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This trip to New England I assure you has brought back many happy memories. I have met a multitude of old friends with whom I have been associated in public life for more than 20 years.

If I were to start referring to each of them by name, I should have to call the roll of Massachusetts Democracy. All I can say is that I appreciate the fact that to-day, a week before election, we have a united party, -- a party which will be supported by free spirited Republican and independent voters, in securing a great victory on November 8th.

And other memories have come from far back beyond my earliest political experience. As a boy I came to this state for education. To that education I look back with open and sincere pride and gratitude.
Then I came and lived at a great institution for the freeing of the human mind from ignorance, from bigotry of the mind and the spirit. Knowledge, -- that is education in its true sense, -- is our best protection against unreasoning prejudice and panic-making fear, whether engendered by special interests, illiberal minorities, or panic-stricken leaders who seek to perpetuate the power which they have misused.

I hope I have learned the lesson that reason and tolerance have their place in all things, and I want to say frankly that they are never so appropriate as when they prevail in a political campaign.

I say this with some feeling because I express widespread opinion when I note that the dignity of the office of President has suffered during the past week. The President began this campaign with the same attitude with which he has approached so many of the serious problems of the past three years. He sought to create the impression that there was no campaign just as he had sought to create the impression that all was well with the United States.

But the people of the country spoiled these plans. They demanded that the administration which they placed in power four years ago, and which has cost them so much, give an accounting. They demanded this accounting in no uncertain terms.
This demand of the people has continued until it has become an overwhelming irresistible drift of public opinion -- more than a drift -- a storm.

As this storm of approval for the Democratic policies has grown, several moods have come over the utterances of the President, and his supporters.

First, they were plaintively apologetic; then they were indignant at Congress.

Finally they have in desperation resorted to the breeding of fear.

At first the President refused to recognize that he was in a contest. But as the people have responded to our program with enthusiasm he recognized that we were both candidates. And then dignity died.

At Indianapolis he spoke of my arguments--misquoting them. But at Indianapolis he went further. He abandoned argument for personalities.

In the presence of a situation like this, I am tempted to reply in kind. I shall not yield to the temptation to which the President yielded. On the contrary I reiterate my respect for his person and his office. But I shall not be deterred even by the President of the United States from the discussion of grave national issues and submitting to the truth about their national affairs, -- however unpleasant that truth may be.
The ballot is the indispensible instrument of a free people. It should be the true expression of their will. It is intolerable when it is coerced, -- whatever the form of coercion, -- political, or economic.

The autocratic will of no man, -- be he President, or General, or Captain of industry, -- shall ever destroy the sacred right of the people themselves to determine for themselves who shall govern them.

Secure in their undying belief in this great tradition the people of this country, the employed, the partially employed and the unemployed, -- those who are fortunate enough to retain some of the means of economic well-being, and those from whom these cruel conditions have taken everything, -- have stood with patience and fortitude in the face of adversity.

There they stand, And they stand peacefully, even when they stand in the breadline. Their complaints are not mingled with threats. They are willing to listen to reason at all times. Throughout this great crisis the stricken army of the unemployed has been patient, law-abiding, orderly, -- because they are hopeful.

But the party that claims as its guiding tradition the patient and generous spirit of the immortal Lincoln, when confronted by an opposition which has given to the nation an orderly and constructive campaign, has descended to an outpouring of mis-statements, of threats and intimidation.
The administration attempts to undermine reason through fear -- to tell us that the world will come to an end on November 8th if they are not returned to power for four years more. It sadly misconceives the good sense and the self-reliance of our people.

They tell us further that the present administration will be unable to hold in check the economic forces that threaten us in the period between election and inauguration. They threaten American business and American workers with dire destruction from November to March.

They crack the "whip of fear" over the backs of American voters.

Ambassador Mellon, the representative of the United States at the Court of St. James, who should represent the whole American people there, appeals to an English audience on English soil, for the support of a party candidate 3,000 miles away, and invokes the same sinister threat and seeks to spread it to the rest of the civilized world.

We are told that there was a Roman Senator who threw himself into a chasm to save his country. These gentlemen are of a new breed. They are willing to throw their country into a chasm to save themselves.

Another means of spreading fear is through certain Republican Industrial leaders. I have said, and without being controverted, that 5,000 men in effect control American
industry. These men, possessed of such great power, carry likewise a great responsibility.

It is their duty to use every precaution to see that this power is never used to destroy or limit the sound public policy of the free and untramelled exercise of the power of the ballot.

In violation of this duty some of these 5,000 men who control industry are invading the sacred political rights of those over whom they have economic power. They are joining in the chorus of fear initiated by the President, Secretary of Treasury, and the Republican National Committee.

They are telling their employees that if they fail to support the administration of President Hoover, such jobs as they have will be in danger.

Such conduct is un-American and worthy of censure at the ballot box.

It would be equally reprehensible if any political leader were to seek reprisal against any coercing employer who used such means. Let us fight our political battles with political arguments, and not prey upon men's economic necessities.

No, my friends, their threats are empty gestures. You know and I know that their industries have been sliding down hill. You know, and I know, that the whole program of the present administration has been directed only to pre-
vent a further slipping. You know, and I know that therein lies the difference between the leaderships of the two parties.

You know, and I know that the Democratic Party is not satisfied merely with arresting the present decline, but that we seek to build up and improve--to put these industries into a position where their wheels will turn and where opportunity will be given to them to re-employ the millions of workers they have laid off.

It is not enough merely to stabilize: -- to lend money! It is essential to increase purchasing power in order that goods may be sold. There must be people capable of buying goods in order that goods may be manufactured and sold. When that time comes, under a new leadership, these same gentlemen will be there doing business as usual.

The American voter, the American working-man, the mill-worker of New England, the miner of the West, the Railroad worker, the farmer, and the white collar man will answer this silly, spiteful threat with his ballot on November 8th. :

As I have pointed out before in this campaign, the fruits of this depression, like the fruits of war, will be gathered in future generations. It is not, my friends, the pinch of suffering and the agony of uncertainty that the grown-up people are now feeling that count the most; it is the heritage that our children must anticipate that touches an even more vital spot. But it is not today alone that counts. Under-nourishment and poor standards of living and inadequate medical care of today will make themselves felt for 50 years to come.
I stood in Topeka, Kansas and said to the farmers that the tragic effects of 40¢ wheat and 9¢ corn and 6¢ cotton is not so much what the farmer himself must feel when he sees the labor of his hands wasted on a product that does not yield him a living. The bitterness of it all is what it means for his children.

It is the same for you -- you workers in industry. There are none of us who do not hope that our children get a better break than we have had, -- that the chance for an education, for a reasonable start in life, may be passed on to our children, an opportunity for them that is built out of the hard work of our own hands. We want them to have opportunity for profitable character building, -- decent, wholesome living -- good work, and good play. We want to know somehow that while perfection does not come in this world, we do try to make things better from one generation to another.

This depression with its vast unemployment has swept away much of the material gains that we had hoped to use. Grim poverty stalks through the land. It embitters the present and darkens the future.

Against this enemy every ounce of effort and every necessary penny of wealth must be raised as a defense. And my friends, it is not that we lack the knowledge of what to do. The tragedy of the past years has been the failure of those who were responsible to translate high sounding plans into action.
The present leadership in Washington stands convicted, not because it did not have the means to plan, but fundamentally because it did not have the will to do. That is the reason that the American people on November 8th will register their firm conviction that this administration has utterly and entirely failed -- failed to meet the great emergency.

The American people are a heart-sick people -- "hope deferred maketh the heart sick".

Let me offer you an example: In 1921 and 1922 there was a depression: Very mild, compared with the present one, -- but nevertheless, a depression. There developed a large amount of unemployment. The President of the United States in September 1921 called the "President's Conference on Unemployment." This Conference employed a number of experts who prepared a highly competent report. It happens that this report did not appear until after the depression was ended. It was published in 1923, -- 6 years before the present depression began.

It said many sound things. It proposed the control of credit expansion by the banks, the prevention of overexpansion of industry, the control of public and private construction in boom periods, and
security against the suffering that might come from unemployment.

It was a good report, my friends. Sound and intelligent people worked on it and contributed to it.

The Chairman of that Unemployment Conference in 1921 was the then Secretary of Commerce of the United States, -- Secretary Hoover.

The President complains because I have charged that he did nothing for a long time after the depression began. That is true. I add to that charge, that from the time this report was published in 1923, for the 6 years that preceded the crash in 1929, he did nothing, to put into effect the provisions advocated in 1923 against the possibility of a future depression.

Instead of that, he participated in encouraging speculation, when not only the sound business brains of the country were saying that it should be discouraged, but in spite of the fact that his own report in 1923 said that depressions are certainly in part, due to over-speculation. He failed to prepare by positive action against the recurrence of a depression. On the contrary -- the exact contrary, -- he intensified the forces that made for depressions by encouraging speculation.
He did not do, what in his 1923 report he said, ought to be done. And on top of that he did what he said ought not to be done.

Now, my friends, we are considering unemployment tonight, and I am going to start by setting forth the positive policy which the President's Commission urges, under the leadership of the Secretary of Commerce, said should be done.

It was a 5-Point program: And as a program it was good.

First, it urged that Government should reduce expenditures for public works during periods of prosperity and build up reserves with which to increase expenditures during periods of unemployment and industrial depression.

This was not done. No reserves were built up for the rainy day.

Second, the report said, that the Federal Government should work with the railroads in the preparation of a long-time constructive program.

The Republican Administration did not give effect to this proposal. Instead of working with the railroads, to consolidate their lines and put them on a sound, economical basis, it waited until the depression had laid them low, and then had nothing for them except loans, and temporary relief.
Third, the report proposed the setting up of safeguards against too rapid inflation, consequently too rapid deflation of bank credit. As I have shown, the President and his Secretary of the Treasury encouraged speculation.

