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End of Maine Campaign (lgh.)
Put this with original in long-hand and file in my papers. It was a speech I made in the 1920 campaign.
SPEECH PREPARED BY FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT

and was to have been delivered at

BANGOR, MAINE

on the night of September 11, 1920

The NEW YORK TIMES of Saturday, September 11, 1920
(page 3, col. 1) carried this statement verbatim:

with the following comment:

"BANGOR ME. Sept. 10-1920: Owing to the
death of his uncle, Warren Delano at Barry-
town, N.Y., which necessitated his return
home, Franklin D. Roosevelt will be unable
to deliver his speech here tomorrow night,
and has authorized its release in the form
of a statement to be made at the close of
his Maine Campaign. ** *

"Mr. Roosevelt's statement opens with the
charge that the Republicans are trying to
make it appear that Democrats were not good
Americans and preferred an international
flag to the Stars and Stripes. ** *

"It is in large part a reply to the
assertions of Will H. Hays, Chairman of the
Republican National Committee, and refers
to the text of Mr. Hays' address - 'Under
Which Flag?' "
A few weeks ago a prominent politician in a carefully prepared speech used the American flag as his text and tried to make the point that those who differ with him on an important issue of this campaign are not good Americans and that they prefer an international flag to their own. In doing this he was offering a deliberate insult to many millions, probably a majority, of our citizens, and he was guilty not only of bad taste but of poor political judgment.

For when all is said and done the overwhelming body of voters are in every way loyal and will resent any attempt by one group of leaders to take out an exclusive patent on our flag. To claim that one party doctrine is the only American one is to infer that those who belong to another party faith are un-American. That sort of campaigning ought not to be excused even in the heat of an election. I would be the first to insist that Republicans, rank and file, are just as sincerely proud of our country and flag as Democrats are, and I would even admit that people like Mr. Will Hays are patriotic, but they may differ with me in the big conception of the great purpose of which our flag is the symbol. That is very different from seeking to expatriate one's opponents.

The same gentleman has used with inaccurate but deliberate carelessness the word "internationalism". With evident design he has sought to convey the impression that people who believe in the League of Nations are "Internationalists" in the sense used by certain radical groups who do not believe in nations at all, or who seek a super government in place of true national governments. For political purposes he would reason that one who seeks international peace, and international agreements and international justice is thereby an international "red". The whole falsity of this position is
shown by asking the simple question of whether the 37 nations who have already joined the League of Nations have given up their own sovereignty, their own constitutional rights, their own flags? Even Switzerland, most jealous of independence and wary of alliances has voted by popular referendum, to join the League.

But to get back to the attempt to use our flag for political purposes, it is clear that attempts of this kind will be not glossed over by thinking voters but will be actively rebuked. Mr. Hays may differ from me and from many others on what is the best course for this nation to pursue on questions of foreign policy, just as we may differ in our reading of history.

To me our flag has stood for something more than a distinguishing mark to be flown on ships and to be carried in processions during all the years. It denotes more than the property or the individual it may happen to cover. It stands for more than party government or the election of any individuals or groups.

The American flag is a spirit, a thing intangible yet very real in the message it carries. Its spirit lives in the past, the present and the future. Its message is not for the American people alone but for countless millions in every other part of the world. It has been an expression of the composite ideals and the great spiritual purposes of an unselfish nation.

All through our history this has been true. The American Revolution, itself the very birth of the flag, was more than the obtaining of independence and self government by the thirteen colonies. Our Revolution carried a definite message to other nations. It was a successful attack on despotism; and it was followed by the establishment of a new but successful form of democratic-republican government. We know from history how that step was watched by other peoples, and how the new flag influenced thought in other
lands.

Later the young nation in its relations with others continued to send a message with its flag. In the War with the Barbary powers, in the War of 1812, we stood for a doctrine of ideals, the freedom of the seas. The whole course of peaceful world commerce was affected, for ours was not a policy of selfishness, but was of importance to all nations who used the ocean highways.

