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Address by Gov. Franklin D. Roosevelt of New York on State Planning Round Table on REGIONALISM - Monday, July 6, 1931

I did not come here with any prepared speech this morning. I came to present to you very informal .ly a subject that is very close to all of our hearts, a subject which I think needs and deserves much public and private discussion and needs and requires perhaps just as few formal public addresses as possible. It seems particularly appropriate that we at the University should be discussing plans, for the great planner of our nation, the first planner, was the father of the university; an architect of buildings, an architect of industry, and most of all an architect of government. And yet, after the age of Thomas Jefferson, it seems to me that our nation as a whole, and our several states forgot architecture in the sense in which it had been used and practiced by Thomas Jefferson, and it is only in the last generation, our generation that we have returned to thoughts of planning for the days to come.

Like Kr . Brownlow, I do not know what "Regionalism" means. I do have certain fairly clear ideas in respect to the relative spheres of governments of states on the one hand and Federal governmont on the other hand. Let me of te some of the instances I call planning of any kind,

I think we are apt very often to run after false gods in this country, to take up some "ism" and assume that in a generation or two that they are going to be so different from ourselves that we must scrap everything tried and familiar. One of the most eminent chemists of this country said to me "It will be only another generation before there will be no more farms in the United States." I said "No more farms?" and he said "I may modify that. There will be probably one tenth of the present farm areas cultivated in the next generation. Ninety per cent will go out of agricultural production. Why, the next generation will be eating synthetic food." Then he drew the most delightful picture of synthetic food.

Getting up in the morning you will go to the mantle shelf. While you are shaving and bathing, you take up a bottle of pills marked "Poached Eggs" and you take up another bottle of pills marked "Toast" and you take one poached egg pill and one toast pill, and nowadays there would probably be a "Cup of Coffee" pill. Even science is apt to outrun itself, and I take it that in the next generation we will still be eating real eggs and real toast, and by the same token we will still have our farms in this country. But, there are problems that have come to life and that do require the most fundemental kind of thought. We are told by many social experts today that the size of cities is getting to a point where they are no longer economic for human beings to live in. You and I know of exaraples where industries have declined to go In same of the larger eities of our nation and have praferred to
locate their plants in smaller cummunities. And in the same way we are beginning to wonder whether this movement of leaving the farm and going to the great city is ever coning to an end. $O f$ course it has got to come to an end, because the point is approaching where it can go on no longer. It was only, I think two or three generations ago, somewhere around 1850 , that 75 per cent of Anericans lived in rural communities and 25 per cent were urban, and yet today those flgures are completely reversed. Seventy-five per cent of the people of the United States are in urban and only 25 per cent rural, and we have looked with fear to the point where 100 per cent would be urban of course, that is an impossible figure to arrive at, and I am inclined to the bellef that we have reached very nearly the saturation point of urban population. This means that one of our first efforts in meeting the problem of maintaining a proper balance between city life and country life is to be made according to the old formula, "Make country life more attractive." In many of the states much has been done to survey conditions. In a few states, New York and two others, I think, definite efforts have been made to find out the fads about land.

I can best illustrate the point that I am coming to by using as an example what has been definitely accomplished by the state of New York during the past three years.

First from the point of view of government, in other words, taxes, The burden upon the rural communities was so heavy that something had to be done to relieve the country community from this overburden of taxes. That was accomplished by a series of laws. Under the old plan, not only in the Stete of New York
but in other states the rule followed seemed to be when it came to the question of state contribution to local needs, they followed the old maxim "To him that hath shall be given." In one of our counties, for example, where the land values were high, a county near New York city, where the assessed values ran from $\$ 2000$ and $\$ 3000$ to $\$ 5000$ an acre, the order was for the state to con tribute as high as $\$ 1500$ and $\$ 1800$ per mile of toven road, whereas the great bulk of our counties up-State New York counties, the state contributed as low as $\$ 25$ and $\$ 30$ per mile of highway, and so our first effort was to correct this system. In highways and taxes and education and various other mays, we have made the lot of the rural dweller more equitable.

Then following that program, we saw perhaps the possibility of outlining a plan for the state as based upon the land unit, for after all in this country and in the nation from which most of our people came, the fundamental unit is the unit of land, So, we have taken a survey of land within the state, and a couple of other states are doing the same thing, trying to find out the facts. The one thing that impresses me is the lack of facts, not merely facts which let us see the work of the late Wickersham conmittee, but facts relating to almost every other phase of life. One reason that we are not proceeding further with the crime problem is that we do not know any of the facts regarding crime. So one of our first, efforts pn the question of population and land was togather facts. We have surveyed, for example, one of our rural counties and we find in that one county that 22 per cent of all the land now being cultivated ought not to be oultivated. So we are
extending that surves through all of the counties to determine what should be the use of land.

Let me give you some very simple figures. As Mr. Brownlow suggested, the State of New York is not merely the city of New York. The state is twenty-ninth in area of the forty-eight states, and runs from year to year in the value of its agricultural products, between the third and sixth state. We are a state of farmers. Six million people outside the city of New York itself. Now with that area and with that important agricultural system, we come back to find out about its history, and we find that the state contains 30 million acres of land, and out of those thirty million acres three million are occupied by cities, towns and villages, and five million acres more represent state owned rough lands in the Adirondacks, lake areas, Catskill Mountains, etce, leaving a total of two million acres that fifty years ago were cultivated. Yet in these past fifty years, four million of those have been abandoned. Those figures apply to almost every other state east of the Mississippi in very much the same proportion. Every state has its problem of abandoment of farms, New England and most of the South, and more recently a larger part of the middle west.

Abandoned farms: People couldn't make a success of their lives on farms, so out of 22 million acres that once were farmed In the State of New York four million are already abandoned. In this survey, we believe we shall find another four million that ought to be ahandoned. We have eighteen million ecres in famms and we belfeve we ought not to have more than fourteen million. What will be the result of thet, and how are we going about 1 t?

Shall we depend on people to just follow the normal economic course? Shall we depend on them to voluntarily abandon these four million acres? Probably not. It should be accelerated by government action. I should say abandon four million acres more for the very good reason that on those four million acres are not making both ends meet. It is uneconomical for them to try to stay on year after year, tilling land that won't keep their families up to the American standard of living. And so the state is this year submitting to the voters a bond issue of some twenty millions of dollars for a comparatively short period of years, which will be used for these marginal lands. Some call them sub-marginal lands. The point is, in some way we have got to take those lands out of agriculture.

The other large waste is in maintaining highways up into these sparsely settled regions; highways that cost at least $\$ 100$ per year to per mile to maintain, highways that ought to have a lot more spent on them, highw ays going into sections where there are only two or three families to be served by five miles of road, and then think of the other economic wastes. If these people on this marginal land are to be brought up to our modern standards, they ought to have atelephone line, and that means running up a road for several miles to serve one, two or three families. They should have electric light on their farme, but if they could afford it, two or theee farme might have to pay for four or five miles of poles and lines. So we M.gure that by the expenditure of a comparatively sma 11 sum which the state may put in the purchase of these marginal lands, the money will be returned to the economic wealth
of the state many times over in a comparatively short period.
Think of what it means in this problem of eliminating in so far as possd ble the one room school house. How many are there in this country? There are between 30,000 and 40,000 in the United States today, and in every state of the union we are working for the consolidation of school districts and the improvement of education. In the state of New York there are still. thousands of one-room schoolhouses, and we believe that in this process of eliminating the marginal lands, we will be eliminating the one-room schoolhouses. Those are some of the advantages.

What is going to happen to the people on the land? That is always the question that is asked in the first place. Our survey so far shows that very largely these people are old people. Most of the young people have gotten out and moved off somewhere else. I do not believe that we ought to take these old people on these farms and remove them from the homes they were born in and were raised in and have lived all their lives in. It is entirely possible to let them live there the balance of their lives, it won't be long. What is ten or twenty years In the life of a conmunity? But, we believe that after they are gone, to those impossible farms should be taken out of farming, and so with due regard for the feelings of these people, in the course of a generation, nearly all of these farns will be abandoned as such.

Then there will be other people, and provision can probably be made through cooperative methods for them to move to land where they can make a Living, That is just a rough pioture
of that part of our plan.
But let me mention what will have to be done with four million acres. Of course, it has two or three possibilities. First, for the growing of a longiterm crop - trees, and everybody who is in a position to know something of our forestration problem, knows we will need all. the trees we can get in the next fifty years. A large part of this marginal land can and ought to be turned into forest land.

Then another phase that we have taken up in practically every state; the recreation value of these lands, giving an opportunity to the city dweller to get out into the country, recreation that is extending every year, that a few years ago was limited to the months of July and August, that has extended now to a point earlier in the spring and lasting way on through the autumn, and more and more is beginning to develop into an all year round happening. We have somewhere around three million acres in state parks, a system of state parks in every part of the state. Just as an example let us note the use of the state parks for the past five years in the Adirondac reservation, which has been used every year by twice the number of people that have used it the year before. The use has doubled each year for five years. People are becoming out-door-minded all over the nation. The result of all this, we belleve, is going to bring up a new class in our civilization.

We have talked a great deal about the country-dweller and about the oity-dweller. Isn't there a third possibility, a possibility for us to create by sooperative effort sone form of Living which will combine industry and agriculture? Today states
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are looking at it from two angles. For instance in Pennsylvania Tith the idea of bringing agriculture to industry. In the coal mining tow, in the town with the steel plant or factory, they are trying to locate their operators and employees out a little way, a mile or two, where each one can have in place of two or three rooms in a four-family house, a house of his own with maybe an acre of land, giving then a chance to raise some of their own food supply.

In Vermont they are working through a state commission to bring industry to agriculture. Dr. Taylor up there has written the report of an investigation of an experiment in a valley up in Vermont, where most people were leaving the valley. There came back to that valley some ten or flifteen years ago, a boy who had left it twenty years earlier, and had become wealthy. He came back there and he found that the young people were leaving the valley and that the old people were discouraged. They were trying to farm the thin soil up on the mountain side; that the people were just dying out. What did he do? He looked over this valley and found there was same fine second growth of hard wood and no market for it. Then he conceived the idea of putting in 3. little turning mill and then he went out to Sears-Roebuck and said, "What do you need in the way of wood contraptions that can be made at our turning mill?" "We need those little round knobs that go on the tops of kettles and lids of pans," they said. The result was that he entered into a contract for making, I don't know how many millions of these things. He went back and said to the people, "I an going to open up my factory after you get your corn in."

When the corn was harvested, he opened up his factory and gave employment to that valley in two ways. He told them he needed so many lengths of this hard wood, and he said he needed to employ so many of those people, and he paid them cash, and they had a cash crop of kettle knobs.

The result has been that the young people are staying, When the planting time comes in the spring, the kettle knob factory closes down. Then up there in the summer time, after the hay is in and before the corn ripens, there is always a period in August when things are dull, and the little old factory opens up again and they get two or three weeks more of cash crops. That is one example.

We have many in this country and more them are being tried out. I am hoping that in everyone of our states, we shall be able to do some experimenting in these coming years, with the creation of a new group in our civilization. We may call them the ruralindustries. We may call them the factory-farmers, one simple term that will connote just that position of keeping people on the land wi.th agriculture as what you might call their roots way down in the ground, and at the same time some safety during the long months of the winter season for them to earn some kind of cash wages. It will make our whole nation individual and independent. It will open vast areas and at the same time, by state planning, by eliminating the marginal lands, the lands that are atill left in agriculture will be more useful and will by worth cultivating.

Then we come to one final subject that I want to pass over quite rapidly. The question of regional planning. Let me illustrate. When I first started going down to Warm Springs in Georgia, there came through at night on the railroad a very noisy long train, about three aom. It was always on time, five minutes to three, and they said it was the milk train. "Where to," I asked. "To Florida" they told me. That train of eighteen or twenty cars, run on passenger schedule, most of them glass tank cars, carrying south the milk cream supply for Miami, Palm Beach and all other resorts down there. I said, WWhere does it come from?" "It comes from Wisconsin." That milk originating in Wisconsin, Northern Illinois, was passing through Kentucky, which certainly can grow milk, passing through the corner of Tennessee, and passing through the length of Georgia, absolutely eliminating the three states they passed through as sources of supply for the Floride market. This is the result of a lack of planning more than anything else. There is no reason why the State of Georgia shouldn't furnish all of the milk and cream for the State of Florida.

We are doing something in the State of New York, which I must admit is of doubtful constitutionality. The citizens of New York outside of New York City, with a population of six million people, and another two million people in the nearby state of New Jersey make a population of eight million people raising a lot of milk and cream. The New York State dairy farmers up to three years ago were going broke. Scmething had to be done, and the prinoiple concern was thiss that a great many farmers out in the central western states of Chic, Indiana,

Illinois, Wisconsin and part of Iowa had gotten a little tired of raising corn and wheat and were trying to diversify. Therefore, they bought same cows, any old kind of cows so long as they gave milk, uninspected, of course. They started shipping milk, and because it was a by-product of their farms it was produced cheaply. We called it bootleg milk, and it was, It was not what you would call seasoned, aged, inspected, or anything else. The result was that our own farmers were being put out of business, although our own farmers under the state laws had to maintain the highest kind of sanitary requirements on their farms. Then we did our unconstitutional act.

We got the Health Commissioner of New York City first to lay down the simple rule that no milk could come into New York unless it came from inspected cows, and inspected sources, milk that came fron cows that had been tested against tuberculosis, milk that was inspected and met all requirements. But, the second part was pretty hard on some people, we have got to admit that. He then said, "I am very sorry, gentlemen, but I have only so many inspectors. They can't be running all over the country, out to Wisconsin and states in the middle west. They have to cover a field which is of a practical size, so I am awfully sorry, we cannot send down Mr. Palmer to flisnois to inspect your farm. We cover the state of New York and a few thousand acres in Northern New Jersey, a few thousand acres of Southern Pennsylvania and a few thousand acres of Western Vermont. That becane by that act, the mdik-shed of the metropolitan area, Lived in by about eight milition people. The result is that at
last we have the milk farmer in that area cooperating, and they have been told the exact situation in respect to supply and demand. They may be told one year that we are producing too much milk and not to grow too many cows. So far the experiment has been going on for three or four years and is past the stage of experiment. Nobody would say that the dairy farmers of this region are getting rich, but at least we can say they are keeping their farms. They are not having the mortgages foreclosed, and they are making an honest living and getting fair prices for their product, and they are not getting an excessive price from the point of view of the public. Thatis one case where regional planning has worked, and there is no state that that principle should not be extended to.