Fourth, the report recommended an adequate system of unemployment insurance. No one in the administration assumed leadership, in order to bring about positive action by the states to make this a reality.

Fifth, it suggested an adequate system of public employment offices. But when Senator Wagner introduced a Bill to establish the employment offices, President Hoover vetoed the measure which Chairman Hoover had sponsored.

Business men who believe in sound planning, these men of action -- must feel that there is danger to the country in the continuance of a leadership that has shown such incapacity, such ineptitude, and heedlessness to sound business principles.

We have heard much about fact-finding. With all its pretentious belief in facts and figures this administration has largely used the process of fact-finding merely to gain time. Usually they get even the facts too late and when they get the facts they misinterpret them.

What we need in Washington is less fact-finding and more thinking.

Immediate relief of the unemployed is the immediate need of the hour. But no mere emergency measures of relief are adequate. Our goal, our unremitting objective, must be to
secure permanence of employment. Without stability of employment for our workers and without a balanced economy between agriculture and industry there can be no healthy national life.

We have two problems. First, to meet the immediate distress; and, second, to build up on a permanent basis.

As to "immediate relief": The first principle is that this national government owes a positive duty that no one shall be permitted to starve. This means that while the immediate responsibility for relief rests with local, public and private charity, insofar as these are inadequate the states must carry the burden, and whenever the states are unable adequately to do so the Federal Government owes the positive duty of stepping into the breach.

The present Republican administration early took a position against the frank recognition of this principle. It was only because of the insistence of Congress and the unmistakable voice of the people that the President yielded and approved the Relief Bill this summer.

Second, in addition to providing emergency relief, the Federal Government should provide temporary work wherever possible: In the national forests, on flood prevention, and on the development of water-way projects already authorized and planned, but not executed, thousands -- tens and hundreds of thousands can be given at least temporary employment.
Third, the Federal Government should expedite the actual construction of public works already authorized. The country would be horrified to know how little construction work authorized by the last Congress and approved by the President has already been undertaken. Much of it will not be under way until next summer.

In the field that looks further ahead, we call for a coordinated system of employment exchanges, the advance planning of public works, and unemployment reserves. Who, then, is to carry these measures? The first is clearly and inescapably a task of the federal government, although it will require the loyal and intelligent cooperation of state and local agencies throughout the land. To this federal action, therefore, I pledge my administration. The second—that of the advance planning of public works again calls for a strong lead from the government at Washington. I pledge my administration to the adoption of this principle both in the enterprises of the federal government and for the construction within the several states which is made possible by federal aid, and I shall urge upon state and local authorities throughout the nation to follow this example.

The third—that of unemployment reserves—must under our system of government be primarily the responsibility of the several states. This, the Democratic platform, on which I stand, makes entirely clear.
In addition there has been long overdue a reduction of the hours of work and the number of working days per week. The great justification of modern industry is the cheapening of production and the lessening of the toil of man. These fruits will be dead fruits unless men earn enough so that they can buy the things that are produced and have the leisure for the cultivation of body, mind and spirit which the great inventions are supposed to make possible. This means that government must set an example in the case of its own employees. It means also that government must exert its persuasive leadership to induce industry to do likewise.

Here, then, is a program of long-range planning which requires prompt and definite action and the cooperation of federal, state and local governments as well as of forward-looking citizens throughout the land. The proposals are specific and far-reaching. To advocate a less drastic program would be to misread the lessons of the depression and be indifferent to the country's welfare.

But there is one final objective of my policy which is more vital, more basic than all else. I seek to restore the purchasing power of the nation. That and only that will put people back to work.

We need to restore our trade with the world. Under Republican leadership we have lost it and the President of the United States seems to be indifferent about regaining it.
Moreover, we need to give to fifty million people who live directly or indirectly upon agriculture a price for their products in excess of the cost of production. That will give them the buying power to start mills and mines to work to supply their needs. They cannot buy your goods because they cannot get a fair price for their products. You are poor because they are poor.

I favor—and do not let the false statements of my opponents deceive you, -- continued protection for American agriculture. -- I favor more than that. I advocate measures to give the farmer an added benefit, called a tariff benefit, to make the tariff effective on his products. The most enlightened of modern American businessmen likewise favor such a benefit. An excellent example is your own fellow-citizen -- Mr. Harriman, President of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States, who has recently proclaimed a plan for the restoration of agriculture, not unlike my own.

President Hoover does not favor a program of that kind. He has closed the door of hope to American agriculture, and when he did that he closed the door of hope to you also.

He says proudly that he has effectively restricted immigration in order to protect American labor. I favor that; but I might add that in the enforcement of the Immigration Laws abuses have been revealed.
But he does not tell you that by permitting agriculture to fall into ruin millions of workers from the farms have crowded into our cities. These men have added to unemployment. They are here because agriculture is prostrated. A restored agriculture will check this migration. It will keep these farmers happily at home. It will leave more jobs for you. It will provide a market for your products. That is the key to national economic restoration.

One word more. I have spoken of getting things done. Now the way we get things done under our form of government is through joint action by the President and the Congress. The two branches of government must cooperate. That is necessary under our Constitution, I believe in our constitutional government.

President Hoover cannot get action from the Congress. He seems unable to cooperate. He quarreled with a Republican Congress and he quarreled with a half Republican Congress. He will quarrel with any kind of a Congress. He cannot get things done.

This is something you must consider. The next Congress will certainly be Democratic. I look forward to co-operation with it. I am confident that I can get things done through it because for four years I have had to work with a Republican Legislature and I got things done.
I have been able to get things done in Albany by treating the Republican legislature like human beings and as my associates in Government. I have said that I look forward to the most pleasant relations with the next Democratic Congress, but in addition to that let me make it clear that on the great majority of national problems which ought not to be handled in a partisan way I expect to have pleasant relations with Republicans in the Senate and the House of Representatives as well as with Democrats.

We, -- meaning thereby, - the President and the members of both parties in the National Legislature will, I am confident work effectively for the restoration of American economic life.

I decline to accept present conditions as inevitable or beyond control. I decline to stop at saying "it might have been worse."

I shall do all I can to prevent it from being worse -- but --- and here is the clear difference between the President and myself --- I go on to pledge action to make things better.

The nation has the capacity to make things better. The nation wants to make things better. The nation prays for the leadership of action to make things better. That will be shown in every state one week from tomorrow. We are through with "Delay", -- through with Despair", -- ready, and waiting for better things.

---END---
ADDRESS OF GOVERNOR FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT
Boston Arena, Boston, Massachusetts
October 31, 1932

Governor Alley, Mayor Curley, my friends of Massachusetts: (Applause)

I am glad that a moment ago I had the privilege of standing under the flag of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, (applause) and there is another reason why I am proud and happy of that. It is because, my friends, exactly one-half of me -- my mother's half -- comes from Massachusetts.

(This trip to New England I assure you has brought back many happy memories.) I have had a wonderful day, from the early morning, when I left the old school that I went to once, where, I am told, I got some kind of culture, (laughter) all the way up through Lawrence and Haverhill and then on through New Hampshire and to Portland, Maine. (Applause)

And then this afternoon, coming back through the cities of Maine, New Hampshire and back into Massachusetts, I am more than ever convinced that those three states that I have visited today are going to be found in the Democratic column on November eighth. And I have met a multitude of old friends, old friends with whom I have been associated in public life for more (than 20) years than I care to tell you. If I were
This is a transcript made by the White House stenographer from his shorthand notes taken at the time the speech was made. Underlining indicates words extemporaneously added to the previously prepared reading copy text. Words in parentheses are words that were omitted when the speech was delivered, though they appear in the previously prepared reading copy text.
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Franklin D. Roosevelt

Liberalism - the old idea of a Liberal Government.

The great issue is not whether we shall have a government of mass control or a government of mass liberty.

We have had a government of mass control.

But we have also had a government of mass liberty.

And I think that issue is going to be fought out in the presidential campaign.

I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I have been convinced that there is a difference.

As I go around this country, I find that people are feeling this difference.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.

And I say that I stand for mass control.

And I say that I stand for mass liberty.
to start referring to each of them by name, I should have to call the roll of Massachusetts Democracy, and a good many of the Republicans as well. All I can say is that I appreciate the fact that today, a week before election, we have a united party -- a party which will be supported, (applause) supported not only by Democrats but by free spirited Republican and independent voters, in securing (a) the great victory (on November 8th) for which we hope, (applause) and my only regret is that I could not have been here last Thursday night when Governor Smith was here. (Applause) Well, anyway, the very day that he was here I had a good long talk with him and I heard about the splendid and deserved welcome you gave him here in Boston. Incidentally, today, up in New Hampshire, I was assured that a gentleman who has been labeled for all democracy to know (?) will not go back to the Senate.

(And) My friends, other memories have come back from far (back beyond) in my earliest political experience. As a boy I came to this state for education. To that education I look back with open and sincere pride and gratitude.

Then after that I came and lived not very far from here at a great institution for the freeing of the human mind from ignorance, from bigotry of the mind and the spirit. (Applause)
(Knowledge -- that is, education in its true sense -- is our best protection against unreasoning prejudice and panic-making fear, whether engendered by special interests, illiberal minorities, or panic-stricken leaders who seek to perpetuate the power which they have misused.)

And I hope I have learned the lesson that reason and tolerance have their place in all things, and I want to say frankly that they are never so appropriate as when they prevail in a political campaign.

I say this with some feeling because I express widespread opinion when I note that the dignity of the office of President of the United States has suffered during the past week. The President began this campaign with the same attitude with which he has approached so many of the serious problems of the past three years. He sought to create the impression that there was no campaign on at all, just as he had sought to create the impression that all was well with the United States, and that there was no depression. (Applause)

But, my friends, the people of this country spoiled these plans. They demanded that the administration which they placed in power four years ago, and which has cost
them so much, give an accounting. They demanded this accounting in no uncertain terms.

