

---

**Franklin D. Roosevelt — “The Great Communicator”**

**The Master Speech Files, 1898, 1910-1945**

**Series 1: Franklin D. Roosevelt’s Political Ascension**

---

**File No. 552**

**1932 October 13**

**Albany, NY –  
Radio Address Social Welfare & Government**

RADIO ADDRESS BY GOVERNOR FRANKLIN D.  
ROOSEVELT FROM EXECUTIVE MANSION,  
ALBANY, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 13, 1932  
OVER COLUMBIA BROADCASTING SYSTEM

-----o-----

*Thought*  
I am speaking to you from my desk in the Executive Mansion in Albany on a subject which is not in the narrower sense of the word political but which because it is connected with government vitally affects the lives of almost every man, woman and child in the United States.

I can not, of course, answer the hundreds of questions which come to me in every mail but a letter signed by ten of the leading social welfare workers permits me to use their questions as a text for the expression of certain great basic principles which are vital to us in this time of stress.

The first question asks my position in relation to the duty of the federal and state and local governments to provide funds and aid for the relief of those who are out of work.

The problem therein outlined is one which is very real in every section of the country as I have good reason to know. This was accentuated by what I saw and heard on my recent trip to the Pacific Coast.

Let me answer it by laying down what I believe to be certain cardinal principles. In the first place even in an ideal community where no one is out of work, there would always be the need of welfare work conducted through the churches, through private charity and by local government - - the need for clinics and hospitals and vocational training, the need for care of the aged, for care of mental cases and for care of the crippled. Such communities where there is no unemployment are almost Utopian, for even in times of prosperity there are always some unemployed - - people who want to work but can find no work.

The first principle I would lay down is that the primary duty rests on the community, through local government and private agencies to take care of the relief of unemployment. But we then come to a situation where there are so many people out of work that local funds are insufficient. It seems clear to me that the organized society known as the State comes into the picture at this point. In other words, the obligation of government is extended to the next higher unit.

I practice what I preach. In 1930 the State of New York greatly increased its employment service and kept in close touch with the ability of localities to take care of their own unemployed. By the summer of 1931 it became apparent to me that actual State funds and a State supervised system was imperative. I called a special session of the Legislature and they appropriated a fund of twenty million dollars for unemployment relief, this fund to be reimbursed to the State through the doubling of our income taxes. Thus the State of New York became the first among all the states to accept the definite obligation of supplementing local funds where these local funds were insufficient. The administration of this great work has become a model for the rest of the country. Without setting up any complex machinery or any large overhead, the State of New York is working successfully through local agencies and in spite of the fact that over a million people are out of work and in need of aid in this one state alone we have so far met at least the bare necessities of the case. This past spring the Legislature appropriated another five million dollars and on November eighth the voters will pass on a thirty million dollar bond issue to tide us over this winter and at



least up to next summer.

Finally, let me come to the last step in the statement of the principle. I am very certain that the obligation extends beyond the states and to the Federal Government itself if and when it becomes apparent that states and communities are unable to take care of the necessary relief work.

It may interest you to have me read a short quotation from my message to the Legislature in 1931 : "What is the State? It is the duly constituted representative of an organized society of human beings created by them for their mutual protection and well-being. One of the duties of the State is that of caring for those of its citizens who find themselves the victims of such adverse circumstances as make them unable to obtain even the necessities of mere existence without the aid of others. In broad terms, I assert that modern society, acting through its government, owes the definite obligation to prevent the starvation or the dire want of any of its fellow-men and women who try to maintain themselves but cannot. To these unfortunate citizens aid must be extended by the government; not as a matter of charity, but as a matter of social duty."

That principle which I laid down in 1931, I reaffirm. I not only reaffirm it: I go a step further and say that where the State itself is unable successfully to fulfill this obligation which lies upon it, it then becomes the positive duty of the Federal government to step in to help. In the words of our Democratic National platform the Federal government has a "continuous responsibility for human welfare, especially for the protection of children." That duty and responsibility the Federal government should carry out promptly, fearlessly and generously. It took the present Republican administration in Washington almost three years to recognize this principle. I have recounted to you in other speeches, and it is a matter of general information that for at least two years after the crash the only efforts made by the National Administration to cope with the distress of unemployment were to deny its existence. When finally this year, after attempts at concealment and minimizing had failed, when they were at last forced to recognize the fact of suffering among millions of unemployed, appropriations of Federal funds for assistance to states were finally made.

I think it is fair to point out that a complete program of unemployment relief was on my recommendation actually under way in the State of New York over a year ago and that in Washington relief funds in any large volume were not provided until this summer, and at that they were pushed through at the demand of the Congress rather than through the leadership of the President of the United States.