Only a few years later the American spirit was again of world influence in the promulgation of the Monroe Doctrine. Europe recognized that in undertaking to regard as unfriendly any aggression against the smaller republics of the American continent who had just obtained liberty and set up governments modelled largely on our own, we were doing more than thinking of our own self protection. That Monroe Doctrine was the first expression of self-determination for nations, the first championing of new and relatively weak states against the power of imperialism and despotism. For nearly a hundred years it has had a marked effect on the political and economic thought of the Old World.

So through the rest of the last century the flag continued to express a new ideal for the older populations. In our stand against the slave trade, in our efforts to establish friendly and fair commercial relations with China and Japan we brought a new morality to international relations.

In our own generation the War with Spain was another instance. The American people fought that fight for the ideal of a free Cuba. Europe laughed cynically at our protestations that we would not annex Cuba, but Europe understands today that we meant what we said.

Since then our leaders continued to work for the extension of the ideals, and the flag's message continued to give hope to the downtrodden in other lands and to the progressively minded people everywhere. Long before 1914, American
state and men advocated a League of Nations. Some, like President Taft, favored one that frankly called itself a League to Enforce Peace. Yet he was not called a traitor or a follower of internationalism.

We had seen the failure of the Hague Tribunal to prevent war. We had seen indeed an increase in wars and in the preparations for wars. The other nations were well aware that the American flag carried a new message of hope, that it represented a great people opposed to aggression and willing to change the existing conditions of mistrust and competitive armament.

The great war came, and America at last became a party to the struggle. But we did not join forces for the mere sake of crushing the Kaiser on the field of battle. It was not alone Kaiserism or the Central Powers themselves that we were fighting. We fought for something constructive in addition to the fight against something destructive. Men and women of all parties united in demanding in 1917 that the evil in the previous relationship between nations should be in the future removed. Mere good will would not remove the danger, mere international courts had been proven a failure. A definite, business-like concrete association was demanded, something that would prevent the causes of trouble and not wait till trouble itself had come to a head.

In all of this the nation was united. With this spirit and purpose our flag was carried across the seas by the splendid men of our Army and Navy. The peoples of Europe, too, understood the message. They welcomed the accession of military strength, they knew that the allies would win in the end, but they saw in the American Flag the first sign of hope for their children and grandchildren.

I was in France during the war. I talked to mothers of children. The fathers were dead in battle. The women of France understood the big purpose
of America. They were thinking of European history, and what its perpetuation in the same model would mean to their children. They were thinking of the next great war that would come at the time when the little boy of five had reached military age. They had begun to grow cynical. They said "What is the use of having our children grow up at all; it means death or sorrow."

But America changed all this. The flag's message went straight to the hearts of those mothers. It made life and effort worthwhile.

When the war ended the promise of the American Flag seemed to have come true. International law, the relation between nations was to be put on a new basis. Even the peoples of the Central Empires took hope. Kaiserism was ended, the new relationship was promised in the very terms of the Armistice.

Through all this period our people at home held to the ideal. It was constantly before their eyes. The flag they knew and loved was still spreading through the world the American spirit of service and unselfishness. No voice was heard in opposition to America's proposal that a new order should supercede the old. We were proud that we could have the opportunity to point the way to world peace, not mere cessation of conflict but the peace of permanence.

An organization to that end was drawn up by the assembled nations, and through it all America's part was the clearest, the cleanest, and the highest-minded of all.

In every nation men and women rejoiced that the spirit of America's flag was being translated into actual accomplishment, and in that rejoicing we shared.

Today the accomplishment of the League of Nations has been made. Thirty-
seven of the peoples of the world have joined hands. We, the nation whose
ideals made it possible, we remain without. The flag which carried the
hope and the promise is viewed with different eyes.

Go back, ask those mothers of France. They will tell you that they still
believe in that flag, that they still await its sympathy and its help: Not in
more marching Yankees through the fields of France, but in the spirit that it
stands for. Not in more wars but in the great influence which it can yield
to make the lives of their little children safe, and the lifting from future
generations of that horror which makes them shudder still.