We have heard about the port of Norfolk. It is not merely a municipal enterprise. The development affects a very definite area in this region. We are doing a little today in the way of discouraging shipments of large quantities of produce to New York. Here is an instance. There comes a time every year when during a period of three or four days, the whole United States decides, by mental telepathy, that they are going to ship their cabbages to New York, and if the whole eight million people were to eat corned beef and cabbage three time aday, they would not be able to eat the supply. The result is that every single year, carload after carload of cabbages, which we cannot allow to lay around and rot on the plers (for we have sone sanitary regulations) are dumped into barges, and taken out, I think now to the twelve-mile 1imit, and either dropped overboard there or fed to the people who IIve
-Just outside that twelve-mile Iimit.
Two or more years ago $I$ was willed some fifteen thousand. peach trees down in Georgia, and I tried being a farmer down there. Last year, I cut down eleven thousand out of the fifteen thousand trees and started to raise cattle. The reason is that apparently five or six years ago, every other owner of land in Georgia decided to grow peach trees. I made a perfectly magnificent crop of peaches down there, growth after growth, and if I had shipped them to the mad markets in the big Northern Cities, I would have made a net loss of 15 cents to 20 cents on a crate, over and above the cost of picking, packing, and shipping. In the same way in many parts of this country, I believe, they went pecan mad, and the result is there are so many pecans grown now that they are feeding them to the hogs. This is all due to a lack of planning.

It seems to me that with all our ability, our vaunted wealth, we have not kept pace with the needs of the time. The waste that has come about on account of lack of planning in this country has run into billions of dollars. Probably billions each year that goes by, and there is still the social side of it to consider.

Have we, because of the absence of planning, not wasted much of the goodness and sun in the lives of the youth of the country? Have not they been debased not only in our oities, but also in many of our rural communities? Have they not been pressed in surroundings and of rcumatances which have led them into ways that were sanething new to our oivilitation? Have we older
people been fair? Have we given them the chance, economically or socially, as we should have done? It seems to me that in this coming day, call it what you want, call it planning, or call it thinking hard, we have an opportunity in our own locality and community and county in our own state, to do what is not merely a good thing to do, but to do what is a common sense thing about the generation thatis coming after.

That, after all, is the simple way of describing the plan. What are we going to hand on to those who come later. That is a task that a few of us older people are morking on, but a task of such interest that contains so many elements of guessing right, so many elements of chance, of trying to catch the lucky number and work the thing out in a way that will be successful, that it seems to me the foung generation today have got not merely the greatest chance that any generation has had in other times. We can't all be right on what is going to happen anywhere here or hereafter. We can't guess definitely on the future. Some people will take their conclusions according to a formula. The gentleman who came out of the middle west to see me, I said to him, "How are things in your state?" "Looking up," he said, "Really! How do you flgure they are looking up. You are one of the few people who have given me so optimistic areport." "It is abdelutely inevitable," he said. "Things are flat on their back now, and they must be looking up."

Address by Gov. Franklin D. Roosevelt of New York on State Planning Round Table on REGIONAIISM - Monday, July 6, 1931

I did not come here with any prepared speech this mowing. I came to present to you very informally a subject that is very close to all of our hearts, a subject winch I think needs and deserves much public and private discussion and needs and requires perhaps just as few formal public addresses as possible. It seems particularly appropriate that we at the University should be discussing plans, for the great planner of our nation, the first planner, was the father of the university; an architect of buildinge, an architect of industry, and most of all an architect of government. And yet, after the age of Thomas Jefferson, it seems to me that our nation as a whole, and our several states forgot architecture in the sense in which it had been used and practiced by Thomas Jefferson, and it is only in the last generation, our generation that we have returned to thoughts of planning for the days to come.

Like Mr. Brownlow, I do not know what "Regionalism" means. I do have certain fairly clear ideas in respect to the relative spheres of governments of states on theone hand and Federal governmont on the other hand. Lat me cite some of the instances I call planning of any kind.

I think we are apt very often to run after false gods in this country, to take up some "ism" and assume that in a generation or two that they are going to be so different from ourselves that we must scrap everything tried and familiar. One of the most eminent ohemigts of this country said to me "It will be only another generation before there will be no more farms in theUnited States." I said "No more farms?" and he said "I may modify that. There will be probably one tenth of thenfarm areas eultivated in the noxt generation. Ninety per cent will go out of agrioubural production. Why, the next generation will bo eating synthetic food." Then he drev the most delightful picture of synthetic food. Getting up in the morning you will go to the mantle shelf. While you are shaving and bathing, you take up a bottle of pills marked "Poached rggs" and you take $u$ another bottle of pills marked "Toast" and you take one posched egg pill and one toast p111, and nowadays there would probably be a "Cup of coffee" pill. Fien science is apt to outrun itself, and I take it that in the next generation we will still be eating real eggs and real toast, and by the same token we will still have our farms in this country.

But, there are problens that have ome to life and that do require the most fundamental kind of thought. We are told by many social experts today that the size of eities is getting to a point where they are no longer economis for huran boings to live in. You and I know of examples where industries have declined to go In somo of the larger aities of our nation and have preforred to

Locate their plants in smaller communities. And in the seme way we are beginning to wonder vhether this movement of leaving the farm and going to the great oity is over coming to an end. of course it has got to come to an end, because the point is approaching where it can go on no longer. It was only, I think two or three generations ago, somewhere around 1850 , that 75 per cent of Americans lived in rural communities and 25 per cent vere urban, and yet today those figures are completely reversed. Seventy-five per cent of the people of the United States are in urben and only 25 per cent rural, and we have looked with fear to the point where 100 per cent would be urban. or course, that is an impossible figure to arrive at, and I am inclined to the belief that ve heve reached very nearly thesaturation point of urban population. This means that one of our first efforts in meeting the problem of maintaining a proper balance between city ife and country life is to be made acoording to the old formula, "Make country ilfe more attractive." In many of the states much hes been done to survey conditions. In a fev states, New York and two others, I think, derinite efforts have been made to find out the facts about land.

I can best illustrate the point that I am coming to by using as an example what has been definitely accomplished by the state of New York during the past three years.

First from the point of view of government, in other words, taxes. The burden upon the rural aommunities vas so heavy thet somothine had to be done to relieve the oountry oommunity from this overburden of taxes. That was accomplished by a sories of laws. Under the old plan, not only in the state of New York
but in other states the rule followed seened to be when it came to the question of state contribution to local needs, they followed the old maxire "To him that hath shall be given." In one of our counties, for example, where the land values were high, a county near New York City, where the assessed values ran from $\$ 2000$ and $\$ 3000$ to $\$ 5000$ an acre, the order was for the state to contribute as high as $\$ 1500$ and $\$ 1600$ per mile of town road, whereas the great bulk of our counties up-State New York counties, the state contributed as low as $\$ 25$ and $\$ 30$ per mile of highvay, and so our first effort was to correct this syatem. In highways and taxes and education and various other ways, we have made the lot of the rural dweller more equitable.

Then following that poogram, we saw perhaps the possibility of outlining a plan for the state as based upon the land unit, for after all in this country and in the nation from which mostof our people came, the fundamental unit is the unit of land. So, we have taken a survey of land within the state, and a couple of other states are doing the same thing, trying to find out the faots. The one thing that impresses me is the lack of facts, not merely facts which let us see the work of the late Wickersham committee, but facts relating to almost every other phase of life. one reason that we ere not proceeding further with the crime problem is that we do not knov any of the facts regarding orime. So one of our firgt efforts on the question of population and land was togather facts. We have surveyed, for example, one of our rurbl counties and we find in that one county that $?$ per cont of all the land now boine oultivated ought not to be oultivated. So we are
extending that survey through all of the counties to determine what should be the use or land.

Let me give you some very simple figures. As Mr. Brownlow suggested, the state of Nov York is not merely the oity of New York. The state is twenty-ninth in area of the forty-eight states, and runs from year to year in the value of its agricultural producta, between the third and sixth state. We are a state of farmers. Six million people outside the oity of Fev York itself. Now with that area and with that important agricultural system, we come back to ind out about its history, and we find that the state contains 30 million acres of land, and out of those thirty million acres three million are ocoupied by cities, towns and villages, and five million acres more represent state owned rough lands in the Adirondacks, lake areas, Catjakill Mountains, etc., leaving a total of two million acres that fifty years ago were oultivated. Yet in these past fifty years, four million of those have been abandoned. Those figures apply to almost every other state east of the Mississippi in very much the same proportion. Every state has its problem of abandoment of farmas, New Fingland and most of the South, and more recently a larger part of the midale west.

Abandoned farms! People couldn't make a success of their
lives on famms, so out of 22 million acres that once were farmed in the state of New York four million are already abandoned. In this survey, we belleve we shall find another four million that ought to be abandoned. We have eighteen million aores in farms and we believe we ought not have more than fourteen million. What will be the result of that, and how are we goins about it?

Shall we depend on people to just follow the normal ecohomic course? Shall ve depend on thom to voluntarily abandon these four million acres? Probably not. It should be accelZerated by government action. I should say abandon four million acres more for the very grod reason that on those four million acres are not making both ends meet. It is uneconomical for them to try to stay on year after year, tilling land that won't keep their families up to the American standard of living. And so the state is this year submitting to the voters a bond issue of some twonty millions of dollars for a comparatively short period of years, which vill be used for these marginail dands, Some call them sub-marginal lands. The point is, in some way we have got to take those lands out of agriculture.

The other large waste is in maintaining highways up into these sparsely settled regions; highways that cost at least $\$ 100$ per year to per mile to maintain, highways that ought to have a lot more spent on them, hishways going into sections where there are only two or three families to be served by five miles of raed, and then think of the other cconomic wastes. If these people on this marginal land are to be brought up to our modern standards, they ought to have a telephone line, and that means running up a road for several miles to serve one, two or three families. They should have electric light on their farms, but if they could afford it, two or three farms might have to pay for four or five miles of poles and lines. So ve figure that by the expenditure of a comparatively small sum whioh the state may put in the purchase of these marginal lands, the money will be returned to the soonomio wealth of the state many times over in a eomparatively short period,
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sofar as possible the one room school house. How many are there in this country? There are between 30,000 and 40,000 in the United States today, and in every atate of the union we are working for the oonsolidation of school districts and the improvement of education. In the state of New York there are still thousands of one-room sohoolhouses, and we believ that in this process of eliminating the marginal lands, we will be oliminating the one-room schoolnouses. Those are some of the advantages. What is going to happen to the people on the land? That is always the question that is asked in the first place. our survey so far shows that very largely these people are old people. Nost of the young people have gotten out and moved off somewhere else. I do not belleve thet ve ought to take these old people on these farms and remove them from the homes they were born in and were raised in and have lived all their lives in. It is entirely possible to let them live there the balance or their lives, $1 t$ won't be long. What is ten or twenty years in the life of a commulty? But, we believe that after they are zone, to those impossible farms should be taken out of farming, and so with due regard for the feelings of these people, in the course of a generation, nearly all of these farms will be abandoned as such.

Then there will be other people, and provision can probably be made through eooperative methods for them to move to land where they can mako a living. That is just a rough pioture
or that part of our plan.
But let me mention what will have to be done with four million acres. of course, it has two or three possibilities. First, for the growing of a long-term orop - trees, and everybody who is in a position to knov something of our forestration problem, knows we will need all the trees we can get in the next fifty years. A large part of this marginal land can and ought to be turned into porest land.

Then another phase that we have taken up in practically every state; the reareation value of these lands, giving an opportunity to the eity dwoller to get out into the country, recreation that is extendine every year, that a few years ago was ifinited to the months of July and August, that has extended now to a point earlier in the spring and lasting way on through the autumn, and more and more is beginning to develop into an all year round happening. We have somewhere around three million acres in state parks, a system of state parks in every part of the state. Just as an example let us note the use of the state parks for the past five years in the Adirondac reservation, which has been used every year by twice the number of people that have used it the year before. The use has doubled each year for five years. People are becoming out-door-minded all over the nation. The result of all this, we believe, is going to bring up a new olass in our oivilization.

We have talked a great deal about the country-dwellor and about the aity-dweller, Isn't there a third possibility, a possibility for us to ereate by sooperative effort some form of living whioh wili gombine industry and agricultures Today atstes
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are looking at it from two angles. For instance in Pennsylvania with the idea of bringing agrioulture to industry. In the coal mining town, in the town with the steel plant or factory, they are trying to locate their operators and employees out a little way, a mile or two, where each one can have in place of two or three rooms in a four-family house, a house of his own with maybe an acre of land, giving them a chance to raise some of their own food supply.

In Vermont they are working through a state conmission to bring industry to agriculture. Dr. Taylor up there has written the report of an investigation of an experiment in a valley up In Vermont, where most people were leaving the valley. There came back to that valley some ten or fliteen years ago, a boy who had left it twenty years earlier, and had become wealthy. He came back there and he found that the young people were leaving the valley and that the old people were discouraged. They were trying to farm the thin soll up on the mountain side;that the people vere just dying out. What did he do? He looked over this valley and found there was some fine second growth of hard wood and no market for it. Then he conceived the idea of putting in a little turning mill and then he went out to Sears-Roebuck and said, "What do you need in the way of wood contraptions that can be made at our turning millp" "We need those little round knobs that go on the tops of kettles and lids of pans," they said. The result was thet he entered into a contraot for making, I don't know how many millions of thase things. He went back and said to the paople, "I am going to open up my faotory after you get your aorn in."

When the corn was harvested, he opened up his factory and gave employment to that valley in two ways. He told them he needed so many lengths of this hard wood, and he said he needed to employ so many of those people, and he paid them cash, and they had a cash orop of kettle knobs.