At the same time I have constantly reiterated my conviction that the expenditures of cities, states and the Federal government must be reduced in the interest of the Nation as a whole. I believe there are many ways in which such reduction of expenditure can take place, but I am utterly unwilling that economy should be practiced at the expense of starving people. We must economize in other ways, but it shall never be said that the American people have refused to provide the necessities of life to those who, through no fault of their own, are unable to feed, clothe and house themselves. The first obligation of government is the protection of the welfare and well-being - - the very existence - of its citizens.

So much for that.

The next question asks my attitude towards appropriations for public works as an aid to unemployment. I am perfectly clear as to the principles involved in this case also.

From the long range point of view it would be advisable for governments of all kinds to set up in times of prosperity what might be called a nest egg to be used for public works in times of depression. That is a policy which we should initiate when we get back to good times.

But there is the immediate possibility of helping the emergency through appropriations for public works. One question, however, must be answered first, because of the simple fact that these public works cost money. We all know that government treasuries, whether local or state or federal, are hard put to it to keep their budgets balanced, and in the case of the federal treasury thoroughly unsound financial policies have made its situation not exactly desperate but at least threatening to future stability if the policies of the present administration are continued. All public works - - including federal-

must be considered from the point of view of the ability of the government treasury to pay for them. There are two ways of paying for public works. One is by the sale of bonds. In principle such bonds should be issued only to pay for self-sustaining projects or for structures which will without question have a useful life over a long period of years. The other method of payment is from current revenues, which in these days means in most cases added taxes. And we all know that there is a very definite limit to the increase of taxes above the present level.

From this point, therefore, I can go on and say that if funds can be properly provided by the Federal government for increased appropriations for public works, we must examine the character of those public works. I have already spoken of that type which is self-sustaining. These should be greatly encouraged.

10.15 The other type is that of public works which are honestly essential to the community. Each case must rest on its own merits. It is impossible, for example, to say that all parks or all playgrounds are essential.

One may be, and another may not be. If a school, for instance, has no playground it is obvious that the furnishing of a playground is a necessity to the community. But if the school already has a playground and some people seek merely to enlarge it, there may be a very definite question as to how necessary that enlargement is. Let me cite another example. I am much interested in providing better housing accommodations for the poor in our great cities. If a slum area can be torn down and new modern buildings put up, I should call that almost a human necessity, but on the other hand the mere erection of new buildings in some other part of the city while allowing the slums to remain raises at once a question of necessity. I am confident that the Federal government working in cooperation with states and cities can do much to carry on increased public works and along lines which are sound from the economic and financial point of view.

Now I come to another question. I am asked whether I favor a system of unemployment insurance reserves made compulsory by the states, supplemented by a system of federally coordinated state employment offices to facilitate the reemployment of jobless workers.

The first part of the question is directly answered by the Democratic platform which advocates unemployment insurance under state laws. This is no new policy for me. I have advocated unemployment insurance in my own State for some time, and indeed last year six eastern governors were my guests at a conference which resulted in the drawing up of what might be called ~~an ideal~~ <sup>the 1st effort towards an ideal</sup> plan of unemployment insurance.

This type of insurance is not a cure-all, but it provides at least a cushion to mitigate unemployment in times of depression. It is sound if after starting it we stick to the principle of sound insurance financing. It is only where governments as in some European countries have failed to live up to these sound principles that unemployment insurance has been an economic failure.

As to the coordinated employment offices, I can only tell you that I was for the bills sponsored by Senator Wagner of my own State and passed by the Congress. They created a nationally coordinated system of employment offices operated by the individual states with the advisory cooperation of joint boards of employers and employees. To my very great regret this measure was vetoed by the President of the United States.

I am certain that the Federal government can, by furnishing leadership, stimulate the various States to set up and coordinate practical useful systems. *My own State has gone far towards that goal*

These first three questions which I have discussed relate to the relief of those who are unemployed, and it is perhaps logical that the next two questions should relate to children, because we know that unemployment works a great hardship on the young people of the coming generation. I certainly favor the continuance of the fine work which has been done by the Children's Bureau in Washington, but at the same time we must not forget that the Federal government through several other agencies is constantly working for the welfare of children. Attempts have been made to cut the appropriations for child welfare work. It seems to me that this is the last



place in which we should seek to economize. I can not agree with the member of President Hoover's Cabinet who suggests that this depression is not altogether a bad thing for our children. You and I know the appalling fact that malnutrition is one of the saddest by-products of unemployment. The health of these children is being affected not only now but for all the rest of their lives.

Furthermore, a depression takes thousands of children away from schools and puts them to work to help the family income. They are underpaid and only too often work under conditions which physically and morally are often dangerous. It is well to remember too that the use of these untrained children in industry keeps many adults out of employment and has the effect of cutting down wages below a decent living standard.

These are only a few of the many reasons why the Federal government must continue to act as an agency to disseminate information about child welfare and to encourage states and local governments to raise their standards to the highest possible level.

The last question relates to keeping children in school to the age of sixteen. I am in favor of that.