Not only in France, not only in Europe, but in all the peoples of all
the world lies that hope in our flag. They ask us to go in. They ask not
for a new peace commission, not for a new theory, not for a new conference to
draw up a new set of rules. They ask a fair trial for what they have under-
taken. They ask that America help in the name of humanity. They ask that they
be given again the inspiration of our flag.

Shall we refuse? Shall we for partisanship stand aloof? Shall we forget
our history and the history of our flag?

America will not fail in the crisis. America will not turn back. America
will not forget.
A few weeks ago a prominent politician in a carefully prepared speech used the American flag as his text and tried to make the point that those who differ with him on an important issue of this campaign are not good Americans and that they prefer an international flag to their own. In doing this he was offering a deliberate insult to many millions, probably a majority, of our citizens, and he was guilty not only of bad taste but of poor political judgment.

For when all is said and done the overwhelming body of voters are in every way loyal and will resent any attempt by one group of leaders to take out an exclusive patent on our flag. To claim that one party doctrine is the only American one is to infer that those who belong to another party faith are un-American. That sort of campaigning ought not to be excused even in the heat of an election. I would be the first to insist that Republicans, rank and file, are just as sincerely proud of our country and flag as Democrats are, and I would even admit that people like Mr. Will Hays are patriotic, but they may differ with me in the big conception of the great purpose of which our flag is the symbol. That is very different from seeking to expatriate one's opponents.

The same gentleman has used with inaccurate but deliberate carelessness the word "internationalism". With evident design he has sought to convey the impression that people who believe in the League of Nations are "Internationalists" in the sense used by certain radical groups who do not believe in nations at all, or who seek a super government in place of true national governments. For political purposes he would reason that one who seeks international peace, and international agreements and international justice is thereby an international "red". The whole falsity of this position is
Some times when we really need to do something that we know is right, we can get caught up in a sea of confusion and doubt.

In our own way, we can understand the power of the sea and the strength of the waves. When we are asked to choose a path, we sometimes feel overwhelmed.

We need a moment to reflect on the choices we have made and consider the consequences of our actions. Every decision we make has an impact on ourselves and others.

We must be careful to choose the path that is best for us and the world around us. Each choice we make has a ripple effect that can be felt far beyond our immediate sphere.

We must also be mindful of the potential consequences of our actions. Sometimes, the consequences of a decision can be far-reaching and may affect many people.

In the end, we must trust our instincts and make choices that are true to ourselves and the greater good.

We must always remember that every decision we make has a impact on ourselves and others, and we must strive to make choices that are in alignment with our values and beliefs.

We need to be mindful of the potential consequences of our actions and to be guided by our own sense of right and wrong.
statesmen advocated a League of Nations. Some, like President Taft, favored one that frankly called itself a League to Enforce Peace. Yet he was not called a traitor or a follower of internationalism.

We had seen the failure of the Hague Tribunal to prevent war. We had seen indeed an increase in wars and in the preparations for wars. The other nations were well aware that the American flag carried a new message of hope, that it represented a great people opposed to aggression and willing to change the existing conditions of mistrust and competitive armament.

The great war came, and America at last became a party to the struggle. But we did not join forces for the mere sake of crushing the Kaiser on the field of battle. It was not alone Kaiserism or the Central Powers themselves that we were fighting. We fought for something constructive in addition to the fight against something destructive. Men and women of all parties united in demanding in 1917 that the evil in the previous relationship between nations should be in the future removed. None good will would not remove the danger, mere international courts had been proven a failure. A definite, business-like concrete association was demanded, something that would prevent the causes of trouble and not wait till trouble itself had come to a head.

In all of this the nation was united. With this spirit and purpose our flag was carried across the seas by the splendid men of our Army and Navy. The peoples of Europe, too, understood the message. They welcomed the accession of military strength, they knew that the allies would win in the end, but they saw in the American Flag the first sign of hope for their children and grandchildren.

