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On this fourth of March, 1937, in millions of homes, the thoughts of American families are reverting to the March 4th of another year. That day in 1933 represented the death of one era and the birth of another.

At that time we faced and met a grave national crisis. Now we face another crisis -- of a different kind but fundamentally even more grave than that of four years ago. Tonight I want to begin with you a discussion of that crisis. I shall continue that discussion on Tuesday night in a nation-wide broadcast and thereafter, from time to time, as may be necessary. For I propose to follow my custom of speaking frankly to the Nation concerning our common problems.

I speak at this Victory Dinner not only as the head of the Democratic Party but as the representative of all Americans who have faith in political and economic democracy.
Our victory was not sectional. It did not come from compromises and bargains. It was the voice of twenty-seven million voters -- from every part of the land.

The Democratic Party, once a minority party, is today the majority party by the greatest majority any party ever had.

It will remain the majority party so long as it continues to justify the faith of millions who had almost lost faith -- so long as it continues to make modern democracy work -- so long and no longer. We are celebrating the 1936 victory. That was not a final victory. It was a victory whereby our party won further opportunity to lead in the solution of the pressing problems that perplex our generation. Whether we shall celebrate in 1938, 1940, and in 1944, as we celebrate tonight, will deservedly depend upon whether the party continues on its course and solves those problems.

And if I have ought to say it will continue on its course and it will solve those problems.
After election day in 1936, some of our supporters were uneasy lest we grasp the excuse of a false era of good feeling to evade our obligations. They were worried by the evil symptom that the propaganda and the epithets of last Summer and Fall had died down.

Today, however, those who placed their confidence in us are reassured. For the tumult and the shouting have broken forth anew -- and from substantially the same elements of opposition. This new roar is the best evidence in the world that we have begun to keep our promises, that we have begun to move against conditions under which one-third of this Nation is still ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed.

We gave warning last November that we had only just begun to fight. Did some people really believe we did not mean it? Well -- I meant it, and you meant it.

A few days ago, a distinguished member of the Congress came to see me to talk about national problems in general and about the problem of the Judiciary in particular.
I said to him:

"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal to me -- something that you have a right to hear from my own lips. I have a great ambition in life."

My friend pricked up his ears.

I went on: "I am by no means satisfied with having twice been elected President of the United States by very large majorities. I have an even greater ambition."

By this time, my friend was sitting on the edge of his chair.

I continued: "John, my ambition relates to January 20, 1941." I could feel just what horrid thoughts my friend was thinking. So in order to relieve his anxiety, I went on to say: "My great ambition on January 20, 1941, is to turn over this desk and chair in the White House to my successor, whoever he may be, with the assurance that I am at the same time turning over to him as President, a Nation intact, a Nation at peace, a Nation prosperous, a Nation clear in its knowledge of what powers it
has to serve its own citizens, a Nation that is in a position to use those powers to the full in order to move forward steadily to meet the modern needs of humanity -- a Nation which has thus proved that the democratic form and methods of national government can and will succeed.

In these coming years I want to provide such assurance. I want to get the Nation as far along the road of progress as I can. I do not want to leave it to my successor in the condition in which Buchanan left it to Lincoln."

My friends, that ambition of mine for my successor can well be the serious ambition of every citizen who wants his United States to be handed down intact to his children and grandchildren.

I spoke in the dead earnestness of anxiety, I speak to you tonight in the same earnestness. For no one who sees as a whole today's picture of this Nation and the world can help but feel concern for the future.
To the President of the United States there come every day thousands of messages of appeal, of protest, of information and advice, messages from rich and poor, from business man and farmer, from factory employee and relief worker, messages from every corner of our wide domain.

Those messages reflect the most striking feature of the life of this generation -- the feature which men who live mentally in another generation can least understand -- the ever-accelerating speed with which social forces now gather headway.

The issue of slavery, for example, took at least forty years -- two generations -- of argument, discussion and futile compromise, before it came to a head in the tragic War between the States.

But economic freedom for the wage earner and the farmer and the small business man will not wait, like emancipation, for forty years. It will not wait for four years. It will not wait at all.
After the World War, there arose everywhere insistent demands upon government that human needs be met. The unthinking, or those who dwell in the past, have tried to block them. The wise who live in the present have recognized their innate justice and irresistible pressure -- and have sought to guide them.

In some countries, a royalist form of government failed to meet these demands -- and fell. In other countries, a parliamentary form of government failed to meet these demands -- and fell. In still other countries, governments have managed to hold on, but civil strife has flared or threats of upheaval persist.

Democracy in many lands has failed for the time being to meet human needs. People have become so fed up with futile debate and party bickerings over methods that they have been willing to surrender democratic processes and principles in order to get things done. They have forgotten the lessons of history that the ultimate failures of dictatorships cost humanity far more than any temporary failures of democracy.

In the United States democracy has not yet failed and does not need to fail. And we propose not to let it fail!
Nevertheless, I cannot tell you with complete candor that in these past few years democracy in the United States has fully succeeded. Nor can I tell you, under present circumstances, just where American democracy is headed nor just what it is permitted to do in order to insure its continued success and survival. I can only hope.

For as yet there is no definite assurance that the three horse team of the American system of government will pull together. If three well-matched horses are put to the task of ploughing up a field where the going is heavy, and the team of three pull as one, the field will be ploughed. If one horse lies down in the traces or plunges off in another direction, the field will not be ploughed.

What you and I call the principles of the New Deal did not originate on the fourth of March, 1933. We think of that date as their beginning, because it was not until then that the social demands they represented broke through the inertia of many years of failure to improve our political and economic processes.

What were those demands and needs? How far did we succeed in meeting them? What about them today?
Ever since the World War the farmers of America had been beating off ever-mounting disasters. This Administration tried to help them effectively where no other Administration had dared to take that risk.

The Agricultural Adjustment Act testified to our full faith and confidence that the very nature of our major crops makes them articles of commerce between the States.

The A.A.A. testified also to our full faith and confidence that the preservation of sound agriculture is essential to the general welfare -- that the Congress of the United States had full constitutional authority to solve the national economic problems of the Nation's agriculture. By overwhelming votes, the Congress thought so too!

You know who assumed the power to veto, and did veto that program.

In the campaign of 1936, I said: "Of course we will continue our efforts in behalf of the farmers of America. With their continued cooperation we will do all in our power to end the piling up of huge surpluses which spelled ruinous prices for their crops."
We will persist in successful action for better land use, for reforestation, *** for better marketing facilities for farm commodities, for a definite reduction of farm tenancy, for encouragement of farmer cooperatives, for crop insurance and a stable food supply. For all these things we have only just begun to fight."

Neither individually nor as a party can we postpone and run from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But I defy anyone to read the majority opinion invalidating the A.A.A. and tell us what we can do for agriculture in this session of the Congress with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.

The farmers were not the only people in distress in 1932. There were millions of workers in industry and in commerce who had lost their jobs, young people who had never been able to find their first job, and more millions whose jobs did not return them and their families enough to live on decently.

The Democratic Administration and the Congress made a gallant, sincere effort to raise wages, to reduce hours, to abolish child labor, to eliminate unfair trade practices.
We tried to establish machinery to adjust the relations between the employer and employee.

And what happened?

You know who assumed the power to veto, and did veto that program.

The Railroad Retirement Act, the National Recovery Act and the Guffey Coal Act were successively outlawed as the Child Labor Statute had been outlawed twenty years before.

Soon thereafter the Nation was told by a judicial pronunciamento that although the Federal Government had thus been rendered powerless to touch the problem of hours and wages, the States were equally helpless; and that it pleased the "personal economic predilections" of a majority of the Court that we live in a Nation where there is no legal power anywhere to deal with its most difficult practical problems -- a No Man's Land of final futility.

Furthermore, court injunctions have paralyzed the machinery which we created by the National Labor Relations Act to settle great disputes raging in the industrial field, and, indeed, to
prevent them from ever-arising. We hope that this Act may yet escape final condemnation in the highest court. But so far the attitude and language of the courts in relation to many other laws have made the legality of this Act also uncertain, and have encouraged corporations to defy rather than obey it.

In the campaign of 1936, you and I promised this to working men and women:

"Of course we will continue to seek to improve working conditions for the workers of America -- to reduce hours over-long, to increase wages that spell starvation, to end the labor of children, to wipe out sweatshops. **** we will provide useful work for the needy unemployed. For all these things we have only just begun to fight."

And here again we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to postpone or run from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers.

But I defy anyone to read the opinions concerning A.A.A., the Railroad Retirement Act, the National Recovery Act, the Guffey
Coat Act and the New York Minimum Wage Law, and tell us exactly what, if anything, we can do for the industrial worker in this session of the Congress with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.

During the course of the past four years the Nation has been overwhelmed by disasters of flood and drought.

Modern science knows how to protect our land and our people from the recurrence of such catastrophes, and knows how to produce as a by-product the blessing of cheaper electric power. With the Tennessee Valley Authority we made a beginning of that kind of protection on an intelligent regional basis. With only two of its nine projected dams completed there was no flood damage in the valley of the Tennessee this Winter.

But how can we confidently complete that Tennessee Valley project or extend the idea to the Ohio and other valleys while the lowest courts have not hesitated to paralyze its operations by sweeping injunctions?
The Ohio River and the Dust Bowl are not conversant with the habits of the Interstate Commerce Clause. But we shall never be safe in our lives, in our property or in the heritage of our soil until we have somehow made the Interstate Commerce Clause conversant with the habits of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl.

In the campaign of 1936, you and I and all who supported us did take cognizance of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl. We said: "Of course we will continue our efforts for drought and flood control. For these things we have only just begun to fight."

Here, too, we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to postpone or run away from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. Let them try that advice on sweating men piling sandbags on the levees at Cairo.

But I defy anyone to read the opinions in the T.V.A. case, the Duke Power case and the A.A.A. case and tell us exactly what we can do as a National Government in this session of the Congress to control flood and drought and generate cheap power with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.
The language of the decisions already rendered and the wide-spread refusal to obey law incited by the attitude of the courts, create doubts and difficulties for almost everything else for which we have promised to fight -- help for the crippled, for the blind, for the mothers -- insurance for the unemployed -- security for the aged -- protection of the consumer against monopoly and speculation -- protection of the investor -- the wiping out of slums -- cheaper electricity for the homes and on the farms of America. You and I owe it to ourselves individually, as a party, and as a Nation to remove those doubts and difficulties.