The result has been that the young people are staying. When the plenting time comes in the spring, the kettle knob factory closes down. Then up there in the summer time, after the hay is in and before the corn ripens, there is always a period in August when things are dull, and the little old factory opens up again and they get two or three weeks more of cash crops. Thet is one example.

We have many in this country and more them are being tried out. I am hoping that in everyone of our states, we shall bo able to do some experimenting in the se coming years, with the creation of a new group in our civilination. We may call them the ruralindustrialists. We may call them the factory-farmers, one simple term that will connote fust that position of keeping people on the land with agriculture as what you might call their roots way down in the cround, and at the same time some safety during the long months of the winter season for them to earn some kind of cash wages. It will make our whole nation individual and independent. It will open vast areas and at the same time, by state planning, by eliminating the marginal lands, the lands that are still left in agrioulture will be more useful and will be worth cultivating.

Then we sorse to one final subjest that I want to pass
over quite rapidly. The question of regional planning. Let me illustrate. When I first started going down to Warm Springs in Georgia, there came through at night on the railroad a very noisy long train, about three a.m. Itwas always one time, five minutes to three, and they said it was the milk train. "Where to," I asked. "To Forida," they told me. That train of eighteen or twenty cars, run on passenger schedule, most of them glass tank cars, carrying south the milk cream supply for Miami, Palm Beach and all other resorts down there. I said, "Where does it come from?" "It comes from Visconsin." That milk originating in Wisconsin, Northern Illinois, was passing through Kentucky, which certainly can grow milk, passing throurh the corner of Tennessee, end passing through the length of Georgia, absolutely eliminating the three states they passed through as sources of supply for the Florida market. This is the result of a lack of planning more than anything else. There is no reason why the State of Georgia shouldn't furnish all of the milk and cream for the state of Plorida.

We are doing something in the state of Now York, which I must admit is of doubtful constitutionality. The citizens of Now York outside of New York City, with a population of six million people, and another two million people in the nearby statef of New Jersey makes a population of eight million people raising a lot of milk and oream. The Nev York State dairy farmers up to three years ago vere going broke. Something had to be done, and the prinolple concern was this; that a ereat many furmers out in the central western states of ohio, Indiana,

Illinois, Wisoonsin and part of Iowa had gotten a little tired of raising corn and wheat and were trying to diversify. Therefore, they bought some cows, any old kind of cows so long as they gave milk, uninspected, of course. They started shipping milk, and because it was a by-product of their farms it was proauced cheaply. We called it bootleg milk, and it was. It was not what you would call seasoned, aged, inspected, or anything else. The result was that our own farmers were being put out of business, although our own farmers under the state laws had to maintain the highest kind of senitary requirements on their farms. Then we dic our un-nenstitutional act.

We got the Health Commissioner of Now York City flrst to lay down the simple rule that no milk could come into New York unless it came from inspeoted cows, and inspected sources, milk that came from oows that had been tested against tuberculosis, milk that was inspected and met all requirements. But, the second part was pretty hard on some people, we have got to admit that. Helthen said, "I am very sorry, gentleinen, but I have only so many inspeotozs. They ean't be running all over the country, out to wisconsin adn gtates in the middle west. They have to cover a field whioh is of a practical si>e, so I am avriully sorry, we cannot send down Mr. Palmer, to inspect your farin. We cover the state of Nev York and a few thousand acres in Northern New Jersey, a few thousand acres of Southrn penneyrania and a few thousand aeres of Western Vermont. That became by that aot, the milk-shed of the metropolitan area, ifved in by about oight million people. The result is that at
last we have the milk farmer in that area cooperating, and they have been told the exact situation in respect to supply and demand. They may be told one year that we areproducing too much milk end not to grow too many cows. So far the experiment has benn going on for three or four years and is past the stage of experiment. Nobody would say that the dairy farmers of this region are getting rich, but et least we can say they are keping their farms. They are not having the mortgages foreclosed, and they are making an honest living and getting fair prioes for their product, and they are not getting an excessive price from the point of viev of the public. That is one case where regional plenning has worked, and there is no state that that principle should not be extended to.

We have heard about the port of Norfolk. It is not merely a municipal enterprise. The development affects a very definite area in this region. We are doing a litte today in the way of discouraging shipments of large quantities of produce to New York. Here is an instance. There comes a time every year when during a period of three or four days, the whole United States decides, by mental telepathy, that they are goine to ship their cabbages to New York, and if the whole elght million people were to eat corned beef and oabbage three times a day, they would not be able to eat the supply. The result is that every single year, carload after carload of oabbages, whioh we cannot allow to lay around and rot on the plers (for we have some sanitary regulations) are dumped Into barges, and taken out, I think now to the twelvemile linit, and elther dropped overboard there or fed to the people who live Just outside that twelvemila $12 m i t$.

Two or more yoer ago I wae willed some fiptean thousand panols tpean down in Geopish, end I triad beine a farmap down
there. Last year, I out down eleven thousand out of the fifteen thousand trees and started to raise cattle. The reason is that apparently five or six years ago, every other owner of land in Georgia decided to grow peach trees. I made a perfectly magnificent orop of peaches down there, grovth after growth, and if I had shipped them to the main markets in the big Northern Cities, I would hav made a net loss of 15 cents to 20 cents on a crate, over and above the cost of ploking, pecking, and shipping. In the same way in many parts of this country, I believe, they went pecan mad, and the result is there are so many pecans grown now that they are reeding them to the hogs. This is all due to a laok of planning.

It seems to me that with all our ability, our vaunted wealth, we have not kept pace with the needs of the time. The waste that has come about on account of lack of planning in this country has run into blllions of dollars. Probably billions each year that goes by, and there is still the social side of it to consider.

Have we, because of the absonce of planning, not vasted much of the goodness and sun in the lives of the youth of the country? Have not they been debased not only in our eities, but also in many of our rural communities? Have they not been pressed in surroundings and oiroumstances which have led them into ways that were something nev to our oivilization? Have we older people been falr? Ifave we given them the ohance, economically or soalally, as we should have done? It seems to me that in this
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coming day, call it what you want, call it planning, or call it thinking hard, we have an opportunity in our own locality and community and county in our owm state, to do what is not merely a good thine to $d 0$, but to do what is a common sense thing about the generation that is coming after.

That, after all, is the simple way of desoribing the plan. What are we going to hand on to those who come later. That is a task that a few of us older people are working on, but a task of such interest that contains so many elements of guessing right, so many elements of chance, of trying to catch the lucky number and work the thing out in a way that will be successful, that it seems to me the young generation today have got not merely the greatest chance that any generation has had in other times. We can't all be right on what is going to happen anywhere here or hereafter. We can't guess definitely on the future. Some people will take their conclusions accoraing to a formula. The gentleman who came out of the middle west to see me, I said to hin, "How are things in your state?" "Looking up," he said, "Really! How do you figure they are looking up. You are one of the few people who has given me so optimistic a report." "It is absolutely inevitable," he said, "Things are rlat on their back now, and they must be looking up."

## Copy, FDR Library, by 1. N. 12-5-45

[Extemporaneous address given by FDR at the University of Virginia's Institute of Public Affairs held in Charlottesville, July 6, 1931,]

Address by Gov. Franklin D. Roosevelt of New York on State Planning Round Table on REGIONALISM - Monday, July 6, 1931

I did not cone here with any prepared speech this morning. I came to present to you very informally a subject that is very close to all of our hearts, a subject which I think needs and deserves much public and private discussion and needs and requires perhaps just as few formal puilic addresses as possible. It seems particularly appropriate that we at the University should be discussing plans, for the great planner of our nation, the first planner, was the father of the university; an architect of buildings, an arc itect of industry, and nost of all an architect of government. And yet, after the age of Thomas Jefferson, it seers to me that our nation as a whole, and our several states forgot architecture in the sense in which it had been used and practiced by Thonas Jefierson, and it is only in the last generation, our generation that we have returned to thoughts of planning for the days to come.

Like $\mathbf{r}$. Browniow, I do not kiow what "Regionalism" means. I do have certain fairly clear ideas in respect to the relative epheres of governments of states on the one haid and Pederal government on the other hand, Let me of te som of the instances I call planntue of any kind.

I think we are apt very often to run after false gods in this country, to take up sone "ism" and assune that in a generation or two that they are going to be so different fron ourselves that we must scrap everything tried and familiar. One of the most eminent chemists of this country said to me "It will be only another generation before there will be no more farms in the Infted States." I said "No more farms?" and he said "I may modify that. Thers will be probably one tenth of the present farm areas cultivated in the next generation. Minety per cent ndil go out of agricultural production. Why, the next generation will be eating synthetic food." Then he drew the most delightful picture of synthetic food.

Getting up in the morming you will go to the mantile shelf. While you are shaving and bathing, you take up a bottle of pills marked "Poached Eggs" and you take up another bottle of pills marked "Toast" and you take one poached egg pill and one toast pill, and nowadays there would probably be a "Cup of Coffee" pill. Sven science is apt to outrun 1taelf, and I take it that in the next generation we vill still be oating real eggs and real toast, and by the sane token we will still have our farns in this country.

But, there are problems that have come to 11 fe and that do mequire the most funderaental kind of thought. We are told by mary sooial experts today that the sise of oities is getting to a point where they are no Longer eoonomio $f r$ human beinge to live ino You and I know of examples were induetries have deolined to go In sane of the laricer aities of our nation und have proferred to

Locate their plants in smaller oummunties. And in the same way we are beginning to wonder whether this movement of leaving the farm and going to the great city is ever cordng to an end. $O f$ course it has got to cone to an end, because the point is approsching where it can go on no longer. It was only, I think two or three generations ago, somewhere around 2850 , that 75 per cent of Anericans Ilved in rural ocamunities and 25 per cent were urben, and yet today those figures are copletely reversed. Seventy-flive per cent of the people of the United. States are in urban and only 25 per cent rural, and we have looked with fear to the point where 100 per cent would be urban. of course, that is an impossible flgure to arrive at, and I am inclined to the belief that we have reached very nearly the saturation point of urban population. This means that one of our flrst efforts in meeting the problen of madntaining a proper balance between city Iife and country life is to be made according to the old formula, "Make country life more at tractive." In many of the states much has been done to survey conditions. In a few states, New York and two others, I think, definite efforts have been made to find out the fads about land.

I can best 111 ustrate the point that I an coming to by uaing as an example what has been definitely accomplished by the state of New York during the past three years.

Mret from the point of view of govermment, in other words, taxes, The burden upon the rural comarundties was 80 heavy that sonething had to be done to relieve the country community from this overburden of taces. That was acco plishod by a series of laws. Under the old plen, not only in the state of New York
but in other states the rule followed seemed to be when it came to the question of state contribution to looal needs, they followed the old maxdm "To him that hath shall be givene" In one of our counties, for exmple, where the land values were high, a county near New York ofty, where the assessed velues ran from $\$ 2000$ and $\$ 3000$ to $\$ 5000$ an acre, the oxder was for the atate to con tribute as high as $\$ 3500$ and $\$ 1300$ per mile of town road, whereas the great bulk of our counties up-State New York counties, the state contributed as low as $\$ 25$ and $\$ 30$ per mile of highway, and so our first effort was to correct this systern. In highways and taxes and education and various other ways, we have made the lot of the rural dweller more equitable.

Then following that progran, we saw perhaps the posad bility of outlining a plan for the state as based upon the land unit, for after all in this country and in the nation from which most of our people cane, the fundanental unit is the wiit of land, So, we have takon a survey of land within the state, and a couple of other states are doing the same thing, trying to find out the facts. The one thing that impresses me is the lack of facts, not merely facts which let us see the work of the late Wigkershan cormittee, but facts relating to alnost every other phase of ilfe. One reason that we are not proceeding further with the orime problem is that we do not know any of the facts regarding arine. So one of our first efforts on the question of population and land was to gather facts. Wie have eurveyed, for exmaple, one of our rural counties and we find in that one ocunty that 22 per oent of all the land now being oultivated ought not to be oultiveted. So we are
extending that aurvey through all of the counties to determine what should be the use of land.

Let me give you sone very simple figures. As ltr. Brownlow sugrested, the State of New York is not merely the city of New York. The state is twenty-ninth in area of the forty-eight states, and runs fron year to year in the value of its agricultural products, between the third and sixth state. We are a state of farmers. Six million people outside the ofty of New York itself. Now with that area and with that inportant agricultural system, we come baok to find out about its history, and we find that the state contains 30 million aeres of land, and out of those thirty million acres three million are occupied by cities, towns and villages, and five million acres more represent state ovned rough lands in the Adirondacks, lake areas, Catakill Mountains, etc., leaving a total of two million acres that fifty years ago were cultivated. Yet in these past.flfty ears, four milizion of those have been abandoned. Those figures apply to almost every other state east of the Kiselsaippi in very much the same proportion. Every state has its problem of abandonsent of farms, New England and most of the South, and more recently a larger part of the middle west.

Abandoned fams: People oculdn't make a success of their lives on farms, so out of 22 miliion acres that once were far ed In the State of New York four million are already abandoned. In this survey, we believe we ahall find another four million that ought to be a andoned. We have oighteen million acres in fams and we believe we ought not to have more than fourteen mililion. That will be the result of that, and how are we going about it?

Shall we depend on people to just follow the normal econonic course? Shall we depend on them to voluntarily abandon these four millition acres? Probably not. It should be accelerated by government action. I should say abandon four million acres more for the very good reason that on those four miflion acres are not making both onds meet. It is uneconomioal for them to try to stay on year after year, tilling land that won't keep their familles up to the American standard of living. And so the state is this year submitting to the voters a bond issue of sone twenty millions of dollars for a conparatively short period of years, which will be used for these marginal lands. Some call them aub-marginal. lands. The point is, in sone way we have got to take those lands out of agriculture.

