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* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
The appearance before a National Convention of its nominee for President to be formally notified of his selection is unprecedented and unusual, but these are unprecedented and unusual times. I have started out on the tasks that lie ahead by breaking the absurd tradition that the candidate should remain in assumed ignorance of what has happened for weeks until he is formally notified of the event. That is sheer sham and hypocrisy. May this be the symbol of my intention to be honest and to avoid all hypocrisy or sham or silly shutting of the eyes to the truth in this campaign.

You have nominated me and I know it and I am here to thank you for the honor. Let it also be symbolic that in so doing I broke traditions. Let it be from now on the task of our Party to break foolish traditions. We will break traditions and leave it to the Republican Party far more skilled in that art, to break promises.

Let us now and here highly resolve to resume the country's interrupted march along the path of real progress and of real justice and of real equality for all our citizens, great or small.

Our indomitable leader in that interrupted march is no longer with us, but there still survives today his spirit. Many of his captains are still with us to give us wise counsel. Let us feel in everything we do that there still lives with us if not the body, the great indomitable, unquenchable, progressive soul of Woodrow Wilson.

We have just heard anew, and I am glad that it has been repeated for our continued consideration, the platform of our Party.

I have many things on which I want to make my position clear at the earliest possible moment in this campaign. You can accept my pledge that I will leave no doubt or ambiguity on where I stand on any question of moment in this campaign.
The appearance before a National Convention, of its nominee is unusual. But these, I submit, are unusual times.

There are times when traditions of an even more serious nature have to be broken. And it is better for a party to break a tradition than to break a promise. If our friends, the Republican leaders, had been more willing to break with the traditions of a dead past, they would not have broken so many of their solemn promises to the living present.

My appearance before you is, I hope, an emblem—a happy emblem of new purposes, renewed life, re-dedicated devotion, and, to the sorely tried people of this country, a new deal.

I express, in no merely formal way, my gratitude to this great council of the party. I want to do more than thank you. I want to serve in the spirit of this honor, to give in the measure of your gift and to be generous in the spirit of your generosity.

Our party once before in our memory served the Nation in a great emergency. In that war for a human principle it met its responsibility; it fought the good fight; it finished the course; it kept the faith—the faith in the precious human value of the common man. Now the issue is the same. We pledge our word to those common men who, in the last analysis, carry the burdens and fight the battles—those people ignored and rebuffed by this administration of forgotten ideals and forgotten duties.
The Commander-in-Chief who went his way in sorrow and suffering kept his faith in democratic government unshaken, even in his last days, when sordid reaction was abroad in the land. That faith, we, his captains, carry on.

As we enter this new battle let us lay hold of the ideal he wanted so much to have us remember — that the Democratic party is destined by tradition and by the continuing logic of history past and present, to be the bearer of liberalism and of progress, and at the same time of safety of our institutions.

If this appeal fails, remember well that resentment against the failure of Republican leaders to solve our troubles may degenerate into unreasoning radicalism. The great social phenomenon of this depression, unlike others before it, is that it has produced but a few of the disorderly manifestations which too often attend upon such times. Wild radicalism has made few converts; and the greatest tribute which I can pay to my countrymen is that in these days of crushing want there persists an orderly and hopeful spirit on the part of the millions of our people who have suffered so much. To fail to offer them a new chance is not only to betray their hopes but to misunderstand their patience.

To meet this danger of radicalism is to invite disaster. Reaction is no barrier to the radical. It is a challenge and a provocation. The way to meet this danger is to offer a workable program of reconstruction. And the party to offer a remedy is the party with clear hands. It is a Democratic party with a clear-cut, modern program of liberal principle. This, and
only this, is a proper protection against blind reaction on one hand, and improvised, irresponsible opportunism on the other.

There are two ways of viewing the government's duty in matters affecting economic and social life. The first sees to it that a favored few are helped and hopes that some of their prosperity will leak through to labor, to the farmer and to the small business man.