Furthermore, I go along with the thought that we must increase vocational education for those children who otherwise would not receive adequate training. That kind of vocational training will raise the standards of worth-while employment not only now but also in normal times.

My own observation leads me to believe that in many parts of the country we have tended to an educational system devised too greatly for academic training and professional careers. We know that already many of the professions are over-supplied and it is a fair guess that during the coming generation we shall devote more attention to educating our boys and girls for vocational pursuits which are just as honorable, just as respectable, and in many instances just as remunerative as are the professions themselves.

The Federal government, without in any way taking away the right and the duty of the several states to manage their own educational affairs, can act as a clearing house of information and as an incentive to higher standards.

But the Federal government has had no continuing policy for dealing with problems of public health and social welfare. In this as in other activities a multiplicity of unrelated agencies have been developed hit or miss to deal with aspects of the same problem. The result has been waste of men and money; more costly and less efficient service than we should have.

The Administration has done nothing to reorganize this or other branches of the Federal government, in spite of campaign promises at the last three presidential elections. I propose to inaugurate a definite long range plan for dealing with all phases of public health and welfare, which are a proper concern of the

Federal government. May I add that in the State of New York during the past four years we have accomplished definite and practical results by coordinating and planning the work of the State. I cite as a simple example the public health program which is a part of my administration. It has been referred to in other states as the most important contribution to practical public health work during this generation.

And all of this we have taken out of politics.

The same principles can and should be applied to the health and welfare work of the Federal government.

In closing, will you let me make an appeal to the entire country - an appeal with all my heart, with all my mind and with all my soul - to let nothing interfere with the duty and obligation of coming forward as individuals and as groups to the support of the unemployed and the support of their dependents during the coming winter.

By proclamation I can make official appeal to the State of which I am Governor, but I think that I have the right as a Presidential candidate to make an unofficial appeal to my fellow Americans in every other state.

I wish that every man, woman and child above the age of reason in the whole country would make the coming Thanksgiving Day and the coming Christmas Day occasions to contribute with money or food or clothing or all three, to the direct relief of local needs.

Let us remember that in addition to whatever it may be possible for the Federal government or State government or municipalities to do in relieving the tremendous and increasing burden of relief work, suffering, misery and distress will still be great unless individuals, unless societies and churches, practice actual charity - actual love of their neighbor - to an extent even greater than at any time in the past.

Let us who have jobs or money or shelter for ourselves and our own families share with the less fortunate. If we do this in every community throughout the land Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day of 1932 will take on an added significance -- the significance of a higher American ideal of social justice.

Drop Radio speech

10/13/32

Corrections in FDX  
Handwriting

10 P.M. Radio address  
Sunday Oct. 13-1932  
M. H. G. G. G. G.

my desk in the Executive Mansion

it is connected with ~~the subject~~  
lives of almost every man, woman

the hundreds of questions which  
letter signed by ten of the leading  
to use their questions as a  
great basic principles which are

position in relation to the duty  
governments to provide funds  
are out of work.

and problem therein outlined is one which is very real in every section of the country as I have good reason to know. <sup>This has</sup> ~~as a~~

by what I saw and heard on my recent trip to the Pacific Coast.

Let me answer it by laying down what I believe to be certain cardinal principles. In the first place even in an ideal community ~~there~~ <sup>is</sup> no ~~is~~ <sup>is</sup> out of work, there would always be the need of useful work conducted through the churches, through private charity and by local government - the need for clinics and hospitals and vocational training, ~~for~~ <sup>the need</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>for</sup> the care of the aged, for ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> care of mental cases and for ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> care of the crippled. Such communities where there is no unemployment are almost Utopian, for even in times of prosperity there ~~was~~ <sup>are</sup> always some unemployed - people who want to work but can find no work.

*For Release 10 P.M.*

The first principle I would lay down is that the primary duty rests on the community, through local government and private agencies, to take care of the relief of unemployment. But we then come to <sup>a</sup> situation <sup>where</sup> ~~when~~ there are so many people out of work that local funds are insufficient. It seems clear to me that the organized society known as the State comes into the picture at this point. In other words, the obligation of government is extended to the next higher unit.

I practice what I preach. In 1930 the State of New York greatly increased its employment service and kept in close touch with the ability of localities to take care of their own unemployed. By the summer of 1931 it became apparent to me that actual State funds and a State supervised system was imperative. I called a special session of the Legislature and they appropriated a fund of twenty million dollars for unemployment relief, this fund to be reimbursed to the State through the doubling of our income taxes. Thus the State of New York became the first among all the states to accept the definite obligation of supplementing local funds



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service

FREDERICK B. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY  
1000 17th St., N.W.,  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20540

From the Papers of

Samuel I. Rosenman



where these local funds were insufficient. The administration of this great work has become a model for the rest of the country. Without setting up any complex machinery or any large overhead, the State of New York is working successfully through local agencies, and in spite of the fact that over a million people are out of work and in need of aid in this one state alone we have so far met at least the bare necessities of the case. This past spring the Legislature appropriated another five million dollars and on November eighth the voters will pass on a thirty million dollar bond issue to tide us over this winter and at least up to next summer. Finally, let me come to the last step in the statement of the principle. I am very certain that the obligation extends beyond the states and to the Federal Government itself if and when it becomes apparent that states and communities are unable to take care of the necessary relief work.