The other large waste is in maintainfing highvays up into these sparsely settied regions; highways that cost at least $\$ 100$ per year to por mile to maintain, highways that ought to have a lot more spent on them, highw ays going into sections where there are only two or three families to be served by five miles of road, and then think of the other economic wastes. If these people on this marginal land are to be brought up to our modern standasis, they ought to have atelephone line, and that means running up a road for several miles to serve one, two or three fandilies. They should have electric light on thoir farms, but if they could afford it, two or thmee farms rifght have to pay for four or five miles of poles and lines. So we flgure that by the expenditure of a ocraparatively ama 11 sum which the etate may put in the purchase of thase marginal lands, the money will be returned to the econonic wealth
of the state many times over in a conparatively short period.
Think of what it means in this problem of eliminating in so far as posed ble the one room school house. How many are there in this country? There are between 30,000 and 40,000 in the United States today, and in every state of the union we are working for the consolidation of school districts and the improvement of education. In the state of New York there are still thousands of one-roon schorlhouses, and we believe that in this process of eliminating the marginal lands, we will be eliminating the one-room schoolhouses. Those are some of the advantages.

What is going to happen to the people on the land? That is always the question that is asked in the first place. Our survey so far shows that very largely these people are old people. Wost of the young people have gotten out and moved off somewhere el.se. I do not believe that we ought to take these old peoplo on these farms and remove them fron the homes they were born in and were raised in and have lived all their 11 ves in. It is entirely possdible to let them live there the balance of their lives, it won't be long. What is ten or twenty years in the life of a comunity? But, we belleve that after they are gone, to those impossible fams should be taken out of farming, and so with due regard for the feelings of these people, In the course of a generation, nearly all of these farme will be abandoned as such.

Then there wi. 22 be other people, and provision can probably be made through cooperati ve methods for them to move to 2and where they ean make a 2iving. That in Juat a rough photure
of that part of our plan.
But let ne mention what will have to be done with four million acres. of course, it has two or three possibillties. Firet, for the growing of a langitem orop - trees, and everybody who is in a position to know something of our forestration problem, knows we will need all the trees we can get in the next fifty years. A large part of this marginal land can and ought to be turned into forest land.

Then another phase that we have taken up in practically every state; the recreation value of these lands, giving an opportunity to the oity diveller to get out into the country, recreation that is extending every year, that a fev years ago was 1imited to the months of July and August, that has extended now to a point earlier in the apring and lasting way on through the autumn, and more and more is beginning to develop into an all year round happening. We have sonewhere around three million acres in state parks, a system of state parks in every part of the state. Just as an example lat us note the use of the state parks for the past five years in the Adirondac reservation, which has been used every year by twice the number of people that have used it the year befbre. The use has doubled each year for five year's. People are beconing out-door-minded all over the nation. The rasult of all this, we belleve, is going to bring up a new class in our civilization.

We have talked a great deal about the country-dweller and a out the of ty-dweller. Ian't there a third possibility, a possibility for us to areate by cooporative offort sonte form of 2iving which will combine industry and agrioulture? Today stetes
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are looking at it fron two angles. For instance in Pennsylvania wi.th the idea of bringing agriculture to induatry. In the coal mining town, in the town with the steel plant or factory, they are trying to locate their operators and employees out a little. way, a mile or two, where each one can have in place of two or three roons in a four-family house, a house of his own with maybe an acre of land, griving them a chance to radse some of their own food supply.

In Vernent they are working through a state connisaion to bring industry to agriculture. Dr. Taylor up there has written the report of an investigation of an experiment in a valley up In Vermont, where most people were leaving the vallay. There came back to that valley some ten or flifteen yeare ago, a boy who had laft it twenty years earlier, and had becone wealthy. He carne back there and he found that the young people were leaving the valley and that the ald people were discouraged. They were trying to farm the thin soil up on the mountain sides that the people were just dying out. What did he do? He looked over this valley and found there was some fine second growth of hard wood and no mariket for it. Then he conceived the ides of putting in a Little turning mill and then he went out to Sears-Roebuck and said, Mhat do you need in the way of wood contraptions that can be made at our turning mill?" "We need those little round knobs that go on the tops of kettles and lids of pans," they said. The result was that he entered into a contract for making, I don't know how many millions of these things. He went back and said to the people, "I going to open up my factory after you get your corn ing"

When the corn was harvested, he opened up his factory and gave employment to that valley in two waye. He told them he needed so many lemgths of this hand wood, and he said he needed to employ so many of those paople, and he paid them cash, and they had a cash crop of kettle knobs.

The result has been that the young people are staying. When the planting time cones in the epring, the kettle knob factory closes down. Then up there in the sumer time, after the hay is in and before the corn ripens, there is always a period in August when things are dull, and the littile old factory opens up again and they get two or three weeks more of cash arops. That is one exmple.

We have nany in this country and more tom are being tried out. I am hoping that in everyone of our states, we shall be able to do sone experimenting in thess coning years, with the creation of a new group in our civilization. We may call them the ruralIndustries. We may call them the factory-farmers, one simple term that will connote just that position of keeping people on the land with agriculture as what you might call their roots way down in the ground, and at the same time some safety during the long months of the winter season for them to earn sone kind of cash wages. It will nake ous whole nation individual and independent. It will open vast areas and at the sane tine, by state planing, by eliminating the narginal. lands, the lands that are still left in agrioulture will be nore useful and will by worth oultiveting.

Then we come to one final subject that I want to pass over quite rapidly. The question of regional planning. Let me illustrate. When I firet started going down to Warm Springs in Georgia, there came through at night on the railroad a very noisy long train, about three a.m. It was always on time, five minutes to three, and they said it was the milk train. "Where to," I asked. "To Florida" they told me. That train of eighteen or twenty cars, run on passenger schedule, most of them glass tank cars, earrying south the milk crean supply for Mianf, Palm seach and all other resorts down there. I said, whers does it come from?" "It comes fron wisconain." That milk originating in Wiscons in, Northern IUlinois, was passing through Kentucky, which certainly can grow milk; passing through the corner of Ternessee, and passing through the length of Georgia, absolutely eliminating the three states they passed through as sources of supply for the Norida market. This is the result of a lack of planning more than anything else. There is no reason why the State of Georgia shouldn't fumish all of the milk and crean for the State of Florida.

We are doing something in the State of New York, which I must adnit is of doubtrinl constitutionality. The citizens of New York outaide of New York City, with a population of six million people, and another two milition people in the nearby state of New Jersey make a population of eight million people raiding a lot of milk and oream. The New York State dairy farmers up to three years ago were going broke. Sonething had to be done, and the prinoiple concern was this that a groat many farmers out in the central western states of Ohlo, Indiana,

Illinois, Wisconsin and part of Iowa had gotten a little tired of raising corn and wheat and were trylng to diversify. There- . fore, they bought sane cows, any old kind of cows so long as they gave milk, uninspectad, of course. They started shipping milk, and because it was a bywproduct of their farms it was produced cheaply. We called it bootleg milk, and it was, It was not what you would call seasoned, aged, inspected, or arything eise. The result was that our own famers were being put out of business, although our own farmers under the state laws had to maintain the highest kind of sanitary raquirements on their farms. Then we did our unconstitutionml act. We got the Health Commissioner of New Vork City first to lay down the simple rule that no milk could come into New York unless it ceme from inspected cows, and inspacted sources, milk that came from cows that had been tested against tuberm culosis, milk that was inspected and met all requirenents. But, the second part was pretty hard on some people, we have got to admit that. He then said, "I am very sorry, gentlemen, but I have only so many inspectors. They can't be running all over the country, out to Wisconsin and states in the middle west. They have to cover a fleld uhich is of a practioal size, so I an awfully sorry, we cannot aend down ws. Palner to Inlinois to Inspect your farm. We cover the state of New York and a few thousand acres in Northern New Jeraey, a fow thousand acres of Southern Pannsylvenia and a few thousand acres of Vestern Vermont. That begane by that act, the milk-shed of the metropolitan aros, Lived in by about eight million people. The mamult is that at
last we have the milk farmer in that area cooperating, and they have been told the exact situation in respect to supply and demand. They may be told one year that we are producing too much milk and not to grow too many cows. So far the experiment has been going on, for three or four years and is past the stage of experiment. Nobody would say that the dairy farmers of this region are getting rich, but at least we can say they are keeping their farms. They are not having the mortgages foreclosed, and they are moking an honest living and getting fair prices for their product, and they are not getting an excessive price from the point of view of the public. Thatis one case where regional planning has worked, and there is no state that that principle should not be extended to.

We have heard about the port of Norfolk. It is not merely a manicipal enterpriae. The development affects a very definite area in this region. Wie are doing a little todsy in the way of discouraging shipments of large quantities of produce to New York. Here is an instance. There comes a time every year when during a period of throe or four days, the whole Inited States decides, by mental telepathy, that they axe going to ship their cabbages to New York, and if the whole eight milion people were to eat corned beef and cabbage three time aday, they would not be able to eat the supply. The result is that every single year, oarload after oarload of cabbages, misch we oannot allow to lay around and rot on the plers (for we have sone sanitary regulations) are dumped into barges, and taken out, I think now to the twelvemile 14 mit , and either dropped overboard there or fed to the people who live

Just outside that twelvemile limit.
No or more years ago I was willed scee flfteen thousand peach trees down in ceorgia, and I tried being a farmer down there. Last yaar, I cut down eleven thousand out of the fifteen thousand trees and started to raise cattle. The reason is that apparentiy five or six years ago, every other owner of land in Georgia decided to grow peach trees. I made a perfectiy magniflcent crop of peaches doven there, growth after growth, and if I had shipped them to the main markets in the big Northern Cities, I would have made a net loss of 15 cents to 20 cents on a crate, over and above the cost of picking, packing, and shipping. In the same way in many parts of this country, I belleve, they went pecan mad, and the result is there are mo many pecans grown now that they are feeding them to the hogs. This is all due to a lack of planning.

It seems to me that with all out ability, our vaunted wealth, we have not kept pace with the needs of the time. The waste that has cone about on account of lack of planning in this country has run into billions of dollary. Probably billions each year that goes by, and there is still the social side of it to consider.

Have we, because of the ebsence of planning, not wasted nuch of the goodness and oun In the IIves of the youth of the oountry? Heve not they been debased not oniy in our aities, but also in many of our rural oomand tiee? have they not been pressed in surcoundinge and of rommenoes which have led them into whye that mere 世enething new to ouy oiviLustion? Have we older
people been fair? Nave ve given them the chanco, economically or socially, as we should have done? It seens to me that in this coming day, call it what you want, call it planning, or call it thinking hard, we have an opportundty in our own locality and conmunity and county in our own atate, to do what is not merely a good thing to do, but to do what is a comsion sense thing about the genaration thatis coming after.

That, after all, is the simple way of describing the plan. What are we going to hand on to those who cone later. That is a task that a few of us ol.der people are working on, but a task of such interest that contains so many elements of guessing right, so many ele ents of chance, of trying to catch the lucky nuaber and work the thing out in a way that will be successful, that it seems to me the young generation today have got not merely the greatest chance that any generation has had in other times. We can't all be right on what is going to happen anywhere here or hereafter. Fie can't guess deflinitely on the future. Some people will take their conclusions according to a formuia. The gentleman who came out of the middle west to see ne, I said to him, "How are things in your state?" "Looking up," he said, "Peallys How do you flgure they are looking up. You are one of the few peoplo who have given ne so optimistic apeporto" "It is abdelutely inevitable," he said. "Things are plat on their back nor, and they must be looking up."

Copy, FOR Library, by M. N. 12-5-45

Adrress by Gov. Franklin D. Roosevelt of New York on State Planning Round Table on REGIONALISM - Monday, July 6, 1931

I did not core here with any prepared speech this morning. I came to present to you very informally a subject that is very close to all. of our hearts, a subject nilich I think needs and deserves much public and private discussion and needs and requires perhaps just as few formal puilic addresses as possible. It seems partioularly appropriate that we at the University should be discussing plans, for the great planner of our nation, the flret planner, was the father of the university; an architect of buildIngs, an arc itect of industry, and most of all an archttect of government. And yet, after the age of Thomas Jefferson, it seems to me that our nation as a whole, and our several states forgot architecture in the sense in which it had been used and practiced by Thomas Jefierson, and it is only in the last generation, our generation that we have returned to thoughts of planning for the days to come.

Like Ir. Brownlow, I do not know what "Regions 11 am" means. I do have certain fairly clear ideas in reapest to the relative spheres of governmente of $s$ tetes on the one hand and Federal government on the other hand. Let me of te sons of the inetanoes I eall planuting of any kind,

I think we are apt very often to run after false gods in this country, to take up sone "iam" and assune that in a generation or two that they are going to be so different from ourselves that we must scrap everything tried and familiar. One of the most eminent chemists of this country said to me "It will be only another generation before there will be no more farms in the Inited States." I said "No more farms?" and he said "I may modify that. There will be probably one tenth of the present farm areas cultivated in the next generation. Ninety per cent wd. 27 go ont of agricultural production. Why, the next generation will be eating synthetic food." Then he drew the most delightful picture of synthetic food.

Getting up in the morning you will go to the mantle shelf. While you are shaving and bathing, you take up a bottle of pills marked "Poached Eggs" and you take up another bottle of pilis marked "Toast" and you take one poached egg p111 and one toast p111, and nowadays there would probably be a "Cup of Coffee" pill. Even science is apt to outrun itself, and I take it that in the next generation we will still be eating real eggs and real toast, and by the sane token we will still have our faras in this country. But, there are problems that have come to life and that do paquire the most findemental kind of thought. We are told by many social experts today that the sige of cities is getting to a point where they are no longer sconomic for human beings to live ine You and I know of examples where induetries have deolined to go In sane of the larger aities of our nation and have greferred to
locate their plants in sualler oumunities. And in the sane way we are beginning to wonder whether this movement of leaving the farm and going to the great oity is ever coning to an end. of course it has got to come to an end, bscause the point is approaching where it can go on no longer. It was only, I think two or three generations ago, sonewhere around 2850, that 75 per cent of Anericans 13 ved in rumal coniunities and 25 per cent were urban, and yet today those flgures are co pletely reversed. Seventy-five per cent of the people of the United States are in urban and only 25 per cent rural, and we have looked with fear to the point where 100 per cent would be urban. of course, that . is'an impossible flgure to arrive at, and I an inclined to tha belfef that we have reached very nearly the saturation point of urben population. This means that one of our flrst efforts in meeting the problen of madintaining a proper balance between city 11fe and country life is to be made according to the old formula, "Hake country life more at cractive." In many of the states much has been done to survey conditions. In a few states, New York and two others, I think, dely.nite efforta have been made to find out the fads about land.