In this fight, as the lawyers themselves say, time is of the essence. In three elections during the past five years great majorities have approved what we are trying to do. To me, and I am sure to you, those majorities mean that the people themselves realize the increasing urgency that we meet their needs now. Every delay creates risks of intervening events which make more and more difficult an intelligent, speedy, and democratic solution of our difficulties.
As Chief Executive and as the head of the Democratic Party, I am unwilling to take those risks -- to the country and to the party -- of postponing one moment beyond absolute necessity the time when we can free from legal doubt those policies which offer a progressive solution of our problems.

Floods and droughts and agricultural surpluses, strikes and industrial confusion and disorder, cannot be handled forever on a catch-as-catch-can basis.

I have another ambition -- not so great an ambition as that which I have for the country, but an ambition which as a life-long Democrat, I do not believe unworthy. It is an ambition for the Democratic Party.

The Party, and its associates, have had the imagination to perceive essential unity below the surface of apparent diversity. We can, therefore, long remain a natural rallying point for the cooperative effort of all of those who truly believe in political and economic democracy.
It will take courage to let our minds be bold and find the ways to meet the needs of the Nation. But for our Party, now as always, the counsel of courage is the counsel of wisdom.

If we do not have the courage to lead the American people where they want to go, someone else will.

Here is one-third of a Nation ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed -- NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of farmers wondering whether next year's prices will meet their mortgage interest -- NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of men and women laboring for long hours in factories for inadequate pay -- NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of children who should be at school, working in mines and mills -- NOW!

Here are strikes more far-reaching than we have ever known, costing millions of dollars -- NOW!

Here are Spring floods threatening to roll again down our river valleys -- NOW!
Here is the Dust Bowl beginning to blow again -- NOW!

If we would keep faith with those who had faith in us,

if we would make democracy succeed, I say we must act -- NOW!

[Signature]

***************

This is the original reading copy
ADDRESS OF THE PRESIDENT  
delivered at the Democratic Victory Dinner, Washington, D.C.,  
March 4, 1937

Mr. Tumulty, Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen: On this
fourth of March, 1937, in millions of homes, the thoughts of American
families are reverting to the March fourth of another year. That day
in 1933 represented the death of one era and the birth of another.
(Appause)

At that time we faced and met a grave national crisis. And
now we face another crisis -- a crisis of a different kind but funda-
mentally even more grave than that of four years ago. Tonight I want
to begin with you a discussion of (that) this crisis. I shall continue
that discussion (on Tuesday night) next week in a nation-wide broadcast
and thereafter, from time to time, as may be necessary. (Applause)
For I propose to follow my custom of speaking frankly to the Nation con-
cerning our common problems.

I speak at this Victory Dinner not only as the head of the
Democratic Party but as the representative of all Americans who have
faith in political and economic democracy.

And, incidentally, our victory was not sectional. It did
not come from compromises and bargains. It was the voice of twenty-
seven million voters -- from every part of the land. (Applause)

The Democratic Party, once a minority party, is today the
majority party by the greatest majority any party ever had. (Applause)

It will remain the majority party so long as it continues to
justify the faith of millions who had almost lost faith -- so long as
it continues to make a modern democracy (work) succeed -- so long and
This is a transcript made by the White House stenographer from his shorthand notes taken at the time the speech was made. Underlining indicates words extemporaneously added to the previously prepared reading copy text. Words in parentheses are words that were omitted when the speech was delivered, though they appear in the previously prepared reading copy text.

...
no longer. We are celebrating the 1936 victory. That was not a final victory. It was a victory whereby our party won further opportunity to lead in the solution of the pressing problems that perplex our generation. Whether we shall celebrate in 1938, and in 1940, and in 1944, as we celebrate tonight, will deservedly depend upon whether the party continues on its course and solves these problems. (Applause)

And if I have sought to say about it, it will continue on its course and it will solve these problems. (Applause)

After election day in 1936, some of our supporters were uneasy, uneasy lest we grasp the excuse of a false era of good feeling to evade our obligations. They were worried by the evil symptom that the propaganda and the epithets of last summer and fall had died down.

Today, however, those who placed their confidence in us are reassured. (Applause) For the tumult and the shouting have broken forth anew -- and from substantially the same elements of opposition. This new roar -- for that is the best term -- is the best evidence in the world that we have begun to keep our promises, that we have begun to move against conditions under which one-third of this Nation is still ill-nourished, ill-clothed and ill-housed.

We remember that we gave warning last November that we had only just begun to fight. (Applause) Did some people really believe that we did not mean it? Well -- I meant it, and you meant it. (Applause)

A few days ago, a distinguished member of the Congress came to see me to talk about national problems in general and about the problems of the Judiciary in particular. (Laughter)

I said to him:
"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal to me -- something that you have a right to hear from my own lips. I have a great ambition in life."

My friend pricked up his ears.

I went on: "I am by no means satisfied with having twice been elected President of the United States by very large majorities. I have an even greater ambition."

By this time, my friend was sitting on the edge of his chair.

(Laughter)

I continued: "John," I said, "my ambition relates to January 20, 1941." And I could feel just what horrid thoughts my friend was thinking. (Laughter) So in order to relieve his anxiety, I went on to say: "My great ambition on January 20, 1941, is to turn over (this) desk and chair in the White House, this desk and chair, to my successor, whoever he may be, with the assurance that I am at the same time turning over to him as President, a Nation intact, a Nation at peace, a Nation prosperous, a Nation clear in its knowledge of what power(s) it has to serve its own citizens, a Nation that is in a position to use those powers to the full in order to move forward steadily to meet the modern needs of humanity -- a Nation which has thus proved that the democratic form and methods of national government can and will succeed. (Applause, prolonged)

In these coming years I want to provide such assurance. I want to get the Nation as far along the road of progress as I can. I do not want to leave it to my successor in the condition in which Buchanan left it to Lincoln." (Applause)

My friends, that ambition of mine for my successor can well
be the serious ambition of every citizen who wants his United States
to be handed down intact to his children and grandchildren.

I spoke in the dead earnestness of anxiety, I speak to you
tonight in the same earnestness. For no one who sees as a whole today's
picture of this Nation and the world can help but feel concern for the
future.

To the President of the United States there come every day
thousands of messages of appeal, of protest, of support, of information
and advice, messages from rich and poor, from business man and farmer,
from factory employee and relief worker, messages from every (corner)
part of our wide (domain) land.

Those messages reflect the most striking feature of the life
of this generation -- the feature which men who live mentally in another
generation can least understand -- the ever-accelerating speed with
which social forces now gather headway.

The issue of slavery, for example, took at least forty years --
two generations -- of argument, discussion and futile compromise, before
it came to a head in the tragic War between the States.

But economic freedom for the wage earner and the farmer and
the small business man will not wait, like emancipation, for forty years.
It will not wait for four years. It will not wait at all. (Applause)

After the World War, there arose everywhere insistent demands
upon government that human needs be met. The unthinking, or those who
dwell in the past, have tried to block them. The wise who live in the
present have recognized their innate justice, (and) their irresistible
pressure -- and have sought to guide them.

In some countries, a royalist form of government failed to
meet these demands -- and fall. In other countries, a parliamentary form of government failed to meet these demands -- and fall. In still other countries, governments have managed to hold on, but civil strife has flared or threats of upheaval persist.

Democracy in many lands has failed for the time being to meet human needs. People have become so fed up with futile debate and party bickerings over methods that they have been willing to surrender democratic processes and principles in order to get things done. They have forgotten the lessons of history that the ultimate failures of dictatorships cost humanity far more than any temporary failures of democracy.

In the United States democracy has not yet failed and does not need to fail. (Applause) And we, (propose not) the leaders of the Democratic Party throughout the Nation, do not propose to let it fail! (Applause)

Nevertheless, I cannot tell you with complete candor that in these past few years democracy in the United States has fully succeeded. Nor can I tell you, under present circumstances, just where American democracy is headed nor just what it is permitted to do in order to insure its continued success and survival. I can only hope.

For as yet there is no definite assurance that the three horse team of the American system of government will pull together. (Applause and boos) If three well-matched horses are put to the task of ploughing up a field where the going is heavy, and the team of three pull as one, the field will be ploughed. If one horse lies down in the traces or plunges off in another direction, the field will not be ploughed. (Applause, cheers)
What you and I call the principles of the New Deal did not originate on the fourth of March, 1933. We think of that date as their beginning, because it was not until then that the social demands that they represented broke through the inertia of many years of failure to improve our political and economic processes.

What were those demands and needs? How far did we succeed in meeting them? And what about them today?

Ever since the World War the farmers of America had been beating off ever-mounting disasters. This Administration tried to help them effectively where no other Administration had dared to take that risk. (Applause)

The Agricultural Adjustment Act testified to our full faith and confidence that the very nature of our major crops of America makes them articles of commerce between the States. (Applause)

The (A.A.A.) Triple A testified also to our full faith and confidence that the preservation of sound agriculture is essential to the general welfare -- that the Congress of the United States had full constitutional authority to solve the national economic problems of the Nation's agriculture. And by overwhelming votes, the Congress thought so too! (Applause)

You know who assumed the power to veto, and did veto that program.

In the campaign of 1936, I said: "Of course we will continue our efforts in behalf of the farmers of America. With their continued cooperation we will do all in our power to end the piling up of huge surpluses (which) spelled ruinous prices for their crops. We will persist in successful action for better land use, for reforestation,
** for better marketing facilities for farm commodities, for a
definite reduction of farm tenancy, for encouragement of farmer co-
operatives, for crop insurance and a stable food supply. For all
these things we have only just begun to fight.” (Applause)

My friends, neither individually nor as a party can we post-
pone and run from that fight on the advice of defeatist lawyers. But
I defy anyone to read the majority opinion invalidating the (A.A.A.)
Triple A and tell us what we can do for agriculture in this session
of the Congress with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not
be nullified as unconstitutional. (Applause)

But the farmers were not the only people in distress in 1932.
There were millions of workers in industry and in commerce who had lost
their jobs, young people who had never been able to find their first
job, and more millions whose jobs did not return them and their families
enough to live on decently.

The Democratic Administration and the Congress made a gallant,
sincere effort to raise wages, to reduce hours, to abolish child labor,
and to eliminate unfair trade practices.

We tried to establish machinery to adjust the relations be-
tween the employer and employee.

And what happened?