And this demand of the people has continued until it has become an overwhelming irresistible drift of public opinion. It is more than a drift. It is a tempest.

As (this) storm of approval for the Democratic policies has grown, several moods have come over the utterances of the (President and his supporters) Republican leadership.

First, they were plaintively apologetic; then (they were indignant) the next move was indignation at the Congress of the United States.

And finally, they have in desperation resorted to the breeding of panic and fear.

At first the President refused to recognize that he was in a contest. But as the people with each succeeding week have responded to our program, responded with enthusiasm, he recognized that we were both candidates. (Applause) And, (then) after that, dignity died.

At Indianapolis he spoke of my arguments — misquoting them. But at Indianapolis he went further. He abandoned argument for personalities.

(In the presence of a situation like this, I am tempted to reply in kind.)
My friends, I shall not yield to the temptation to which the President yielded. (Applause) On the contrary, I reiterate my respect for his person and for his office. But I shall not be deterred even by the President of the United States from the discussion of grave national issues and from submitting to (them) the voters the truth about their national affairs -- however unpleasant that truth may be.

Now, why do we talk about November 8th? It is because the ballot is the indispensable instrument of a free people. It should be the true expression of their will, and it is intolerable (when) that (is) the ballot should be coerced -- whatever the form of coercion -- political or economic.

And the autocratic will of no man -- be he President, or General, or Captain of industry -- shall ever destroy the sacred right of the people themselves to determine for themselves who shall govern them. (Applause)

An hour ago, before I came to the Arena, I listened in for a few minutes to the first part of the speech of the President in New York tonight. Once more he warned the people against changing -- against a new deal -- I grant you that it would mean changing the fundamental principles of America, what he called the sound principles that have been so long believed in in this country. My friends, my New Deal does
not aim to change those principles but does aim to bring those principles into effect. (Applause)

Secure in their undying belief in this (great tradition) protection of a free ballot, the people of this country -- the employed, the partially employed and the unemployed -- those who are fortunate enough to retain some of the means of economic well-being, and those from whom these cruel conditions have taken everything -- have stood with patience and fortitude in the face of adversity. I take off my hat to them.

There they stand. And they stand peacefully, even when they stand in the breadline. Their complaints are not mingled with threats. They are willing to listen to reason at all times. Throughout this great crisis the stricken army of the unemployed has been patient, law-abiding, orderly -- why? -- because they are hopeful.

But, my friends, the party that claims as its guiding tradition the patient and generous spirit of (the immortal) Abraham Lincoln, when confronted by an opposition which has given to (the) this Nation an orderly and constructive campaign for the past four months -- that party has descended to an outpouring of misstatements, of threats and intimidation.

The Administration attempts to undermine reason through fear -- to tell us that the world will come to an
end on November 8th if they are not returned to power for four years more. (Applause) And once more it is a leadership that is bankrupt, not only in ideals but in ideas. Yes, it sadly misconceives the good sense and the self-reliance of our people.

They tell us further that the present Administration will be unable to hold in check the economic forces that threaten us in the period between election day and inauguration day. They threaten American business and American workers with dire destruction from November to March.

They crack the "whip of fear" over the backs of American voters, not only here but, my friends, across the seas as well.

Ambassador Mellon, (boos) Ambassador Mellon, the representative of the United States at the Court of St. James, an Ambassador who should represent the whole American people there, every faith, the whole Nation, Democrats, Republicans and Independents alike, appeals to an English audience, on English soil, for the support of a party candidate 3000 miles away, and invokes the same sinister threat and seeks to spread (it) that threat to the rest of the civilized world. (Applause)

(We are told that there was) My friends, I read somewhere in a history book about a Roman Senator who threw himself into a chasm to save his country. These gentlemen
who represent us are of a new breed. They are willing to throw their country into a chasm to save themselves. (Applause)

And then there is another means of spreading fear through certain Republican industrial leaders. I have said, and without being controverted, that 5000 men in effect control American industry. These men, possessed of such great power, carry likewise a great responsibility.

It is their duty to use every precaution to see that this power is never used to destroy or to limit the sound public policy of the free and untramelled exercise of the power of the ballot.

And yet, in violation of (this) that duty, some of these 5000 men who control industry are today invading the sacred political rights of those over whom they have economic power. They are joining in the chorus of fear initiated by the President, by the Ambassador, by the Secretary of the Treasury, and by the Republican National Committee itself.

They are telling their employees that if they fail to support the Administration of President Hoover, such jobs as (they) these employees have will be in danger. My friends, you and I know that such conduct is un-American and worthy of censure at the ballot box. (Applause) And I wonder -- I wonder how some of those industrial leaders would feel if somebody
else's baby had the measles. In other words, would they agree that it would be equally reprehensible if any political leader (were) was to seek reprisal against (any) them — against coercing the employer (who used such) by using the same means that they have used against political leaders. (Applause)

No, let us fight our political battles with political arguments, and not prey upon men's economic necessities. (Applause)

(No, my friends, their) But, after all, these threats of theirs are empty gestures. You and I know that their industries have been sliding down hill. You know, and I know, that the whole program of the present Administration has been directed only to prevent a further slipping down hill. You know, and I know, that therein lies the difference between the leaderships of the two parties. (Applause)

You know, and I know, that the Democratic Party is not satisfied merely with arresting the present decline. (but that we seek) Of course we will do that to the best of our ability, but we are equally interested to build up and improve — to put these industries into a position where their wheels will turn once more, and where opportunity will be given to them to re-employ the millions of workers that they have laid off under the Administration of President Hoover. (Applause)
No, it is not enough merely to stabilize -- to lend money! It is essential to increase purchasing power in order that goods may be sold. There must be people capable of buying (goods) in order that goods may be manufactured and sold, and when that time comes, under (a) our new leadership, these same gentlemen, who now make their threats, will be found (there) doing business at the old stand as usual. (Applause)

The American voter, the American working-man and working woman, the mill-worker of New England, the miner of the West, the railroad worker, the farmer, and the white collar man will answer (this) their silly, spiteful threats with (his) their ballots on November eighth. (Applause)

Now, as I have pointed out before in (this campaign) a good many states and during many weeks, the fruits of depression, like the fruits of war, (will) are going to be gathered in future generations. It is not, my friends, the pinch of suffering, the agony of uncertainty that the grown-up people are now feeling that count the most; it is the heritage that our children must anticipate that touches (an even) a more vital spot. But it is not today alone that counts. Under-nourishment (and), poor standards of living and inadequate medical care of today will make themselves felt among our children for fifty years to come.
I stood in Topeka, Kansas, two months ago, and said to the farmers that the tragic effects of 40% wheat and 9% corn and 6% cotton is not so much what the farmer himself must feel when he sees the labor of his hands wasted on a product that does not yield him a living, but the bitterness of it all is what it means for his children and for their children.

It is the same for you -- (you) workers in industry and in business. There are none of us who do not hope that our children can get a better break than we have had, (applause) and do not hope that the chance for an education, for a reasonable start in life, may be passed on to our children, an opportunity for them that is built out of the hard work of our own hands. We want them to have opportunity for profitable character building -- decent, wholesome living -- good work, and good play. We want to know somehow that while perfection does not come in this world, we do try to make things better from one generation to another. (Applause)

And that is why I emphasize that this depression, with its vast unemployment, has swept away much of the material gains that we had hoped to use. Grim poverty stalks throughout (the) our land, and I know it for I have seen it to the coast and back again, and I have traveled up the length and breadth of 36 states of the Union. (It embitters the present and darkens the future.)
Against this enemy every ounce of effort and every necessary penny of wealth must be raised as a defense. (Applause) And, my friends, it is not that we lack the knowledge of what to do. The tragedy of the past years has been the failure of those who were responsible to translate high-sounding plans into practical action. There's the rub. (Applause)

The present leadership in Washington stands convicted, not because it did not have the means to plan, but fundamentally because it did not have the will to do. (Applause) And that is (the reason that) why next week the American people (on November eighth) will register their firm conviction that this Administration has utterly and entirely failed -- failed to meet the great emergency.

It has been well said that the American people are a heart-sick people -- "hope deferred maketh the heart sick".

Let me offer you an example -- a practical, very definite and very unanswerable example: As Governor Smith would say, Let's look at the record. (Applause) In 1921 and 1922 there was a depression -- very mild, compared with the present one, but nevertheless, a depression. There (developed) was, as you will remember, a large amount of unemployment. The President of the United States, President Harding, in September, 1921, called what was known as the "President's
Conference on Unemployment", the first, my friends, of a long and distinguished series of President's conferences. (This)

Now that Conference employed a number of experts who prepared a highly competent report, and it happens that this report did not appear until after the depression was ended. Which was another characteristic of those conferences. (Applause, laughter) (It) The report was published in 1923 -- but that was six years before the present depression began.

(It) Now that report said many sound things. It proposed the control of credit expansion by the banks; it proposed the prevention of overexpansion of industry; it proposed the control of public and private construction in boom periods, and it proposed security against the suffering that might come from unemployment.

It was a good report, my friends. Sound and intelligent people worked on it and contributed to it.

The Chairman of that Unemployment Conference in 1921 was the then Secretary of Commerce of the United States -- Secretary Herbert Hoover.

