>July daily sales</th>
<th>Cumulative sales by business days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$15,821</td>
<td>$15,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14,860</td>
<td>30,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16,822</td>
<td>47,523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29,797</td>
<td>77,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>17,724</td>
<td>95,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21,599</td>
<td>116,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>22,746</td>
<td>139,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>24,772</td>
<td>164,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>19,077</td>
<td>183,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>26,550</td>
<td>209,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>15,744</td>
<td>225,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>18,407</td>
<td>243,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17,828</td>
<td>261,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>22,345</td>
<td>284,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>12,233</td>
<td>296,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>31,368</td>
<td>327,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>12,239</td>
<td>339,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>18,184</td>
<td>358,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>18,261</td>
<td>376,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>18,588</td>
<td>394,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>10,695</td>
<td>405,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>32,577</td>
<td>438,256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office of the Secretary of the Treasury,
Division of Research and Statistics.

July 28, 1942.

Source: All figures are deposits with the Treasurer of the United States on account of proceeds of sales of United States savings bonds.

Note: Figures have been rounded to nearest thousand and will not necessarily add to totals.
# UNITED STATES SAVINGS BONDS - SERIES F AND G COMBINED

Comparison of July sales to date with sales during the same number of business days in June and May 1942

*(At issue price in thousands of dollars)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>July Daily Sales</th>
<th>Cumulative Sales by Business Days</th>
<th>July to June</th>
<th>July to May</th>
<th>July as Percent of June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 1942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$12,597</td>
<td>$12,597</td>
<td>$9,705</td>
<td>$7,302</td>
<td>129.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9,369</td>
<td>21,965</td>
<td>17,601</td>
<td>15,168</td>
<td>124.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10,455</td>
<td>32,441</td>
<td>26,235</td>
<td>25,516</td>
<td>123.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16,734</td>
<td>49,175</td>
<td>40,009</td>
<td>33,145</td>
<td>122.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13,386</td>
<td>62,561</td>
<td>49,353</td>
<td>48,751</td>
<td>126.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21,852</td>
<td>84,413</td>
<td>55,888</td>
<td>60,617</td>
<td>151.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>17,172</td>
<td>101,585</td>
<td>67,414</td>
<td>67,213</td>
<td>150.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22,983</td>
<td>124,568</td>
<td>72,366</td>
<td>72,794</td>
<td>172.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17,050</td>
<td>141,618</td>
<td>82,310</td>
<td>80,845</td>
<td>172.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20,634</td>
<td>162,232</td>
<td>89,852</td>
<td>85,410</td>
<td>180.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14,355</td>
<td>176,590</td>
<td>95,254</td>
<td>94,391</td>
<td>185.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>15,400</td>
<td>191,991</td>
<td>101,464</td>
<td>102,106</td>
<td>189.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13,842</td>
<td>205,833</td>
<td>108,715</td>
<td>108,923</td>
<td>189.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>15,314</td>
<td>221,147</td>
<td>112,279</td>
<td>114,129</td>
<td>197.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9,696</td>
<td>230,842</td>
<td>119,749</td>
<td>123,534</td>
<td>192.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>21,858</td>
<td>252,731</td>
<td>126,048</td>
<td>127,724</td>
<td>200.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9,447</td>
<td>262,178</td>
<td>134,062</td>
<td>138,908</td>
<td>195.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>16,327</td>
<td>278,505</td>
<td>137,429</td>
<td>149,502</td>
<td>202.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>15,174</td>
<td>293,679</td>
<td>147,698</td>
<td>156,557</td>
<td>198.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>14,399</td>
<td>308,077</td>
<td>153,532</td>
<td>161,404</td>
<td>200.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>8,816</td>
<td>316,893</td>
<td>162,774</td>
<td>173,335</td>
<td>194.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>21,244</td>
<td>338,137</td>
<td>170,547</td>
<td>179,208</td>
<td>198.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office of the Secretary of the Treasury,  
Division of Research and Statistics,  
July 28, 1942.

Source: All figures are deposits with the Treasurer of the United States on account of proceeds of sales of United States savings bonds.