I can best illustrate the point that I an ooning to by using as an exemple what has been definitely accoaplished by the state of New York during the past thres years.

First fron the point of viem of government, in other words, taxes, The burden upon the rural ocmanunities was so heavy that aonetising had to be done to relieve the country oomerunity fros this overbunden of texes. That was acoo plished by a series of lawe. Under the old plang not onily in the titete of Hew York
but in other states the rule followed seened to be when it cane to the question of state contribution to local needs, they followed the old mavim "To him that hath shall be given." In one of our counties, for exmple, where the land values were high, a county near New York dity, where the assessed velues ran from $\$ 2000$ and $\$ 3000$ to $\$ 5000$ an acre, the oxier was for the state to con tribute as high as 81500 and 81300 per mile of town road, whereas the great bulk of our counties up-State New York counties, the state contributed as low as $\$ 25$ and $\$ 30$ per mile of highwas, and so our first effort was to correct this syatem. In highways and taxes and education and various other ways, we have made the lot of the rural dweller more equitable.

Then following that program, we saw perhaps the possibility of outlining a plan for the state as based upon the land unit, for after all in this country and in the nation from which most of our people cane, the fundamental unit is the unit of land, So, we have taken a survey of land within the state, and a couple of other states are doing the same thing, trying to find out the facts. The one thing that impresses me $1 . s$ the lack of facts, not merely facts which let us see the work of the late Wickershan cormittiee, but facts relating to alnost every other phase of life. One reason that we are not proceeding further with the orime problem is that we do not know any of the facts regarding orfine. So one of our first efforts on the question of population and land was togather facts. We háve surveyed, for exmple, one of pur rural counties and we find in that one oounty that 22 per cent of 212 the land now being cultivated ought not to be cultivated. So we are
extending that aurvey through all of the counties to determine what should be the use of land.

Let me give you sone very simple flgures. As Hr. Brownlow auggested, the state of New York is not merely the city of New York. The state is twenty-ninth in area of the forty-eight states, and runs fron year to year in the value oir its agricultural products, between the third and sixth state. We are a state of farmers. Six. milion people outside the of ty of New York itself. Now with that area and with that important agricultural system, we come back to find out about its history, and we find that the state contains 30 mililion acres of land, and out of those thirty million acres three mililion are occupied by cities, towns and villages, and five million acres more represent state owed rough lands in the Adirondacks, lake areas, Catakill Mouritains, etc., laaving a total of two million acres that fifty years ago were cultivated. Yet In these past fifty years, four milizion of those have been abandoned. Those figures apply to almost every other state east of the Hississippi in very much the sane proportion. Every atate has its problem of abandonment of famme, New Ingland and most of the South, and more recently a laries part of the midide west.

Abandoned fams: People couldn't make a success of their 11 ves on farms, so out of 22 million aares that once were fared In the state of New York four million are already abandoned. In this survey, we believe we shal I If and anotior four mililion that ought to be abandoned. We have oigiteen million acras in farma and we believe we ought not to have aore than fourtaen million. What will be the result of that, and how are we going about it?

Shall we depend on people to just follow the normal economic course? Shall we depend on them to voluntamily abandon these four million acres? Probably not. It should be accelerated by government action. I should say abandion four million acres more for the very good reason that on those four million acres are not making both ends meet. It is uneconomical for them to try to stay on year after year, tilling land that mon't keep their families up to the American standard of living. And so the state is this year submitting to the voters a bond issue of some twenty millions of dollars for a conparatively short period of years, which will be used for these marginal lands. Sone call them sub-marginal. lands. The point is, in sone way we have got to take those lands out of agriculture.

The other large waste is in maintaining highvays up into these sparsely settied regions; highwas that cost at least $\$ 100$ per year to per mile to maintain, highways that ought to have a lot more spant on thein, highw ays going into sections where there are only two or three families to be served by flve miles of road, and then think of the other economic wastes. If these people on this marginal land are to be brought up to our modern standasds, they ought to have atelephone line, and that means running up a road for several miles to serve one, two or three families. They should have electaric light on thoir farms, but if they could afford it; two or thmee farms night have to pay for four or five miles of poles and lines. So we figure that by the expendit.ture of a comparatively ema 12 sum which the state may put in the purchase of these marginal lands, the money will be meturned to the economic wealth
of the state many times over in a comparatively short period.
Think of what it means in this problem of eliminating in so far as posed ble the ons room school house. How many are there in this country? There are between 30,000 and 40,000 in the United States today, and in every state of the union we are workIng for the consolidation of school districts and the improvement of education. In the state of New York there are still thousands of one-room schoolhouses, and we believe that in this process of eliminating the marginal lands, we will be eliminating the one-room schoolhouses. Those are some of the advantages.

What is going to happen to the people on the land? That is always the question that is asked in the first place. Our survey so far shows that very largely these people are old people. Wost of the young people have gotten out and moved off somewhere else. I do not believe that we ought to take these old people on thess farms and remove them Pros the homes they were born in and were raised in and have lived all their lives in. It 18 entirely possible to let ther live there the balance of their lives, it won't be long. What is ten or twenty years In the life of a communty? But, we believe that after they are gone, to those impossible farms should be taken out of farming, and so with due regard for the faelings of these people, In the course of a generation, nearly all of these farms will be abandoned as such.

Then there will be other people, and provision oan probe ably be made through cooperative mathods for them to move to 1and where thoy can rake a 11 ving . That is Juat a rough pioture
of that part of our plan.
But let me mention what wil 21 have to be done with four million acres. Of course, it has two or three possibilities. First, for the groving of a long ${ }^{\text {tom }}$ orop - trees, and everybody who is in a position to know sanething of our forestration problem, knows we will need all the trees we can get in the next fifty years. A large part of this marginal land can and ought to be turned into forest Lard.

Then another phase that we have taken up in practically every atate; the recreation value of these lands, giving an op portunity to the city dweller to get out into the country, recreation that is extending every year, that a few years ago was limited to the months of Juily and August, that has extended now to a point earlier in the upring and lasting way on through the autumn, and more and more is beginning to develop into an all year round happening. Wie have somerhere around three million acres in state parks, a systam of state parics in every part of the state. Just as an example let us note the use of the state parks for the past five years in the Adirondec reservation, which has been used every year by twi ce the number of people that have used it the yoar befbre. The use has doubled each year for five years. People are beconing out-door-minded all over the nation. The reault of all this, we believe, is going to bring up a new class in our civilization.

We have talked a great deal about the ountry-dweller and alout the ofty-dwellar. Im't there a third possibility, a posailisitty for us to oreste by cooperative efforts sone form of Living wish will combine induatry and agzioulture? Today stetes
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are looking at it from two angles. For instance in Pennsylvania vi.th the idea of bringing agriculture to industry. In the coal mining town, in the town with the steel plant or factory, they are trying to locate their operators and emplcyees out a little way, a mile or two, where each one can have in place of two or three roons in a four-family house, a house of his own wi.th maybe an acre of land, giving them a chance to raise some of theix own food supply.

In Vermont they are working through a state conission to bring industry to agriculture. Dr. Taylor up there has written the report of an investigation of an experiment in a valley up In Vermont, where most people were leaving the valley. There came back to that valley some ten or flfteen years ago, a boy who had left it twenty years earlier, and had become wealthy. He cane back there and he found that the young people were leaving the valley and that the old people were discouraged. They were trying to farm the thin soil up on the mountain aide; that the peoplle were just dyling out. What did he do? He looked over this valley and found there was sone flne second growth of hard wood and no maricet for it. Then he conceived the idea of putting in a little turning mill and then he went out to Sears-Roebuck and saf $d$, "What do you need in the way of wood contraptions that can be made at our turning mill.?" "We need those little round knobs that go on the tops of kettles and Lids of pans," thoy said. The result was thet he entered into a contract for making, I don't know how many millions of these things. He went back and said to the people, "I meing to open up my faotory after you got your oorn 1no"

When the corn was harvested, he opened up his factory and gave employment to that vallay in two ways. He told them he needed so many lengthe of this hand wood, and he said he needed to employ so many of those people, and he paid them cash, and they had a cash crop of kettle knobs.

The result has been that the young people are stayinge When the planting time cones in the spring, the kettle knob factory closes down. Then up there in the sumer time, after the hay is in and before the corn mpens, there is always a period in August vhen things are dull, and the little old factory opens up again and they get two or three weaks more of cash erops. That is one example.

We have many in this country and more tom are being tried out. I am hoping that in everyone of our states, we shall be able to do some experimenting in these coning years, with the creation of a new group in our civilization. We may call them the ruralIndustries. We may call them the factory-farmers, one aimple term that will connote just that position of keeping people on the land with agriculture as what you might call their roots way down in the ground, and at the same time some safety during the long months of the winter season for them to earn sone kind of eash wages. It will nake ous whole nation individual and independent. It will open vast areas and at the same tine, by state planming, by eliminating the marginal lands, the lands that are $\#$ till left in egriculture will be nore useful and will by worth oults.vating.

Then we come to one final subject that I want to pass over quite rapidly. The question of regional planning. Let me 111ustrate. When I. first started going down to Warm Springs in Georgia, there came through at night on the railroad a very noisy long train, about three asm. It was always on tine, flve minutes to three, and they said it was the milk train. "Where to," I asked. "To Florida" they told me. That train of eighteen or twenty cars, run on passenger schedule, most of them glass tank cars, aarrying south the milk craam supply for Miani, Palm Beach and all other resorts down there. I said, "Fhere does it come from?" "It comes fron Wisconsin." That milk originating in Wiscons' $n$, Northern I11inois, was passing through Kentucky, which certainly cen grow milk, passing through the corner of Tennessee, and passing through the length of Georgia, absolutely eliminating the three states they passed through as sources of aupily for the rloride market. This is the result of a lack of planning more than arything eles. There is no reason winy the State of Georgia shouldn't furnish all of the milk and cream for the State of Florida.

We are doing something in the State of New York, which I must admit is of doubtrul constitutionality. The oitizens of New York outside of New York City, with a population of six million people, and another two million people in the nearby state of New Jersey make a popilation of eight million people raising a lot of milk and oream. The New York State dairy farmers up to three years ago were going broke. Scmething had to be dons, and the pyinoiple corcenn was thiss that a mreat many farmars out in the sentral western states of OhLO, Indiang,

Illinois, Wisconsin and part of Iowa had gotten a little tired of raising corn and whest and were trying to diversify. Therefore, they bought sone cows, any old kind of cows so long as they gave milk, uninepected, of course. They started shipping milk, and because it was a by-product of their farns it was produced cheaply. We dalled it bootleg milk, and it was, It was not what you would call seasoned, aged, inspected, or anything else. The resuit was that our own famors were being put out of business, al though our own farmers under the state laws had to maintain the highest kind of sanitary requirements on their farms. Then we did our unconstitutional act.

We got the Health Commissioner of New York city first to lay down the simple rule that no milk could come into New York unless it cans from inspected cows, and inspected sources, milk that came from cows that had been tested against tuberculosis, milk that was inspected and met all requirements. But, the second part was pretty hard on some people, we have got to admit that. He then said, "I an very sorry, gentlemen, but I have only so many inspectors. They can't be running all over the country, out to Wisconsin and states in the middle west. They have to cover a flield which is of a practical size, so I am awfully sorry, we cannot send down Mr. Palner to flifnois to inspect your farm. We cover the state of New York and a few thousand acres in Northern New Jersey, a few thousand acres of Southern Ponngyivania and a few thousand aores of Western Vermont. That beomen by that act, the milkeshed of the metropolitan ares, Iived in by about elght milision people. The masult is that at
last we have the milk farmer in that area cooperating, and they have been told the exact aituation in respect to supply and demand. They may be told one year that we are producing too much milk and not to grow too many cows. So far the experiment has been going on for three or four years and is past the atage of experiment. Nobody would say that the dairy farmers of this region are getting mich, but at least we can say they are keeping their farms. They are not having the mortgages foreclosed, and they are making an honest living and getting fair prices for their product, and they are not geting an excessive price from the point of View of the public. Thatels one case where regional planning has worked, and there is no state that that principle should not be extended to.

We have heard about the port of Norfolk. It is not merely a municipal enterprise. The development affects a very definite ares in this region. Wie are doing a ijittle today in the way of discouraging shipments of large quantities of produce to New York. Here is an instance. There cones a time overy year when during a period of throe or four days, the whole United States decides, by mental telepathy, that they ave going to ship their cabbages to New York, and if the whole eight million people were to eat corned beef and cabbage three time adw, they would not be able to eat the aupply. The reault is that every oingle year, oarload after oarload of aablagas, which we oannot allow to lay around and rot on the plers (for we have sone sanitary regulations) are dumped into berges, and teken out, I think now to the twalvemile Limit, and elther dropped overbourd there or fed to the people who 14 ve
just outside that twelve-mile limit.
Two or more years ago I was willed some iffteen thousand peach trees down in coorgia, and I bried being a famer down there. Last year, I cut dom eleven thousand out of the fifteen thousand trees and started to raise cattle. The reason is that apparentily five or six years ago, every other omer of land in Georgia decided to grow peach trees. I made a perfectly magniflcent orop of peaches down there, growth after growth, and if I had shipped them to the mein markets in the big Northern Cities, I would have made a net loss of 15 cents to 20 cents on a crate, over and above the cost of picking, packing, and shipping. In the same way in many parts of this country, I belleve, they went pecan mad, and the result is there are so many pecans grown now that they are feeding them to the hogs. This is all due to a lack of planning.

It sieens to me that with all our ability, our vaunted wealth, we have not kept pace with the needs of the time. The waste that has cone about on account of lack of planning in this country has run into billions of dollars. Probably billions each year that goes by, and there is still the social side of it to consider.