You know who assumed the power to veto, and did veto that
program.

The Railroad Retirement Act, the National Recovery Act and
the Guffey Coal Act were successively outlawed as the Child Labor Statute
had been outlawed twenty years before.

Soon thereafter the Nation was told by a judicial pronuncia-
manto that although the Federal Government had (thus) been thus ren-
dered powerless to touch the problem of hours and wages, the States
were equally helpless; and that it pleased the "personal economic
predilections" of a majority of the Court that we live in a Nation
where there is no legal power anywhere to deal with its most difficult
practical problems -- a No Man's Land of final futility. (Applause)

And, furthermore, -- for I am not through yet (applause)
court injunctions have paralyzed the machinery (which) that we created
by the National Labor Relations Act to settle great disputes raging in
the industrial field, and, indeed, to prevent them from ever-arising.
We hope that this Act may yet escape final condemnation in the highest
court. But so far the attitude and the language of the courts in re-
lation to many other laws have made the legality of this Act also un-
certain, and have encouraged men and corporations to defy rather than
to obey it.

In the campaign of 1936, you and I promised this to working
men and women:

"Of course we will continue to seek to improve working con-
ditions for the workers of America -- to reduce hours over-long, to
increase wages that spell starvation, to end the labor of children, to
wipe out sweatshops. *** we will provide useful work for the needy
unemployed. And for all these things we have only just begun to fight."

(And) But here again we cannot afford, either individually
or as a party, to postpone or run from that fight on the advice of de-
featist lawyers. (Applause)

(But) And I defy anyone to read the opinions concerning (A.A.A.)
Triple A, the Railroad Retirement Act, the National Recovery Act, the
Guffey Coal Act and the New York Minimum Wage Law, and tell us exactly what, if anything, we can do for the industrial worker in this session of the Congress with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional. (Applause)

During the course of the past four years the Nation has been overwhelmed by disasters of flood and drought.

Modern science knows how to protect our land and our people from the recurrence of such catastrophes, and knows how to produce as a by-product the blessing of cheaper electric power for the Nation. With the Tennessee Valley Authority we made a beginning of that kind of protection on an intelligent regional basis and with only two of its nine projected dams completed there was no flood damage in the valley of the Tennessee this winter. (Applause)

But how can we confidently complete (that) the Tennessee Valley project (or), how can we extend the idea to the Ohio (and other valleys) and the Connecticut and many other rivers and valleys of the Nation while the lowest courts have not hesitated to paralyze its operations by sweeping injunctions?

My friends, the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl are not conversant with the habits of the Interstate Commerce Clause. (Applause) But we shall never be safe in our lives, in our property or in the heritage of our soil until we have somehow made the Interstate Commerce Clause conversant with the habits of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl. (Laughter, applause)

In the campaign of 1936, you and I and all who supported us, men and women of all parties, we took (did take) cognizance of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl. We said: "Of course we will continue our
efforts ** for drought and flood control **. For these things we have only just begun to fight." (Applause)

Here, too, we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to postpone or run away from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. Let them try that advice on sweating men piling sandbags on the levees (at Cairo) in Louisville and Cairo and Memphis. (Applause)

But I defy anyone to read the opinions in the T.V.A. case, or the Duke Power case (and the A.A.A.) or the Triple A case and tell us exactly what we can do as a National Government in this session of the Congress to control flood and drought and generate cheap power for the Nation with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional. (Applause)

The language of the decisions already rendered and the widespread refusal to obey law incited by the attitude of the courts, create doubts and difficulties for almost everything else for which we have promised to fight -- help for the crippled, for the blind, for the mothers -- insurance for the unemployed -- security for the aged -- protection of the consumer against monopoly and speculation -- protection of the investor -- the wiping out of slums -- cheaper electricity for the homes and (on the) farms of America. You and I owe it to ourselves individually, as a party, and as a Nation to remove those doubts and difficulties. (Applause)

And, in this fight, as the lawyers themselves say, time is of the essence. (Applause) In three elections during the past five years great majorities have approved what we are trying to do. To me, and I am sure to you, those majorities mean that the people themselves realize the increasing urgency that we meet their needs now. Every
delay creates risks of intervening events which make more and more
difficult an intelligent, speedy, and democratic solution of our dif-
ficulties.

As Chief Executive and as head of the Democratic Party, I
am unwilling to take those risks -- risks to the country and to the
party -- of postponing one moment beyond absolute necessity the time
when we can free from legal doubt those policies which offer a progres-
sive solution of our problems. (Applause)

Floods and droughts and agricultural surpluses, strikes and
industrial confusion and disorder, cannot be handled forever on a catch-
as-catch-can basis. (Applause)

And, my friends, I have another ambition -- not so great an
ambition as that which I have for the country, but an ambition which
as a life-long Democrat, I do not believe unworthy. It is an ambition
for the Democratic Party.

The Party, and its associates, have had the imagination to
perceive essential unity below the surface of apparent diversity. We
can, therefore, long remain a natural rallying point for the cooperat-
tive effort of all (of) those who truly believe in political and eco-

It will take courage to let our minds be bold and find the
ways to meet the current needs of the Nation. But for our Party, now
as always, the counsel of courage is the counsel of wisdom. (Applause)

If we do not have the courage to lead the American people
where they want to go, someone else will.

Here is one-third of a Nation ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-
housed -- NOW!
Here are thousands upon thousands of farmers wondering whether next year's prices will meet their mortgage interest -- NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of men and women laboring for long hours in factories for inadequate pay -- NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of children who should be at school, working in mines and mills -- NOW!

Here are strikes more far-reaching than we have ever known, costing millions of dollars -- NOW!

Here are spring floods threatening to roll (again) down again upon our river valleys -- NOW!

Here is the Dust Bowl beginning to blow again -- NOW!

If we would keep faith, faith with those who had faith in us, if we would make democracy succeed, I say we must act -- NOW! (Applause, prolonged)
Cautiously: This address of the President, to be delivered at the Democratic Victory Dinner, Washington, D.C., must be held in confidence until released.

Note: Release in editions of newspapers appearing on the streets not earlier than 10:30 o'clock p.m., E.S.T., today, (March 4, 1937).

Care must be exercised to prevent premature publication.

Stephen Early
Assistant Secretary to the President

On this fourth of March, 1937, in millions of homes, the thoughts of American families are reverting to the March 4th of another year. That day in 1933 represented the death of one era and the birth of another.

At that time we faced and met a grave national crisis. How we faced another crisis of a different kind but fundamentally even more grave than that of four years ago. Tonight I want to begin with you a discussion of this crisis. I shall continue that discussion as we go on in a nation-wide broadcast and thereafter, from time to time, as may be necessary. For I propose to follow my custom of speaking frankly to the Nation concerning our common problems.

I speak at this Victory Dinner not only as the head of the Democratic Party but as the representative of all Americans who have faith in political and economic democracy.

Our victory was not sectional. It did not come from compromises and bargains. It was the voice of twenty-seven million voters -- from every part of the land.

The Democratic Party, once a minority party, is today the majority party by the greatest majority any party ever had.

It will remain the majority party so long as it continues to justify the faith of millions who had lost faith -- so long as it continues to make modern democracy work -- so long and no longer. We are celebrating the 1936 victory. That was not a final victory. It was a victory whereby our party won further opportunity to lead in the solution of the pressing problems that perplex our generation. Whether we shall celebrate in 1939, 1940, and in 1944, as we celebrate tonight, will deservedly depend upon whether the party continues on its course and solves these problems.

And if I have sought to say it will continue on its course and it will solve these problems.

After election day in 1936, some of our supporters were uneasy lest we grasp the excuse of a false era of good feeling to evade our obligations. They were worried by the evil symptom that the propaganda and the epithets of last summer and fall had died down.

Today, however, those who placed their confidence in us are reassured. For the tumult and the shouting have broken forth anew -- and from substantially the same elements of opposition. This new roar is the best evidence in the world that we have begun to keep our promises, that we have begun to move against conditions under which one-third of this Nation is still ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed.
I gave warning last November that we had only just begun to fight. Did some people really believe we did not mean it? Well -- I meant it, and you meant it.

A few days ago, a distinguished member of the Congress came to see me to talk about national problems in general and about the problem of the Judiciary in particular.

I said to him:

"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal to me -- something that you have a right to hear from my own lips. I have a great ambition in life."

My friend pricked up his ears.

I went on: "I am by no means satisfied with having twice been elected President of the United States by very large majorities. I have an even greater ambition."

By this time, my friend was sitting on the edge of his chair.

I continued: "John, my ambition relates to January 20, 1941." I could feel just what horrid thoughts my friend was thinking. So in order to relieve his anxiety, I went on to say: "My great ambition on January 20, 1941, is to turn over the desk and chair in the White House to my successor, whoever he may be, with the assurance that I am at the same time turning over to him as President, a Nation intact, a Nation at peace, a Nation prosperous, a Nation clear in its knowledge of what power it has to serve its own citizens, a Nation that is in a position to use those powers to the full in order to move forward steadily to meet the modern needs of humanity -- a Nation which has thus proved that the democratic form and methods of national government can and will succeed." (Affirmative, prolonged)

In these coming years I want to provide such assurance. I want to get the nation as far along the road of progress as I can. I do not want to leave it to my successor in the condition in which Buchanan left it to Lincoln.

My friends, that ambition of mine for my successor can well be the serious ambition of every citizen who wants his United States to be handed down intact to his children and grandchildren.

I spoke in the dead earnestness of anxiety, I speak to you tonight in the same earnestness. For no one who sees as a whole today's picture of this Nation and the world can help but feel concern for the future.

To the President of the United States there come every day thousands of messages of appeal, of protest, of information and advice, messages from rich and poor, from business man and farmer, from factory employee and relief worker, messages from every corner of our wide continent. (Domain) land.

These messages reflect the most striking feature of the life of this generation -- the feature which men who live mentally in another generation can least understand -- the ever-accelerating speed with which social forces now gather headway.

The issue of slavery, for example, took at least forty years -- two generations -- of argument, discussion and futile compromise, before it came to a head in the tragic war between the States.
(Hallman and Zev)
In the campaign of 1936, I said: "Of course we will continue our efforts in behalf of the farmers of America. With their continued cooperation we will do all in our power to end the piling up of huge surpluses which spoiled ruinous prices for their crops. We will persist in successful action for better land use, for reforestation, for better marketing facilities for farm commodities, for a definite reduction of farm tenancy, for encouragement of farmer cooperatives, for crop insurance and a stable food supply. For all these things we have only just begun to fight." (No index.