The President complains, President Herbert Hoover, because I have charged that he did nothing for a long time after the depression began. I repeat that charge. (That) It is true. (Applause) But I can further add to that charge by
saying that from the time this report by the Secretary of Commerce Hoover was published in 1923, for the six years that preceded the crash in 1929, he did nothing to put into effect the provisions advocated in 1923 against the possibility of a future depression. (Applause)

Now, let us analyze a little more. Instead of (that) doing something during these six years, and especially the last year or two, he participated in encouraging speculation, when not only the sound business brains of the country were saying that (it) speculation should be discouraged, but also in spite of the fact that his own report in 1923 said that depressions are certainly in large part due to over-speculation. (Applause)

In other words, he failed to prepare by positive action against the recurrence of a depression, and he went farther. On the contrary -- the exact contrary -- he intensified the forces that made for depressions by encouraging that speculation.

And, to paraphrase, he did not do what in his 1923 report he said ought to be done. (And on top) But instead of that, and on top of that, he did what he said ought not to be done. (Applause)

Now, my friends, we are considering unemployment tonight, and I am going to start by setting forth the positive policy which the President's Commission urges, under
the leadership of the Secretary of Commerce, which in that
old report of 1923 said should be done. There is a lot of
it still good.

It was a 5-point program. And as a program it was
good.

First, it urged that Government should reduce ex-
penditures for public works during periods of prosperity,
and that during those periods Government should build up re-
serves with which to increase expenditures during periods of
unemployment and industrial depression. (This) But was (not)
that done? Not one penny's worth. No reserves were built up
for the rainy day.

Secondly, the report said that the Federal Govern-
ment should work with the railroads in the preparation of a
long-time constructive program. Was that done? No.

The Republican Administration did not give effect
to this proposal, and instead of working with the railroads,
to consolidate their lines and put them on a sound, econom-
ical basis, (it) the Administration waited until the depres-
sion had laid (them) the railroads low, and then had nothing
for them, when they were heavily in debt, except (loans and
temporary relief) to loan them more money.

And third, in 1923 the report proposed the setting
up of safeguards against too rapid inflation, and consequently too rapid deflation of bank credit. As I have shown, the President and his Secretary of the Treasury went to the other extreme and encouraged speculation.

Fourth, the report recommended an adequate system of unemployment insurance. No one in the Administration in Washington has assumed any leadership in order to bring about positive action by the states to make this unemployment insurance a reality, and some day, in our leadership, we are going to get it. (Applause)

Fifth, it suggested an adequate system of public employment offices. But when Senator Wagner introduced a bill to establish the Federal employment offices, President that Hoover vetoed the measure (which Chairman) Secretary Herbert Hoover had sponsored. (Applause) And so, my friends, it seems to me, speaking in this great section of the country where there are many businessmen -- it seems to me that businessmen who believe in sound planning -- these businessmen of action -- must feel that there is danger to the country in the continuance of a leadership that has shown such incapacity, such ineptitude, (and) such heedlessness of common sense, (to) of sound business principles. (Applause)

There is what we need in Washington; it is less fact-finding and more thinking. (Applause)
(We have heard much about fact-finding. With all its pretentious belief in facts and figures this Administration has largely used the process of fact-finding merely to gain time. Usually they get even the facts too late and when they get the facts they misinterpret them. What we need in Washington is less fact-finding and more thinking.)

And let's be frank. You and I know that immediate relief of the unemployed is the immediate need of the hour. (But) No mere emergency measures of relief are adequate. We must do all we can. We have emergency measures but we know that our goal, our unremitting objective, must be to secure not a goal in temporary employment but the permanence of employment to the workers of America. Without long-range stability of employment for our workers (and), without a balanced economy between agriculture and industry, there can be no healthy national life.

We have two problems. First, to meet the immediate distress; (and) secondly, to build up on a permanent basis of permanent employment.

Now, as to "immediate relief": The first principle is that this Nation, this National Government, if you like,
owes a positive duty that no (one) citizen shall be permitted to starve. (Applause) (This) And that means that while the immediate responsibility for relief rests, of course, with local, public and private charity, in so far as these are inadequate the states must carry on the burden, (and) but whenever the states themselves are unable adequately to do so the Federal Government owes the positive duty of stepping into the breach. (Applause)

And it is worth while noting that from that disastrous time of 1929 on the (present) Republican Administration (early) took a position, a definite position against the frank recognition of (this) that principle, and it was only because the of the insistence of/Congress of the United States and the unmistakable voice of the people of the United States that the President yielded and approved the National Relief Bill this summer. (Applause)

Secondly, in addition to providing emergency relief, the Federal Government should and must provide temporary work wherever that is possible. You and I know that in the national forests, on flood prevention, (and) on the development of waterway projects that have already been authorized and planned, but not yet executed, tens of thousands, and even hundreds of thousands of our unemployed citizens can be given at least temporary employment.
And third, the Federal Government should expedite the actual construction of public works already authorized. I know from the statistics that the country would be horrified (to know) if they knew how little construction work authorized by the last Congress and approved by the President has been already (been) undertaken on this date, the 31st of October. And I state to you the simple fact that much (of it) Congress has given authority for will not be (under way) giving employment to people until sometime next summer.

Now, let's look in the field, in that larger field that looks further ahead. We call for a coordinated system of employment exchanges, the advance planning of public works, and unemployment reserves. Who, then, is to carry on these measures and see them through? Why, the first is clearly and inescapably a task of the Federal Government -- in other words, unemployment exchanges -- although of course it will require the loyal and intelligent cooperation of state and local agencies throughout the land, and to (this) that Federal action I therefore (I) pledge my administration. (Applause) The second -- that of the advance planning of public works, that again calls for a strong lead (from) on the part of the Government at Washington. I pledge my administration to the adoption of (this) that principle, both in the enterprises
of the Federal Government and for the construction within the
several states which is made possible by Federal aid, and I
shall urge upon state and local authorities throughout the
Nation to follow (this) the example of Washington. (Applause)

And the third -- that of unemployment reserves --
must under our system of government be primarily the responsi-
bility of the several states, (this) and that, my friends, the
Democratic platform, on which I stand, makes (entirely) fully
clear.

In addition to that, there has been long overdue a
reduction of the hours of work, (and) a reduction of the num-
ber of working days per week. (Applause) After all, the
greatest justification(s) of modern industry (are) is the
(cheapening of production and the) lessening of the toil of
(man) men and women. These fruits will be dead fruits unless
men earn enough so that they can buy the things that are pro-
duced (and) so that they can have the leisure for the culti-
vation of body, mind and spirit which the great inventions
are supposed to make possible. (Applause) (This) And that
means that government itself must set an example in the case
of its own employees. (Applause) And it means also that
government must exert its persuasive leadership to induce
industry to do likewise. (Applause)
Here, my friends, (then) here is a program of long-range planning which requires prompt and definite action and the cooperation of Federal and state and local governments, as well as of forward-looking citizens of both parties throughout the land. The proposals are specific (and), they are far-reaching. To advocate a less drastic program would be to misread the lessons of the depression (and), would be to be indifferent (applause) to the country's future welfare.

But there is one final objective of my policy which is more vital, more basic than all else. I seek to restore the purchasing power of the (Nation) American people. (Applause) (That) The return of that purchasing power, and only that, will put (people) America back to work.

Yes, we need to restore our trade with the world. Under Republican leadership we have lost it and the President of the United States seems to be indifferent about (regaining) finding it again. (Applause)

And now I am going to talk to a city audience about farming. I do not make one speech to a farmer and another speech to a city audience. (Applause) (Moreover) We need to give to fifty million people who live directly or indirectly (upon) on agriculture a price for their products in excess of the cost of production. You know how and why that affects you.
(That will) To give them an average price means to give them the buying power to start your mills and mines to work to supply their needs. (Applause) (They) Fifty million people cannot buy your goods because they cannot get a fair price for their products. You are poor because they are poor. (Applause) This I tell you straight from the shoulder.

I favor -- and do not let the false statements of my opponents deceive you -- I favor continued protection for American agriculture as well as American industry. (Applause) I favor more than that. I advocate and will continue measures to give the farmer an added benefit, called a tariff benefit, to make the tariff effective on his products. What good does a 42-cent tariff on wheat mean to the farmer when he is getting 30 cents a bushel on his farm? Why, that is a joke. The most enlightened of modern American business men likewise favor such a tariff benefit for agriculture, and an excellent example of it is (your own) a fellow (citizen) Bostonian of yours -- Mr. Harriman, President of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States, who has recently proclaimed a plan for the restoration of agriculture that is not unlike my own. (Applause)

The President (Hoover) of the United States does not favor a program of that kind, or, so far as I can make
out, of any practical kind. He has closed the door of hope to American agriculture, and when he did that (he) the President closed the door of hope to you also. (Applause)

He says proudly that he has effectively restricted immigration in order to protect American labor. I favor that; but I might add that in the enforcement of the Immigration Laws (serious) too many abuses against individual families have been revealed time and time again.