Have we, because of the absence of planning, not wasted much of the goodness and oun in the 14 ves of the youth of the country? Have not they been debased not only in our oities, but also in many of our rural communities? Have they not been pressed in aurroundings and of roumstances whioh have led them into ways that were sonething new to our oivilimation? Have we oldor
people been fair? Have we given them the chance, economically or socially, as we should have done? It seers to me that in this coming day, call it what you want, call it planning, or call it thinking hard, we have an opportunity in our own locality and community and county in our own state, to do what is not merely a good thing to do, but to do what is a connon sense thing about the generation thatis coming after.

That, after all, is the simple way of describing the plan. What are we going to hand on to those who come later. That is a task that a few of us older people are working on, but a task of such interest that contains so many elements of guessing right, so many olements of chance, of trying to catch the lucky number and work the thing out in a way that will be successful, that it seems to me the foung generation today have got not merely the greatest chance that any generation has had in other Hmes. We can't all be right on what is going to happen anywhere here or hereafter. We can't guess definitely on the future. Some people vill take their conclusions according to a formula. The gentleman who came out of the middle west to see me, I said to him , "How are things in your state?" "Looking up," he said, "Reallys How do you flgure they are loolding up. You are one of the few people who have given so optimiatic eqreporto" "It is abdgalutely inevitable," he said. "Things are flat on their back now, and they mast be Loolding upe"

Ad Fess by Gov. Franklin D. Roosevelt of New York on State Planning Round rable on REGTOMALISM - Monday, July 6, 1931

I did not cone here with any prepared speech this morning. I cane to present to you very informally a subject that is very close to all of our hesets, a subject viich I think needs and deserves mach public and private discussion and needs and requires perhaps just as few formal pulic addresses as possible. It seams partioularly appropriate that we at the Iniversity should be disoussing plans, for the great planner of our nation, the first planner, was the father of the universitys an architect of buildIngs, an arc itect of industry, and nost of all an architect of goverment. And yet, after the age of Thonas Jefferson, it seems to me that our nation as a whole, and our several states forgot architecture in the sense in which it had been used and practiced by Thonas Jef orson, and it is only in the last generation, our generation that we have roturned to thoughts of planning for the days to cone.

IS.ke $\quad$. Brownlow, I do not $k$ ow what "Regionaliam" means.
I do have certain fairly cloar ideas in respect to the rolative spheres of governments of states on the one haid and Foderal government on the other hand. Let me of te some of the instanoes I call plannine of any kind.

I think we are ant very often to run after false gods in this country, to take up some "ism" and assune that in a generaHon or two that they are going to be so different from ourselves that we must scrap everything tried and familiar. One of the most eminent chemists of this country said to me "It will be only another generation before there will be no more farms in the United States." I said "No more farms?" and he said "I may modify that. There will be probably one tenth of the present farm areas cultivated in the next generation. Ninety per cent will go out of agricultural production. Why, the next generation $\mathbf{N} 111$ be eating synthetic food." Then he drew the most dellghtful picture of synthetic food.

Cetting up in the morming you will go to the mantle shelf. While you are shaving and bathing, you take up a bottle of pills marked "Poached Eggs" and you take up another bottle of pills marked "Toast" and you take one poached egg pill and one toast pill, and nowedays there would probably be a "Cup of Coffee" pill. Even science is apt to outrun itself, and I take it that in the next generation we will still be eating real eggs and real toast, and by the sane token we will, still have our farms in this country. But, there are problems that have come to 11 fe and that do mequire the most fundemental kind of thought. We are told by many social experts today that the size of cities is getting to a point where they are no longer aconomic for human beings to live ine You and I know of exaraples where industries have deslined to go In some of the larger aities of our nation and have preferred to
locate their plants in smaller ormunities. And in the same way we are beginning to wonder whether this movement of leaving the farm and going to the great oity is ever coning to an end. of courge it has got to come to an end, bscause the point is approaching where it can go on no longer. It was only, I think two or three generations ago, somevhere around 1850 , that 75 per cent of Anericans if ved in rural oommunties and 25 per cent were urban, and yet todey those flgures are copletely reverged. Seventy-five per cent of the people of the Tnited States are in urban and only 25 per cent ruxal, and wo have looked with fear to the point where 100 per cent would be urban. of course, that is an impossible PIgure to arrive at, and I am inclined to the bellef that we have reached very nearly the saturation point of urban population. This means that one of our fMrst efforts in meeting the problen of maintaining a proper balance between city Ife and country ilfe is to be rade according to the old formula, "Make country life more at ractive." In many of the states much has been done to survey conditions. In a few states, New York and two others, I think, definite efforts have been made to find out the fads about land.

I can beat illustrate the point that I am coming to by using as an example what has been definitely accomplished by the state of New York during the past three years.

Mrat from the point of view of government, in other words, taxes. The burden upon the rural comuundties was so heavy that something had to be done to relleve the oountry ooweunity fron this overburden of taxes. That was acoo plished by a series of lawe. Under the old plen, not only in the stete of New York
but in other states the rule followed seemed to be when it came to the question of state contribution to loasl needs, they followed the old maxim "To him that hath shall be given." In one of our counties, for exanple, where the land values were high, a county near New York oity, where the assessed values ran from $\$ 2000$ and $\$ 3000$ to $\$ 5000$ an acre, the order was for the state to con tribute as high as $\$ 1500$ and $\$ 1800$ per mile of town road, whereas the great bulk of our counties up-State New York counties, the state contributed as low as $\$ 25$ and $\$ 30$ per mile of highway, and so our flrst offort was to correct this system. In highways and taxes and education and various other ways, we have made the lot of the rural dweller more equitable.

Then following that program, we saw perhaps the possibility of outlining a plan for the state as based upon the land unit, for after all in this country and in the nation from which most of our people cane, the fundamental unit is the unit of land, So, we have taken a survey of land within the state, and a couple of other states are doing the same thing, trying to find out the facts. The one thing that inpresses me is the lack of facts, not merely facts which let us see the work of the late Wickersham committee, hut facts relating to almost every other phase of Life. One reason that we are not proceeding further with the crime problem is that we do not know any of the facts regarding crine. So one of our Ifret efforts on the question of population and land was togather fects. Wie have surveyed, for exmenple, one of our rural counties and we find in that one sounty that 22 per cant of 11 the land now being oultivated ought not to be oultivated. So we are
extending that aurvey through all of the counties to deternine what should be the use of land.

Let me give you sone very simple figures. As Mr. Brownlow suggested, the State of New York is not merely the city of New York. The state is twenty-ninth in area of the forty-aight states, and runs from year to year in the value of.its agricultural products, between the third and sixth state. We are a state of farmers. Six million people outside the oity of New York itself. Now with that area and with that important agricultural system, we come back to find out about its history, and we find that the state contains 30 million acres of land, and out of those thirty million acres three million are occupied by cities, towns and villages, and five million acres more represent state owned rough lands in the Adirondacks, lake areas, Catskill Mountains, etc., leaving a total. of two million acres that fifty years ago were cultivated. Yet in these past fifty vears, four mililion of those have been abandoned. Those figures apply to alnost every other state east of the Missisaippi in very much the sane proportion. Every state has its problem of abandonment of farms, New England and most of the South, and more recently a larges part of the middle west.

Abandoned fams: People couldn't make a success of their lives on farms, so out of 22 million acres that once were farmed in the State of New York four million are already abandoned. In this survey, we believe we shall fl.nd another four milition that ought to be abandoned. Wie have eighteen million acres in farma and we believe we ought not to have more than fourteen million. What will be the result of that, and how are we going about it?

Shall we depend on people to just follow the normal economic course? Shall we depend on them to voluntarily abandon these four million acres? Probably not. It should be accelerated by government action. I should say abandon four million acres more for the very good reason that on those four million acres are not making both ends meet. It is uneconomical for them to try to stay on year after year, tilling. land that mon't keep their families up to the American standard of living. And so the state is this year submitting to the voters a bond issue of some twenty mililions of dollars for a comparatively short period of years, which will be used for these marginal lands. Some call them sub-marginal. lands. The point is, in some way we have got to take those lands out of agriculture.

The other large waste is in maintaining highways up into these sparsely settled regions; highways that cost at least $\$ 100$ - per year to per mile to maintain, highways that ought to have a lot more spent on them, highw ays going into sections where there are only two or three families to be served by flve miles of road, and then think of the other economic wastes. If these people on this marginal land are to brought up to our modern standands, they ought to have atelephone line, and that means running up a road for several miles to serve one, two or three families. They should have electric light on thoir farms, but if they could afford it, two or thees ferms mifght have to pay for four or five miles of poles and 11 nes. So we flgure that by the expenditure of a comparatively ama 11 sum which the atate may put in the purchase of these marginal lands, the money will be peturined to the econoride wealth
of the state many times over in a comparatively short period. Think of what it means in this problen of eliminating in so far as posed ble the one room school house. How many are there In this country? There are between 30,000 and 40,000 in the United States today, and in every state of the union we are working for the consolidation of school districts and the improvement of education. In the state of 'New York there are still thousands of one-roon schoojhouses, and we belleve that in this process of eliminating the marginal. lands, we will be eliminating the one-room schoolhouses. Those are sone of the advantages.

What is going to happen to the people on the land? That is always the question that is asked in the first place. Our survey so far shows that very largely these people are old people. Kost of the young people have gotten out and moved off somewhere else. I do not belleve that we ought to take these old people on these farms and renove tham fron the homes they were born in and were raised in and have lived all their lives in. It is entirely possible to lot them live there the balance of their lives, i.t won't be long. What is ten or twenty years In the life of a comurity? But, we believe that after they are gone, to those impossible fams should be taken out of farmIng, and so with due regard for the feelings of these people, in the course of a generation, nearly all of these farms will be abandoned as such.

Then there $w 127$ be other people, and provision oan probably be made through cooperative methods for them to move to land where they gan make a Living. That in juat a nough pleture
of that part of our plan.
But let ne mention what will have to be done with four million scres. Of course, it has two or three possibilities. Flrst, for the growing of a long-tem orop - trees, and everybody who is in a position to know sonething of our forestration problem, knows we will need all the trees we can get in the next fifty years. A large part of this marginal land can and ought to be turned into forest land.

Then another phase that we heve taken up in practically every state; the recreation value of these lands, giving an opportunity to the oity dweller to get out into the country, reoreation that is extending every year, that a few years ago was Inmited to the months of July and August, that has extended now to a point earlier in the spring and lasting way on through the autum, and more and more is beginning to develop into an al. 1 year round happening. We have somewhere around three million acres in state parks, a system of state parks in every part of the state. Just as an example let us note the use of the state parks for the past five jears in the Adirondac reservation, which has been used every year by telce the number of people that have used it the ycar befbre. The use has doubled each year for five years. People are becoming out-doorminded all over the nation. The result of all this, we believe, is going to bring up a nev class in our of vilization.

We have talked a great deal. about the oountrym-dweller and siout the ofty-dweller. Imn't there a third poasibility, a posesbility for us to oreste by cooperative effort some form of 14ving whioh wi 21 combine industry and agrioulture? Today atetes
are looking at it fron two angles. For instance in Ponnsylvania wi.th the idea of bringing agriculture to industry. In the coal mining town, in the town with the steel plant or factory, they are trying to locate their operators and employees out a little way, a mile or two, where each one can have in place of two or three roons in a fourfamily house, a house of his own with maybe an acre of land, giving them a chance to raise sone of their own food supply.

In Fermont they are woxking through a state convission to bring industary to agriculture. Dr. Taylor up there has written the report of an investigation of an experiment in a valley up in Vermont, where most people ware leaving the valley. There ceme back to that valley some ten or Iffteen years ago, a boy who had left it twenty years earlier, and had becone wealthy. He cane back there and he found that the young people were leaving the valley and that the old paople were discouraged. They ware trying to farm the thin soil up on the mountain sides that the people were just dying out. Fihat did he do? He looked over this valley and found there was sone flne second growth of hard wood and no market for 1t. Then he concelved the idea of putting in a 11ttle turning mill and then he went out to Sears-Roebuck and aai. $d$, What do you need in the way of wood contraptions that can be made at our turning mill? "We need those little round knobs that go on the tope of kettles and lids of pans," they said. The result was that he entered into a contract for meking, I don't know how many inl2tons of these things. He went back and waid to the people, "I an going to open up my factory after you got your aorn 1 $\mathrm{Bo}^{\text {" }}$

When the corn was harvested, he opened up his factory and gave employment to that valley in two ways. He told them he needed so many lengthe of this hard wood, and he said he needed to employ so many of those people, and he paid them cash, and they had a cash crop of kettle knobs.

The result has been that the young people are staying, When the planting time comes in the spring, the kettle knob factory closes down. Then up there in the sumer time, after the hay is in and before the corn ripens, there is always a period in August when things are dull, and the littie old factory opens up again and they get two or three weeks more of cash crops. That is one example.

We have many in this country and more $t$ en are being tried out. I am hoping that in everyone of our states, we shall be able to do some experimenting in these coming years, with the creation of a new group in our civilization. We may call them the ruralIndustries. We may call them the factory-farmers, one simple term that will connote just that position of keeping people on the land with agriculture as what you might call their roots way down in the ground, and at the same time some safety during the long months of the winter season for them to earn some kind of ash wages. It will make out whole nation individual and independent. It will open vast areas and at the same tine, by state planning, by eliminating the marginal lands, the lands that are still left in agrioulture wd 12 be nore useful and will by worth oultavatine.

Then we come to one flnal subject that I want to pass over quite rapidiy. The question of regional planning. Let me 111ustrate. When I flrgt started going down to Warm Springs in a Georgia, there came through at night on the railroad a very noisy long train, about three a.m. It was always on tine, flve minutes to three, and they said it was the milk train. "Where to," I asked. "To Florlda" they told me. That train of eighteen or twanty cars, run on passenger schedule, most of them glass tank cars, carrying south the milk cream supply for Miani, Palm Beach and all other resorts down there. I said, Where does it come from?" "It comes from Wiscongin." That milk originating in Wisconsin, Northern Illinois, was passing through Kentucky, which certainly can grow milk, passing through the corner of Tennessee, and passing through the length of Georgia, absolutely eliminating the three states they passed through as sources of supply for the florida maricet. This is the result of a lack of planning more than anything else. There is no reason why the State of Georgia shouldn't furnish all of the milk and cream for the State of Florida.