I was in France during the war. I talked to mothers of children. The fathers were dead in battle. The women of France understood the big purpose
Today the accommodation of the leg of horse has been made. There was much excitement in the house regarding the arrival of the horse. In every nation men and women watched the progress of the animal, and the newspapers were filled with the news of the horse. Once
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One way to reach peace is through the process of understanding and respect for all people. The way to find peace is through the process of understanding and respect for all people.
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One way to reach peace is through the process of understanding and respect for all people. The way to find peace is through the process of understanding and respect for all people. Once
seven of the peoples of the world have joined hands. We, the nation whose ideals made it possible, we remain without. The flag which carried the hope and the promise is viewed with different eyes.

Go back, ask those mothers of France. They will tell you that they still believe in that flag, that they still await its sympathy and its help. Not in more marching Yankees through the fields of France, but in the spirit that it stands for. Not in more wars but in the great influence which it can yield to make the lives of their little children safe, and the lifting from future generations of that horror which makes them shudder still.

Not only in France, not only in Europe, but in all the peoples of all the world lies that hope in our flag. They ask us to go in. They ask not for a new peace commission, not for a new theory, not for a new conference to draw up a new set of rules. They ask a fair trial for what they have undertaken. They ask that America help in the name of humanity. They ask that they be given again the inspiration of our flag.

Shall we refuse? Shall we for partisanship stand aloof? Shall we forget out history and the history of our flag?

America will not fail in the crisis. America will not turn back. America will not forget.
A few weeks ago a prominent politician in a carefully prepared speech attacked the front that used the American flag in his text and made the point that those who differed with him on an important issue of the campaign are not good Americans and that they prefer an international flag to their own. In doing this he was offering a deliberate insult to many millions, probably a majority of our citizens, and he was guilty not only of bad taste but of poor political judgment.

For when all is said and done, the overwhelming body of voters are not merely loyal but will resent any attempt of one group of leaders to take out an exclusive patent on the flag. To claim that one party doctrine is the only American one is to infer that there
who belong to another party faith
are American. That sort of
campaigning, right that I be excused even
in the heat of an election. I
would be the last to insist that
Republicans, grand and like we
are just as sincerely proud of the
our country and flag as Democrats
are, and I would even admit that
people like Mr. Will Hays are
patriotic, but differ with me in
the high conception of the great
principles of which our flag is the
symbol. That is very different
from seeking to expatriate one's
opponents.

The same gentleman has
used with inaccurate and deliberate carelessness the word "internationalist".
With evident design to try to convey the impression that people
who believe in the League of Nations
are "Internationalists" in the sense
so used by certain radical groups
who do not believe in nations at
all, or who wish a super government
in place of true national governments.
For political reasons he would reason
that one who seeks international
peace, and international agreements
and international justice is thereby
an international "red". The
whole falsity of this statement is
drawn by asking the simple
Gustave of whether the 37 nations who have already joined the League of Nations have given up their own sovereignty, their own constitutional rights, their own flags? Even Switzerland, most jealous of independence and wary of alliances, has voted by popular referendum to join the League.

But let us get back to the attempt to use our flag for political purposes. It is clear that attempts of this kind will be not glossed over by thinking voters but will be actively rebuked. Mr. Hay's may differ from me and from many others on what is the best course for the nation.
To pursue an question of foreign policy, just as we may differ in our reading of history.

To me our flag has stood for something more than a distinguished mark. The flag, on ships and it is carried in processions during all the years. It stands more than the property, or the individual it may happen to carry. It stands for more than party, government or the election of any individuals or groups.

The American flag is a spirit, a thing intangible yet very real in the message it carries. Its spirit lives in the heart, its present and the future.
It is not for the American people alone but for countless millions in every other part of the world, it has tended to form an expression of the common ideals and the great aspirations for the success of an unselfish nation.

All things are destined to sustain the American Revolution, to make both of the day, we are the future of self-government and self-government by the Lord.

The nation's strong determination carried a definite message to other nations. It was a successful attack on despotism, it was followed by the establishment of a precedent form of democracy.
Republican government. We knew from history how that ship was matched by other peoples, and how
the new flag influenced thought in other lands.