It may interest you to have me read a short quotation from my message to the Legislature in 1931: "What is the State? It is the duly constituted representative of an organized society of human beings, created by them for their mutual protection and



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
Medical Archives and Records Service  
FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY  
NEW YORK, N. Y.

From the Papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman

well-being. One of the duties of the State is that of caring for those of its citizens who find themselves the victims of such adverse circumstances as make them unable to obtain even the necessities of mere existence without the aid of others. In broad terms, I assert that modern society, acting through its government, owes the definite obligation to prevent the starvation or the dire want of any of its fellow-men and women who try to maintain themselves but cannot. To these unfortunate citizens aid must be extended by the government; not as a matter of charity, but as a matter of social duty."

That principle which I laid down in 1881, I reaffirm. I not only reaffirm it; I go a step further and say that where the State itself is unable successfully to fulfill this obligation which lies upon it, it then becomes the positive duty of the Federal government to step in to help. In the words of our Democratic national platform the Federal government has a "continuous responsibility for human welfare, especially for the protection of children." That duty and responsibility the Federal government should carry out promptly, fearlessly and generously. It took the present Republican



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
National Archives and Records Service  
PUBLISHED BY GOVERNMENT LIBRARY  
UNIT FORM 10-11

From the Papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman

administration in Washington almost three years to recognize this principle. I have recounted to you in other speeches, and it is a matter of general information, that for at least two years after the crash the only efforts made by the National Administration to cope with the distress of unemployment, were to deny its existence. When finally this year, after attempts at concealment and minimizing had failed, when they were at last forced to recognize the fact of suffering among millions of unemployed, appropriations of Federal funds for assistance to states were finally made.

I think it is fair to point out that a complete program of unemployment relief was on my recommendation actually under way in the State of New York over a year ago and that in Washington relief funds in any large volume were not provided until this summer, and at that they were pushed through at the demand of the Congress rather than through the leadership of the President of the United States.

at the same time I have constantly reiterated my conviction that the expenditures of states and the Federal government must be reduced in the interest of the Nation as a whole. I believe there are many ways in which such reduction of expenditure can take



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
National Archives and Records Service  
FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY  
1001 PENN. AVE., N. W.

From the Papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman

place, but I am utterly unwilling that economy should be practiced at the expense of starving people. We must economize in other ways, but it shall never be said that the American people have refused to provide the necessities of life to those who, through no fault of their own, are unable to feed, clothe and house themselves. The first obligation of government is the protection of the welfare and well-being - the very existence - <sup>of</sup> its citizens.

So much for that.



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY

WASH. D. C.

From the Papers of

Samuel I. Rosenman

The next question asks my attitude towards appropriations for public works as an aid to unemployment. I am perfectly clear as to the principles involved in this case also.

From the long range point of view it would be advisable for governments of all kinds to set up in times of prosperity what might be called a nest egg to be used for public works in times of depression. That is a policy which we should initiate when we get back to good times.

But there is the immediate possibility of helping the emergency through appropriations for public works. ~~One~~ <sup>One</sup> question, *however,*

~~which~~ must be answered first, because of the simple fact that these public works cost money. We all know that government treasuries, whether local or state or federal, are hard put to it to keep their budgets balanced, and in the case of the federal treasury thoroughly unsound financial policies have made <sup>it</sup> ~~the~~ situation <sup>exactly</sup> ~~not~~ <sup>at least</sup> threatening to future stability if the policies of the present administration are continued. All public works - including federal - must be considered from the point of view of the ability of the government treasury to pay for them. There are two ways of paying for



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
National Archives and Records Service  
FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY  
EAST PASEO, N. Y.

From the Papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman

public works. <sup>and is</sup> ~~one~~ <sup>rule</sup> by the ~~principle~~ <sup>in</sup> of bonds. ~~and~~ principle  
such bonds should be issued only to pay for self-sustaining  
projects or <sup>for</sup> structures which will without question have a useful  
life <sup>over</sup> ~~to~~ a long period of years. The other method of payment  
is from current revenues, which in these days means in most cases  
added taxes. <sup>and</sup> ~~We~~ all know that there is a very definite limit  
to the increase of taxes above the present level.

From this point, therefore, I can go on and say that if  
funds can be properly provided by the Federal Government for  
increased appropriations for public works, we must examine the  
character of those public works. I have already spoken of that  
type which is self-sustaining. These should be greatly encouraged.