Neither individually nor as a party can we postpone and run from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But I defy anyone to read the majority opinion invalidating the Act, and tell us what we can do for agriculture in this session of the Congress with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional."

The farmers were not the only people in distress in 1932. There were millions of workers in industry and in commerce who had lost their jobs, young people who had never been able to find their first job, and more millions whose jobs did not return them and their families enough to live on decently.

The Democratic Administration and the Congress made a gallant, sincere effort to raise wages, to reduce hours, to abolish child labor, to eliminate unfair trade practices.

We tried to establish machinery to adjust the relations between the employer and employee.

And that happened?

You know who assumed the power to veto, and did veto that program.

The Railroad Retirement Act, the National Recovery Act and the Cuffy Coal Act were successively outlawed as the Child Labor Statute had been outlawed twenty years before.

Soon thereafter the Nation was told by a judicial pronouncements that although the Federal Government had been rendered powerless to touch the problem of hours and wages, the States were equally helpless; and that it pleased the "personal economic predilections" of a majority of the Court that we live in a Nation where there is no legal power anywhere to deal with its most difficult practical problems — a No Man’s Land of final futility."

Furthermore, court injunctions have paralyzèd the machinery which we created by the National Labor Relations Act to settle great disputes raging in the industrial field, and, indeed, to prevent them from ever-arising. We hope that this Act may yet escape final condemnation in the highest court. But so far the attitude and language of the courts in relation to many other laws have made the legality of this Act also uncertain, and have encouraged corporations to defy rather than obey it.

In the campaign of 1936, you and I promised this to working men and women:

"Of course we will continue to seek to improve working conditions for the workers of America,— to reduce hours over-long, to increase wages that spell starvation, to end the labor of children, to wipe out sweatshops,— we will provide useful work for the needy unemployed. For all these things we have only just begun to fight."
Here again we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to postpone or run from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers.  

I defy anyone to read the opinions concerning the Railroad Retirement Act, the National Recovery Act, the Cuyahoga Coal Act and the New York Minimum Wage Law, and tell us exactly what, if anything, we can do for the industrial worker in this session of the Congress with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.

During the course of the past four years the Nation has been overwhelmed by disasters of flood and drought.

Modern science knows how to protect our land and our people from the recurrence of such catastrophes, and knows how to produce as a by-product the blessing of cheaper electric power. With the Tennessee Valley Authority we made a beginning of that kind of protection on an intelligent regional basis. With only two of its nine projected dams completed there was no flood damage in the valley of the Tennessee this Winter.

But how can we confidently complete the Tennessee Valley project and extend the idea to the Ohio and other valleys while the lowest courts have not hesitated to paralyze its operations by sweeping injunctions?

The Ohio River and the Dust Bowl are not conversant with the habits of the Interstate Commerce Clause. But we shall never be safe in our lives, in our property or in the heritage of our soil until we have somehow made the Interstate Commerce Clause conversant with the habits of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl.

In the campaign of 1936, you and I and all who supported us did not envision the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl. We said: "Of course we will continue our efforts *** for drought and flood control ***. For these things we have only just begun to fight."

Here, too, we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to postpone or run away from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. Let them try that advice on swelling men piling sandbags on the levees ***.***

But I defy anyone to read the opinions in the T.V.A. case, the Duke Power case and the *** case and tell us exactly what we can do as a National Government in this session of the Congress to control flood and drought and generate cheap power with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.

The language of the decisions already rendered and the wide-spread refusal to obey law incited by the attitude of the courts, create doubts and difficulties for almost everything else for which we have promised to fight -- help for the crippled, for the blind, for the mothers -- insurance for the unemployed -- security for the aged -- protection of the consumer against monopoly and speculation -- protection of the investor -- the wiping out of slums -- cheaper electricity for the homes and forms of America. You and I owe it to ourselves individually, as a party, and as a Nation to remove those doubts and difficulties.

In this fight, as the lawyers themselves say, time is of the essence. In three elections during the past five years great majorities have approved what we are trying to do. To me, and I am sure to you, those majorities mean that the people themselves realize the increasing urgency that we meet their needs now. Every delay creates risks of intervening events which make more and more difficult an intelligent, speedy, and democratic solution of our difficulties.
As Chief Executive and as the head of the Democratic Party, I am unwilling to take those risks to the country and to the party — of postponing one moment beyond absolute necessity the time when we can free from legal doubt those policies which offer a progressive solution of our problems.

Floods and droughts and agricultural surpluses, strikes and industrial confusion and disorder, cannot be handled forever on a catch-as-catch-can basis.

I have another ambition — not so great an ambition as that which I have for the country, but an ambition which as a life-long Democrat, I do not believe unworthy. It is an ambition for the Democratic Party.

The Party, and its associates, have had the imagination to perceive essential unity below the surface of apparent diversity. We can, therefore, long remain a natural rallying point for the cooperative effort of all who truly believe in political and economic democracy.

It will take courage to let our minds be bold and find the ways to meet the needs of the Nation. But for our Party, now as always, the counsel of courage is the counsel of wisdom.

If we do not have the courage to lead the American people where they want to go, someone else will.

Here is one-third of a Nation ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed — NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of farmers wondering whether next year’s prices will meet their mortgage interest — NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of men and women laboring for long hours in factories for inadequate pay — NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of children who should be at school, working in mines and mills — NOW!

Here are strikes more far-reaching than we have ever known, costing millions of dollars — NOW!

Here are Spring floods threatening to roll a sea down our river valleys — NOW!

Here is the Dust Bowl beginning to blow again — NOW!

If we would keep faith with those who had faith in us, if we would make democracy succeed, I say we must act — NOW.
1. Our dedication of four years ago to keep the temple clear of money changers.

2. What has been done has been done through the efforts of the millions of men and woman.

3. The concrete achievements are plain for all to see.

4. The unseen achievements of breaking down habits of thinking are the important ones.

5. These habits of thinking are as follows.

   (a) The advertised versions of our national traditions.

   (b) That we have come to recognize Un-American things and un-American.

   (c) That government is incompetent to solve the problems.

   (d) That government has been able to solve the problems without having democracy take a holiday.

   (e) Another myth we have dissipated is the economic fatalism of 1932.

   (f) The political invincibility of huge aggregations of private economic power.

   (g) The seeming unholy alliance between unscrupulous material success and moral righteousness.

6. We have cleared our minds of these myths but the first condition of success for the future is the realization that a nation cannot rest - it must go forward.

7. 
7. The problem of the future is to devise means of moral control over the new changes which science brings about in the material universe.

8. That task will require greater wisdom and tenacity than the depression called for in fortitude and patience.

9. That progress will have to be forced over a strong opposition. We now know that we cannot end abuse by one single energetic thrust.

10. The problems of prosperity are harder to solve than those of depression because the emergency is no longer with us.

11. To solve those problems will require more than warm hearts - it will require using the following instruments:

   (a) Competent personnel
   (b) Workable relationship of all resources of government.
   (c) Power of government investigation.
   (d) Free press

12. With these tools we envisage a civilization in which

   (a) MAX money is not the only important thing.
   (b) Administration of other people's money is a public trust.
   (c) Knowledge of the interdependence of American life.
   (d) Where public office is sought for solely for public service rather than for private gain.

13. The problem for the future as compared with the problem of the pioneers is the same.

14. There is no area of public welfare in which government cannot enter.

15. We have a private profit system and we should also make it a social profit system.
While this dinner in Washington, like many similar dinners in many parts of the Nation, is conducted under Democratic auspices in honor of the amazing results of last November's election, I feel that in speaking tonight to many millions of Americans, I am speaking not as the nominee of one party, but as the President of the United States.

In so doing I address myself not to those twenty-seven million Americans—men and women belonging to all parties or to no parties—who voted for me, but also to the sixteen or seventeen million other Americans who voted for other candidates for the presidency.

Tonight to my mind is an occasion that far transcends party rejoicing, for if we are to rejoice at all it is because an overwhelming majority of our citizens have shown their approval of certain efforts made by their government during the past four years.

You and I, as Americans, remember much of the publicity, much of the propaganda and many of the epithets which were used last summer and autumn. For a few short months, we breathed more freely
because the extravagance of diction and of writing seemed to have been tempered. Today, however, the tumult and the shouting have broken forth anew, not with any great novelty, but at least with a whole-souled and hearty invective.

As a preface, may I tell you a story which illustrates what I believe to be the more serious aspect of our national situation?

A few days ago, a distinguished member of the legislative branch of our national government came to see me to talk about national problems in general and about the problem of the Judiciary, in particular.

I said to him:

"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal to me — something that you have a right to hear from my own lips. I have a great ambition in life." At these words, I felt that my friend had begun to prick up his ears. I went on: "I am by no means satisfied in having twice been elected President of the United States by very large majorities. I have an even greater ambition than that." By this time, my friend was sitting on the edge of his chair. I continued: "John, my ambition relates
to January 20, 1941." I could see just what my friend was thinking.

He was expecting me, the President of the United States, to tell him, in confidence, either that I was going to run for a third time, or that I was going to set myself up as a dictator, or even that I was going to establish a monarchy in the United States. So in order to relieve my anxious friend, I went on like this: "John, my great ambition on January 20, 1941 is to turn over this desk and chair in the White House to my successor with the assurance to that man, whoever he may be, that I am at the same time turning over to him, as President, a Nation intact, a Nation at peace, a Nation that has moved forward steadily in meeting the dire needs of modern humanity, a Nation prosperous, a Nation clear in its knowledge of what it has the right to do for its own citizens, a Nation, in other words, which has proved that the democratic form and methods and practices of national government can work and do work."

That ambition of mine, my friends, can well be the ambition of every citizen of the United States who wants the United States to be handed down intact to their children and grandchildren.
Why did I speak to my friend with such seriousness and why

Do I speak to you with equal seriousness—tonight? It is because

of fact and thought every day that passes, messages of appeal, messages

of protest, messages of information, messages from rich and poor,

from business men and farmer and factory employees and relief workers,

messages from every nook and corner of every part of our wide domain.

The record shows that the average of the mail alone in the case of

my recent predecessors was about four hundred letters a day. Since

I have been in the White House, the average of my mail is about four

thousand a day. Often it runs to six and seven and ten thousand a

day. I have messages of the condition of affairs abroad.