But when the President speaks to you he does not tell you that by permitting agriculture to fall into ruin millions of workers from the farms have crowded into our cities. These men have added to unemployment. They are here because agriculture is prostrated. My friends, a restored agriculture will check this migration from the farm. It will keep these farmers happily, successfully, at home, and it will leave more jobs for you. It will provide a market for your products, and that is the key to national economic restoration. (Applause)

One word more. I have spoken of getting things done. (Applause) (Now) The way we get things done under our form of government is through the joint action by the President and the Congress. The two branches of government must cooperate if we are to move forward. That is necessary under our Constitution,
and I believe in our constitutional form of government. (Ap­plause)

But the President (Hoover) of the United States cannot get action from (the) Congress. He seems unable to cooperate. He quarreled with a Republican Congress and he quarreled with a half Republican Congress. He will quarrel with any kind of a Congress, and he cannot get things done. (Ap­plause)

(This) There is something (you must consider) that the voters have considered and are considering and are going to remember one week from tomorrow. You and I know and it is certainly a fact that the next Congress will certainly be Democratic. (Applause) I look forward to (cooperation) cooperating with it. (Applause) (I am confident that) I ought to be able to because I can get things done -- I have been getting things done through it because for four years I have (had to) been (work) working with a Republican Legislature. (Applause) Just as it is done in this State. (Applause)

I have been able to get things done in Albany by treating the Republican members of the Legislature like human beings and as my associates in government. (Applause) I have said that I look forward to the most pleasant relations with the next Democratic Congress, but in addition to that let me
make it clear that on the great majority of national problems (which) that ought not to be handled in (a) any partisan way, I confidently expect to have pleasant relations with Republicans in the Senate and the House of Representatives as well as with Democrats. (Applause)

Yes, after the fourth of March we -- meaning thereby the President and the members of both parties in the (National Legislature) halls of Congress -- will, I am confident, work effectively for the restoration of American economic life. (Applause)

I decline to accept present conditions as inevitable or beyond control. I decline to stop at saying "it might have been worse." (Applause) I shall do all that I can to prevent it from being worse -- but -- and here is the clear difference between the President and myself -- I go on to pledge action to make things better. (Applause)

My friends, the (Nation) United States of America has the capacity to make things better. The Nation wants to make things better. The Nation prays for the leadership of action (to) that will make things better. That will be shown in every state in the Union -- all 48 of them -- (one) a week from tomorrow. We are through with "Delay"; we are through with "Despair"; we are ready, and waiting for better things. (Applause)
(Verbatim)

ADDRESS OF GOVERNOR FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT

Boston Arena, Boston, Massachusetts
October 31, 1932

Governor Alley, Mayor Curley, my friends of Massachusetts (applause): I am glad that a moment ago I had the privilege of standing under the flag of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (applause), and there is another reason why I am proud and happy of that. It is because, my friends, exactly one-half of me -- my mother's half -- comes from Massachusetts.

I have had a wonderful day, from the early morning, when I left the old school that I went to once, where, I am told, I got some kind of culture (laughter), all the way up through Lawrence and Haverhill and then on through New Hampshire and to Portland, Maine. (Applause) And then this afternoon, coming back through the cities of Maine, New Hampshire and back into Massachusetts, I am more than ever convinced that those three states that I have visited today are going to be found in the Democratic column on November eighth (applause), and I have met a multitude of old friends, old friends with whom I have been associated in public life for more years than I care to tell you. If I were to start referring to each of them by name, I should have to call the roll of Massachusetts Democracy, and a good many of the Republicans as well. All I can say is that I appreciate the fact that today, a
week before election, we have a united party -- a party which will
be supported (applause) supported not only by Democrats but by free
spirited Republican and independent voters, in securing the great
victory for which we hope (applause), and my only regret is that I
could not have been here last Thursday night when Governor Smith was
here. (Applause) Well, anyway, the very day that he was here I had
a good long talk with him and I heard about the splendid and deserved
welcome you gave him here in Boston. Incidentally, today, up in New
Hampshire, I was assured that a gentleman who has been labeled for all
democracy to know (?) will not go back to the Senate.

My friends, other memories have come back from far in my
earliest political experience. As a boy I came to this State for
education. To that education I look back with open and sincere pride
and gratitude.

Then after that I came and lived not very far from here at
a great institution for the freeing of the human mind from ignorance,
from bigotry of the mind and the spirit (applause), and I hope I have
learned the lesson that reason and tolerance have their place in all
things, and I want to say frankly that they are never so appropriate
as when they prevail in a political campaign.

I say this with some feeling because I express widespread
opinion when I note that the dignity of the office of President of the
United States has suffered during the past week. The President began
this campaign with the same attitude with which he has approached so
many of the serious problems of the past three years. He sought to create the impression that there was no campaign on at all, just as he had sought to create the impression that all was well with the United States, and that there was no depression. (Applause)

But, my friends, the people of this country spoiled these plans. They demanded that the administration which they placed in power four years ago, and which has cost them so much, should give an accounting. And they demanded this accounting in no uncertain terms.

And this demand of the people has continued until it has become an overwhelming irresistible drift of public opinion. It is more than a drift. It is a tempest.

As that storm of approval for the Democratic policies has grown, several moods have come over the utterances of the Republican leadership.

First, they were plaintively apologetic; then the next move was indignation at the Congress of the United States.

And finally, they have in desperation resorted to the breeding of panic and fear.

At first the President refused to recognize that he was in a contest. But as the people with each succeeding week have responded to our program, responded with enthusiasm, he recognized that we were both candidates. (Applause) And, after that, dignity died.

At Indianapolis he spoke of my arguments -- misquoting them. But at Indianapolis he went further. He abandoned argument for personalities.
My friends, I shall not yield to the temptation to which the President yielded. (Applause) On the contrary I reiterate my respect for his person and for his office. But I shall not be deterred even by the President of the United States from the discussion of grave national issues, and from submitting to the voters the truth about their national affairs, -- however unpleasant that truth may be.

Now, why do we talk about November eighth? It is because the ballot is the indispensable instrument of a free people. It should be the true expression of their will, and it is intolerable that the ballot should be coerced -- whatever the form of coercion -- political or economic.

And the autocratic will of no man -- be he President, or General, or Captain of industry -- shall ever destroy the sacred right of the people themselves to determine for themselves who shall govern them. (Applause)

An hour ago, before I came to the Arena, I listened in for a few minutes to the first part of the speech of the President in New York tonight. Once more he warned the people against changing -- against a new deal -- I grant you that it would mean changing the fundamental principles of America, what he called the sound principles that have been so long believed in this country. My friends, my New Deal does not aim to change those principles but does aim to bring those principles into effect. (Applause)

Secure in their undying belief in this protection of a free ballot, the people of this country, the employed, the partially employed
and the unemployed -- those who are fortunate enough to retain some of the means of economic well-being, and those from whom these cruel conditions have taken everything -- have stood with patience and fortitude in the face of adversity. I take off my hat to them.

There they stand. And they stand peacefully, even when they stand in the bread line. Their complaints are not mingled with threats. They are willing to listen to reason at all times. Throughout this great crisis the stricken army of the unemployed has been patient, law-abiding, orderly -- why? Because they are hopeful.

But, my friends, the party that claims as its guiding tradition the patient and generous spirit of Abraham Lincoln, when confronted by an opposition which has given to this nation an orderly and constructive campaign for the past four months -- that party has descended to an outpouring of misstatements, of threats and intimidation.

The Administration attempts to undermine reason through fear -- to tell us that the world will come to an end on November eighth if they are not returned to power for four years more. (Applause) And once more it is a leadership that is bankrupt, not only in ideals but in ideas. Yes, it sadly misconceives the good sense and the self-reliance of our people.

They tell us further that the present Administration will be unable to hold in check the economic forces that threaten us in the period between election day and inauguration day. They threaten American business and American workers with dire destruction from November to March. They crack the "whip of fear" over the backs of American
voters, not only here but, my friends, across the seas as well.

Ambassador Mellon (boo), Ambassador Mellon, the representative of the United States at the Court of St. James, an Ambassador who should represent the whole American people there, every faith, the whole nation, Democrats, Republicans and Independents alike, appeals to an English audience on English soil, for the support of a party candidate 3,000 miles away, and invokes the same sinister threat and seeks to spread that threat to the rest of the civilized world. (Applause)

My friends, I read somewhere in a history book about a Roman Senator who threw himself into a chasm to save his country. These gentlemen who represent us are of a new breed. They are willing to throw their country into a chasm to save themselves. (Applause)

And then there is another means of spreading fear through certain Republican industrial leaders. I have said, and without being controverted, that 5,000 men in effect control American industry. These men, possessed of such great power, carry likewise a great responsibility.

It is their duty to use every precaution to see that this power is never used to destroy or to limit the sound public policy of the free and untrammelled exercise of the power of the ballot.

And yet, in violation of that duty, some of these 5,000 men who control industry are today invading the sacred political rights of those over whom they have economic power. They are joining in the chorus of fear initiated by the President, by the Ambassador, by the
Secretary of the Treasury, and by the Republican National Committee itself.

They are telling their employees that if they fail to support the administration of President Hoover, such jobs as these employees have will be in danger. My friends, you and I know that.

Such conduct is un-American and worthy of censure at the ballot box. (Applause) And I wonder -- I wonder how some of those industrial leaders would feel if somebody else's baby had the measles. In other words, would they agree that it would be equally reprehensible if any political leader was to seek reprisal against them -- against coercing the employer by using the same means that they have used against political leaders. (Applause) No, let us fight our political battles with political arguments, and not prey upon man's economic necessities. (Applause)

But after all, these threats of theirs are empty gestures. You know, and I know, that their industries have been sliding down hill. You know, and I know, that the whole program of the present Administration has been directed only to prevent further slipping down hill. You know, and I know, that therein lies the difference between the leaderships of the two parties. (Applause)

You know, and I know, that the Democratic Party is not satisfied merely with arresting the present decline. Of course we will do that to the best of our ability, but we are equally interested to build up and improve -- to put these industries into a position where their wheels will turn once more, and where opportunity will be
given to them to re-employ the millions of workers that they have laid off under the administration of President Hoover. (Applause)

No, it is not enough merely to stabilize — to lend money! It is essential to increase purchasing power in order that goods may be sold. There must be people capable of buying in order that goods may be manufactured and sold, and when that time comes, under our new leadership, these same gentlemen, who now make their threats, will be found doing business at the old stand as usual. (Applause)

The American voter, the American working man and working woman, the mill worker of New England, the miner of the West, the railroad worker, the farmer, and the white collar man will answer their silly, spiteful threats with their ballots on November eighth. (Applause)

Now, as I have pointed out before in a good many states and during many weeks, the fruits of this depression, like the fruits of war, are going to be gathered in future generations. It is not, my friends, the pinch of suffering, the agony of uncertainty that the grown-up people are now feeling that count the most; it is the heritage that our children must anticipate that touches a more vital spot. But it is not today alone that counts. Undernourishment, poor standards of living and inadequate medical care of today will make themselves felt among our children for fifty years to come.