Later the young nation in its relations with others continued to
send a message with its flag. In
the War with the Barbary States, in
the War of 1812, we stood for
a doctrine of ideals, the freedom
of the seas. The whole course of
peaceful world commerce was
affected. This new era was not a
policy of selfishness, but man
of importance to all nations who used the ocean highways. The American spirit was again a
world influence in the promulgation
of the Monroe Doctrine. Europe in undertaking to
recognize that she had the right to regard as
inviolable any aggression against
the smaller republics of the American continent
who had just obtained the liberty and set up governments modelled largely on
our own we were doing
more than thinking of our own self-protection. That Monroe Doctrine was the first expression of self-determination for small peoples nations, the first championing of new and relative weak states against the power of imperialism and depredation. For nearly a hundred years it has had a marked effect on the political and
economic thought of the Old World.

So through the rest of the first century the flag continued to offer a new ideal for the older populations. So our stand against the slave trade in our efforts to establish friendly and fair commercial relations with China and Japan we taught a new morality to international relations.
In our own generation the War with Spain was another instance. The American people fought that fight for the ideal of a free Cuba. Europe sought to send out our protestations that we would not annex Cuba, but Europe understands today that we meant what we said.

Since then our traders continued to work for the extension of the ideals and
the flag's message continued to give hope to the downtrodden in all lands and the progressively minded people everywhere. Long before 1914, American statesmen advocated a League of Nations. Later the President Taft favored one that frankly called itself a League of Peace. Yet he was not called a
a traitor or a follower of internationalism.

We had seen the failure of the Hague Tribunal to prevent war.
We had seen indeed an increase in wars and in the preparations for wars. The other nations were well aware that the American flag carried a message of hope, that it represented a great people opposed to aggression and willing to change existing conditions of mistrust and competitive armament. The great war came,
and America at last became a party to the struggle. But we did not join forces for the mere sake of crowning the Kaiser on the field of battle. It was not chauvinism or the Central Powers themselves that we were fighting. We fought for something constructive in addition to the fight against something destructive. Men and women of all parties united in demanding in 1917 that the evil in the previous relationships between nations
should be in the future removed. More good will would not remove the danger, were international courts had been proven a failure. A definite, lawsuit-like, concrete association was demanded, something that would prevent the causes of trouble and not wait till trouble itself had come to a head.

In all of this the nation was united. With this spirit andajure our flag was carried across the seas and by the splendid men of our Army and Navy. The
Peoples of Europe too, understood the message. They welcomed the accession of military strength, they knew that the allies would win in the end, but they saw in the American flag the first sign of hope for their children and grandchildren.

I was in France during the war. I talked to the mothers of children. The fathers were dead in battle. The women of France...
understood the big purpose of America. They were thinking of European history, and what its perfection would mean to their children. They were thinking of the next great war that would come at the time when the little boy of five had reached military age. They had begun to grow cynical. They said, "What is the use of having our children grow up at all? it means death or sorrow." But America
changed all this. The
Hag's message went straight
to the hearts of those
mother. It made life
and effort worth while.

When the war
ended the promise of the
American Flag seemed to
have come true. International
law, the relations between
nations were to be based on
a new basis. Even the
people of the Central
Empires took shape. Fascism was ended, the new relationship was formalized in the very terms of the armistice.

Through all this period and people at home held to the ideal. It was constantly before their eye.

The flag they knew, war still spreading through the world. The American spirit of service and selflessness.

So voice was heard in
Opposition to American forces
that a new order should
supersede the old. We were
forced that we could have the
opportunity to point the
way to world peace, not
mere cessation of conflict but
the peace of permanence.

An organization to
that end was drawn up by
the assembled nations, and
though it all America's part
was the clearest, the clearest,
and the highest-minded of all.
In every nation, men and women rejoiced that the spirit of America's flag was being translated into actual accomplishment, and in that rejoicing we shared.

Today the accomplishment of League of Nations has been made. Thirty-seven of the peoples of the world have joined hands. We, the fragmentary, must help the nation whose ideals made it possible, we remain without. The flag which carried the hope and the
Jasmine is viewed with different eyes.

So back, not, those matters of France. They will tell you that they still believe in that flag, that they still await its sympathy and its help. Not in mere marching Yankees through the fields of France, but in the spirit that it stands for. Not in mere wars but in the great influence which it can yield to make the tears of their little children...
safe, and the lifting from future generations of that horror which makes them shudder still.