America lies many thousands of miles away from scenes of

turmoil on other continents. Nevertheless, we must know that this

the-ties-to-the-sea that on this hemisphere and within this Nation

great human forces are at work in many of the ways in which those

human forces are moving in other lands.

A century ago, the movements of civilisation were vastly

slower. The issue of slavery, for example, took at least forty years —
two generations before it came to a head in the great Civil War between the States. In those days, human surges in search of liberty and free government proceeded with equal slowness.

Today, it is not transportation and communications and productive methods alone which have speeded up. The needs of humanity along social and economic lines express themselves far more quickly — the demand for them becomes crystallised far more quickly — and the necessity for doing something about them is equally speeded up.

Let us take you back no further than the World War.

In the short years that followed, in every civilised Nation of the world, the people themselves became conscious of the need for action to correct obvious abuses of society — obvious imperfections in the results that were being obtained by then existing governmental methods.

In some countries, the failure of the imperial or royalist form of government led to violent changes, overthrowing monarchy and replacing it with other methods. In other countries, the failure of the parliamentary form of government due, for example, to a multiplicity of parties and the jealousies of leadership led to the establishment of open or disguised dictatorships. In still other
Nations, civil strife has flared or threats of upheaval persist.

The lesson to be learned is that all of these great changes have come from an insistent demand on the part of the people themselves that inaction, inefficiency, inability to bring economic and social order out of chaos be replaced by action and efficiency, even at the price of throwing the Democratic form of government completely overboard.

Democracy has failed in many lands: Why not admit it.

But, in admitting it, let us say to ourselves with firmness and courage "Democracy has not failed in the United States: more than that we do not purpose to let it fail."

—My, even, am I so serious tonight?—It is because I cannot tell you with complete candor that in these past few years Democracy in the United States has succeeded. It is because I cannot tell you, under present circumstances, just where American democracy is headed. I can hope but I cannot assure.

What you and I loosely call the principles of the New Deal did not begin on the fourth of March, 1933. Their roots go back many years before that. We think of that date because that was the culmination of many years during which the progressive improvement
Of our social and economic processes was at a standstill. Yet,
during all those former years the demand for social and economic
reform, though not visible on the surface, was piling up like a vast
underground stream ready to burst forth and cover the land at the
first opportunity.

Let us specify. The Farmer of America was starving.

He was losing his home and his farm. The prices of the crops he
raised were at starvation level—They were subject to the impulses
of speculators and of world conditions over which he had no control.

As a national government we gave him the Agricultural Adjustment Act and we undertook to work
out an agricultural economic program over a period of years under
which the farmer could be refinanced at lower interest cost, under
which he could save his farm, under which the prices of his crops
would be raised to a point more close to a parity with the level of
earnings of other population groups. We undertook, as a national
government, to curb the piling up of vast surpluses and, at the same
time, to encourage the production of wholly adequate food and fibre
supplies for the entire Nation. We undertook, as a national govern-
ment, to increase farm export markets and lessen the evils of speculation.

We did all this in full faith and confidence that because the very nature
of our major crops make them articles of commerce between the States, the Congress of the United States had full constitutional authority to solve the economic problems of the Nation's agriculture.

We were wrong. The overwhelming majority of the Senate and the House of Representatives were wrong. The President was wrong. The lawyers we hired were wrong. Remember, my friends, that the Constitution of the United States does not prohibit action such as we took. As a matter of fact, it says nothing about it at all. But the highest Judicial Court of the Federal Government has construed or interpreted the Constitution of the United States by saying that crops are essentially a matter of local concern and that therefore the growing of crops, even though the sum total of any one of them may form an essential part of our national economy, is not a matter for Federal legislation.

We have sought a partial way out from this dilemma, but it is only a part answer and we still are wondering around at the end of a dead-end street, unable to reach our destination and hoping that by trying a dozen side streets we may eventually find one which going many miles around will take us year's later to the place we seek to go.
MY FRIENDS AND FELLOW DEMOCRATS:

I feel that I am speaking tonight not only as the head of the Democratic Party but as the representative of all Americans who have faith in political and economic democracy.

For this occasion is more significant than a celebration of an electoral success. It signifies the approval by an overwhelming majority of citizens of all parties of the high purpose and the unremitting efforts with which an Administration directed by the Democratic Party has met the most bewildering problems in our national history.

Because that approval was truly national and truly popular, our party victory is all the more significant. It was not a sectional victory. It was not a victory which came from compromises and bargains. It came from twenty-seven million people and from every nook and corner of the land. It was an overwhelming response to a government in whose heart as well as whose head the people had confidence.

It was a response to the humanity of a government that could understand that the problems of the average men and women who make up the Nation are the problems of the Nation itself.

It was a response to the clear-sightedness of a government that could see the Nation as essentially one — where the welfare
of each section and each group of citizens is the concern and the welfare of every section and every group of citizens.

It was a response to the common sense of a government that could appreciate the importance of purchasing power in our interwoven national life; that could see how the purchasing power of the city dweller fixed the market price of the farmer's produce -- how the purchasing power of the farmer fixed the wages of the industrial worker -- how the prosperity of all the rest of the population depended upon the prosperity of these two great producing and purchasing groups.

And above all it was a response of faith on the part of millions who had lost or who had never had faith in the promises of political parties and in the effectiveness of the democratic method -- belief that at least a political leadership had been found which would keep its promises to those who believed that democracy could be made to work.

It was a response from those in other parties who found that they could make the most practical progress toward their ideals by working with the Democratic Party.

As the sum of all these responses, the Democratic Party, once a minority party, is today the majority party by the greatest
majority any party ever had.

But as we celebrate, let us remember that it will remain the majority party only so long as it continues to justify those responses—only so long as it continues to make democracy work.

You and I remember the propaganda and the epithets of last Summer and Fall. For three short months thereafter the extravagant abuse tempered. Today, however, the tumult and the shouting have broken forth anew. That should reassure those who placed their confidence in us. It is the best evidence in the world that we have begun to keep our promises, that we have begun to move against conditions under which one-third of this Nation are ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed. It is the trumpet of our march.

A few days ago, a distinguished member of Congress came to see me to talk about national problems in general and about the problem of the Judiciary in particular.

I said to him:

"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal to me—something that you have a right to hear from my own lips. I have a great ambition in life."
My friend pricked up his ears.

I went on: "I am by no means satisfied with having twice been elected President of the United States by very large majorities. I have an even greater ambition."

By this time, my friend was sitting on the edge of his chair.

I continued: "John, my ambition relates to January 20, 1941."

I could feel just what my friend was thinking. So in order to relieve his anxiety, I went on to say:

"John", I said "My great ambition on January 20, 1941, is to turn over this desk and chair in the White House to my successor, whoever he may be, with the assurance that I am at the same time turning over to him, as President, a Nation intact, a Nation at peace, a Nation prosperous, a Nation clear in its knowledge of what powers it has to serve its own citizens, a Nation that has used those powers to the full to move forward steadily to meet the modern needs of humanity -- a Nation which has thus proved that the democratic form and methods of national government can and will succeed."

"I have only three-and-a-half short years left to do what I can to provide such assurance. I want to get as far along the road of progress as I can. But at any rate I do not want to leave our country to my successor in the condition in which Buchanan left
it to Lincoln."

That ambition of mine for my successor, my friends, can well be the serious ambition of every citizen of the United States who wants the United States to be handed down intact to his children and grandchildren.

My friend and I spoke in the dead earnestness of anxiety. I speak to you tonight in the same earnestness. For no one who sees as a whole the picture of this Nation and the world today can help but be concerned for the days that lie ahead. To the President of the United States there come every day thousands of messages of fact and thought, messages of appeal, of protest, of information, messages from rich and poor, from business man and farmer and factory employee and relief worker, messages from every nook and corner of our wide domain. And to the President of the United States come daily messages of the condition of affairs abroad.

America lies many thousands of miles away from scenes of turmoil on other Continents. But those messages tell me that on this hemisphere and within this Nation there are insistent demands for the satisfaction of human needs which cannot be long thwarted. The danger is that these great human forces may move here.
in ways in which they have moved and are moving in other lands.

The most striking feature of modern life is the ever-accelerating speed with which these human forces move. The machine age has given them wings.

Transportation and communications and productive methods have not speeded up alone. The needs of humanity along social and economic lines can express themselves far more quickly. The demand for them can become crystallized far more quickly. The necessity for doing something about them can become irresistible far more quickly.

A century ago the movements of civilization were far slower. The issue of slavery, for example, took at least forty years -- two generations of argument, discussion and futile compromise -- before it came to a head in the great War Between the States. In those days, all human surges toward liberty and free government proceeded at the same slow pace.

But today economic freedom for the wage earner and the farmer and the small business men cannot be delayed so long as was personal freedom for the slave.

It will not wait, like emancipation, for forty years. It will not wait for four years. It will not wait at all.
After the disorganization of the World War the people in every civilized nation became conscious that they wanted certain results from government which they never had asked before. They became conscious of the need for action to correct obvious failures to produce these results.

In some countries, imperial or royalist form of government failed to meet these demands leading to the overthrow of monarchy and its replacement with other forms. In other countries, the parliamentary form of government failed to meet their demands leading to its overthrow and the establishment of open or disguised dictatorships. In still other nations, although the form of government has remained unchanged, civil strife has flared and threats of upheaval persist.

There is a lesson in all this for the United States. All of these great changes have come from an insistent demand on the part of the people themselves that inability to bring economic and social order out of chaos be replaced — a demand for action and efficiency, even at the unhappy price of their traditional form of government.

Democracy has failed in many lands. Why not admit it. But, in admitting it, we should recognize that democracy has not failed and does not need to fail in the United States, and should say to
ourselves with firmness and courage "We do not purpose to let it fail." I cannot tell you with complete candor that in these past few years democracy in the United States has fully succeeded. Nor can I tell you, under present circumstances, just where American democracy is headed nor just what it can do to direct itself. I can hope, but I cannot assure. No one can assure you. For today no one knows what action the American legal system will permit it to take to preserve itself. There lies the challenge which we must meet.

Our people, too, have long been coming to expect more from their government. What you and I call the principles of the New Deal were not born on the fourth of March, 1933. Their roots go back many years before that. We think of that date as their beginning, because it was then that those principles broke through the inertia of twelve years during which there had been little or no progressive improvement in our social and economic processes. Yet, during all those twelve years the demand/the need for social and economic reform, though not visible on the surface, were present -- gathering power like a vast underground stream ready to burst forth at the first opportunity.