Note: Figures have been rounded to nearest thousand and will not necessarily add to totals.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Actual Sales</th>
<th>Quota</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Actual Sales</th>
<th>Quota</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Actual Sales</th>
<th>Quota</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Actual Sales</th>
<th>Quota</th>
<th>Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>to Date</td>
<td></td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>to Date</td>
<td></td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>to Date</td>
<td></td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>to Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$15.8</td>
<td>$15.8</td>
<td>$23.6</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>$12.6</td>
<td>$12.6</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
<td>$28.4</td>
<td>$28.4</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
<td>$28.4</td>
<td>$28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>208.6</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>139.3</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>157.6</td>
<td>233.5</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>116.6</td>
<td>162.2</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>201.1</td>
<td>276.7</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>139.4</td>
<td>189.8</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>129.5</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>241.0</td>
<td>339.3</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>164.2</td>
<td>216.0</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>139.9</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>288.7</td>
<td>355.9</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>185.2</td>
<td>256.6</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>141.6</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>324.9</td>
<td>384.3</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>209.8</td>
<td>273.2</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>162.2</td>
<td>160.6</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>372.0</td>
<td>433.8</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>225.5</td>
<td>287.6</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>175.6</td>
<td>168.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>402.1</td>
<td>455.6</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>247.9</td>
<td>311.6</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>192.0</td>
<td>181.8</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>435.9</td>
<td>493.4</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>261.5</td>
<td>339.5</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>205.8</td>
<td>193.5</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>467.6</td>
<td>529.0</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>284.1</td>
<td>358.7</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>221.1</td>
<td>202.8</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>505.3</td>
<td>562.5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>296.3</td>
<td>377.4</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>230.8</td>
<td>210.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>527.2</td>
<td>587.9</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>327.7</td>
<td>411.8</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>252.7</td>
<td>223.9</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>580.4</td>
<td>635.7</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>340.0</td>
<td>425.9</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>262.2</td>
<td>231.8</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>602.1</td>
<td>657.7</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>358.1</td>
<td>451.1</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>278.5</td>
<td>247.0</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>668.6</td>
<td>726.2</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>376.4</td>
<td>477.5</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>293.7</td>
<td>260.1</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>700.1</td>
<td>737.6</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>395.0</td>
<td>503.8</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>308.1</td>
<td>270.7</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>703.1</td>
<td>774.5</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>405.7</td>
<td>525.0</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>316.9</td>
<td>279.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>722.6</td>
<td>804.5</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>438.3</td>
<td>562.7</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>338.1</td>
<td>295.1</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>776.4</td>
<td>857.8</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office of the Secretary of the Treasury, Division of Research and Statistics.

July 28, 1942.

Source: Actual sales figures are deposits with the Treasurer of the United States on account of proceeds of sales of United States savings bonds. Figures have been rounded and will not necessarily add to totals.

Note: Quota takes into account both the daily trend during the week and the monthly trend during the month.
TO
TREASURY DEPARTMENT

INTER OFFICE COMMUNICATION

TO
Secretary Morgenthau

FROM
Mr. Hoflich

DATE July 28, 1942

Subject: Shipment of Planes to British Forces

1. During the week ending July 21, 1942, a total of 83 planes of all types (79 combat planes) were sent to the British forces. As in the previous week, these shipments were substantially below the weekly average of 117 planes during the first six months of this year.

2. Forty-two planes, or more than half of the total, were sent to the Middle East. This number included 40 bombers. Only 26 planes, all bombers, went to the British Isles during the week.

3. For the first time in 1942, no pursuit or fighter planes were sent to the British forces.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Week Ending</th>
<th>Total Shipped in 1942</th>
<th>Total Shipped since Jan. 1, 1941</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July 21, 1942</td>
<td>to date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To the United Kingdom</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light and medium bombers</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>1,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy bombers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval patrol bombers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursuit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>1,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army Cooperation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total to the United Kingdom</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1,584</td>
<td>3,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To the Middle East</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light and medium bombers</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy bombers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval patrol bombers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>1,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursuit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army Cooperation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total to the Middle East</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>2,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To the Canadian Forces</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light and medium bombers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy bombers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval patrol bombers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursuit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>1,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total to Canadian Forces</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>2,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To the British Pacific Forces</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light and medium bombers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval patrol bombers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursuit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total to Pacific Forces</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To the British Indian Forces</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light and medium bombers</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursuit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total to Indian Forces</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light and medium bombers</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1,126</td>
<td>2,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy bombers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval patrol bombers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursuit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,463</td>
<td>2,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army Cooperation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>2,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3,354</td>
<td>8,266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table B - Shipments by Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aircraft Type</th>
<th>Week Ending July 21, 1942</th>
<th>Total Shipped in 1942 to Date</th>
<th>Total Shipped Jan. 1, 1942</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bell Airacobra</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boeing B-17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boston III</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
<td><strong>39</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewster Buffalo</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cessna Crane I-A (AT-17)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T-50</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>86</strong></td>
<td><strong>700</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Catalina Liberator</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Curtiss Kittyhawk</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>575</strong></td>
<td><strong>987</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tomahawk</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>544</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Boston I, II and III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairchild 24 R-9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FT-26 Cornell</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn Martin B-26A (Marauder)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baltimore</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>257</strong></td>
<td><strong>325</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maryland</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>150</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grumman Martlet II</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lockheed A-29A (AC-151)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hudson</strong></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
<td><strong>452</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,422</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lightning</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ventura I</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ventura Bomber</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>227</strong></td>
<td><strong>227</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American B-25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Harvard II</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>53</strong></td>
<td><strong>950</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mustang</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>518</strong></td>
<td><strong>598</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northrop Vengeance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitscairn Autogiro</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearman PT-27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vought-Sikorsky Chesapeake</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vultee Stinson 0-49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vultee Vengeance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total - All Types**: 853 3,354 8,266
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TO
Secretary Morgenthau