We are doing something in the State of New York, which I must admit is of doubtful constitutionality. The citizens of New York outside of New York City, with a population of six million people, and another two million people in the nearby state of New Jersey make a popilation of eight million people raising a lot of milk and oream. The New York State dairy farmare up to three years ago were going broke. Samething had to be dons, and the prinoiple conoern wes this thet a great samy farmars out in the central western states of Ohio, Indiane,

Illinois, Wisconsin and part of Iowa had gotten a little tired of raising corn and wheat and were trying to diversify. Therefore, they bought some cows, any old kind of cows so long as they gave milk, uninspected, of course. They started shipping milk, and because it was a by-product of their farms it was produced cheaply. We called it bootleg milk, and it was, It was not what you would call seasoned, aged, inspected, or anything else. The result was that our own famers were being put out of business, al though our own farmers under the state laws had to maintain the highest kind of sanitary requirements on their farms. Then we did our unconstitutional act. We got the Health Commissioner of New York City flrst to lay down the simple rule that no milk could come into New Fork unless it came from inspected cows, and inspected sources, milk that came from cows that had been tested against tuberculosis, milk that was inspected and met all requirements. But, the second part was pretty hard on some people, we have got to admit that. He then said, "I am very sorry, gentlemen, but I have only so many inapectors. They can't be running all over the country, out to Wisconsin and states in the middie west. They have to cover a fleld which is of a practioal size, so I am anfully sorry, we cannot send down Mr. Palner to Illinols to inspect your farm. Wie cover the state of New York and a few thousand acres In Northern New Jersey, a Lew thousand acres of Southern Penneylvanis and a few thousand acres of Western Vermont. That beoame by thet sot, the milkeshed of the metropolitan ares, Lived in by gbout oight milison people. The wesult is that gt
last we have the milk farmer in that area cooperating, and they have been told the exact situation in respect to supply and demand. They may be told one year that we are producing too much milk and not to grow too many cows. So far the experiment has been going on for three or four years and is past the stage of experiment. Nobody would say that the dairy farmers of thie region are getting rich, but at least we can say they are keeping their farms. They are not having the mortgages foreclosed, and they are making an honest living and getting fair prices for their product, and they are not getting an excessive price from the point of view of the public. Thatis one case where regional planning has worked, and there is no state that that principle should not be extended to.

We have heard about the port of Norfolk. It is not merely a manicipal enterprise. The development affects a very definite area in this region. We are doing a little today in the way of discouraging shipments of large quantities of produce to New York. Here is an instance. There comes a time every year when during a period of throe or four days, the whole United States decides, by mental telepathy, that they awe going to ship their cabbages to New York, and if the whole eight milion people were to eat corned beef and cabbage three time adsy, they would not be able to eat the supply. The result is that every single year, carload after oscload of cabbages, which we cannot allow to lay around and rot on the plers (for we heve sone sanitary regulations) are dumped into berges, and taken out, I think now to the twelvemile Lmit, and elther dropped overboard there or fed to the people who IIve

Just outside that twelve-mile limit.
Two or more years ago I was willed some flfteen thousand peach trees down in ceorgia, and I tried being a farmer down there. Last year, I cut down eleven thousand out of the fifteen thousand trees and started to raise cattle. The reason i.s that apparently flive or six years ago, every other owner of land in Georgia decided to grow peach trees. I made a perfectly magniflcent orop of peaches down there, growth after growth, and if I had shipped them to the mad narkets in the big Northern Cities, I would have made a net loss of 15 cents to 20 cents on a crate, over and above the cost of picking, packing, and shipping. In the same way in many parts of this country, I believe, they went pecan mad, and the result is there are so many pecans grown now that they are feeding them to the hogs. This is all due to a lack of planning.

It seems to me that vith all our sbility, our vaunted wealth, we have not kept pace $m$ ith the needs of the time. The waste that has cone about on account of lack of planning in this country has run into billions of dollars. Probably billions each year that goes by, and there is atill the social side of it to consider.

Have we, because of the absence of planning, not wasted much of the goodness and sun in the lives of the youth of the country? have not they been debased not only in our oities, but also in many of our rural ocomunities? Have they not been pressed in surroundinge and circumstances which have led them into ways that were sonething new to our oivilisetion? Heve we older
people been fair? Have we given them the chance, economically or soolally, as we should have done? It seers to me that in this coming day, call it what you want, call it plannfing, or call it thinking hard, we have an opportunity in our own locality and community and county in our own state, to do what is not merely a good thing to do, but to do what is a common sense thing about. the generation thatis coming after.

That, after all, is the simple way of describing the plan. What are we golng to hand on to those who come later. That is a task that a few of us older people are working on, but a task of such interest that contains so many elements of guessing right, so many elements of chance, of trying to catch the lucky number and work the thing out in a way that will be successful, that it seems to me the young generation today have got not merely the greatest chance that any generation has had in other times. We can't all' be right on what is going to happen anywhere here or hereafter. We can't guess definitely on the future, Some people will take their conclusions according to a formula. The gentleman who came out of the middle west to see me, I said to him, "How are thinge in your state?" "Looking up," he said, "Reallyl How do you Mg gure they are looking up. You are one of the few people who have given me so optimistic apeport." "It is abfelutely inevitable," he said. "Things are flat on their back now, and they must be looking upe"

## Before Round Table, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, July 6, 1931

## Excessive Cost of Local Government.

The cost of government in this country, particularly that of local government, is causing considerable concern. We are told that the aggregate expenditure of federal, state and local government is approximately twelve or thirteen billion dollars yearly. Of this sum the federal government spends approximately one-third, state governments about 13 per cent, leaving considerably more than one-half as the cost of local government. Notwithstanding the influence of the war on federal governmental expenditures these ratios have existed, with slight variations, since 1890. It is manifest that inasmuch as the cost of local government constitutes the major portion of our aggregate tax bill, we must, if we hope for lower taxes or less rapid increases in taxes, analyze local government and see if its workinge may not be simplified and made less expensive for the taxpayers.

The form of local county and town government as we know it in most of our states dates back to the Duke of York's laws, enacted about 1670 The design was to meet conditions as they existed at the time and was continued by American states after the Revolutionary War. It is astonishing how few changes have been made in the form since the formation of our nation. We may assume that at the time of its adoption it was suited to the conditions of that period. You will recall that no steamboats, railroads, tolephones, telegraphs, motor vehicles or good roads were in existence. Means
of transportation and communication were meager. The swiftest methods of travel or of communication were the saddle horse, the stagecoach and the canal.

Sometimes we refer to that age as the "horse and buggy age." Perhapa it would be more accurate to describe it as the "ox-cart age." We had no urben centers,-only a few overgrown villages. Our population was almost exclusively rural. In those days at least eight out of every ten workers obtained a living by tilling the soil. The people lived in small territorial groups and led local community lives. They subsisted almost entirely on the things which they produced or which were produced by others in their locality. A town form of government was the natural form. It suited the conditions of the time.

Moreover, the need for governmental service was not extensive. Trails met the need of the limited inter-community travel where expensive motor routes are now necessary. Little attention was given to public health. There might be a village pump, but otherwise each citizen took care of his own water supply, and drainage and garbage disposal were family concerns. At first police and fire protection were not considered municipal functions. Every community made provision for its own poor. An education in the three R's was deemed sufficient for the average child.

But conditions have changed. We have witnessed a most remarkable growth in population and an astonishing transformation in social and economic conditions. Factory production and a high degree of specialization even in our agriculture have kept step with improved methods of transportation and communication, with the result that community living on the old pattern has vanished. Instead of producing for our own families and neighbors to consume, we are putting our thought and labor on products that go to distant cities and states and even to foreign lands. We clothe ourselves in the fabrics of distant factories, we build our homes of materials transported perhaps thousands of miles and our food is collected from the four corners of our own continent and from all the other continents and the seas of all the world.
Our population, too, has become in part transient. We follow the call of industry, of ambition or of whim from community to community and from state to state. It is not only in the newer regions of America that the old resident may find himself in the minority. The personnel and even the character of the population in any village in one of our older states may change within a few years. Every village and every city and every community is made up of rapidly shifting groups whose members are units in a national economic and social scheme rather than fixed residents of any community. The untraveled person has become comparatively a rarity.
Things which originally were of local or community concern are now of much wider interest. This applies, as you will readily agree, to such things as roads, schools, public health, the care of the socially dependent and virtually every activity of local government. Yet we have continued to use the machine designed under radically different conditions as the major instrument through which to sell governmental service in this age of bewildering movement.
Let us inspect the machinery of local government as it exists today. In this country of ours we have, it is said, 500,000 units of government. They range from the federal government down to the smallest school or special district. Take my own state as an instance. We have, first, sixty-two counties and sixty cities, but this is a mere beginning. We go on from these larger wheels of the machine to find 932 towns, and according to the last count, 525 villages, 9,600 school districts and 2,365 fire, water, lighting, sewer and sidewalk districts, a grand tota! of 13,544 separate, independent governmental units. Carrying the analysis a step further let me cite an example: a small, densely populated suburban county adjacent to New York city where we have three towns and two cities. Again, that is only a start. To these we must add forty villages, forty-four school districts and one hundred and fifty-sia special districts in order to understand how complicated the local governmental problem in that county really is-a total of 246 governmental units in one county.

The expenditures of local government have increased at an astonishing rate. In 1890 local government in the entire nation cost $\$ 487,000,000$. In 1827, the last year for which complete figures are available, the government of lesser units within states cost $\$ 6,454,000,000$. It increased from \& per capita of $\$ 7.73$ in 1890 to $\$ 54.41$ in 1927. Just that you may see what has happened in a small unit such as a county, let me say that in the suburban county to which I have referred, all local taxes in 1900 amounted to $\$ 337,000$ and in 1929 , in round figures, $\$ 22,000,000$. In that space of time the valuation of taxable property increased thirty-five times, but the taxes increased sixtyfive times, while population multiplied only five and one-half times. In another case, that of a rural, agricultural county, local taxes amounted to $\$ 158,000$ in 1900 and to $\$ 1,150,000$ in 1929. In this case taxes were multiplied seven times, tax valuations slightly more than two times, while the population of the county actually decreased 5 per cent. In the suburban county per capita local taxes in 1900 were six dollars and in the rural county four dollars and thirty cents. But by 1929 per capita taxes were ninety dollars in the suburban county and fifty-two dollars in the rural community.

These figures demonstrate, first, the very rapid growth in the cost of rural government; second, that such growth was very much more rapid than the increase in either taxable wealth or population, and, third, it presents sharply the question whether we are obtaining our money's worth through this method of buying governmental service.
These conditions have presented in my State-and I think similar problems are present in every State-the question of how to finance local government In the main, local government must depend for its revenue upon a general property tax. To a very great extent that tax has degenerated into a tax on real estate only, and as local expenditures have increased the tax on real estate has mounted. In the two counties to which I have referred the tax rates ranged in the suburban county in 1900 from fourteen to seventeen dollars per thousand and in the rural county from seven to twenty-one dollars per thousand. In 1929 the suburban county rates ranged from twenty-four to forty-six dollars, while the rates in the rural county were from twentyfive to fifty-four dollars.
The increase in taxes on farm real estate indicates in a striking way the increases in taxation that have occurred and the added burden which this places upon agriculture. Here are some illustrations from New York State.
On a selected group of good farms, taxes just doubled in the period from 1914 to 1923. During the same period the general price level increased only 27 per cent. In another case on three farms in an average agricultural county of the State where records are available for 100 years, the increases in taxes from 1825 to 1925 were as follows:

> Farm No. 1, from $\$ 2.48$ to $\$ 101.44$
> Farm No. 2 , from $\$ 2.33$ to $\$ 140.36$
> Farm No. 3, from $\$ 2.38$ to $\$ 115.20$

These are typical of increases on several other farms where records are available. This is perhaps the most graphic method of showing the increase on farm property.

On the same group of farms mentioned above, it required three bushela of wheat on the average to pay the taxes on one farm in 1825. In 1925, it required 104 bushels of wheat. In other words, the tax burden per farm on the average of six farms increased in 100 years from three bushels of whent to 104 bushels of wheat. On these same six farms it required at the going rates for labor six days of labor to pay for the taxes per farm in 1825, and 37 days of labor per farm in 1925.
Taxes bear more heavily upon the poor farms than upon the good farms. In one township where approximately 40 per cent of the farms were abandoned, the taxes averaged 3.4 per cent of the real value of the farms. On six farms in that township the taxes were over 10 per cent of what the farmer considered to be the market value of his farm. Many other figures could be cited from our available farm cost data to indicate similar. changes that have taken place in farm taxes.

Accompanying these increases in local rates has been an increasing demand for relief of the burden on real estate. A study was made in New York of the trend in the tax burden on real property, covering a period from 1915 to 1927. That study disclosed that in the wealthy, growing counties of the State the true burden on realty increased $161 / 2$ per cent in those twelve years, while in the rural, agricultural counties the increase in the burden was 43 per cent. This established to our satisfaction that something must be done to equalize the burden of taxation as between different counties and communities. Various remedies were suggested, which grouped themselves as follows:

1. To abolish the direct State tax on real estate and personal property;
2. To share with localities State-collected taxes;
3. To grant State aid; and
4. To reorganize local governments, or at least transfer from local government to larger units of administration some of the functions now performed locally.

In New York we have invoked all of these methods except that of reorganizing or simplifying local government. That has been advocated by my distinguished predecessors in office and by me. As yet nothing has been accomplished in that direction. The Legislature for various reasons has almost wholly neglected or refused to act on any of the proposals either to simplify local government or to make a comprehensive study of loeal government, looking toward improvements. For instance, based upon the report of a commission of eminent health authorities, I urged the enactment of a law this year which would establish the county as the unit for health administration, thereby reducing from more than one thousand to about one hundred the number of health administrative units. I believed the service would be improved, the public health better protected, more efficient use of the tax dollar obtained and discrimination against the rural population as compared with the urban population eliminated. That proposal was allowed to die in the Legislature. In another case I proposed to eliminate from the fee system for handling State aid for public education an expenditure of more than $\$ 300,000$ now being made under the present system in the form of a fee of 1 per cent paid to town supervisors for acting as intermediaries in the transfer of funds, and again the Legislature failed to approve.