This theory belongs to the party of Toryism - now the party of ruined prosperity. But it is not, and never should be, the theory of the Democratic party. This is no time for fear, for reaction, for timidity. Here and now I invite those nominal Republicans, who find that their conscience cannot be squared with the groaning and failure of their party leaders, to join hands with us; here and now I warn those nominal Democrats, who squint at the future with their faces turned towards the past and who feel no response to the demands of the new times, that they are out of step with their party.

The people of this country want a genuine choice this year, not a choice between two names for the same reactionary doctrine. Ours must be a party of liberal thought, of planned action, of enlightened international outlook, of the greatest good to the greatest number of our people.

It is inevitable that the main issue of this campaign should revolve about the clear fact of our economic condition -- a depression so deep that it is without precedent in modern history.
It will not do merely to state, as do the Republican leaders to explain their broken promises of continued prosperity, that the depression is world-wide. That was not their explanation of the apparent prosperity of 1928. The people will not forget the claim made by them then that prosperity was only a domestic product manufactured by a Republican president and a Republican congress. If they claim paternity for the one, they cannot deny paternity for the other.

Let us look at a little recent history.

In the years before 1929, this country completed a vast cycle of building and inflation. For ten years we expanded on the theory of repairing the wastes of the war, but actually expanding far beyond that and also far beyond our normal and natural growth.

Now it is worth remembering that during that time there was little or no drop in prices to the consumer, although the cost of production fell considerably. Corporate profits resulting from this period were enormous, but, at the same time, little of this was devoted to the reduction of prices. The consumer was forgotten. Not much of it went into increased wages. The worker was forgotten. By no means an adequate proportion was paid out in dividends. The stockholder was forgotten. In many instances of it was taxation to the beneficent government of those years. Industry
As a result, enormous corporate surpluses piled up -- the most stupendous in history. Where, under the spell of delirious speculation, did these surpluses go? Chiefly in two directions: First, into new and unnecessary plants which now stand stark and idle; second, into the call-money market, either directly by the corporations or indirectly through the banks.

Then was the crash. You know the story. The surplus invested in unnecessary plant became idle. Men lost their jobs. Their purchasing power dried up. The banks became frightened and began calling loans. Those who had money were afraid to part with it. Credit contracted; industry stopped; commerce declined; unemployment mounted. And there we are today.

Translated into human terms, let us see how these events of three years come home to specific groups of people. First, there was the group dependent upon industry. Second, there were those engaged in agriculture. Third was the group of small investors and depositors. In fact, the strongest possible tie between the first two groups, agriculture and industry, is the fact that the savings, and, to a degree, the security of both, are tied together in the third group, the credit structure of the country. Never in history have the interests of all the people been so united in a single economic problem.

Picture to yourself, for instance, the great groups of property owned by millions of our citizens represented by credits issued in the form of bonds or mortgages -- governmental bonds of
all kinds, federal, state and local; bonds of industrial companies
and utility companies; mortgages on real estate in farms and
cities; and, finally, the vast investments of the Nation in its
railroads. What is the measure of the security of each of these
groups? We know well that in our complicated, interrelated
financial structure, if any one of these credit groups collapses
they may all crash. Danger to one is danger to all. How, I
ask, has the present administration in Washington treated the
interrelationship of these credit groups? The answer is clear.
Its policy has been to rush with a sandbag, first to plug tiny
tricks in the levee and then, to rush a mile away to another
little trickle, then in the opposite direction to still another.

Why, the Nation asks, has Washington failed to understand that all
of these groups must be considered together, that each and every
one of them is interdependent on every other, each one of them
affects the whole financial fabric. Statesmanship and vision
require relief to all at the same time.

I use as an example the railroad situation of today,
proving that the policy of rushing hither and yon applies even to
individual groups of the credit structure. 11 billion dollars of
our savings, the savings of savings and insurance institutions and
trustees, as well as of individuals, lie in outstanding railroad
bonds. It is common knowledge that the railroads today are not
earning enough money to meet the interest on a large proportion
of these bonds. Has the administration at Washington developed and
put into effect a comprehensive program? Practically the sole
relief has been an occasional dose by the Reconstruction Finance
Corporation to ward off immediate receivership.

