# Franklin D. Roosevelt - "The Great Communicator" The Master Speech Files, 1898, 1910-1945 

Series 1: Franklin D. Roosevelt's Political Ascension

File No. 148

1920 August 21

Portland, OR - Campaign Speech

THOM SPEECH ON HOL TRAIKLIIT D. ROOSIAVHTT PORITAAND, OREGOI - AUG. 21,1920

Tonight I want to express my pleasure in getting to the State of Oregon so early in the campaign, and at the same time to say that I am inded sorry that I could not have carried out the original program which called for meotings in two other cities of this state. I heve learned better than ever before the truth of the old axiom that "It is impossible to be in two places at the same time", and of the other old axiom that "Possession is nine points of the law" - for my Washington friends seized me and-would not let go.

I want to start oif by making a prophecy. I recognize that the State of Oregon is claimed as absolutely safe by the Republican leaders; but on the other hand, a large number of independent observers, who have studied the situation, have stated it as their opinion that the electoral vote of Oregon must be placed in the doubtful column. If these independent observers are right, as I believe them to be, my prophecy is definite that oregon vill be found asting the majority of its votes on lloveriber second for the Democratic Picket.

I base this propheoy on two great big fundamental facts in
our modern public life and politic contests. These two facts are scarcely open to dispute. Mither one of them is sufficent to bring my prophecy true - with the assistance of both of then there can be no room for doubt.

The first of those truths is that today, more than ever before in our national history, the voters of the United states are using their heads. It is very evident in every State of the Union that narrow partisanship is becoming less and less prevalent: It is equally evident that what might vo called inherited partisanship is also passing away. A generation ago a very large proportion of the voters of the llation, in both the Democratic and Republican Parties, were partisans because their fathers or their grandfathers had belonged to a particular party. People inherited their political faith with as great or even more regularity than they inherited the old homestead. or their father's watch.

Many elements contributed in the old days to this unfortunate tendency, but the greatest factor probably was the existence of

A hundred years ago people in the United. States used to fight dulls over politics. The feeling ran high prior to elections, and violence was not an exception. The fighting of the Civil War did little to eradicate bad feeling.

But as these later years have passed by, this old antagonism has largely disappeared, and with its disappearance we are losing, gradually but surely, an antiquated and un-Arnerioan method. of voting a ticket because "grandpa" did.

I am perfectly willing to let two classes in the community Then vote for Senator Harding this year, without any effort to call away. Those who have studied the relative merits of the two platforms, who have studied the relative merits of the two candidates, and who after that are honestly of the opinion that senator Harding would make the best President for the next four years, should vote for him. so, also, those who are guided by the opinions of their Republican grandfathers,
$x$ and
Peon wimenould vote for him anyway. It would be useless to argue with that type of mind.

I do not ask the vote of any man, Democratic or Republican, unless it be a vote of conviction.

## It is because I an so certain of the appeal which

Demooratic ideals are making this year to those who are willing to use their individual judgment that I give this as one of the great reasons for my prophecy of Democratic success in this state this year. The other great reason is that the American people are on the whole a pretty wise people, and that they will not be fooled or mislead by lies or misstatements; and the campaign of the Republicen leaders, as disclosed thus far, is so full of misrepresentations that the votoers have plenty of time to aiscriminate - to call the bluff - to discover the truth, and to punish those who are the guilty parties. I suppose it is because the Democratic campaign has put the Republicans on the defensive that they are driven to the use of despicable methoas. Let me give you an example. During the past week I have been demolishing the silly argument about England being able to out-vote us siz to one in the League of llations. I have show, first of all, that it is the Counall of the Leegue which is the true goverming body, and that in that Council the whole British Bmpire has but one vote, just the same as the United States; and I have shown that while in the Assembly,
a very large body which has primarily only recommendatory powers, five of Great Britain's dominions, like Canada, South Africa and Australia, each have a vote, the United States of America will undoubtedily have the support of twice as many of our neighboring and friendly Republies in the West Indies and South America. I oited, for instance, the fact that the Republic of San Domingo is at the present time being administere by the United States Navy, and that as the interests of the two countries are closely bound up together, the votes of both countries would undoubtedly be found in the same column.

The same thing is true of the Republic of Haiti, though in that particular case they have a President and Cabinet of their own.

It is true also that the interests of other Republics, such as Cuba, Panama, Micaragua and Brazil, are so akin to ours that we sheuitu be of mutual support to each other in the Assembly of the League of

## Nations.

What I am driving at is this. The above simple and clear statement got under the skin of the partisan Republican leaders to such an extent and under the skin particularly of the partisan Republioan

Press, that they sen some one to the state Department the other day and misrepresented me, saying that I had said that the United States has "control" over the Governments south of us. A cleverly worded story appears from Washington, making it appear that the State Department has denied my claim about these Republics in the League of illations. I Amp Cap that the state Department has denied the absolutely false statement given to them as coming from me. I whooly agree with the state Department. I reiterate exactly what I said before that the United States will have voting side by side with it in the League of Nations, not six States but at least twice that number, and
 fact that these little Republics have the same kind of interests that we have and that it is even more certain that we will all be voting the same way than that Canada, Australia and South Africa will be found voting with England.

That is a very good illustration of the kind of misrepresentation to which they have been driven. By they I mean the present people In control of the Republican campaign. That kind of campaigning is not acceptable to the voters of this Nation - to the voters of any political
party, and they will show their resentment when they are put to it.
I belleve in playing this game on the level - in giving a square deal even to one's opponent. That is the other reason why I am very certain that the people of Oregon are going to put the state in the Demooratio column in November.
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