I stood in Topeka, Kansas, two months ago, and said to the farmers that the tragic effects of 40¢ wheat and 9¢ corn and 6¢ cotton is not so much what the farmer himself must feel when he sees the labor
of his hands wasted on a product that does not yield him a living, but the bitterness of it all is what it means for his children and for their children.

It is the same for you -- workers in industry and in business. There are none of us who do not hope that our children can get a better break than we have had (applause), and do not hope that the chance for an education, for a reasonable start in life, may be passed on to our children, an opportunity for them that is built out of the hard work of our own hands. We want them to have opportunity for profitable character building -- decent, wholesome living -- good work, and good play. We want to know somehow that while perfection does not come in this world, we do try to make things better from one generation to another. (Applause)

And that is why I emphasize that this depression, with its vast unemployment, has swept away much of the material gains that we had hoped to use. Grim poverty stalks throughout our land, and I know it for I have seen to the coast and back again, and I have traveled up the length and breadth of thirty-six states of the Union.

Against this enemy every ounce of effort and every necessary penny of wealth must be raised as a defense. (Applause) And, my friends, it is not that we lack the knowledge of what to do. The tragedy of the past years has been the failure of those who were responsible to translate high sounding plans into practical action. There's the rub. (Applause)

The present leadership in Washington stands convicted, not because it did not have the means to plan, but fundamentally because
it did not have the will to do. (Applause) And that is why next week the American people will register their firm conviction that this Administration has utterly and entirely failed -- failed to meet the great emergency.

It has been well said that the American people are a heart-sick people -- "hope deferred maketh the heart sick."

Let me offer you an example -- a practical, very definite and very unanswerable example: As Governor Smith would say, Let's look at the record. (Applause) In 1921 and 1922 there was a depression: Very mild, compared with the present one, but nevertheless, a depression. There was, as you will remember, a large amount of unemployment. The President of the United States, President Harding, in September, 1921, called what was known as the "President's Conference on Unemployment," the first, my friends, of a long and distinguished series of President's conferences. Now that Conference employed a number of experts who prepared a highly competent report, and it happens that this report did not appear until after the depression was ended. Which was another characteristic of those conferences.

(Applause - laughter) The report was published in 1923, -- but that was six years before the present depression began.

Now that report said many sound things. It proposed the control of credit expansion by the banks; it proposed the prevention of overexpansion of industry; it proposed the control of public and private construction in boom periods, and it proposed security against the suffering that might come from unemployment.
It was a good report, my friends. Sound and intelligent people worked on it and contributed to it.

The Chairman of that Unemployment Conference in 1921 was the then Secretary of Commerce of the United States -- Secretary Herbert Hoover.

The President complains, President Herbert Hoover, because I have charged that he did nothing for a long time after the depression began. I repeat that charge. It is true. (Applause) But I can further add to that charge by saying that from the time this report by the Secretary of Commerce Hoover was published in 1923, for the six years that preceded the crash in 1929, he did nothing to put into effect the provisions advocated in 1923 against the possibility of a future depression. (Applause)

Now, let us analyze a little more. Instead of doing something during these six years, and especially the last year or two, he participated in encouraging speculation, when not only the sound business brains of the country were saying that speculation should be discouraged, but also in spite of the fact that his own report in 1923 said that depressions are certainly in large part due to over-speculation. (Applause) In other words, he failed to prepare by positive action against the recurrence of a depression, and he went farther. On the contrary -- the exact contrary -- he intensified the forces that made for depressions by encouraging that speculation.

And, to paraphrase, he did not do what in his 1923 report he said ought to be done. But instead of that, and on top of that, he did what he said ought not to be done. (Applause)
Now, my friends, we are considering unemployment tonight, and I am going to start by setting forth the positive policy which the President's Commission urges, under the leadership of the Secretary of Commerce, which in that old report of 1923 said should be done. There is a lot of it still good.

It was a 5-point program. And as a program it was good.

First, it urged that Government should reduce expenditures for public works during periods of prosperity, and that during those periods Government should build up reserves with which to increase expenditures during periods of unemployment and industrial depression. But was that done? Not one penny's worth. No reserves were built up for the rainy day.

Secondly, the report said that the Federal Government should work with the railroads in the preparation of a long-time constructive program. Was that done? No.

The Republican Administration did not give effect to this proposal, and instead of working with the railroads, to consolidate their lines and put them on a sound, economical basis, the Administration waited until the depression had laid the railroads low, and then had nothing for them, when they were heavily in debt, except to loan them more money.

And third, in 1923 the report proposed the setting up of safeguards against too rapid inflation, and consequently too rapid deflation of bank credit. As I have shown, the President and his Secretary of the Treasury went to the other extreme and encouraged speculation.
Fourth, the report recommended an adequate system of unemployment insurance. No one in the Administration in Washington has assumed any leadership in order to bring about positive action by the states to make this unemployment insurance a reality, and some day, in our leadership, we are going to get it. (Applause)

Fifth, it suggested an adequate system of public employment offices. But when Senator Wagner introduced a bill to establish the Federal employment offices, President Hoover vetoed the measure that Secretary Herbert Hoover had sponsored. (Applause) And so, my friends, it seems to me, speaking in this great section of the country where there are many business men -- it seems to me that business men who believe in sound planning, these business men of action -- must feel that there is danger to the country in the continuance of a leadership that has shown such incapacity, such ineptitude, such heedlessness of common sense, of sound business principles. (Applause)

There is what we need in Washington, it is less fact-finding and more thinking. (Applause)

And let's be frank. You and I know that immediate relief of the unemployed is the immediate need of the hour. No mere emergency measures of relief are adequate. We must do all we can. We have emergency measures but we know that our goal, our unremitting objective, must be to secure not a goal in temporary employment but the permanence of employment to the workers of America. Without long range stability of employment for our workers, without a balanced economy between agriculture and industry there can be no healthy national life.
We have two problems. First, to meet the immediate distress; secondly, to build up on a permanent basis of permanent employment.

Now, as to "immediate relief": The first principle is that this nation, this national government, if you like, owes a positive duty that no citizen shall be permitted to starve. (Applause) And that means that while the immediate responsibility for relief rests, of course, with local, public and private charity, insofar as these are inadequate the states must carry on the burden, but whenever the states themselves are unable adequately to do so the Federal Government owes the positive duty of stepping into the breach. (Applause)

And it is worth while noting that from that disastrous time of 1929 on the Republican Administration took a position, a definite position against the frank recognition of that principle, and it was only because of the insistence of the Congress of the United States and the unmistakable voice of the people of the United States that the President yielded and approved the National Relief Bill this summer. (Applause)

Secondly, in addition to providing emergency relief, the Federal Government should and must provide temporary work wherever that is possible. You and I know that in the national forests, on flood prevention, on the development of waterway projects that have already been authorized and planned, but not yet executed, tens of thousands, and even hundreds of thousands of our unemployed citizens can be given at least temporary employment.
And third, the Federal Government should expedite the actual construction of public works already authorized. I know from the statistics that the country would be horrified if they knew how little construction work, authorized by the last Congress and approved by the President, has been already undertaken on this date, the thirty-first of October. And I state to you the simple fact that much Congress has given authority for will not be under way, will not be giving employment to people until sometime next summer.

Now, let's look in the field, in that larger field that looks further ahead. We call for a coordinated system of employment exchanges, the advance planning of public works, and unemployment reserves. Who, then, is to carry on these measures and see them through? Why, the first is clearly and inescapably a task of the Federal Government, in other words, unemployment exchanges, although of course it will require the loyal and intelligent cooperation of state and local agencies throughout the land, and to that Federal action I, therefore, pledge my administration. (Applause) The second -- that of the advance planning of public works, that again calls for a strong lead on the part of the Government at Washington. I pledge my administration to the adoption of that principle, both in the enterprises of the Federal Government and for the construction within the several states which is made possible by Federal aid, and I shall urge upon state and local authorities throughout the nation to follow the example of Washington. (Applause)

And the third -- that of unemployment reserves -- must under our system of government be primarily the responsibility of
the several states, and that, my friends, the Democratic platform, on which I stand, makes fully clear.

In addition to that, there has been long overdue a reduction of the hours of work, a reduction of the number of working days per week. (Applause) After all, the greatest justification of modern industry is the lessening of the toil of men and women. These fruits will be dead fruits unless men earn enough so that they can buy the things that are produced so that they can have the leisure for the cultivation of body, mind and spirit which the great inventions are supposed to make possible. (Applause) And that means that Government itself must set an example in the case of its own employees. (Applause) And it means also that Government must exert its persuasive leadership to induce industry to do likewise. (Applause)

Here, my friends, here is a program of long range planning which requires prompt and definite action and the cooperation of federal and state and local governments, as well as of forward-looking citizens of both parties throughout the land. The proposals are specific, they are far-reaching. To advocate a less drastic program would be to misread the lessons of the depression, would be to be indifferent (applause) to the country's future welfare.

But there is one final objective of my policy which is more vital, more basic than all else. I seek to restore the purchasing power of the American people. (Applause) The return of that purchasing power, and only that, will put America back to work.

Yes, we need to restore our trade with the world. Under
Republican leadership we have lost it and the President of the United States seems to be indifferent about finding it again. (Applause)

And now I am going to talk to a city audience about farming. I do not make one speech to a farmer and another speech to a city audience. (Applause) We need to give to fifty million people, who live directly or indirectly on agriculture, a price for their products in excess of the cost of production. You know how and why that affects you. To give them an average price means to give them the buying power to start your mills and mines to work to supply their needs. (Applause) Fifty million people cannot buy your goods because they cannot get a fair price for their products. You are poor because they are poor. (Applause) This I tell you straight from the shoulder.