The other type is that of public works which are honestly  
essential to the community. <sup>Each case must rest on its own merits.</sup> It is impossible to say that all parks  
or all playgrounds are essential. <sup>for example</sup> ~~and some are not essential~~  
One may be, and another may not be.  
If a school, for instance, has no playground it is obvious  
that the furnishing of a playground is a necessity to the community.  
But if the school already has a playground and some people seek  
merely to enlarge it, there may be a very definite question as to



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY

WASH. D. C.

From the Papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman



how necessary that enlargement is. Let me cite another example.

I am much interested in providing better housing accommodations for the poor in our great cities. If a slum area can be torn down and new modern buildings put up, I should call that almost a human necessity, but on the other hand the mere erection of new buildings in some other part of the city while allowing the slums to remain raises at once a question of necessity. I am confident that [the Federal government working in cooperation with states and cities can do much to carry on increased public works and along lines which are sound from the economic and financial point of view.



**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

National Archives and Records Service  
RESEARCH & REFERENCE DIVISION  
Rm. 1000, N. W.

From the Papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman

Now I come to another question. I am asked whether I favor a system of unemployment insurance reserves made compulsory by the states, supplemented by a system of federally coordinated state employment offices to facilitate the re-employment of jobless workers.

The first part of the question is directly answered by the Democratic platform which advocates unemployment insurance under state laws. This is no new policy form. I have advocated unemployment insurance in my own State for sometime, and indeed last year six eastern governors were my guests at a conference which resulted in the drawing up of what might be called an ideal plan of unemployment insurance.

This type of insurance is not a cure-all, but it provides at least a cushion to mitigate unemployment in times of depression. It is sound if after starting it we stick to the principle of sound insurance financing. It is only where governments as in some European countries have failed to live up to these sound principles that unemployment insurance has been an economic failure.

As to the coordinated employment offices, I can only tell you



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
National Archives and Records Service  
FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY  
FIVE FLOORS, N. Y.

From the Papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman



that I was for the bills sponsored by Senator Wagner of my own State and passed by the Congress. They created a nationally coordinated system of employment offices operated by the individual states with the advisory cooperation of joint boards of employers and employees. To my very great regret this measure was vetoed by the President of the United States.

*I am certain that the Federal government can, by furnishing leadership, stimulate the various States to set up and coordinate their own practical useful systems.*



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY

WIDE PLAIN, N. Y.

From the Papers of

Samuel I. Rosenman

These first three questions which I have discussed relate to the relief of those who are unemployed, and it is perhaps logical that the next two questions should relate to children, because we know that unemployment works a great hardship on the young people of the coming generation. I certainly favor the continuance of the fine work which has been done by the Children's Bureau in Washington, but at the same time we must not forget that the Federal government through several other agencies is constantly working for the welfare of children. Attempts have been made to cut the appropriations for child welfare work. It seems to me that this is the last place in which we should seek to economize. I cannot agree with the member of President Hoover's Cabinet who suggests that this depression is not altogether a bad thing for our children. You and I know the appalling fact that ~~malnutrition~~ malnutrition is one of the saddest by-products of unemployment. The health of these children is being affected not only now, but for all the rest of their lives.

Furthermore, a depression takes thousands of children away from schools and puts them to work to help the family income. They are underpaid and only too often work under conditions which physically



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
National Archives and Records Service  
FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY  
ONE ROOM, N. Y.

From the Papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman

and morally are often dangerous. It is well to remember too that the use of these untrained children in industry keeps many adults out of employment and has the effect of cutting down wages below a decent living standard.

These are only a few of the many reasons why the Federal government must continue to act as an agency <sup>to</sup> disseminate ~~and~~ information about child welfare and <sup>to</sup> encourage ~~and~~ states and local governments to raise their standards to the highest possible level.

The last question relates to keeping children in school to the age of sixteen. I am in favor of that. Furthermore, I go along with the thought that we must increase vocational education for those children who otherwise would not receive adequate training. That kind of vocational training will raise the standards of worth while employment not only now but also in normal times.

My own observation leads me to believe that in many parts of the country we have tended ~~to~~ <sup>to</sup> an educational system devised <sup>to</sup> ~~to~~ for academic training and professional careers. We know that already many of the professions are over-supplied and it is a



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY

WASH. DC., U. S.

From the Papers of

Samuel I. Rosenman

fair guess that during the coming generation we shall devote more attention to educating our boys and girls for vocational pursuits which are just as honorable, just as respectable, and in many instances just as remunerative as are the professions themselves. The Federal government, without in any way taking away the right and the duty of the several states to manage their own educational affairs, can act as a clearing house of information and as an incentive to higher standards.

But the Federal government has had no continuing policy for dealing with problems of public health and social welfare. In this as in other activities a multiplicity of unrelated agencies have been developed hit or miss to deal with aspects of the same problem. The result has been waste of men and money; more costly and less efficient service than we should have.