What would have been a course of statesmanship! First, to stop and stop at once the waste caused by the duplication of traffic facilities in every part of the country; and at the same time to put into effect plans for consolidation, plans which in large part have been prepared and are lying idle ready to be carried out. Secondly, to eliminate altogether a huge railroad mileage made up of parallel or short line trackage which do not pay and never will pay for its upkeep, let alone its investment. Third, to give immediate protection to the mileage which remains and which is necessary to our national transportation, from such competition from bus and truck lines as is essentially unfair. Finally, it is time for government to take a hand in reducing the enormous tax which railroads are today compelled to pay, particularly to local government.

Help the railroads? Yes, of course. The Reconstruction Finance Corporation must advance funds immediately to help them meet interest and maturities when necessary in this emergency. But let not the railroads forget that by these advances, the government of the United States is in substance owning an interest and an interest in these railroads. It has a right, a duty to protect that interest. It has a right and a duty to demand that the railroads put their own house in order, as a condition for getting further aid from the government. They must consolidate; they must remove from around their necks the milestones of useless competing lines, of short, unprofitable lines. They and their junior security holders
must cooperate where necessary in voluntary reduction of interest and even in extension of maturities during this period of stress.

I have spoken to you of the taxes which railroads pay; and that leads me to the consideration of the taxes which all of us pay towards the cost of government of all kinds. For three years I have been going up and down the country preaching that government,—federal, state and local,—costs too much. I shall not stop that preaching. The wastefulness, the inefficiency, the unnecessary functions, the overlapping, constitute a vast charge upon our credit system— not necessary for proper government. Here too we need a program—a program not merely of deep cuts in costs, but of extensive reorganization, based on a comprehensive picture of all government.

Today we must pay the fiddler. It is time to view our budget and our expenditures, not in the light of one year, but as a part of a continuing national policy.

As an immediate program of action, we must abolish useless offices. We must eliminate functions of government which are not definitely essential to the continuance of government. We must merge and consolidate subdivisions of government, and, like private citizen, give up luxuries which we can no longer afford.

By our example at Washington itself, we shall have the opportunity of pointing the way of economy to local governments. Let us remember well that out of every tax dollar, forty cents enters the treasury of the United States; ten or twelve cents only go to the states; and forty-eight cents are consumed by the costs.
of local government in counties and cities and towns. I propose to you, and through you to the Nation, that government of all kinds be made solvent, and that the example be set by the President of the United States and his Cabinet.

And talking about setting a definite example, I congratulate this convention for having had the courage fearlessly to write into its declaration of principles, what an overwhelming majority here assembled really think about the eighteenth amendment. This convention wants repeal; your candidate wants repeal; the Nation wants repeal.

Two years ago the platform on which I ran for Governor contained substantially the same provisions. The overwhelming sentiment of the people of my state as shown by the vote that year extends, I know, to the people of many of the other states. I say to you now that from this date on, the eighteenth amendment is doomed.

With that and simultaneously, we as Democrats must rightly and morally enable the states to protect themselves against the importation of intoxicating liquor where such importation may violate their laws; and we must rightly and morally prevent the return of the saloon.

In a comprehensive planning for the reconstruction of the great credit groups including government credit, I list as an important factor that fine statement of principle in the platform, which here has been adopted, calling for the letting in of the light of day on all issues of securities, foreign and domestic, which are offered for sale to the investing public. It would help protect the savings of the country from the dishonesty of crooks and the lack of honor of some men in high financial places.
As protect the public against current losses in foreign securities.

I favor the use of certain types of public works as a further emergency means of stimulating employment and the issuance of bonds to pay for such public works. I have, however, pointed out that no economic and is served if we merely build without building for a necessary purpose. Such works should, further be self-sustaining, if possible.