I favor -- and do not let the false statements of my opponents deceive you -- I favor continued protection for American agriculture as well as American industry. (Applause) I favor more then that. I advocate and will continue measures to give the farmer an added benefit, called a tariff benefit, to make the tariff effective on his products. What good does a 42-cent tariff on wheat mean to the farmer when he is getting 30 cents a bushel on his farm? Why, that is a joke. The most enlightened of modern American business men likewise favor such a tariff benefit for agriculture, and an excellent example of it is a fellow Bostonian of yours, Mr. Harriman, President of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States, who has recently proclaimed a plan for the restoration of agriculture that is not unlike my own. (Applause)
The President of the United States does not favor a program of that kind, or, so far as I can make out, of any practical kind. He has closed the door of hope to American agriculture, and when he did that the President closed the door of hope to you also. (Applause)

He says proudly that he has effectively restricted immigration in order to protect American labor. I favor that; but I might add that in the enforcement of the Immigration Laws too many abuses against individual families have been revealed time and time again.

But when the President speaks to you he does not tell you that by permitting agriculture to fall into ruin millions of workers from the farms have crowded into our cities. These men have added to unemployment. They are here because agriculture is prostrated. My friends, a restored agriculture will check this migration from the farm. It will keep these farmers happily, successfully at home, and it will leave more jobs for you. It will provide a market for your products, and that is the key to national economic restoration. (Applause)

One word more. I have spoken of getting things done. (Applause) The way to get things done under our form of government is through the joint action by the President and the Congress. The two branches of government must cooperate if we are to move forward. That is necessary under our Constitution, and I believe in our constitutional form of government. (Applause)

But the President of the United States cannot get action from Congress. He seems unable to cooperate. He quarreled with a Republican Congress and he quarreled with a half Republican Congress.
He will quarrel with any kind of a Congress, and he cannot get things done. (Applause)

That is something that the voters have considered and are considering and are going to remember one week from tomorrow. You and I know and it is certainly a fact that the next Congress will certainly be Democratic. (Applause) I look forward to cooperating with it. (Applause) I ought to be able to because I can get things done -- I have been getting things done through it because for four years I have been working with a Republican Legislature. (Applause) Just as it is done in this State. (Applause)

I have been able to get things done in Albany by treating the Republican members of the legislature like human beings and as my associates in government. (Applause) I have said that I look forward to the most pleasant relations with the next Democratic Congress, but in addition to that let me make it clear that on the great majority of national problems that ought not to be handled in any partisan way I confidently expect to have pleasant relations with Republicans in the Senate and the House of Representatives as well as with Democrats. (Applause)

Yes, after the fourth of March we, — meaning thereby the President and the members of both parties in the halls of Congress — will, I am confident, work effectively for the restoration of American economic life. (Applause)

I decline to accept present conditions as inevitable or beyond control. I decline to stop at saying "It might have been worse." (Applause) I shall do all that I can to prevent it from
being worse -- but -- and here is the clear difference between the President and myself -- I go on to pledge action to make things better. (Applause)

My friends, the United States of America has the capacity to make things better. The nation wants to make things better. The nation prays for the leadership of action that will make things better. That will be shown in every state in the Union -- all forty-eight of them -- a week from tomorrow. We are through with "Delay"; we are through with "Despair"; we are ready, and waiting for better things. (Applause)
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This trip to New England I assure you has brought back many happy memories. I have met a multitude of old friends, with whom I have been associated in public life for more than 20 years.

If I were to start referring to each of them by name, I should have to call the roll of Massachusetts Democracy. All I can say is that I appreciate the fact that to-day, a week before election, we have a united party, -- a party which will be supported by free spirited Republican and independent voters, in securing a great victory on November 8th.

And other memories have come from far back beyond my earliest political experience. As a boy I came to this state for education. To that education I look back with open and sincere pride and gratitude.
Then came and lived at a great institution for the freeing of the human mind from ignorance, from bigotry of the mind and the spirit of knowledge, that is education in its true sense, is our best protection against unreasoning prejudice and panic-making fear, whether engendered by special interests, illiberal minorities, or panic-stricken leaders who seek to perpetuate the power which they have misused.

I hope I have learned the lesson that reason and tolerance have their place in all things, and I want to say frankly that they are never so appropriate as when they prevail in a political campaign.

I say this with some feeling because I express widespread opinion when I note that the dignity of the office of President has suffered during the past week. The President began this campaign with the same attitude with which he has approached so many of the serious problems of the past three years. He sought to create the impression that there was no campaign just as he had sought to create the impression that all was well with the United States.

But the people of the country spoiled these plans. They demanded that the administration which they placed in power four years ago, and which has cost them so much, give an accounting. They demanded this accounting in no uncertain terms.
This demand of the people has continued until it has become an overwhelming irresistible drift of public opinion.

As this storm of approval for the Democratic policies has grown, several moods have come over the utterances of the President, and his supporters.

First, they were plaintively apologetic; then they were indignant at Congress.

Finally, they have in desperation resorted to the breeding of fear.

At first the President refused to recognize that he was in a contest. But as the people have responded to our program with enthusiasm he recognized that we were both candidates. And their dignity died.

At Indianapolis he spoke of my arguments—misquoting them. But at Indianapolis he went further. He abandoned argument for personalities.

In the presence of a situation like this, I am tempted to reply in kind. I shall not yield to the temptation to which the President yielded. On the contrary I reiterate my respect for his person and his office. But I shall not be deterred even by the President of the United States from the discussion of grave national issues and submitting to the truth about their national affairs, however unpleasant that truth may be.
The ballot is the indispensable instrument of a free people. It should be the true expression of their will. It is intolerable when that is coerced, -- whatever the form of coercion, -- political, or economic.

The autocratic will of no man, -- be he President, or General, or Captain of industry, -- shall ever destroy the sacred right of the people themselves to determine for themselves who shall govern them.

Secure in their undying belief in this great tradition the people of this country, the employed, the partially employed and the unemployed, -- those who are fortunate enough to retain some of the means of economic well-being, and those from whom these cruel conditions have taken everything, -- have stood with patience and fortitude in the face of adversity.

There they stand. And they stand peacefully, even when they stand in the breadline. Their complaints are not mingled with threats. They are willing to listen to reason at all times. Throughout this great crisis the stricken army of the unemployed has been patient, law-abiding, orderly, -- because they are hopeful.

But the party that claims as its guiding tradition the patient and generous spirit of the immortal Lincoln, when confronted by an opposition which has given to the nation an orderly and constructive campaign, has descended to an outpouring of mis-statements, of threats and intimidation.
The administration attempts to undermine reason through fear -- to tell us that the world will come to an end on November 8th if they are not returned to power for four years more. It sadly misconceives the good sense and the self-reliance of our people.

They tell us further that the present administration will be unable to hold in check the economic forces that threaten us in the period between election and inauguration. They threaten American business and American workers with dire destruction from November to March.

They crack the "whip of fear" over the backs of American voters.

Ambassador Mellon, the representative of the United States at the Court of St. James, who should represent the whole American people there, appeals to an English audience on English soil, for the support of a party candidate 3,000 miles away, and invokes the same sinister threat and seeks to spread it to the rest of the civilized world.

We are told that there was a Roman Senator who threw himself into a chasm to save his country. These gentlemen are of a new breed. They are willing to throw their country into a chasm to save themselves.

Another means of spreading fear is through certain Republican Industrial leaders. I have said, and without being controverted, that 5,000 men in effect control American
industry. These men, possessed of such great power, carry likewise a great responsibility.

It is their duty to use every precaution to see that this power is never used to destroy or limit the sound public policy of the free and untramelled exercise of the power of the ballot.

In violation of this duty some of these 5,000 men who control industry are invading the sacred political rights of those over whom they have economic power. They are joining in the chorus of fear initiated by the President, Secretary of Treasury, and the Republican National Committee. They are telling their employees that if they fail to support the administration of President Hoover, such jobs as they have will be in danger.

Such conduct is un-American and worthy of censure at the ballot box. It would be equally reprehensible if any political leader were to seek reprisal against any coercing employer who used such means. Let us fight our political battles with political arguments, and not prey upon mens' economic necessities.

No, my friends, their threats are empty gestures. You know and I know that their industries have been sliding down hill. You know, and I know, that the whole program of the present administration has been directed only to pre-
vent a further slipping. You know, and I know that therein lies the difference between the leaderships of the two parties.

You know, and I know that the Democratic Party is not satisfied merely with arresting the present decline, but that we seek to build up and improve—to put these industries into a position where their wheels will turn and where opportunity will be given to them to re-employ the millions of workers they have laid off. It is not enough merely to stabilize:—to lend money! It is essential to increase purchasing power in order that goods may be sold. There must be people capable of buying goods in order that goods may be manufactured and sold. When that time comes, under a new leadership, these same gentlemen will be there doing business as usual.

The American voter, the American working-man, the mill-worker of New England, the miner of the West, the Railroad worker, the farmer, and the white collar man will answer this silly, spiteful threat with his ballot on November 8th.

As I have pointed out before in this campaign, the fruits of this depression, like the fruits of war, will be gathered in future generations. It is not, my friends, the pinch of suffering and the agony of uncertainty that the grown-up people are now feeling that count the most; it is the heritage that our children must anticipate that touches an—e'en more vital spot. But it is not today alone that counts. Under-nourishment and poor standards of living and inadequate medical care of today will make themselves felt for 50 years to come.
I stood in Topeka, Kansas and said to the farmers that the tragic effects of 40¢ wheat and 9¢ corn and 6¢ cotton is not so much what the farmer himself must feel when he sees the labor of his hands wasted on a product that does not yield him a living. The bitterness of it all is what it means for his children.