One of the remedies proposed was to abolish the direct State tax on real and personal property. That we accomplished in New York during the first year of my first term. In that respect we followed Virginia's course established by your distinguished Governor Byrd. A second remedy that we have embraced in New York is that of sharing with the localities certain taxes collected by the State. During the last completed fiscal year the State returned to the various units of local government more than eighty-five million dollars as their share of taxes collected by the State. While I am on this point, let me say that this remedy is not without its dangers. I incline strongly to the view that it should be adopted only when some form of guaranty is exacted that the funds so distributed will be efficiently and economically used. Too frequently, I fear, do the local officials view revenue obtained in this way as "easy money" and spend it accordingly. I am convinced that it is not always used to reduce the general property tax. I am opposing the further development of this program in New York unless more adequate and complete guaranties are required of the sub-divisions that the funds will be distributed so as actually to reduce the local tax burden-or to provide on an efficient basis for services really needed.
Still another remedy that New York has applied for the excessive local tax load is that of granting State aid to local government for specified projects and services. This year the State is appropriating one hundred million dollars for the aid of public schools, more than three million dollars for county highways and something more than four million dollars for town highways. More than one-third of the New York State budget consists of items of this form of aid to localities.

This method of relieving the local tax burden is subject to the same dangers as that of sharing taxes with the subdivisions of the State; it is apt to
lead to extravagance and to result in the inefficient use of money.. As I see the situation under the present distribution of functions, State nid is essential in New York and probably the same conditions obtain in other American states. Too frequently, however, State aid is granted and the money turned over to the localities without requiring that its expenditure shall be subject to State supervision,-without exacting any guaranties that the aid so granted will be economically used or applied to reduce the local tax load. In this regard I think our New York system is lax, and I venture to believe that may be truthfully said of similar aid granted in other states.
Finally we come to the remedy of lightening the local tax burden by transferring from local government to the state government, or at least to a larger division of government, some functions of local government, that is to say, transferring the responsibility or the obligation to pay for certain improvements or governmental services. This method of local tax relief is rather extensively used in New York. After my election in 1928, I appointed a commission known as the Agricultural Advisory Commission. The purpose put before its distinguished members was to devise methods of assisting and promoting the interests of the rural population of the State, and of agriculture as an industry in the State and to see if and to what extent justice might be done by way of equalizing taxes as between the rural and the urban communities,
The first reform the commission recommended was that the State assume the entire cost of completing and maintaining the State highway system. Under the then existing law the counties were required to contribute thirtyfive per cent of the cost of such highways and to pay approximately $\$ 600,000$ annually for their maintenance. It worked out this way: one of the wealthiest counties could pay its share of the cost of completing the State highway system by levying one tax of thirty-seven cents per thousand dollars of taxable valuation, while in a poor rural county a tax of forty-sis dollars per thousand would have to be levied.
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The recommendation of the commission was adopted. Thereby the State relieved the counties of an aggregate expenditure of fifty-four million dollars for construction, and an annual charge of six hundred thousand dollars for maintenance.
The next recommendation of the commission was based on the town highway or "dirt road" situation. The State had been granting State aid to the towns, but under a plan which permitted the wealthiest town in the State to obtain out of the State treasury fifteen hundred dollars for each mile of town highway, while the most that any one of six of seven hundred poor towns succeeded in obtaining was twenty-five dollars per mile. Tax rates for the maintenance of town highways ranged from a. dollar or two to as high as sixteen or eighteen dollars per thousand of taxable valuation. The high taxes were invariably found in the poor rural towns.

To remedy this condition a law was enacted which provided in substance that no town need have a tax rate higher than three dollars per thousand and that the State would give to a town as State aid the difference between the proceeds of a three-mill levy and a sum needed to create a fund equal to one hundred dollars for each mile of town highway. You will readily see that this tended greatly to relieve excessive local taxation and also to equalize the burden of supporting the town highway system.

The commission then turned to rural schools. They found that school tax rates varied from one dollar to more than twenty dollars per thousand. As in the case of highway taxes, the very high rates were found to obtain in the rural, agricultural communities. The principal of equalization was invoked here with the result that rural schools in our State can now be supported adequately with a $\operatorname{tax}$ rate no higher than four dollars per thousand, the State contributing the difference between the proceeds of such a tax and a sum sufficient to maintain the schools.
Attention was then given to bridges in the State highway system. The State had required the counties to pay thirty-five per cent of the cost of all bridges in the State system. The commission proposed, and a law was enacted, pursuant to which the State assumed the entire cost of building
bridges and of maintaining them when constructed. This automatically relieved the counties from an expenditure of $\$ 34,750,000$, and to that extent eased local taxes.

In addition to these things the State relieved the counties of twenty million dollars for grade crossing eliminations; and engaged to pay one-half of the cost for snow removal.
I have mentioned these things that you may know of the effort we have made in New York to take from the subdivisions of the State the burden of excessive local taxation, and I think you will agree with me that we have gone a long way.

You will readily realize, however, that in our efforts thus far we have merely shifted from local government to the State government expenditures for these purposes. It is true that in some instances the State is certainly doing these things better and more economically than the localities would have done them, and in that way genuine economy has resulted. It is also true that through these measures we have gone far toward equalizing the tax load in New York State; but the fact remains that we are still supporting a complicated machine of local government which seems to me and to many others unreasonably expensive, wasteful and inefficient. In our effort thus far we have succeeded in reducing somewhat in the aggregate the cost of this elaborate machine. Is it not time that we should analyze this form of local government and see how far it is suited to the conditions of today. Think of it in this light if you will: No citizen of New York can live under less than four governments: Federal, State, county and city. If one lives in a town outside of a village, he is under five layers of government: Federal, State, county, town and school. If he lives in an incorporated village, another layer is added. If he lives in a town outside of the village, he may be in a fire, water, lighting, sewer and sidewalk district, in which case there are ten layers of government.
A citizen so situated has just too much governmental machinery to watch. It is too complicated for him to understand. He may not sense or realize that ten sets of officials are appropriating public funds, levying taxes and issuing bonds. His attention is not usually centered on local government, for seldom, if ever, does he know what sums are being appropriated, what taxes are being levied or what bonds issued. Means for gaining information concerning these things are altogether inadequate.
I question whether there is any real need for so many overlapping units of government. I incline strongly to the view that much can and will be accomplished by reorganizing and simplifying the machinery of local government.
Recently a comprehensive-study of this problem was made in the State of North Carolina. The conclusion reached in the report of that survey is that a radical reorganization of local government is needed. It is intimated that county government is obsolete and that the county as a unit of administration may well be eliminated. It is conceded that it will take time to secure majority support for that proposal, and in the meantime it is urged that counties be consolidated and a greatly simplified form of county government be set up to replace present cumbersome forms and many officials. The report of a similar study in New Jersey reaches substantially the same conclusion.

I am quite convinced that the excessive cost of local government can most effectively be reduced by simplifying the local governmental organization and structure and by reallocating the responsibility for performing various services, according to a logical analysis rather than by accident or tradition. I tiink we need to consider each service and decide what administrative unit and what size unit can most effectively and economically perform that service. The smaller units of rural government are so anequal in wealth that some are unable to maintain satisfactory roads and schools even with excessively high tax rates, while others with very low rates are able to spend generously and even extravagently. All overlapping of local jurisdictions should be abolished. I incline to agree with those who hold that one or at most two layers of local government subordinate to the sovereignty of the state is adequate and that we ought seriously to undertake the radical reorganization and reallocation of functions necessary to accomplish the elimination of all others.

There remains to be mentioned another remedy for the excessive cost of local government-the controlling of local expenditures by State or district authority. It is familiarly referred to as the "Indiana plan". In that state ten or more taxpayers in a tax district may appeal to the state tax commission from the local budget or from a proposed bond issue. After hearing, the state tax commission may reduce the proposed appropriation or the amount for which bonds may be issued, or eliminate the item altogether.
Much can be said in favor of this method of controlling local expenditures. It has passed beyond the experimental stage in Indiana, and the information before me indicates it is supported by public sentiment. Colorado and New Mexico have modified forms of the Indiana plan. Ohio, Oklahoma and Oregon have adopted the idea, but the control is exercised through district boards. This general method of controlling the excessive cost of local government is worthy of consideration by the authorities of every state.
If you will permit me to be conservatively prophetic, I foresee in all of the states of the Union in coming years a progressively strengthening movement for reform of the local governmental scheme. It has already, I believe, been much too long delayed and this fact has cost us many an unnecessary dollar in taxation, and on the other hand has deprived us of improvements and services in the way of better protection of our lives and property and of better facilities for orderly, happy living that we might have had with the same expenditure.
We all of us recognize, I think, that much of the increase in the aggregate of governmental expense has been inevitable and necessary. Our limited glimpse today of the functions of local government has been sufficient to show that government has been quite properly called upon to assume an increasing number of responsibilities that once belonged to the individual and the family. In the same way the larger units of government have been properly and logically forced to assume functions that once belonged to the lesser units. The demands of a different sort of civilization and a different sort of national economy have forced us to redistribute the burdens which the public service imposes.
Roads, for instance, are no longer merely local facilities. They are avenues of communication and channels of necessary commerce between all communities of a state and between a state and its neighbors, close and distant. So we have been compelled to build them on a greater scale and to find new ways of meeting and distributing the cost as far as possible upon those who are benefited.
We face the question of education and we find a mandate from the state as sovereign that the children of all shall be given opportunities to learn. In fact it is more than a state mandate, for the American system of education is in fulfillment of a national purpose intimately associated with the great experiment in democracy we are still carrying on after the lapse of three centuries since our forefathers came here to undertake it and to pass its responsibilities on to us along with the inspired ideal which created them. The state's responsibility for education cannot be escaped by passing it on in one case to a city of teaming millions and in another to a dozen farmers scattered over miles of countryside. It is not solely on an altruistic basis that we consider the educational needs of the farm boy and girl as well as those of the tenement children in the city. The character and training of our fellow foot-loose Americans of the future are a matter of concern to us and to our descendants. They will have their part in making up the civilization in which we shall live a generation hence.
We are beginning to recognize, too, that the public health is more than a local responsibility. Disease knows nothing about town lines, no do bscilli undertake to inquire about local jurisdictions. Their carriers are on the public highways and riding in the railroad trains. If we care, nothing about the fact that a farmer's children are dying of infection or malnutrition-and that can happen in the country, too-we can still give some thought to the weaklings and the sufferers whom we may have to support in some day not far off.
Crime ceased to be a local matter and the criminal adopted a state-wide or national range, if not \& broader citizenship, long before we thought it
necessary to do anything about it. But that is a question too far-reaching to discuss here further than to say that, along with the general administration of justice and of penology and along with the care of the defective and the insane, the problem of crime has long since transcended the scope of petty jurisdictions. State sovereignty alone can cope with it, and that must be reinforced by better and more adequate and less sntiquated means of cooperation between the states.
As to all these matters, I expect to see an increased measure of assumption of functions and responsibilities by the state, through one means or another. We have seen how the effort to equalize the tax burden has made the state the holder of the purse strings as to a large proportion of local expenditures. This creates a responsibility for wise expenditure that can hardly be avoided by the state, in justice to those who have been taxed on a state-wide basis to replenish the state's treasury. This responsibility, it seems to me, is fairly certain to result in much closer and more authoritative supervision of all local expenditures. This will mean inevitably a closer integration of local authority with state-wide authority, based on the fact that as to many functions some competent state authority with expert staffs and state-wide information will possess both an advisory and a veto power over the use of funds for local expenditure.

It seems entirely logical that local authority must consolidate, eliminating many of the local government layers, in order to retain any appropriate measure of home rule over local affairs. Certainly the time has come to give serious consideration to the consolidation of a great many local jurisdictions of one kind and another.

I should like the privilege of stating as forcibly as I can one general conclusion that has long been in my mind. That is, that too many of us have been lazy-minded in this matter of government. We like to talk in large terms about the comparative advantages and defects of democracy and autocracy; we like to admire patriotically the work of our forefathers in devising our forms of government or to criticise them as too slavish imitators, but we are terrifically dilatory in following our forefathers' example by seeking to plan and devise for our own immediate needs and for the future. particularly, we hate the details of government. We talk about Russia's fiveyear plan and the excellence or iniquity of Mussolini's system, in preference to giving consideration of the question whether a town supervisor is good for anything or inquiring what a village health officer does to earn his pay. This may be because it is easier to form a judgment on matters that are more remote. I hate to think that it is because we prefer to have someone else form our judgments for us.
This suggests to me that those who hold public office should not be content merely to take the duties of their jobs as they find them and to carry them out according to precedent. Those who have had experience in operating the machine should be able to tell of its defects. I once heard of a public official who recommended that his job be abolished as useless. It would be a heartening and refreshing thing if there were a lot more like him.
We heard a great deal during the late war about the challenge to democracy and I think it was a good thing for our complacency to learn that democracy was being challenged. But I think too that democracy is being challenged today just as forcibly if not as clamorously. The challenge is heard right here among us from all who complain about the inefficiency, the stupidity and the expense of government. It may be read in the statistics of crime and seen in the ugliness of many of our communities. It is expressed in all the newspaper accounts of official graft and blundering. It is written on our tax rolls and even in the patriotic-seeming text books that our children study in their schools. It looms large on election day when voters see before them long lists of names of men and women of whom they have never heard to be voted upon as candidates for salaried offices of whose duties and functions the voter has but the haziest impression.
The men who addressed themselves to the task of laying the framework of our national government after freedom had been won, wrote down in enduring words that their aim was to form "a more perfect union." In writing that ideal into the preamble of the Constitution of the United States, I think
they set a task for us as well as for themselves. They were forming a new government, suited, as they believed, to the conditions of their day; but they were wise enough to look into the future and to recognize that the conditions of life and the demands upon government were bound to change as they had been changing through ages past, and so the plan of government that they prepared was made, not rigid, but flexible-adapted to change and to progress.

We cannot call ourselves either wise or patriotic if we seek to escape the responsibility of remolding government to make it more serviceable to all the people and more responsive to modern needs.