FROM
Mr. Hoflich

Subject: Changes in the German war economy.

During this year, Germany has carried out important economic changes, precipitated by shortage of manpower. The measures which have been taken indicate some degree of economic strain, but furnish no basis for predicting an early collapse of the Nazi economy. These changes have not been accompanied by any considerable friction among the chief power groups in Germany. It is stated that the Nazi Party has retained and consolidated its leadership, but that it has received full cooperation and support from the army and industrialists.

The measures taken fall into five categories:

1. The Armament Industry

   a. Control over armament production has been concentrated and unified under committees of technical experts for each of the major armament products, coordinated by a new Armament Council under the direction of the Minister of Armaments and Munitions.

   b. A new contract system for armaments has been instituted to replace the "cost plus" system. Under the new system, all producers of a given article are classified into a number of groups according to production costs. Then a uniform price is fixed for each group, determined by the production costs of a relatively efficient firm in each group.

   c. A decree of March 21, 1942 "for the protection of the armament industry" increases the penalties (to include death) for anyone who makes incorrect statements regarding needs for manpower or supplies of essential raw materials and machinery.
2. The Output of Basic Industry

Industrial concentration (now being considered in the United States) has been speeded up, especially in consumer goods production, where there is extensive idle plant capacity.

3. Simplification of Economic Structure

a. Administrative machinery is being simplified to increase efficiency and to save manpower. Trade associations, cartels, and syndicates in some industries are being abolished and their place is being taken by Reich Associations.

b. Government personnel has been cut by about 40 percent by draft for the armed forces. All post-war planning and administrative activities not directly connected with the war effort have ceased.

4. Measures relating to Labor

Labor control has been further centralized under the new Commissioner General for Labor, who is charged with "combing out" all men from industry in such a way that productive output will not decline. Compulsory recruiting of labor is being introduced in some of the occupied countries.

5. Conservation of Food Stocks

Substantial reductions in food rations took place in April, motivated by indications of poor crops throughout Europe. The lower rations, however, apparently are adequate for most workers from the standpoint of nutrition requirements.

(Office of Strategic Services, "The War This Week", July 16 - 23, 1942. Based on a study made by the Economics Division and the Central European Section of the Office of Strategic Services)
July 28, 1942.

My dear Mr. Secretary:

Re currencies in occupied territories

Confirming my talk with you over the telephone, I have read the memorandum of the Treasury on the subject of the financial and economic arrangements to be made by the United States Government in areas which may be occupied by its armies.

When I asked the Secretary of State as to his views on the memorandum, he said that he had not yet had time to consider it but had referred the matter to Dr. Feis, Adviser on International Economic Affairs of the State Department, and suggested that I talk with Dr. Feis. I did so and found that his views as well as my own were in substantial agreement with the recommendations of your memorandum so far as the topics had been brought up in the conference with the President the other day. In general Dr. Feis and my views were as follows:

First: We were disinclined to have the United States Government use the pound currency proposed to be issued by Great Britain.

Second: We were inclined to feel that in the initial period of an occupation the simplest method was to proceed with the use of American currency so far as it could easily be used and that this currency should be not especially marked.

Third: We agreed with the Treasury memorandum that, after the occupation had proceeded long enough to make the course possible, it would be most desirable to have currency issued in the similitude of the currency of the occupied country or of its central bank.

I send you enclosed a copy of Dr. Feis's memorandum.

I have not heard anything further from Mr. Hull or from the President.

Very sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Secretary of War.