It is the same for you -- you, workers in industry. There are none of us who do not hope that our children get a better break than we have had that the chance for an education, for a reasonable start in life, may be passed on to our children, an opportunity for them that is built out of the hard work of our own hands. We want them to have opportunity for profitable character building, -- decent, wholesome living -- good work, and good play. We want to know somehow that while perfection does not come in this world, we do try to make things better from one generation to another.

This depression with its vast unemployment has swept away much of the material gains that we had hoped to use. Grim poverty stalks through the land. It embitters the present and darkens the future.

Against this enemy every ounce of effort and every necessary penny of wealth must be raised as a defense. And my friends, it is not that we lack the knowledge of what to do. The tragedy of the past years has been the failure of those who were responsible to translate high sounding plans into action.
The present leadership in Washington stands convicted, not because it did not have the means to plan, but fundamentally because it did not have the will to do. That is the reason that the American people on November 8th will register their firm conviction that this administration has utterly and entirely failed to meet the great emergency.

The American people are a heart-sick people—"hope deferred maketh the heart sick".

Let me offer you an example: In 1921 and 1922 there was a depression. Very mild, compared with the present one, -- but nevertheless, a depression. There developed a large amount of unemployment. The President of the United States in September 1921 called the "President's Conference on Unemployment." This conference employed a number of experts who prepared a highly competent report. It happens that this report did not appear until after the depression was ended. It was published in 1923, -- 6 years before the present depression began.

It said many sound things. It proposed the control of credit expansion by the banks, the prevention of overexpansion of industry, the control of public and private construction in boom periods, and
security against the suffering that might come from unemployment.

It was a good report, my friends. Sound and intelligent people worked on it and contributed to it.

The Chairman of that Unemployment Conference in 1921 was the then Secretary of Commerce of the United States, Secretary Hoover.

The President complains because I have charged that he did nothing for a long time after the depression began. That is true. I add to that charge, that from the time this report was published in 1923, for the 6 years that preceded the crash in 1929, he did nothing, to put into effect the provisions advocated in 1923 against the possibility of a future depression.

Instead of that, he participated in encouraging speculation, when not only the sound business brains of the country were saying that it should be discouraged, but in spite of the fact that his own report in 1923 said that depressions are certainly in part, due to over-speculation.

He failed to prepare by positive action against the recurrence of a depression. On the contrary -- the exact contrary, -- he intensified the forces that made for depressions by encouraging speculation.
He did not do what in his 1923 report he said, ought to be done. And on top of that he did what he said ought not to be done.

Now, my friends, we are considering unemployment tonight, and I am going to start by setting forth the positive policy which the President's Commission urges, under the leadership of the Secretary of Commerce, said should be done.

It was a 5-Point program: And as a program it was good.

First, it urged that Government should reduce expenditures for public works during periods of prosperity and build up reserves with which to increase expenditures during periods of unemployment and industrial depression.

This was not done. No reserves were built up for the rainy day.

Second, the report said, that the Federal Government should work with the railroads in the preparation of a long-time constructive program.

The Republican Administration did not give effect to this proposal. Instead of working with the railroads, to consolidate their lines and put them on a sound, economical basis, it waited until the depression had laid them low, and then had nothing for them except loans, and temporary relief.
Third, the report proposed the setting up of safeguards against too rapid inflation, consequently too rapid deflation of bank credit. As I have shown, the President and his Secretary of the Treasury encouraged speculation.

Fourth, the report recommended an adequate system of unemployment insurance. No one in the administration assumed leadership, in order to bring about positive action by the states to make this a reality.

Fifth, it suggested an adequate system of public employment offices. But when Senator Wagner introduced a bill to establish the employment offices, President Hoover vetoed the measure which Chairman Hoover had sponsored. Businessmen who believe in sound planning, these men of action -- must feel that there is danger to the country in the continuance of a leadership that has shown such incapacity, such ineptitude, and heedlessness to sound business principles.

We have heard much about fact-finding. With all its pretentious belief in facts and figures this administration has largely used the process of fact-finding merely to gain time. Usually they get even the facts too late and when they get the facts they misinterpret them.

What we need in Washington is less fact-finding and more thinking.

Immediate relief of the unemployed is the immediate need of the hour. But no mere emergency measures of relief are adequate. Our goal, our unrelenting objective, must be to
secure permanence of employment* without stability of employment for our workers and without a balanced economy between agriculture and industry there can be no healthy national life.

We have two problems. First, to meet the immediate distress; and, second, to build up on a permanent basis.

As to "immediate relief": The first principle is that this nation owes a positive duty that no one shall be permitted to starve. This means that while the immediate responsibility for relief rests with local, public and private charity, insofar as these are inadequate the states must carry the burden, and whenever the states are unable adequately to do so the Federal Government owes the positive duty of stepping into the breach.

The present Republican administration early took a position against the frank recognition of this principle. It was only because of the insistence of Congress, and the unmistakable voice of the people, that the President yielded and approved the Relief Bill this summer.

Secondly, in addition to providing emergency relief, the Federal Government should provide temporary work wherever possible: In the national forests, on flood prevention, and on the development of water-way projects already authorized and planned, thousands can be given at least temporary employment.
Third, the Federal Government should expedite the actual construction of public works already authorized. The country would be horrified to know how little construction work authorized by the last Congress and approved by the President has already been undertaken. Much of it will not be under way until next summer.

In the field, that looks further ahead, we call for a coordinated system of employment exchanges, the advance planning of public works, and unemployment reserves. Who, then, is to carry these measures? The first is clearly and inescapably a task of the federal government, although it will require the loyal and intelligent cooperation of state and local agencies throughout the land. To this federal action, therefore, I pledge my administration. The second — that of the advance planning of public works again calls for a strong lead from the government at Washington. I pledge my administration to the adoption of this principle both in the enterprises of the federal government and for the construction within the several states which is made possible by federal aid, and I shall urge upon state and local authorities throughout the nation to follow this example.

The third —- that of unemployment reserves — must under our system of government be primarily the responsibility of the several states. This, the Democratic platform, on which I stand, makes entirely clear.
In addition, there has been long overdue a reduction of the hours of work and the number of working days per week. The great justifications of modern industry are the cheapening of production and the lessening of the toil of man. These fruits will be dead fruits unless men earn enough so that they can buy the things that are produced and have the leisure for the cultivation of body, mind and spirit which the great inventions are supposed to make possible. This means that government must set an example in the case of its own employees. It means also that government must exert its persuasive leadership to induce industry to do likewise.

Here, then, is a program of long-range planning which requires prompt and definite action and the cooperation of federal, state and local governments as well as of forward-looking citizens throughout the land. The proposals are specific and far-reaching. To advocate a less drastic program would be to misread the lessons of the depression and be indifferent to the country's welfare.

But there is one final objective of my policy which is more vital, more basic than all else. I seek to restore the purchasing power of the nation. That and only that will put people back to work.

We need to restore our trade with the world. Under Republican leadership we have lost it and the President of the United States seems to be indifferent about regaining it.
Moreover, we need to give to fifty million people who live directly or indirectly upon agriculture a price for their products in excess of the cost of production. That will give them the buying power to start your mills and mines to work to supply their needs. They cannot buy your goods because they cannot get a fair price for their products. You are poor because they are poor.

I favor — and do not let the false statements of my opponents deceive you — continued protection for American agriculture. I favor more than that. I advocate measures to give the farmer an added benefit, called a tariff benefit, to make the tariff effective on his products. The most enlightened of modern American business men likewise favor such a benefit. An excellent example is your own fellow-citizen — Mr. Harriman, President of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States, who has recently proclaimed a plan for the restoration of agriculture, not unlike my own.

President Hoover does not favor a program of that kind. He has closed the door of hope to American agriculture, and when he did that, he closed the door of hope to you also.

He says proudly that he has effectively restricted immigration in order to protect American labor. I favor that; but I might add that in the enforcement of the Immigration Laws serious abuses have been revealed.
But he does not tell you that by permitting agriculture to fall into ruin millions of workers from the farms have crowded into our cities. These men have added to unemployment. They are here because agriculture is prostrated. A restored agriculture will check this migration. It will keep these farmers happily at home. It will leave more jobs for you. It will provide a market for your products. That is the key to national economic restoration.

One word more. I have spoken of getting things done. Now the way we get things done under our form of government is through joint action by the President and the Congress. The two branches of government must cooperate. That is necessary under our Constitution and I believe in our constitutional government.

President Hoover cannot get action from the Congress. He seems unable to cooperate. He quarreled with a Republican Congress and he quarreled with a half Republican Congress. He will quarrel with any kind of a Congress. He cannot get things done. This is something you must consider. The next Congress will certainly be Democratic. I look forward to co-operating with it. I am confident that I can get things done through it because for four years I have had to work with a Republican Legislature.
I have been able to get things done in Albany by treating the Republican legislature like human beings and as my associates in Government. I have said that I look forward to the most pleasant relations with the next Democratic Congress, but in addition to that let me make it clear that on the great majority of national problems which ought not to be handled in a partisan way I expect to have pleasant relations with Republicans in the Senate and the House of Representatives as well as with Democrats, meaning thereby, the President and the members of both parties in the National Legislature will, I am confident work effectively for the restoration of American economic life.

I decline to accept present conditions as inevitable or beyond control. I decline to stop at saying "it might have been worse."

I shall do all I can to prevent it from being worse — but and here is the clear difference between the President and myself — I go on to pledge action to make things better.

The nation has the capacity to make things better. The nation wants to make things better. The nation prays for the leadership of action to make things better. That will be shown in every state week from tomorrow. We are through with "Delay", through with Despair", ready, and waiting for better things.

---END---