So as to spread employment of all kinds as widely as possible we must take definite steps to shorten the working day and the working week. Let us use common sense and business sense. For example, a very hopeful and immediate means of relief both for the unemployed and for agriculture would come from a wide plan of conversion of marginal land into timber land through reforestation.

There are tens of millions of acres east of the Mississippi alone, in abandoned farms or in out-over land, now growing up in worthless brush. Every European nation has a definite land policy. We have none. Having none we face a future of soil erosion and timber famine. It is clear that economic foresight and immediate unemployment needs call for the reforestation of these vast areas. In so doing, employment can be given to a million men. This type of public works is self-sustaining and, therefore, capable of being financed by the issuance of bonds made secure by the fact that the growth of tree crops will provide adequate security for the investment. I have a definite program for providing employment by this means. I have done it and am doing it in New York successfully. I know that the Democratic party can do it in the nation successfully. That will put men to work.
As a further aid to agriculture we should repeal immediately those provisions of law which compel the federal government to go into the market to purchase, sell and speculate in farm products in a futile attempt to reduce farm surpluses. They have put our government not only into business but into gambling on a huge scale. I am opposed to that.

The practical way to help is by an arrangement which will, in addition to lightening some of the impoverishing burdens from his back, do something toward reducing the surpluses of staple commodities which hang over the markets.

It should be our aim to add to the world prices of staple products the amount of a reasonable tariff protection, thus making the tariff really effective on our agriculture. In exchange for this immediately increased return, I am sure that the farmers of the nation would agree ultimately to such a planning of their production as would reduce the surplus, and make it unnecessary in later years to depend on dumping abroad to support domestic prices. That result has been accomplished in other nations, why not in America?

Farm leaders and economists generally agree that a plan based on this principle is a desirable first step in the reconstruction of agriculture. It does not in itself furnish a complete program but will serve in great measure in the long run to remove the pall of a surplus without the continued perpetual threat of world dumping. Final voluntary reduction of surplus is part of our objective, but the long continuance and present burden of existing surpluses make it necessary to repair great damage by immediate emergency measures. Such a plan as this does not cost the government any money, nor does it put it in business or speculation. As to the details of this method of relief, we should be guided by whatever the responsible farm groups themselves agree upon. The principle is sound and we should carry it out at once. Again I speak for action.
The American farmer to a greater extent than ever before is burdened
with debt. His purchasing power is gone. Farm mortgages reach nearly
ten billions; interest charges are nearly 860 millions annually. But
this is not all. The tax burden caused by extravagant and inefficient
local government is an additional factor. Our most immediate concern
should be to reduce his interest burden. Rediscounting of farm mortgages
under salutary restrictions should be expanded and should in future be
conditioned on the reduction of interest rates. It would save American
farmers hundreds of millions. Amortization payments and maturities should
likewise be extended before rediscount is permitted. Here is practical,
immediate relief. Here is a practical way of putting solvency and new
purchasing power where it is most needed, where it will have the most
telling effect. Farmers now under the grim shadow of debts and taxes will
thus find the means with which to buy and the freedom from fear to spend.
New clothes, shoes, automobiles, implements, live stock which he wants but
which he refuses to buy because he must save every cent in anticipation of
debt, can again enter into normal channels of trade. Here again I call
for action.

I aim to do the same thing for the small home owner in our cities
and villages. We can lighten his burden and develop purchasing power.
Take away the spectre of too high interest and of due dates, save homes
for thousands of self-respecting families, and drive out the spectre of
insecurity from our midst.

The ancient swindle of a Republican tariff likewise paralyses the
American farmer's purchasing power. In spite of a few concessions he still
buys in a protected market and sells in an unprotected one. Indeed the
tariff is a major factor in the present depression and strikes at all of
the groups I have mentioned, — the investor, the industrial worker and
the farmer alike.

Out of all the tens of printed paper, out of all the hours of oratory,
the recriminations, the defenses, the happy thought plans, in Washington
and in every state, there emerges one great simple crystal-pure fact, — that
during the past ten years a nation of one hundred and twenty million people
has been led by the Republicans to erect an impregnable barbed wire
entanglement around its borders through the instrumentality of tariffs which
have isolated us from all the other human beings in all the big round world.