What were those demands and needs? What did we do about them? How far did we succeed in meeting them? Where are they now?
The most pressing are familiar to us all.

Ever since the World War the farmers of America had been beating off ever-mounting troubles. The prices of the crops they raised were at bankruptcy levels — at the mercy of speculators and of world conditions over which they had no control. They were losing their homes and their farms.

The Democratic Party pledged itself to the farmers. It tried to fulfill those pledges. As a National Government, we gave the farmers the Agricultural Adjustment Act and the Farm Credit Administration.

As a National Government, by these and other methods, we undertook to work out a national program — to curb the piling up of vast crop surpluses — to raise crop prices until the farmers' earnings were nearer to parity with the earnings of other workers — to increase markets for farm products and decrease speculation in farm commodities — to refinance farm debts at a lower interest cost—and thereby assure adequate food and fibre supplies for the whole Nation.

All this we did in full faith and confidence that the very nature of our major crops makes them articles of commerce between the States, and with full faith and confidence that the preservation of sound agriculture is essential to the general welfare. For
those reasons we assumed in the naivete' of common sense that the Congress of the United States had full constitutional authority to solve the economic problems of the Nation's agriculture.

That faith and confidence was embodied in the original A.A.A. statute. You know what happened to that statute.

In 1936 the Democratic Party pledged itself once more to attack the problem. We said: "Of course we will continue our efforts in behalf of the farmers of America. With their continued cooperation we will do all in our power to end the piling up of huge surpluses which spelled ruinous prices for their crops. We will persist in successful action for better land use, for reforestation, * * * for better marketing facilities for farm commodities, for a definite reduction of farm tenancy, for encouragement of farmer cooperatives, for crop insurance and a stable food supply. For all these things we have only just begun to fight."

It is now the responsibility of all of us whose promises the farmers believed, to carry forward that fight and not turn from it until those things have been won. Neither individually nor as a party can we flee or postpone that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But I defy anyone to read together the Constitution and the opinion invalidating the A.A.A. and tell us what we can do for agriculture in this session of the Congress with even reasonable
certainty that what we do will be held constitutional?

Let us look at our next great problem — the industrial scene.

The farmers were not the only people in distress in 1932. There were millions of workers in industry and in commerce who had lost their jobs, millions of young people who had never been able to find their first job, and even more millions whose jobs did not return them enough to live on, according to the standards we have come to consider adequate for the American family. Millions of children were working for a few pennies who should not be working at all. And the inability of all these millions to find work at decent wages constantly tended, by a vicious circle, to increase still further the number out of work in the shop and on the farm.

The Democratic Party made pledges to industry and labor in 1932. It tried hard to keep them. W.R.A. made a gallant, desperate effort to reach all these problems at once, to raise wages, to reduce hours, to abolish child labor.

And as an indispensable part of an intelligent approach to the problem of employment and recovery, we tried to establish machinery for regularizing the relations between the employer and employee. We hoped that it would be functioning before there would break over us the threat of industrial warfare which might nip in the bud our
efforts for continued recovery.

And what happened?

The N.R.A. was outlawed as the Child Labor Statute had been outlawed twenty years before. The judicial nullification did not stop with a condemnation of legislative and administrative methods. If it had stopped there, corrective legislation could have cured the trouble. But the nullification extended to a sweeping denial to the Congress of the right to exercise powers repeatedly declared in previous opinions to reside in the National Government. And soon thereafter the Nation was told that although the Federal Government was powerless to touch the problem of hours and wages, the States were equally helpless and that we had to go on pretending to be intelligent in a Nation where there was no legal power to deal with its most difficult practical problems.

Furthermore, court injunctions have rendered futile the machinery which we devised to settle the great disputes that are now raging in the industrial field — and, indeed, to prevent such warfare from ever arising. We hope that these laws may yet escape condemnation. But, in effect, to date they have been useless as a practical instrument because the attitude and language which the courts have adopted in relation to many other laws have made the legality of these laws also uncertain.
In 1936, in addition to our platform pledges, you and I and all other Democrats promised this to the working man: "Of course we will continue to seek to improve working conditions for the workers of America -- to reduce hours over-long, to increase wages that spell starvation, to end the labor of children, to wipe out sweatshops.

"Of course we will provide useful work for the needy unemployed. For all these we have only just begun to fight."

And here again we cannot afford, either personally or as a party, to flee or postpone that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But who in the face of the language of the Supreme Court in the opinions concerning N.R.A., A.A.A., the Railroad Retirement Act and the Guffey Coal Act and the New York Minimum Wage Law, can tell us exactly what, if anything, we can do for the industrial worker.

Let us look at our next great problem -- the preservation of our natural resources.

During the course of the past four years we have been faced by natural disasters of flood and drought of unprecedented intensity. At times our productive land was being either blown away or washed away at a rate that might spell ruin even to this present generation. And in the meantime the loss of life and of property values has
been beyond anything we have known in our history.

If ever there was a policy to which sound reasons of national interest compel us, it is to do our utmost as a National Government to save our land from ruin and our people from the recurrence of such catastrophes. Modern engineering knows how to prevent those catastrophes — and knows how to produce the blessing of cheaper electric power as a by-product of that flood prevention.

With the Tennessee Valley Authority we made a beginning of that kind of prevention on an intelligent regional basis. With only two of its seven projected dams completed there was little flood damage in the valley of the Tennessee this Winter.

But how can we safely either complete that Tennessee project or extend the idea to the Ohio and other valleys while the validity of such operations has been placed in such doubt by the language of the highest courts of the Nation that the lowest courts do not hesitate to forbid its operations by injunctions.

The Ohio River is not conversant with the habits of the Interstate Commerce Clause. It does not listen to that or to any other King Canute, whether or not robed in ermine. But we shall never be safe as a people in our lives, in our property or in the heritage of our soil until we have somehow the Interstate Commerce Clause conversant with the habits of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl.
In our campaign you and I and all Democrats did take cognizance of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl. We said: "Of course we will continue our efforts * * * for drought and flood control * * *.* For these things we have only just begun to fight." Here, too, we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to run away from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. Let them try that advice on men piling sandbags on the dikes at Cairo. Who can read the opinions in the T.V.A. case, the Duke Power Case, the A.A.A. case and tell us exactly what, if anything, we can constitutionally do as a National Government in the way of flood control and drought control?

The doubts created by the language of the decisions already rendered and the attitude of the courts in those decisions throw a cloud in some degree on almost everything else that we have promised to fight for -- help for the crippled, for the blind, for the mothers -- insurance for the unemployed -- security for the aged -- protection of the consumer against monopoly and speculation -- protection of the honest employer and business men against monopoly, unfair competition and dishonorable trade practices -- protection of the investor against unscrupulous promoters -- curbs on the overweening power and the unholy practices of utility holding companies -- the wiping out of slums -- cheaper electricity in the homes and on the farms of America.
And as the American people understand performance of promises, we became pledged in honor bound to carry on and win the fight for those things the moment the election returns were complete. And that obligation was to begin that fight not sometime in the unpredictable future, but in the very next session of the Congress of the United States -- and to carry it on to a successful finish as soon as was humanly possible.

In this fight, as the lawyers themselves say, time is of the essence. We have been aiming at these results for nearly five years. Three elections have intervened during those five years, and in each of them, by increasing majorities, the people have told us that they want us to get these results. Those increasing majorities mean to me, and I think they must mean to you, that the people themselves realize the increasing urgency that we get results soon. All over the world events are moving with a rapidity we have never seen before. The risks of intervening events which may interfere with our economic plans are too great to take. We cannot afford to delay, and as your Chief Executive I am unwilling to take the responsibility of postponing one moment beyond absolute necessity the time when we can free from legal doubt the advance of our policies -- policies which have shown us the possibility of a permanently growing society on our old American foundations -- policies
which have thus far successfully brought us through dangers which
other nations have not managed to avoid.

We have found practical means to adapt the American way of
life to the modern world. We must find a way to adapt the words
of our law to that practical way of living. And we must do it now.
Floods and droughts and agricultural surpluses, strikes and indus-
trial confusion, incompetence and disorder, cannot be handled for-
ever on a temporary basis.

Millions of farmers wondering whether next year's prices will
meet their mortgage interest -- Now!

Millions of women laboring for long hours in cotton mills for
$5 a week -- Now!

Millions of children who should be at school, working in mines
and factories -- Now!

Strikes more far-reaching than we have ever known, costing
millions of dollars -- Now!

Spring floods threatening to roll down our river valleys -- Now!

The Dust Bowl beginning to blow again -- Now!

If we would keep faith with those who had faith in us, I say
we must act -- Now!

I might also have said to my visitor from Congress: 'John,
I have another ambition. It's not so great an ambition as that which
I have for the country. But it's an ambition which a life-long
Democrat need not believe unworthy. It's an ambition for the
Democratic Party.

"Today, we are the majority party enjoying national power,
enjoying the enormous privilege of being allowed to lead in the
solution of national problems. Over the greater part of its
history, our party has not been the majority party. It has found
difficulty in holding together for long the various sources of
strength which at times have made it the majority party. Some
have said that it was inevitable that the Democratic Party follow
this cycle of a short time in power and a long time out of power
because it was made up of elements without a common interest -- of
one kind of agricultural interest in the South, another kind in the
West, of the industrial workers of the great cities and of liberals
of all faiths who wanted results more than theories. What an un-
natural combination, the wiseacres have said. How can it expect
to prevail against the solid phalanxes of the stolidly cautious
reactionaries who so easily keep united about the simple platform
of saying "no, we can't do anything".

But to me that which the cynics call an unnatural combination
has always seemed the most natural unit in our political history.
For the Democratic Party expresses the essential unity of interests
of all the men and women of good will whose sense of good neighborliness and fairness and patriotism make them happier living and letting live for the common good of all than exploiting their fellows in over-acquisitiveness. It has always been the warm-hearted party of the common man. The lessons of the depression have taught it a new unity in economic interdependence. For the common man of the farms and mines of the South and West and the common man of the factory and the office of the North and East, now know that each is the other's customer and the other's source of prosperity.