The Administration has done nothing to reorganize this or other branches of the Federal government, in spite of campaign promises at the last three presidential elections. I propose to inaugurate a definite long range plan for dealing with all phases of public health and welfare, which are a proper concern of the



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY  
1001 LENOX T. B.

From the Report of

Samuel I. Rosenman

Federal government. May I add that in the State of New York  
~~XXXX~~ during the past four years we have accomplished definite  
and practical results by coordinating and planning the work of  
the State. I cite as a simple example the public health program  
which is a part of my administration. It has been referred to  
in other states as the most important contribution to practical  
public health work during this generation. ~~We have reorganized~~  
~~the work to coordinate all of our agencies. We have differentiated~~  
~~between emergency work and long range planning. We have enlarged~~  
~~the work of prevention - the prevention of disease and the~~  
~~prevention of other physical and social ills. We are extending~~  
~~our present knowledge by maintaining research and gaining all of~~  
~~new information and using it to the best advantage.~~ And all of this  
we have taken out of politics.  
can and  
The same principles ~~again~~ should be applied to the health  
and welfare work of the Federal government.

In closing, ~~will~~ you let me make an appeal to the entire  
country - an appeal with all my heart, with all my mind and with  
all my soul - to let nothing interfere with the duty and obligation



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
National Archives and Records Service  
FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LIBRARY  
RIVE PLAZA, N. Y.

From the Papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman

of coming forward as individuals and as groups to the support of the unemployed and the support of their dependents during the coming winter.

By proclamation I can make official appeal to the State of which I am Governor, but I think that I have the right as a presidential candidate to make <sup>an unofficial</sup> appeal to my fellow Americans in every other state. I wish that every man, woman and child above the age of reason in the whole country would make the coming Thanksgiving Day and the coming Christmas Day occasions to contribute with money or food or clothing or all three, to the direct relief of local needs.

Let us remember that in addition to whatever it may be possible for the Federal government or State government or municipalities to do in relieving the tremendous and increasing burden of relief work, suffering, misery and <sup>distress</sup> ~~XXXXXX~~ will still be great unless individuals, unless societies and churches, practice actual charity - actual love of their neighbor - to an extent even greater than at any time in the past.

Let us who have jobs or money or shelter for ourselves and



GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION  
National Archives and Records Service  
PRESIDENTIAL RECORDS  
1000 PENNS. AVE., N. W.  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20540

From the papers of  
Samuel I. Rosenman



our own families share with the less fortunate. If we do this in every community throughout the land Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day of 1932 will take on an added significance - the significance of a higher American ideal of social justice.



**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

*National Archives and Records Service*

PAULINE B. BOWEN, CLERK

NEW YORK, N. Y.

From the Papers of

Samuel I. Rosenman

**Continuous responsibility of government, Federal and State, in the matter of unemployment relief, public health and social welfare, radio address, Albany, Thursday, October 13, 1932**

I am speaking to you from my desk in the Executive Mansion in Albany on a subject which is not in the narrower sense of the word political but which because it is connected with government vitally affects the lives of almost every man, woman and child in the United States.

I cannot, of course, answer the hundreds of questions which come to me in every mail, but a letter signed by 10 of the leading social welfare workers permits me to use their questions as a text for the expression of certain great basic principles which are vital to us in this time of stress.

The first question asks my position in relation to the duty of the Federal and State and local governments to provide funds and aid for the relief of those who are out of work.

The problem therein outlined is one which is very real in every section of the country as I have good reason to know. This was accentuated by what I saw and heard on my recent trip to the Pacific Coast.



Let me answer it by laying down what I believe to be certain cardinal principles. In the first place even in an ideal community where no one is out of work, there would always be the need of welfare work conducted through the churches, through private charity and by local government—the need for clinics and hospitals and vocational training, the need for the care of the aged, for care of mental cases and for care of the crippled. Such communities where there is no unemployment are almost Utopian, for even in times of prosperity there are always some unemployed people who want to work but can find no work.

The first principle I would lay down is that the primary duty rests on the community, through local government and private agencies, to take care of the relief of unemployment. But we then come to a situation where there are so many people out of work that local funds are insufficient. It seems clear to me that the organized society known as the State comes into the picture at this point. In other words, the obligation of government is extended to the next higher unit.

I practice what I preach. In 1930 the State of New York greatly increased its employment service and kept in close touch with the ability of localities to take care of their own unemployed. By the summer of 1931 it became apparent to me that actual State funds and a State supervised system was imperative. I called a special session of the Legislature and they appropriated a fund of twenty million dollars for unemployment relief, this fund to be reimbursed to the State through the doubling of our income taxes. Thus the State of New York became the first among all the states to accept the definite obligation of supplementing local funds where these local funds were insufficient. The administration of this great work has become a model for the rest of the country. Without setting up any complex machinery or any large overhead, the State of New York is working successfully through local agencies, and in spite of the fact that over a million people are out of work and in need of aid in this one state alone we have so far met at least the bare necessities of the case. This past spring the Legislature appropriated another five million dollars and on November 8 the voters will pass on a thirty million dollar bond issue to tide us over this winter and at least up to next summer.