Hon. Henry Morgenthau, Jr.,
Secretary of the Treasury,
Washington, D. C.
Mr. Secretary:

The Secretary of War telephoned me this morning and stated that he had been informed that you had instructed me to deal with the matters raised in the letter of July 20 from the Secretary of the Treasury to yourself dealing with various currency questions that would arise in the event of American occupation of territories now in Axis control. The Secretary of War stated that he anticipated that the Secretary of the Treasury would wish to review this question very promptly and therefore immediate discussion of the subject would be advisable.

I called upon him this afternoon. General Carter (?) was also present.

Current discussion of the subject appears to have been stimulated by a cable from General Eisenhower informing this Government that the British authorities are preparing a special type of sterling currency for use in occupied areas and had requested that our troops use this sterling currency. However, since there was complete previous agreement that the American army of occupation should not use sterling currency, this question did not arise in discussion this afternoon. It was assumed that any American occupation would use either (a) some form of American currency, or (b) some form of currency issued by the local authorities.

The Treasury had prepared an extensive "Preliminary Report on the Financial Administration of Occupied Territory" which is attached to the letter of the Secretary of the Treasury. As summarized in the covering letter to the Secretary of the Treasury, this memorandum was intended to deal with two main questions:

"(1) Provision for a currency that United Nation armed forces would need within a few days or weeks after invasion.

"(2) Provision for a sound monetary, banking and fiscal order in the area in which the occupation authorities would have to administer behind the advancing armies."

The Secretary of War requested my views on the two leading questions presented by the Treasury memorandum. These were as follows:
First. The Treasury proposes that we should issue for the use of our armed forces and similar purposes a special dollar currency. This would be distinguished from the regular dollar currency by some such mark as an overstamp or perforation. Soldiers' pay to be spent in the occupied area would be made in this special currency. It would be exchangeable for ordinary dollars or sterling only by license.

The primary reason, so far as I have been informed, behind the Treasury suggestion of a special dollar currency would be as safeguard against the possibility that some might come into the possession of the Axis who would of course turn it to their own advantage.

The Secretary of War had doubt as to whether this special currency would be as acceptable to the American troops as regular dollar currency. But more importantly, he stated that the thought was in his mind that it would be imperative to win over local populations of occupied territory as completely as possible; that if they were paid for goods and services they might supply our armed forces in regular dollars, which they knew they could freely use and possibly put aside as savings, that this would help in assuring aid to our troops; and that this advantage might in part be lost if they were paid in a restricted dollar currency.

I stated that I agreed with this judgment and that I did not think the insurance provided by a special dollar currency was sufficient to outweigh the disadvantages.

Second. The Treasury memorandum then provides that after a very short period [and I presume with some form of understanding with the present recognized governments of the occupied territories] there should be issued a new local currency for each country reoccupied. This new local currency, prepared in advance, would be similar to but distinguishable from any currency previously used in the country concerned. The Treasury memorandum then set forth several alternative procedures in regard to the question of who should issue such occupation currency and by what procedure. In the course of the discussion with the Secretary of War, I indicated the view that the second of the two procedures suggested (see page 11 of the Treasury memorandum) appeared to me to be the most satisfactory, that is, that "free governments already existing, or more acceptable new free governments, might issue the currency in their own name". In certain circumstances the third alternative, that is, "issuance by existing or new central banks of the free governments", might however be used.

It seems clear that whatever procedure was used it would be helpful to have thorough-going understanding with the present governments of the occupied countries to assure their full cooperation in this currency field. I believe also that there would have to be an understanding as
regards money matters, for example, (a) the uses to which the new local currency might be put, (b) the ultimate responsibility therefor, (c) its redeemability for pounds sterling and dollars. On the latter point, I indicated agreement with the Treasury memorandum that these new local currencies would only be redeemable under license. The Secretary of War indicated similar agreement, since this local currency would not be used for the payment of American troops.

In the course of the discussion several related questions of importance presented themselves.

(1) In the event the British army of occupation issued a sterling currency (whether the regular sterling currency or a restricted one) and the American army of occupation issued a dollar currency (whether the regular dollar or a restricted one) there would be a risk of the value of sterling currency in terms of dollar currency falling below the present official controlled rate. This risk would be great if the British resorted to a restricted sterling currency while we used the regular dollar currency. I tried to outline certain arrangements between the British and American Governments whereby, if it was desired, this disparity could be avoided or controlled.

(2) The analysis contained in the Treasury memorandum contemplates payment in currencies. I pointed out the fact that after a stage where occupation became regular and established, it was highly likely that the largest transactions involving payment as between the occupying army and the local population and government would be arranged by representatives of the two governments. I suggested that probably these could be handled most satisfactorily by some type of system of "reciprocal accounts" rather than by the use of currency. Such a system of reciprocal accounts could take various forms and be based on any one of a great number of agreements between governments as to the share each should take in the expenses involved.