Not only in France, not only in Europe, but in all the peoples of all the world lies that hope in our flag. They look on us to go in. They ask not for a new peace commission, not for a new treaty, nor for a new conference to draw up a new set of rules.
They ask a fair trial for what they have undertaken. They ask that America help in the name of humanity. They ask that they be given again the inspiration of our flag.

Shall we refuse? Shall we for partnership stand aloof? Shall we forget our history and the history of our flag?

America will not fail in the crisis. America will not turn back. America will not forget.
A few weeks ago a prominent politician in a carefully prepared speech used the American flag as his text, and tried to make the point that those who differ with him on an important issue of this campaign are not good Americans, and that they prefer an international flag to their own. In doing this he was offering a deliberate insult to many millions, probably a majority of our citizens, and he was guilty not only of bad taste, but of poor political judgment.

For, when all is said and done, the overwhelming body of voters are in every way loyal, and will resent any attempt by one group of leaders to take out an exclusive patent on our flag. To claim that one party doctrine is the only American one is to infer that those who belong to another party faith are un-American. That sort of campaigning cut not to be excused even in the heat of an election. I would be the first to insist that Republicans, rank and file, are just as sincerely proud of our country and flag as Democrats are, and I would even admit that people like Mr. Will Hays are patriotic, but they may differ with us in the big conception of the great purpose of which our flag is the symbol. That is very different from seeking to expatriate one's opponents.

The same gentleman has used with inaccurate, but deliberate carelessness, the word "internationalism". With evident design he has sought to convey the impression that people who believe in the League of Nations are 'internationalists' in the sense used by certain radical groups who do not believe in nations at all, or who seek a supegovernment in place of true national governments. For political purposes, he would reason that one who seeks international peace and international agreements and international justice is thereby an international "rad". The whole falsity of this position is shown by asking the simple question of whether the thirty-seven nations who have already joined the League of Nations have given up their own sovereignty, their own constitutional rights, their own flags? Even Switzerland, most jealous of independence and wary of alliances, has voted by popular referendum to join the League.

But to get back to the attempt to use our flag for political purposes, it is clear that attempts of this kind will not be glossed over by thinking voters, but will be actively rebuked. Mr. Hays may differ from me and from many others, on what is the best course for this nation to pursue on questions of foreign policy, just as we may differ in our reading of history.

To me, our flag has stood for something more than a distinguished mark to be flown on ships and to be carried in processions during all these
years. It denotes more than the property or the individual it may happen to cover. It stands for more than party government or the election of any individuals or groups.

The American flag is a spirit, a thing intangible, yet very real in the message it carries. Its spirit lives in the past, the present and the future. Its message is not for the American people alone, but for countless millions in every other part of the world. It has been an expression of the composite ideals and the great spiritual purposes of an unselfish nation.

All through our history this has been true. The American Revolution itself, the very birth of the flag, was more than the mere obtaining of independence and self-government by thirteen colonies. Our Revolution carried a definite message to other nations. It was a successful attack on despotism, and its followed by the establishment of a new but successful form of democratic-republican government. We know from history how that step was watched by other peoples, and how the new flag influenced thought in other lands.

Later the young nation, in its relations with others, continued to send a message with its flag. In the war with the Barbary powers, in the War of 1812, we stood for a doctrine of ideals, the freedom of the seas. The whole course of peaceful world commerce was affected, for ours was not a policy of selfishness, but was of importance to all nations who used the ocean highways.

Only a few years later, the American spirit was again of world influence in the promulgation of the Monroe Doctrine. Europe recognized that in undertaking to regard as unfriendly any aggression against the smaller republics of the American continent who had just obtained liberty and set up governments modeled largely on our own, we were doing more than thinking of our own self-protection. That Monroe Doctrine was the first expression of self-determination for nations, the first championing of new and relatively weak states against the power of imperialism and despotism. For nearly a hundred years it has had a marked effect on the political and economic thought of the Old World.