I accept the admirable tariff statement of the platform of this Convention.
It would protect American business and American labor. By our acts of
the past we have invited and received the retaliation of the other nations,
I propose an invitation to them to forget the past, to sit at the table with
us as friends and to plan with us for the restoration of the trade of the
world.

Go into the home of the business man; he knows what the tariff has
done to him. Go into the home of the factory worker; he knows why goods
do not move. Go into the home of the farmer; he knows how the tariff
has helped to ruin him.

At last our eyes are open,—at last the American people are ready to
acknowledge that Republican leadership was wrong and that the Democracy is
right.
In any dealings with other nations on the tariff, we must include other material questions in which we have vital interest. Foremost among these will be the inter-allied debts. Here the answer is short. The people of this country believe that these are honest debts. They will not cancel these debts outright. I would, each year, insist upon the determination as to whether any of our debtor nations is in fact unable to pay any part of its debt to us. The United States should be a generous rather than a grasping creditor. But a creditor it must remain until paid. If any nation proves that it can not pay, I would say to them, "Acknowledge your debt; next year we shall look at your balance sheet again." If it can in fact pay, then we must ask for payment. They are debts of honor; they should be paid as debts of honor.

My program, of which I can touch on but a few points today, is based upon this simple moral principle: the welfare and soundness of a nation depends first upon what the great mass of its people wish and need, and second whether they are getting it.

What do the people of America want more than anything else? To my mind two things: work with all the moral and spiritual values that go with it; and, with work, a reasonable measure of security for themselves and for those who depend upon them.

Work and security -- these are the spiritual values, the true goal toward which our efforts for reconstruction should lead. These are the values that this program is intended to gain. These are the values we have failed to achieve by the leadership we now have.

Our Republican leaders tell us of economic laws -- sacred, inviolable, unchangeable, -- that these laws cause panics which no one can prevent.
But while they prate of economic laws, men are starving. We must lay
hold of the fact that economic laws are not made by nature, they are made
by human beings.

And in the meantime the Federal Government must boldly assume leadership
in distress relief. For years Washington has alternated between putting
its head in the sand and saying "There is no large number of destitute
people in our midst needing food and clothing", and then saying "The states
should take care of them if there are." Instead of planning two and a half
years ago to do what they are now trying to do, they kept putting it off
and putting it off from month to month, until the conscience of America
demanded action. I say that while primary responsibility for relief rests
with localities now as ever, the Federal Government has always had and still
has a continuing responsibility for the public welfare. It must now fulfill
that responsibility. I am proud that the platform of our party from
beginning to end breathes that spirit and is dedicated to that purpose.

And now a few words about our plans for the next four months. By
coming here instead of waiting for a formal notification, I have made it
clear that I believe we should eliminate expensive ceremonies and that we
should set in motion at once the necessary machinery for an adequate
presentation of the issues to the electorate of the nation.

I myself have important duties as Governor of a great state -- duties
which in these times are more arduous and more grave than at any previous
period. I expect to make several short visits to several parts of the
nation. My trips will have as their first object the study at first hand
from the lips of men and women of all parties and all occupations, the actual conditions and needs of every section of the country.

Before this campaign is over the voters will have no doubt as to what I stand for and I in turn will be informed what the people wish and need. If they want me for President, they will vote for me without being importuned by the old political methods. If after weighing all of the pros and cons of this important election they prefer four years more of President Hoover, they will vote for him.

One more word. Out of every crisis, every tribulation, every disaster, mankind rises with some share of greater knowledge, of higher decency, of purer purpose. Today we have come through a period of loose thinking and descending morals, an era of selfishness of individual men and women and of whole nations. Blame not governments alone for this. Blame ourselves in equal share. Let us be frank in acknowledgment of the truth that a great many among us have made obeisance to Mammon, that the profits of speculation, the easy road without toil have lured us from the old verities. To return to higher standards we must abandon the false prophets and seek new leaders of our own choosing.