The Secretary of War understands that you have not read the Treasury memorandum and that I have had no opportunity to check my ideas with you. I informed him that I would immediately make a memorandum of our conversation and send him a copy.

EA:HF:LIWW
Dear [Name],

July 23rd, 1984

Enclosures: Allocation and Definition of the Period within Which You Need Kindness

Re: [Subject]

I hope that I may be esteemed as a friend, and that I can make clear what I mean to do. I trust that we may be able to proceed as planned, and that the present date was not intended to cause the memo.

I understand that the proposal was made by the original provider, and that the proposal date was intended to be understood. However, I appreciate your concern and your desire to proceed in your interest to carry on this point and I understand that you and I agree with the view that the proposed amount will need to be assured before the agreed amount. Only then will the complete amount or the complete project be carried out after the agreed amount. I am not sure what is expected for the proposed date. I am not sure what is expected for the proposed date.

In the face of this, it seems to me that the proposed date is

Please let me know your thoughts on this point.

Deepest regards,

[Your Name]
relief action is not restricted to the supply of immediate necessities.

Secondly, the proposal to give the Relief Administration powers to plan in regard to the wider aspects of reconstruction, raises some difficult issues on which I think it is still too early to attempt to reach a final solution. Clearly some United Nations organisation may require to be set up to deal with economic problems and wider post-war financial problems, and it may be that eventually Relief and Reconstruction may be brought under the same political framework. But it is certain that the proposed Relief Council and Policy Committee will be the most appropriate form of organisation for this purpose? My Government evidently take the view that it would be premature to attempt to decide this question at present. I have no full information as to the reasons which led them to take this view but it may be that they are influenced by the thought that rather different personnel may be suitable for the Relief Council and Policy Committee than what may be required for a general Reconstruction Council. For my part, too, I fear that the combination of both functions in one body may lead to neglect of the more immediate relief problem while efforts are being made to solve the wider problems of long-term reconstruction both political and economic. These will have to be tackled but they are hardly ready for general political debate at the present time.

I admit that the problem of relief cannot be divorced completely from that of reconstruction and I would favour as broad as possible a conception of the effective functions of the Relief Administration. But I should be very reluctant to see the Relief Council given a planning function relating to the whole field of post-war reconstruction if this involved a delay in organising the Relief Administration or a contraction of its effective field of action. I should therefore prefer the original text both of the Preamble and of Article I, but if these texts are to be revised, I think that it should be made clear that the Relief Administration's scope covers "rehabilitation" in the sense of transitional measures for restarting industrial and agricultural activities and essential services, but does not extend to the long-term problems of economic and financial reconstruction unless and until some decision is reached to entrust supervision of these questions to the Relief Committee.

I hope to be back in Washington on Friday, 31st July, and to see you then.

Yours sincerely,

F. W. LEITH-ROSS

The Honourable Dean G. Acheson
The Assistant Secretary of State,
U.S. Department of State,
Washington, D.C.
This is in answer to your request of July 27 concerning Merle Cochran.

We have had no word from Cochran directly or through the State Department, nor have we received any reports of any kind whatsoever.

The only intimation we have had pertaining to his presence in Argentina has been references in two cables of June 30 and July 8 which indicated that he was present at conferences between the Embassy and the Central Bank of Argentina on matters relating to our currency control program. (The conversations on currency with Argentina are not considered important in view of Argentina's lack of cooperation generally, nor are they considered too complicated for the Embassy to handle unaided.)
TO Secretary Morgenthau
FROM H. D. White

This is in reply to your memorandum of July 27, in which you request a study of the newspaper report from Ecuador that the value of United States currency bills has dropped by 50 percent, and that the Axis is utilizing this as propaganda against the United States amongst the laboring classes, saying that the Ecuadorean workers returning from Panama are being cheated of their earnings.

The correct story is this:

1. Ecuador is cooperating with our currency program, attempting to prevent the Axis from obtaining benefit from looted U. S. currency.

2. The Central Bank of Ecuador has made arrangements to buy all the dollar currency at full value which Ecuadorean laborers are bringing from Panama.

3. The Axis is able to use this situation as propaganda only because the Central Bank has not given adequate publicity to their arrangements for buying United States currency legitimately acquired.

4. We had previously asked the president of the Central Bank, who is now in New York, to remedy the lack of publicity, and we have requested the State Department to send a similar message to our Mission in Ecuador asking the government to do the same.
LAR FALLS IN ECUADOR

Drop, Laid to Circulation Ban, Feeds Nazi-Propaganda

Special Cable to THE NEW YORK TIMES.