So through the rest of the last century the flag continued to express a new ideal for the older populations. In our stand against the slave trade, in our efforts to establish friendly and fair commercial relations with China and Japan, we brought a new morality to international relations.

In our own generation, the War with Spain was another instance. The American people fought that fight for the ideal of a free Cuba. Europe laughed
cynically at our protestations that we would not annex Cuba, but Europe understands today that we meant what we said.

Since then our leaders continued to work for the extension of the ideals, and the flag's message continued to give hope to the downtrodden in other lands and to the progressively-minded people everywhere. Long before 1914 American statesmen advocated a League of Nations. Some, like President Taft, favored one that frankly called itself a League to Enforce Peace. Yet he was not called a traitor or a follower of "Internationalism."

We had seen the failure of the Hague Tribunal to prevent wars. We had seen, indeed, an increase in wars and in the preparations for wars. The other nations were well aware that the American flag carried a new message of hope, that it represented a great people opposed to aggression and willing to change the existing conditions of mistrust and competitive armament.

The great war came, and America at last became a party to the struggle. But we did not join forces for the mere sake of crushing the Kaiser on the field of battle. It was not alone Kaisersch or the Central Powers themselves that we were fighting. We fought for something constructive, in addition to the fight against something destructive. Men and women of all parties united in demanding in 1917 that the evil in the previous relationship between nations should be in the future removed. Pure good will would not remove the danger, mere internation courts had been proved a failure. A definite, business-like, concrete association was demanded, something that would prevent the causes of trouble and not wait until trouble itself had come to a head.

In all of this the nation was united. With this spirit and purpose our flag was carried across the seas by the splendid man or our Army and Navy. The peoples of Europe, too, understood the message. They welcomed the accession of military strength; they knew that the Allies would win in the end, but they saw in the American flag the first sign of hope for their children and grandchildren.

I was in France during the war. I talked to mothers of children. The fathers were dead in battle. The women of France understood the big purpose of America. They were thinking of European history, and what its perpetuation in the same model would mean to their children. They were thinking of the next great war that would come at the time when the little boy of five had reached military age. They had begun to grow cynical. They said, "What is the use of having our chil-
dream grew up at all; it means death of sorrow. " But America changed all this.

The flag's message went straight to the hearts of those mothers. It made life and effort worth while.

When the war ended, the promise of the American flag seemed to have come true. International law, the relations between nations, was to be put on a new basis. Even the peoples of the Central Empires took hope. Kaiserism was ended, the new relationship was promised in the very terms of the armistice.

Through all this period our people at home held to the ideal. It was constantly before their eyes. The flag they knew and loved was still spreading through the world the American spirit of service and unselfishness. No voice was heard in opposition to America's proposal that a new order should supersede the old. We were proud that we could have the opportunity to point the way to world peace, not mere cessation of conflict, but the peace of permanence.

An organization to that end was drawn up by the assembled nations, and through it all America's part was the clearest, the cleanest, and the highest-minded of all. In every nation, men and women rejoiced that the spirit of America's flag was being translated into actual accomplishment, and in that rejoicing we shared.

Today the accomplishment of the League of Nations has been made. Thirty seven of the peoples of the world have joined hands. We, the nation whose ideals made it possible, we remain without. The flag which carried the hope and the promise is viewed with different eyes.

Go back, ask those mothers of France. They will tell you that they still believe in that flag, that they still await its sympathy and its help. Not in more marching Yankees through the fields of France, but in the spirit that it stands for. Not in more wars, but in the great influence which it can yield to make the lives of their little children safe, and the lifting from future generations of that horror which makes them shudder still.

Not only in France, not only in Europe, but in all the peoples of all the world, lies that hope in our flag. They ask us to go in. They ask not for a new peace commission, not for a new theory, not for a new conference to draw up a new set of rules. They ask a fair trial for what they have undertaken. They ask that America help in the name of humanity. They ask that they be given again the inspiration of our flag.
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Shall we refuse? Shall we for partisanship stand aloof? Shall we forget our history and the history of our flag?

Americans will not fail in the crisis. America will not turn back. Americans will not forget.