Never before have the essential differences between the two major American parties stood out in such striking contrast as this year. Republican leaders not only have failed in material things; they have failed in national vision, because in disaster they hold out no hope, they point out no path for the people below to climb back to places of security and safety in the economic structure.
Throughout the nation, the men and women forgotten in the political philosophy of the government of the last twelve years look to us here for guidance and for a more equitable opportunity to share in the distribution of national wealth. On the farms, in the large metropolitan areas, in the smaller cities and in our villages, millions of our citizens cherish the hope that their old standards of living and of thought have not gone forever. Those millions cannot hope in vain.

I pledge you, I pledge myself to a new deal for the American people. Let us all here assembled constitute ourselves prophets of a new order of competence and of courage. This is more than a political campaign. It is a call to arms.

Give me your help, not to win votes alone -- but to win in this crusade to return America to its own people.
1. This appearance before you is I realize somewhat if not entirely without precedent.
   a. I want my appearance to be a symbol of breaking away from tradition.
   b. I would rather break a tradition or precedent than a promise.
   c. If the Republican party had had the courage to break a precedent or two, it would not have had to break so many promises of pocket books.

2. Sentence expressing thanks.

3. This choice came from states & state leaders & delegates - no national boss - no national war chest - grown up from the people rather than down to the people.

4. Leader selected is an emblem of a common democratic purpose - I feel not exultant or vainglorious but solemnly and deeply touched.

5. The party that met the other great national emergency of war is still here. The captains who served under the departed general are here. Baker; Young; McAdoo; Other leaders. Cox - Davis - Smith - A line of praise for them.

6. What is the issue? There is no room in this country for two Conservative parties.
A broad view of this nation yields two outstanding features.

First, the cities, teeming with millions who cannot find work.

Second, the country with millions who are trying to make a living in land that will not support them. On the one side willing hands and no work; on the other backbreaking work with no return. In either case the effect is demoralization. It is a condition that no nation can long endure without catastrophe. It should not—cannot be permitted to continue.

In meeting this we must bear in mind that the primary problem is in the distribution of the population. There are millions in the cities who will not be put back to work even when the wheels of industry again turn. They are permanently unemployed. Except in times of war they will never be useful industrial units. Whether we like it or not the growth of great cities has gone as far as it should. Any sound national economy must meet this fact squarely and deal with it forcefully.

I believe that our party should, when entrusted with the direction of its government, work to this end.

In the first place we should take our bearings. In New York State I have started a survey of every acre of land to determine exactly its economic value and what it is best suited to raise. This should be started without delay throughout the nation.

But without waiting for this to be completed we should begin the job of population adjustment. All over the country men and women who came to the cities in the piping times of prosperity are returning sadder but wiser. They will find that while the life they scorned does not give riches over night . . .

(passage here)

They may be less excited but they eat every day.
To this end, and before a complete survey is made, an attack should be made upon certain areas of marginal land. Last spring in N.Y., my administration 

Bear that in mind— we put 10,000 men back to work. Healthy, productive, constructive work. Building to correct the waste and improvidence of past generations.

I propose as a measure to meet this emergency to put to work throughout the nation at similar work a million men.

To do this I propose to retake some millions of acres of land that is not suited to agriculture and on which mortgages are foreclosed or taxes are hopelessly delinquent. To pay for this land I propose that the Federal Government give non-interest bearing bonds. The mortgage holders will be
In the payment of wages to those who are employed in this reclamation there should be issued bonds in small denominations paying a low rate of interest. The life of these bonds should approximately be the time required for the reforestation to reach the period of productive value. This is sound finance. It means paying for the creation of a productive value. In reforestation—this means that when a tree is grown it is worth about its initial cost. It pays for itself, and above and beyond—the present emergency has been met, the budget has not been burdened nor the credit of the nation impaired.