GUAYAQUIL, Ecuador, July 26

The restriction on the circulation of United States dollars, which have been standard exchange here, has resulted in a drop in value of from 14 to 7 sucres in the open market, it was disclosed today.

The Central Bank has been prohibited from buying dollars and laborers returning from work on the Panama Canal are forced to sell them on the street for as little as 8 sucres.

Although the announced restriction of the circulation of American paper currency was for the purpose of preventing their use by the Axis agents, Nazi propagandists here say that the United States is repudiating its own dollars and will not honor foreign obligations.

They charge that laborers on the Canal are being defrauded of their just earnings. This propaganda, spreading rapidly among the working classes, will do much to harm the cause of the United States unless something is done to protect these workers, it is alleged.
By Dear Mr. Secretary:

I have been informed that a shipment of 25 cases of gold shipped by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics has arrived at Brooklyn, N. Y. Please arrange with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to have the gold transported, uninsured, from Brooklyn to the United States Assay Office in New York where it is to be deposited for the account of the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States by order of the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The gold contained in this shipment is to be applied against the amount owed under the agreement of January 3, 1942. Please request the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to communicate with the State Bank of the U.S.S.R., Moscow, relative to any expenses which it may incur in connection with the transportation of this gold.

Sincerely yours,

The Honorable

The Secretary of the Treasury,

Washington, D. C.
July 26, 1942

My dear Mr. Secretary,

I have been informed that a shipment of 28 cases of gold shipped by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics has arrived in Brooklyn, N.Y. Please arrange with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to have the gold transported, uninsured, from Brooklyn to the United States Assay Office in New York where it is to be deposited for the account of the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States by order of the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The gold contained in this shipment is to be applied against the amount sold under the agreement of January 5, 1942. Please request the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to communicate with the State Bank of the U.S.S.R., Moscow relative to any expenses which it may incur in connection with the transportation of this gold.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) Maxim Litvinoff

Ambassador

The Honorable

The Secretary of the Treasury,

Washington, D.C.
July 26, 1942

Mr. White

Mr. Destrick

Today, Mr. Long of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York took delivery of 25 cases of Russian gold from Captain Hearne of the USS PHILADELPHIA. Captain Hearne read a letter to Mr. Long, which Mr. Long thought was from some Naval official abroad, stating that the gold was from the U.S.S.R., that it was for the United States Treasury and that it formed part of a larger shipment.

As we have already received about $5,000,000 in gold at New York, it is possible that there are other shipments on the way to that city.
Mr. White
Mr. Dietrich

There is a second shipment of 25 cases of gold ($1,500,000) from Russia which is arriving at the Brooklyn Navy Yard this morning. This gold will be delivered by the Federal Reserve Bank to the United States Assay Office in New York between 1:30 and 2:30 P.M. today.
Superintendent,
United States Assay Office at New York,
New York, New York.

Sirs:


You are hereby authorized and instructed to sell and determine the value of the above-mentioned gold. After determination of the value you are further authorized and instructed to purchase the gold at the fine price of $35 per fine troy ounce without subtracting the one fourth of 1 percent handling charge, to prepare the deposit certificate accordingly, to issue your check for the net value of the gold (less the usual mint charges) to the order of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York for credit of the "Secretary of the Treasury, Special Account", and to deliver the check to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York for credit of the "Secretary of the Treasury, Special Account".

Please prepare 10 copies of Mint Form 42-8 in the usual manner inserting in the prepared spaces at the foot of the report the total value of the gold, the melting charge, the handling charge, the total charges and the net value of the gold, with the handling and other charges deducted. These forms are to be forwarded to the Secretary of the Treasury, Attention Frank F. Natter, Room 833, Treasury Department, Washington, D.C. You will observe from the instructions in this letter that you are not to deduct the one fourth of 1 percent handling charge.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) D. W. BELL

Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

(Init.) FD SBF RB EMB EDB WNT

Steve 7-27-42
Sir:  
Attention: Mr. Frank Dietrich

Reference is made to the Treasury Department's telegram dated July 27, 1942, authorizing and directing the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, as fiscal agent of the United States, to accept delivery of 28 cases of gold at the point of arrival, and to transport the gold uninsured to the United States Assay Office in New York.