The Democratic Party is, therefore, the logical leader in this struggle to keep this a Nation united through economic and political democracy. It has successfully carried on the experience of unity in spite of the diversity of its adherents. Under the liberal banner it has been able to rally those forces who believe that the enforcement of the human rights of the average man and woman is the proper solution of our major national problems. The job cannot be done by a party made up of one economic group dominated only by a desire never to change things as they are.

This Democratic Party is, therefore, a natural rallying point for all of those who truly believe in political and economic democracy. And in proportion, therefore, as the menace of political and economic democracy advances, so shall this party of ours. The reason you and
I can celebrate tonight is that all those believers in democracy felt a new enthusiasm and a new belief last November in the promises of the Democratic Party. Whether we shall be celebrating in 1941, and in 1945, as we are celebrating tonight, will deservedly depend upon whether we have justified their belief in us by meeting their needs.

For let us not forget that we gather here tonight to celebrate a victory which was not a victory over the pressing problems that perplex our generation, but only a victory whereby we won the opportunity to lead in the solution of those problems. It will be a victory for the American people only if we prove loyal to our trust. It will be a victory for the Democratic Party only if we prove able to lead the American people to a triumph over the evils and dangers that becloud the present day and menace their welfare in the days to come.

It will take courage to let our minds be bold and find the ways to meet those needs. But for the Democratic Party, the counsel of courage is as ever the counsel of wisdom.
MY FRIENDS AND FELLOW DEMOCRATS:

I feel that I am speaking tonight not only as the head of the Democratic Party but as the representative of all Americans who have faith in political and economic democracy.

For this occasion is more significant than a celebration of an electoral success. It signifies the approval by an overwhelming majority of citizens of all parties of the high purpose and the unremitting efforts with which an Administration directed by the Democratic Party has met the most bewildering problems in our national history.

Because that approval was truly national and truly popular, our party victory is all the more significant. It was not a sectional victory. It was not a victory which came from compromises and bargains. It came from twenty-seven million people -- and from every nook and corner of the land. It was an overwhelming response to a government in whose heart as well as whose head the people had confidence.

It was a response to the humanity of a government that could understand that the problems of the average men and women who make up the Nation are the problems of the Nation itself.

It was a response to the clear-sightedness of a government that could see the Nation as essentially one -- where the welfare
of each section and each group of citizens is the concern and the
welfare of every section and every group of citizens.

It was a response to the common sense of a government that
could appreciate the importance of purchasing power in our inter-
woven national life; that could see how the purchasing power of
the city dweller fixed the market price of the farmer's produce --
how the purchasing power of the farmer fixed the wages of the indus-
trial worker -- how the prosperity of all the rest of the population
depended upon the prosperity of these two great producing and pur-
chasing groups.

And above all it was a response of faith on the part of
millions who had lost or who had never had faith in the promises
of political parties and in the effectiveness of the democratic
method -- belief that at last a political leadership had been
found which would keep its promises to those who believed that
democracy could be made to work.

It was a response from those in other parties who found
that they could make the most practical progress toward their
ideals by working with the Democratic Party.

As the sum of all these responses, the Democratic Party, once
a minority party, is today the majority party by the greatest
majority any party ever had.

It will remain the majority party so long as it continues to justify those responses -- so long as it continues to make modern democracy work.

For three short months, there was uneasy concern of some of our supporters that we were going to find excuses not to justify those responses. They were worried, as at an evil symptom, because the propaganda and the epithets of last Summer and Fall had died down. Today, however, those who placed their confidence in us are reassured. For the tumult and the shouting have broken forth anew -- from substantially the same sources. And the roar of it is the best evidence in the world that we have begun to keep our promises, that we have begun to move against conditions under which one-third of this Nation are ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed. It is the trumpet of the march of democracy.

A few days ago, a distinguished member of Congress came to see me to talk about national problems in general and about the problem of the Judiciary in particular.

I said to him:

"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal to me -- something that you have a right to hear from my own lips. I have a great ambition in life."
majority any party ever had.

But as we celebrate, let us remember that it will remain the majority party only so long as it continues to justify those responses—only so long as it continues to make democracy work.

You and I remember the propaganda and the epithets of last Summer and Fall. For three short months thereafter the extravagant abuse tempered. Today, however, the tumult and the shouting have broken forth anew. That should reassure those who placed their confidence in us. It is the best evidence in the world that we have begun to keep our promises, that we have begun to move against conditions under which one-third of this Nation are ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed. It is the trumpet of our march.

A few days ago, a distinguished member of Congress came to see me to talk about national problems in general and about the problem of the Judiciary in particular.

I said to him:

"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal to me -- something that you have a right to hear from my own lips. I have a great ambition in life."
My friend pricked up his ears.

I went on: "I am by no means satisfied with having twice been elected President of the United States by very large majorities. I have an even greater ambition."

By this time, my friend was sitting on the edge of his chair.

I continued: "John, my ambition relates to January 20, 1941."

I could feel just what my friend was thinking. So in order to relieve his anxiety, I went on to say:

"John", I said, "My great ambition on January 20, 1941, is to turn over this desk and chair in the White House to my successor, whoever he may be, with the assurance that I am at the same time turning over to him, as President, a Nation intact, a Nation at peace, a Nation prosperous, a Nation clear in its knowledge of what powers it has to serve its own citizens, a Nation that in a position to make those powers to the full to move forward steadily to meet the modern needs of humanity -- a Nation which has thus proved that the democratic form and methods of national government can and will succeed."

"I have only three-and-a-half short years left to do what I can to provide such assurance. I want to get as far along the road of progress as I can. But at any rate I do not want to leave our country to my successor in the condition in which Buchanan left
it to Lincoln."

That ambition of mine for my successor, my friends, can well be the serious ambition of every citizen of the United States who wants the United States to be handed down intact to his children and grandchildren.

My friend and I spoke in the dead earnestness of anxiety. I speak to you tonight in the same earnestness. For no one who sees as a whole the picture of this Nation and the world today can help but be concerned for the days that lie ahead. To the President of the United States there come every day thousands of messages of fact and thought, messages of appeal, of protest, of information, messages from rich and poor, from business man and farmer and factory employee and relief worker, messages from every nook and corner of our wide domain. And to the President of the United States come daily messages of the condition of affairs abroad.

America lies many thousands of miles away from scenes of turmoil on other Continents. But those messages tell me that on this hemisphere and within this Nation there are insistent demands for the satisfaction of human needs which cannot be long thwarted—

(Substitute rider)

[The danger is that these great human forces may move here]
in ways in which they have moved and are moving in other lands.

The most striking feature of the life of this generation --
and the feature which men who have lived in another generation can
least understand -- is the ever-accelerating speed at which human
movements gather way.

The machine age and the disillusiones of depression have given
them double wings. Transportation and communications and produc-
tive methods have not speeded up inanimate things alone. The needs
of humanity along social and economic lines now can express them-
selves far more quickly. The demand for them now can become
crystallized far more quickly. The necessity for doing something
about them now can become irresistible far more quickly.

A century ago, fifty years ago, even twenty-five years ago,
the movements of civilization were far slower. The issue of slavery,
for example, took at least forty years -- two generations of argu-
ment, discussion and futile compromise -- before it came to a head
in the great War Between the States.

In those days, all human surges toward liberty and free govern-
ment crept along at the same slow pace. Today they fly.

Today economic freedom for the wage earner and the farmer and
the small business man cannot be delayed as was personal freedom
for the slave.
in ways in which they have moved and are moving in other lands.

The most striking feature of modern life is the ever-accelerating speed with which these human forces move. The machine age has given them wings.

Transportation and communications and productive methods have not speeded up alone. The needs of humanity along social and economic lines can express themselves far more quickly. The demand for them can become crystallized far more quickly. The necessity for doing something about them can become irresistible far more quickly.

A century ago the movements of civilization were far slower. The issue of slavery, for example, took at least forty years -- two generations of argument, discussion and futile compromise -- before it came to a head in the great War Between the States. In those days, all human surges toward liberty and free government proceeded at the same slow pace.

But today economic freedom for the wage earner and the farmer and the small business man cannot be delayed so long as was personal freedom for the slave.

It will not wait, like emancipation, for forty years. It will not wait for four years. It will not wait at all.
It will not wait, like emancipation, for forty years. It will not wait for four years. It will not wait at all.

After the disorganization and the disillusion that followed the World War the people of every civilized nation became articulate in their want of certain results from government they had never dared hope for before. And they demanded action to correct obvious failures to produce these results.

In some countries, the imperial or royalist form of government failed to meet these demands and the monarchy fell and was replaced with other forms. In other countries, the parliamentary form of government failed to meet these demands and it too fell before the establishment of open or disguised dictatorships. In still other nations, although the form of government has remained unchanged, civil strife has flared and threats of upheaval persist.

There is a lesson in all this for the United States. All of these great changes have come from an insistent demand on the part of the people themselves for action and efficiency to bring economic and social order out of chaos.

Democracy in many lands has failed to meet that demand. Let's admit it. But, in admitting it, we can recognize that democracy has not failed and does not need to fail in the United States, and can say
After the disorganization of the World War the people in every civilized nation became conscious that they wanted certain results from government which they never had asked before. They became conscious of the need for action to correct obvious failures to produce these results.

In some countries, imperial or royalist form of government failed to meet these demands, leading to the overthrow of monarchy and its replacement with other forms. In other countries, the parliamentary form of government failed to meet their demands, leading to its overthrow and the establishment of open or disguised dictatorships. In still other nations, although the form of government has remained unchanged, civil strife has flared and threats of upheaval persist.

There is a lesson in all this for the United States. All of these great changes have come from an insistent demand on the part of the people themselves that inability to bring economic and social order out of chaos be replaced — a demand for action and efficiency, even at the unhappy price of their traditional form of government.

Democracy has failed in many lands. Why not admit it. But, in admitting it, we should recognize that democracy has not failed and does not need to fail in the United States, and should say to
ourselves with firmness and courage "We do not purpose to let it fail." I cannot tell you with complete candor that in these past few years democracy in the United States has fully succeeded. Nor can I tell you, under present circumstances, just where American democracy is headed nor just what it can do to direct itself. I can hope, but I cannot assure. No one can assure you. (Because) for today no one knows what action the American legal system will permit it to take to preserve itself. There lies the challenge which we must meet.

…have felt the trend of the world, they
Our people, too, have long been coming to expect more from their government. What you and I call the principles of the New Deal were not born on the fourth of March, 1933. Their roots go back many years before that. We think of that date as their beginning, because it was then that [those principles] broke through the inertia of twelve years [during which there had been little or no progressive improvement in our social and economic processes. Yet, during all and those twelve years the demand/the need for social and economic reform, though not visible on the surface, were [present] — gathering [power] like a vast underground stream ready to burst forth at the first opportunity.