As a further aid to agriculture I believe we should immediately repeal these high-sounding but totally ineffective laws of law which compel the Federal Government to go into the market and purchase large quantities of farm products in a futile attempt to reduce the surplus of the American farmer. The only practicable way I can see to accomplish the purpose which these laws have so utterly failed to meet is to apply some form of the equalization fee or domestic allotment plan. As to the details of this method of relief, the government should be guided by whatever the responsible farm groups themselves agree upon. The principle is sound and we should adopt it at once in a special session called immediately after we assume the direction of government.

The farmer to a greater extent that ever before is burdened with debt. It is roughly estimated that this reaches nearly ten billions, carrying an interest charge of 580 millions annually.
But this is not all. The tax burden imposed by extravagant and inefficient local government is an additional factor. The real first mortgage on any farm is the tax bill. The thing that has caused the farmer to lose his home and business by foreclosure has been not so much inability to pay interest as inability to meet taxes. Our immediate concern should be to reduce his tax burden. Those who hold these mortgages are going to be better off with a fairly secure 4% than an uncertain 6%. The rediscounting of farm mortgages by present Federal machinery, which is now provided by law, should be guided by a policy which would compel as a condition of rediscounting, the reduction of interest rates. To reduce rates from 6% to 4% would save American farmers $200 million. Amortization payments should also be reduced and the term of the loan extended.

Here is a practicable means of putting new purchasing power where it is most needed and will have the most immediate effect. The farmer who now lives under the grim shadow of his debts and taxes will find in his hands the means of buying something and in his mind the freedom from fear that will encourage him to spend it. New clothing, shoes, automobiles, furniture, that he needs but that now wait because he needs to save every cent in anticipation of debt charges, can be bought at once.

The same idea applies to the small home owner in cities and villages. Here again we can lighten a burden and bring out purchasing power.

Take also from the back of the farmer a substantial part of the local tax burden. In New York State I relieved him to the extent of $24 million a year. Throughout the nation the Democratic
party should stand for this principle. Countless millions could be saved by clearing the underbrush of local government of unnecessary and antiquated functions. This is a state problem but the National Party should insist on this relief to the farmer in every one of the states. Party has no right to avoid a discussion on centralization of its betterment.

The ancient swindle of a Republican Tariff likewise paralyzes the American farmer's purchasing power. In spite of a few concessions he still buys in a protected market and sells in an unprotected one. The really efficient industries get along without a tariff. Those that sell to the farmer should be required to be equally efficient or yield to foreign competition. The farmer's purchasing power should no longer, because of a high tariff on the things he needs, be used to foster industrial waste and inefficiency. Here is another burden we should take from his back.
Adolph Miller suggests an appeal for courageous ending of defeatist attitude of present administration and through the administration defeatist attitude of great sections of American public.

We know that through the efficiency of the capitalist system this country attained the greatest productive efficiency of any nation at any time. What then brought about the drastic crash which has reduced this production by 40% and left the consuming public unable to buy? Let us grant for the sake of argument that the peak load of production was beyond the reasonable ability of the people to consume it. Nevertheless, the present low production is far below our consuming ability. The real reason both for the over-production and for the speculation was that in the eleven years following the war there was an insufficient distribution of capital gains and income gains. It is the same old story that the multi-millionaire can only wear the same number of shoes at any one time as the poorest laborer.

The defeatist attitude of government and public must be eliminated by having leadership on the part of the President. He must say in effect:

We have 8,000,000 people out of work. They must be put back to work. I propose a one year plan to put a million and a half of the 4,000,000 people back to work. The responsibility is jointly with all government and private industry. To do this I present the following concrete program:

(a) I, through the Federal Government, will put one million people to work on public works - forestry, flood control, etc.
(b) I will ask the States, through City, Municipal, County, Town and Village governments, to put one million additional people to work.

(c) I will call together the leaders of industry and tell them to work out a joint plan to put two million people back to work and I will ask the banks to finance industry to this end. If the leaders in industry fail to do this and carry it through, I will ask for plenary emergency powers similar to those given to President Wilson at the outbreak of the war in 1917.