In accordance with the above-mentioned telegram we accepted delivery of the gold from the U.S.S. Washington at the Brooklyn Navy Yard and transported it to the United States Assay Office at New York on July 27, 1942. The gold was deposited by us, as fiscal agent of the United States, in the Assay Office for account of the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States by order of the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

For your information we wish to advise that we received a weight list from the Captain of the U.S.S. Washington, showing the 28 cases to contain 140 gold bars weighing 1,687,047.5 kilos gross containing 1,686,369.9 kilos fine which we calculate as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Bars</th>
<th>Said to weigh</th>
<th>Said to contain</th>
<th>Value at $35 per fine ounce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>54,239.82</td>
<td>54,234.119</td>
<td>$1,893,134.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully,

(Sgd.) H. L. Sanford
H. L. Sanford,
Manager, Foreign Department.

The Honorable,
The Secretary of the Treasury,
Washington, D. C.

Copy: imo: 7/29/42
July 25, 1942

Federal Reserve Bank of New York,
New York, New York.

Gentlemen: 

Attention: R. L. Norfeld

with reference to the Treasury's telegram of July 27 relative to the transportation of 25 cases of gold from the point of arrival to the United States Army Office in New York, the Soviet Ambassador has requested that the Federal Reserve Bank of New York communicate with the State Bank of the U.S.S.R., Moscow relative to the reimbursement of any expenses incurred in connection with the transportation of this gold.

Yours truly,

(Signed) D. W. Bell

Acting Secretary of the Treasury

(Init.) FD RD RD VRT
FEDERAL RESERVE BANK
OF NEW YORK
Fiscal Agent of the United States

July 28, 1942.

Sir: Attention: Mr. Frank Dietrich

Reference is made to the Treasury Department's telegram dated July 28, 1942, authorizing and directing the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, as fiscal agent of the United States, to accept delivery of 28 cases of gold at the point of arrival, and to transport the gold uninsured to the United States Assay Office in New York.

In accordance with the above-mentioned telegram we accepted delivery of the gold from the U.S.S. Philadelphia at the Brooklyn Navy Yard and transported it to the United States Assay Office at New York on July 28, 1942. The gold was deposited by us, as fiscal agent of the United States, in the Assay Office for account of the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States by order of the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

Respectfully,
(Sgd.) H. L. Sanford
H. L. Sanford,
Manager, Foreign Department.

The Honorable,
The Secretary of the Treasury,
Washington, D. C.

Copy: misc: 7/29/42

Regraded Unclassified
Federal Reserve Bank of New York,
New York, New York.

Attention: R. L. Sanford

Confirming telephonic conversation, you are authorized and directed, as fiscal agent of the United States, to accept delivery of 25 cases of gold at the point of arrival, to transport the gold uninsured to the United States Assay Office in New York and to deposit it there for account of the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States by order of the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. Appropriate instructions will be given by the Treasury to the Assay Office regarding the disposition of the gold. You will be advised by letter as to reimbursement of your expenses.

(Signed) D. W. Bell

Acting Secretary of the Treasury.
July 28, 1942

Reference

To: U. S. Department of Commerce,
Division of Foreign Trade Statistics,
Attention: Mr. Simpson

Will you kindly include in your weekly statement of Gold
and Silver Exports and Imports for the week ending July 29,
1942 an import into New York of approximately 54,274.119 fine
ounces of gold valued at $1,095,194.16. This shipment came
from Russia. It will not be covered by any other entry papers.

(SIGNED) D. W. Bell

D. W. Bell,
Under Secretary of the Treasury.

Initiated: FD MB VH MY

Sig: 7-26-42

Regraded Unclassified
July 22, 1915

Mr. Mitchell called Mr. Hardy at the Army Office and informed him that the Army Office would be open tomorrow (Wednesday) and that he would inform the Secretary tomorrow morning.

He then informed him of the amount of Russian gold due to arrive at New York. The gold would arrive at the Federal Reserve Bank tomorrow morning.

July 26, 1915

Mr. Mitchell called Mr. Hardy at the Army Office and said that he would inform the Secretary tomorrow morning.

Mr. Mitchell informed Mr. Hardy that the gold was due to arrive at the Federal Reserve Bank tomorrow morning.

July 27, 1915

Mr. Mitchell called Mr. Hardy at the Army Office and said that the gold would arrive at the Army Office tomorrow morning.

Mr. Mitchell informed Mr. Hardy that the gold was due to arrive at the Federal Reserve Bank tomorrow morning.
11:08 A.M. Captain Strubel called Mr. Dietrich and told him that the origin of the gold was believed to be Russia and that he would let Mr. Dietrich know later the number of cases and the consignee, if any. He said he thought the consignee was the United States.