Finally, let me come to the last step in the statement of the principle. I am very certain that the obligation extends beyond the states and to the Federal government itself if and when it becomes apparent that states and communities are unable to take care of the necessary relief work.

It may interest you to have me read a short quotation from my message to the Legislature in 1931: "What is the State? It is the duly constituted representative of an organized society of human beings, created by them for their mutual protection and well-being. One of the duties of the State is that of caring for those of its citizens who find themselves the victims of such adverse circumstances as make them unable to obtain even the necessities of mere existence without the aid of others. In broad terms, I assert that modern society, acting through its government, owes the definite obligation to prevent the starvation or the dire want of any of its fellow-men and women who try to maintain themselves but cannot. To these unfortunate citizens aid must be extended by the government; not as a matter of charity, but as a matter of social duty."

That principle which I laid down in 1931, I reaffirm. I not only reaffirm it: I go a step further and say that where the state itself is unable successfully to fulfill this obligation which lies upon it, it then becomes the positive duty of the Federal government to step in to help. In the words of our Democratic national platform the Federal government has a "continuous responsibility for human welfare, especially for the protection of children." That duty and responsibility the Federal government should carry out promptly, fearlessly and generously. It took the present Republican administration in Washington almost three years to recognize this principle. I have recounted to you in other speeches, and it is a matter of general information, that for at least two years after the crash the only efforts made by the national administration to cope with the distress of unemployment were to deny its existence. When finally this year, after attempts at conceal-

ment and minimizing had failed, when they were at last forced to recognize the fact of suffering among millions of unemployed, appropriations of Federal funds for assistance to states were finally made.

I think it is fair to point out that a complete program of unemployment relief was on my recommendation actually under way in the State of New York over a year ago and that in Washington relief funds in any large volume were not provided until this summer, and at that they were pushed through at the demand of the Congress rather than through the leadership of the President of the United States.

At the same time I have constantly reiterated my conviction that the expenditures of cities, states and the Federal government must be reduced in the interest of the Nation as a whole. I believe there are many ways in which such reduction of expenditures can take place, but I am utterly unwilling that economy should be practiced at the expense of starving people. We must economize in other ways, but it shall never be said that the American people have refused to provide the necessities of life to those who, through no fault of their own, are unable to feed, clothe and house themselves. The first obligation of government is the protection of the welfare and well-being—the very existence of its citizens.

So much for that.

The next question asks my attitude towards appropriations for public works as an aid to unemployment. I am perfectly clear as to the principles involved in this case also.

From the long range point of view it would be advisable for governments of all kinds to set up in times of prosperity what might be called a nest egg to be used for public works in times of depression. That is a policy which we should initiate when we get back to good times.

But there is the immediate possibility of helping the emergency through appropriations for public works. One question, however, must be answered first, because of the simple fact that these public works cost money. We all know that government treasuries, whether local or state or Federal, are hard put to it to keep their budgets balanced, and in the case of the Federal treasury thoroughly unsound financial policies have made its situation not exactly desperate but at least threatening to future stability if the policies of the present administration are continued. All public works—including Federal—must be considered from the point of view of the ability of the government treasury to pay for them. There are two ways of paying for public works. One is by the sale of bonds. In principle such bonds should be issued only to pay for self-sustaining projects or for structures which will without question have a useful life over a long period of years. The other method of payment is from current revenues, which in these days means in most cases added taxes. And we all know that there is a very definite limit to the increase of taxes above the present level.

From this point, therefore, I can go on and say that if funds can be properly provided by the Federal government for increased appropriations for public works, we must examine the character of those public works. I have already spoke of that type which is self-sustaining. These should be greatly encouraged.

The other type is that of public works which are honestly essential to the community. Each case must rest on its own merits. It is impossible for example to say that all parks or all playgrounds are essential. One may be and another may not be.

If a school, for instance, has no playground it is obvious that the furnishing of a playground is a necessity to the community. But if the school already has a playground and some people seek merely to enlarge it, there may be a very definite question as to how necessary that enlargement is. Let me cite another example. I am much interested in providing better housing accommodations for the poor in our great cities. If a slum area can be torn down and new modern buildings put up, I should call that almost a human necessity, but on the other hand the mere erection of new buildings in some other part of the city while allowing the slums to remain raises at once a question of necessity. I am confident that the Federal government working in co-operation with states and cities can do

much to carry on increased public works and along lines which are sound from the economic and financial point of view.