12:29 A.M. Captain Strubel told Mr. Dietrich that he had talked to the Captain of the USS WASHINGTON who said that the shipment consisted of 25 cases, that it was said to be for the "United States", that the shipment was said to be from Russia, and that it was picked up by the arriving ship from another American ship which had taken it from a British cruiser which was thought to have come from Murmansk.

Captain Strubel said that there were no papers with the ship. He also said that he had contacted Captain McKitterick at the Brooklyn Navy Yard and told him that Mr. Haxe would talk with Captain McKitterick on Monday morning about taking delivery of the shipment.

July 27, 1942

11:25 A.M. Mr. Lang told Mr. Dietrich that he and Mr. Sanford would take delivery of the gold at 1 p.m. today from Captain Davis of the USS WASHINGTON.

11:26 A.M. Mr. Dietrich called Commissioner Johnson of Customs and requested that inspector be at the Navy Yard at 1 p.m. to see that the shipment was taken off without interference.

11:25 A.M. Mr. Lang called Mr. Dietrich and said that he had received a weight list from Captain Davis which showed the shipment to be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Weight</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 cases containing 140 bars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Gross weight: 54,239.53 Troy Ounces
- Fine weight: 54,234.119 Troy Ounces

Total Value: $1,698,194.16
July 27, 1942

5:30 p.m. - Mr. Lang of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York advised Mr. Dietrich that Captain Moore of the S.S. PHILADELPHIA called at the bank and informed Mr. Sanford that there was on board his ship 25 cases of gold believed to be of Russian origin. Captain Moore said that he would like to have the gold taken off tomorrow.

5:35 p.m. - Mr. Dietrich called Captain Strubel at the Navy Department and informed him of the shipment mentioned above.

July 28, 1942

9:10 a.m. - Mr. Dietrich notified Commissioner Johnson of Customs that the Federal would take delivery of the gold shipment at 1 p.m. at the Navy Yard and requested that Customs have a man there to see that the shipment was taken off without interference.

11:15 a.m. - Mr. Dietrich called Mr. Grumke at the Soviet Embassy and advised him of the arrival of this shipment.
Information received up to 7 A.M., 28th July, 1942.

1. NAVAL

Early this morning two of H.M. Destroyers engaged two enemy trawlers off CHERBOURG, one of which was sunk and the other seriously damaged; enemy aircraft unsuccessfully attacked the destroyers while they were returning to port. The French ship yesterday reported intercepted, has now been retaken under Vichy French Destroyer escort.

2. MILITARY

EGYPT. 26th. Our forward positions remained unchanged; our patrols were active. During night 26th/27th our offensive activity intensified and at first light we were attacking in the northern sector.

3. AIR OPERATIONS

WESTERN FRONT. 26th/27th. HAMBURG. About 680 tons of bombs including 164,000 incendiaries were dropped. The attack was very successful and concentrated and fires were numerous, large and widespread. Enemy night fighters, one of which was destroyed, were active. One additional bomber reported missing. Off the FRISIAN ISLANDS Coastal Command Aircraft hit two vessels of two thousand to three thousand tons and obtained a near miss on another 3,000 ton ship.

27th. 8 Wellingtons bombed EMNEN, BREMEN and other towns in North-West GERMANY, two of them are missing. One German bomber was shot down off South WALES.

27th/28th. About 70 enemy aircraft carried out scattered bombing with some concentration at BIRMINGHAM. Isolated aircraft flew over LONDON on their return journey. Night fighters destroyed four enemy bombers, probably destroyed four more and damaged five.

MALTA. Between 3 P.M. 26th and noon 27th, two raids totalling 16 bombers heavily escorted by fighters attacked LUQA and TAKALI aerodromes where one Spitfire was destroyed on the ground and six other aircraft were slightly damaged. Another raid was intercepted before reaching the Island and the bombers were forced to jettison their bombs in the sea. 13 enemy aircraft were destroyed, two probably destroyed and 8 damaged. We had no aircraft casualties.

EGYPT. 25th/26th. Medium and heavy bombers attacked TOBRUK and started a very large fire in the Harbour area. M.T. was bombed in the battle area.
26th. Beaufighters attacked six barges off SIDI BARRANI and a JU 88 was shot down west of ALEXANDRIA.

4. HOME SECURITY

27th. Some bomb damage at Rolls Royce, DERBY, a factory on war work in ESSEX and at Royal Ordnance factory HEREFORD. About 63 persons reported killed throughout the country.

27th/28th. BIRMINGHAM. Incendiary bombs started over one hundred fires most of which are already under control, some damage caused at three factories and about fifty reported killed up to the present.