Now I come to another question. I am asked whether I favor a system of unemployment insurance reserves made compulsory by the states, supplemented by a system of federally co-ordinated state employment offices to facilitate the re-employment of jobless workers.

The first part of the question is directly answered by the Democratic platform which advocates unemployment insurance under state laws. This is no new policy for me. I have advocated unemployment insurance in my own state for some time, and indeed last year six eastern Governors were my guests at a conference which resulted in the drawing up of what might be called an ideal plan of unemployment insurance.

This type of insurance is not a cure-all but it provides at least a cushion to mitigate unemployment in times of depression. It is sound if after starting it we stick to the principle of sound insurance financing. It is only where governments as in some European countries have failed to live up to these sound principles that unemployment insurance has been an economic failure.

As to the co-ordinated employment offices, I can only tell you that I was for the bills sponsored by Senator Wagner of my own State and passed by the Congress. They created a nationally co-ordinated system of employment offices operated by the individual states with the advisory co-operation of joint boards of employers and employees. To my very great regret this measure was vetoed by the President of the United States. I am certain that the Federal government can, by furnishing leadership, stimulate the various states to set up and co-ordinate practical useful systems.

These first three questions which I have discussed relate to the relief of those who are unemployed, and it is perhaps logical that the next two questions should relate to children, because we know that unemployment works a great hardship on the young people of the coming generation. I certainly favor the continuance of the fine work which has been done by the Children's Bureau in Washington, but at the same time we must not forget that the Federal government through several other agencies is constantly working for the welfare of children. Attempts have been made to cut the appropriations for child welfare work. It seems to me that this is the last place in which we should seek to economize. I cannot agree with the member of President Hoover's Cabinet who suggests that this depression is not altogether a bad thing for our children. You and I know the appalling fact that malnutrition is one of the saddest by-products of unemployment. The health of these children is being affected not only now, but for all the rest of their lives.

Furthermore, a depression takes thousands of children away from schools and puts them to work to help the family income. They are underpaid and only too often work under conditions which physically and morally are often dangerous. It is well to remember too that the use of these untrained children in industry keeps many adults out of employment and has the effect of cutting down wages below a decent living standard.

These are only a few of the many reasons why the Federal government must continue to act as an agency to disseminate information about child welfare and to encourage states and local governments to raise their standards to the highest possible level.

The last question relates to keeping children in school to the age of 16. I am in favor of that. Furthermore, I go along with the thought that we must increase vocational education for those children who otherwise would not receive adequate training. That kind of vocational training will raise the standards of worthwhile employment not only now but also in normal times.

My own observation leads me to believe that in many parts of the country we have tended to an educational system devised too greatly for academic training and professional careers. We know that already many of the professions are over-supplied and it is a fair guess that during the coming generation we shall devote more attention to educating our boys and girls for vocational pursuits which are just as honorable, just as respectable, and

in many instances just as remunerative as are the professions themselves. The Federal government, without in any way taking away the right and the duty of the several states to manage their own educational affairs, can act as a clearing house of information and as an incentive to higher standards.

But the Federal government has had no continuing policy for dealing with problems of public health and social welfare. In this as in other activities a multiplicity of unrelated agencies have been developed hit or miss to deal with aspects of the same problem. The result has been waste of men and money; more costly and less efficient service than we should have.

The administration has done nothing to recognize this or other branches of the Federal government, in spite of campaign promises at the last three presidential elections. I propose to inaugurate a definite long range plan for dealing with all phases of public health and welfare, which are a proper concern of the Federal government. May I add that in the State of New York during the past four years we have accomplished definite and practical results by co-ordinating and planning the work of the State. I cite as a simple example the public health program which is a part of my administration. It has been referred to in other states as the most important contribution to practical public health work during this generation. And all of this we have taken out of politics.

The same principles can and should be applied to the health and welfare work of the Federal government.

In closing, will you let me make an appeal to the entire country—an appeal with all my heart, with all my mind and with all my soul—to let nothing interfere with the duty and obligation of coming forward as individuals and as groups to the support of the unemployed and the support of their dependents during the coming winter.

By proclamation I can make official appeal to the State of which I am Governor, but I think that I have the right as a presidential candidate to make an unofficial appeal to my fellow Americans in every other state. I wish that every man, woman and child above the age of reason in the whole country would make the coming Thanksgiving Day and the coming Christmas Day occasions to contribute with money or food or clothing or all three, to the direct relief of local needs.

Let us remember that in addition to whatever it may be possible for the Federal government or State government or municipalities to do in relieving the tremendous and increasing burden of relief work, suffering, misery and distress will still be great unless individuals, unless societies and churches, practice actual charity—actual love of their neighbor—to an extent even greater than at any time in the past.

Let us who have jobs or money or shelter for ourselves and our own families share with the less fortunate. If we do this in every community throughout the land, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day of 1932 will take on an added significance—the significance of a higher American ideal of social justice.