What were those demands and needs? What did we do about them? How far did we succeed in meeting them? Where are they now?
The most pressing demands are familiar to us all.

Ever since the World War the farmers of America had been beset by ever-mounting troubles. The prices of crops they raised were at bankruptcy levels -- at the mercy of speculators and of world conditions over which they had no control. They were losing their homes and their farms.

The Democratic Party pledged itself to the farmers. It tried to help the farmers.

As a National Government we gave the farmers the Agricultural Adjustment Act and the Farm Credit Administration.

As a National Government, by these and other methods, we undertook to work out a national program -- to curb the piling up of vast crop surpluses -- to raise crop prices until the farmers' earnings were nearer to parity with the earnings of other workers -- to increase markets for farm products and decrease speculation in farm commodities -- to refinance farm debts at a lower interest cost -- and thereby assure adequate food and fibre supplies for the whole Nation.

All this we did in full faith and confidence that the very nature of our major crops makes them articles of commerce between the States, with full faith and confidence that the preservation of sound agriculture is essential to the general welfare. For
those reasons we assumed in the naivete of common sense that the Congress of the United States had full constitutional authority to solve the economic problems of the Nation's agriculture.

That faith and confidence was embodied in the original A.A.A. statute. You know what happened to that statute.

In 1936 the Democratic Party pledged itself once more to attack the problem. We said: "Of course we will continue our efforts in behalf of the farmers of America. With their continued cooperation we will do all in our power to end the piling up of huge surpluses which spelled ruinous prices for their crops. We will persist in successful action for better land use, for reforestation, for better marketing facilities for farm commodities, for a definite reduction of farm tenancy, for encouragement of farmer cooperatives, for crop insurance and a stable food supply. For all these things we have only just begun to fight."

It is now the responsibility of all of us whose promises the farmers believed, to carry forward that fight and not turn from it until those things have been won. Neither individually nor as a party can we flee or postpone that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But I defy anyone to read the Constitution and the opinion invalidating the A.A.A. and tell us what we can do for agriculture in this session of the Congress with even reasonable
certainty that what we do will be held constitutional?

Let us look at our next great problem -- the industrial scene.

The farmers were not the only people in distress in 1932. There were millions of workers in industry and in commerce who had lost their jobs, millions of young people who had never been able to find their first job, and even more millions whose jobs did not return them enough to live on, according to the standards we have come to consider adequate for the American family. Millions of children were working for a few pennies who should not be working at all. The inability of all these millions to find work at decent wages constantly tended, by a vicious circle, to increase still further the number out of work in the shop and on the farm.

The Democratic Party made pledges to industry and labor in 1932. It tried hard to keep them. [N.R.A.] made a gallant, desperate effort to reach [all] these problems [at once] to raise wages, to reduce hours, to abolish child labor.

And as an indispensable part of an intelligent approach to the problem of employment and recovery, we tried to establish machinery for regularizing the relations between the employer and employee. (operating monthly) We hoped that it would be functioning before there would break over us the threat of industrial warfare which might nip in the bud our
efforts for continued recovery.

And what happened?

The N.R.A. was outlawed as the Child Labor Statute had been outlawed twenty years before. The judicial nullification did not stop with a condemnation of legislative and administrative methods.

If it had stopped there, corrective legislation could have cured the trouble. But the nullification extended to a sweeping denial to the Congress of the right to exercise powers repeatedly declared in previous opinions to reside in the National Government. Soon thereafter the Nation was told that although the Federal Government was powerless to touch the problem of hours and wages, the States were equally helpless and that we had to go on pretending to be intelligent in a Nation where there was no legal power to deal with its most difficult practical problems.

Furthermore, court injunctions have rendered futile the machin-

warfare from ever arising -- have been rendered futile by court injunctions. We hope that laws creating this machinery may yet escape condemnation. But, in effect, to date they have been useless as a practical instrument because the attitude and language which the courts have adopted in relation to many other laws have made the legality of these laws also uncertain and have encouraged those whose duty it is to cooperate with these laws to defy them.
efforts for continued recovery.

And what happened?

The N.R.A. was outlawed as the Child Labor Statute had been outlawed twenty years before. The judicial nullification did not stop with a condemnation of legislative and administrative methods. If it had stopped there, corrective legislation could have cured the trouble. But the nullification extended to a sweeping denial to the Congress of the right to exercise powers repeatedly declared in previous opinions to reside in the National Government. [And] soon thereafter the Nation was told that although the Federal Government was powerless to touch the problem of hours and wages, the States were equally helpless and that we had to go on pretending to be intelligent in a Nation where there was no legal power to deal with its most difficult practical problems.

Furthermore, court injunctions have rendered futile the machinery which we devised to settle the great disputes that are now raging in the industrial field -- and, indeed, to prevent such warfare from ever arising. We hope that these laws may yet escape condemnation. But to date they have been useless as a practical instrument because the attitude and language which the courts have adopted in relation to many other laws have made the legality of these laws also uncertain, and have encouraged those who have urged that
In 1936, in addition to our platform pledges, you and I and all other Democrats promised this to the working man: "Of course we will continue to seek to improve working conditions for the workers of America -- to reduce hours over-long, to increase wages that spell starvation, to end the labor of children, to wipe out sweatshops.

"Of course we will provide useful work for the needy unemployed. For all these we have only just begun to fight."

And here again we cannot afford, either personally or as a party, to flee or postpone that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But who in the face of the language of the Supreme Court in the opinions concerning N.R.A., A.A.A., the Railroad Retirement Act and the Guffey Coal Act and the New York Minimum Wage Law, can tell us exactly what, if anything, we can do for the industrial worker. 

Let us look at our next great problem -- the preservation of
In 1936, in addition to our platform pledges, you and I and all other Democrats promised this to the working man: "Of course we will continue to seek to improve working conditions for the workers of America -- to reduce hours over-long, to increase wages that spell starvation, to end the labor of children, to wipe out sweatshops.

"Of course we will provide useful work for the needy unemployed. For all these we have only just begun to fight."

And here again we cannot afford, either personally or as a party, to flee or postpone that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But who in the face of the language of the Supreme Court in the opinions concerning N.R.A., A.A.A., the Railroad Retirement Act and the Guffey Coal Act and the New York Minimum Wage Law, can tell us exactly what, if anything, we can do for the industrial worker. *Rider*

Let us look at our next great problem -- the preservation of our natural resources.

During the course of the past four years we have been faced by natural disasters of flood and drought of unprecedented intensity. At times our productive land was being either blown away or washed away at a rate that might spell ruin even to this present generation. And in the meantime the loss of life and of property values has
been beyond anything we have known in our history.

If ever there was a policy to which sound reasons of national interest compel us, it is to do our utmost as a National Government to save our land from ruin and our people from the recurrence of such catastrophes. Modern engineering knows how to prevent those catastrophes -- and knows how to produce the blessing of cheaper electric power as a by-product of that flood prevention.

With the Tennessee Valley Authority we made a beginning of that kind of prevention on an intelligent regional basis. With only two of its seven projected dams completed there was little flood damage in the valley of the Tennessee this Winter.

But how can we safely either complete that Tennessee project or extend the idea to the Ohio and other valleys while the validity of such operations has been placed in such doubt by the language of the highest courts of the Nation that the lowest courts do not hesitate to forbid its operations by injunctions.

The Ohio River is not conversant with the habits of the Interstate Commerce Clause. It does not listen to that or to any other King Canute, whether or not robed in ermine. But we shall never be safe as a people in our lives, in our property or in the heritage of our soil until we have somehow the Interstate Commerce Clause conversant with the habits of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl.
In our campaign you and I and all Democrats did take cognizance of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl. We said: "Of course we will continue our efforts * * * for drought and flood control * * *. For these things we have only just begun to fight." Here, too, we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to run away from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. Let them try that advice on men piling sandbags on the dikes at Cairo. Who can read the opinions in the T.V.A. case, the Duke Power Case, the A.A.A. case and tell us exactly what, if anything, we can constitutionally do as a National Government in the way of flood control and drought control?

The doubts created by the language of the decisions already rendered and the attitude of the courts in those decisions throw a cloud in some degree on almost everything else that we have promised to fight for -- help for the crippled, for the blind, for the mothers -- insurance for the unemployed -- security for the aged -- protection of the consumer against monopoly and speculation -- protection of the honest employer and business man against monopoly, unfair competition and dishonorable trade practices -- protection of the investor against unscrupulous promoters -- curbs on the overweening power and the unholy practices of utility holding companies -- the wiping out of slums -- cheaper electricity in the homes and on the farms of America.
And as the American people understand performance of promises, we became pledged in honor bound to carry on and win the fight for those things the moment the election returns were complete. And that obligation was to begin that fight not sometime in the unpredictable future, but in the very next session of the Congress of the United States -- and to carry it on to a successful finish as soon as was humanly possible.

In this fight, as the lawyers themselves say, time is of the essence. We have been aiming at these results for nearly five years. Three elections have intervened during those five years, and in each of them, by increasing majorities, the people have told us that they want us to get these results. Those increasing majorities mean to me, and I think they must mean to you, that the people themselves realize the increasing urgency that we get results soon. All over the world events are moving with a rapidity we have never seen before. The risks of intervening events which may interfere with our economic plans are too great to take. We cannot afford to delay, and as your Chief Executive I am unwilling to take the responsibility of postponing one moment beyond absolute necessity the time when we can free from legal doubt the advance of our policies -- policies which have shown us the possibility of a permanently growing society on our old American foundations -- policies
which have thus far successfully brought us through dangers which
other nations have not managed to avoid.

We have found practical means to adapt the American way of
life to the modern world. We must find a way to adapt the words
of our law to that practical way of living. And we must do it now.
Floods and droughts and agricultural surpluses, strikes and indus-
trial confusion, incompetence and disorder, cannot be handled for-
ever on a temporary basis.

Millions of farmers wondering whether next year's prices will
meet their mortgage interest -- Now!

Millions of women laboring for long hours in cotton mills for
$5 a week -- Now!

Millions of children who should be at school, working in mines
and factories -- Now!

Strikes more far-reaching than we have ever known, costing
millions of dollars -- Now!

Spring floods threatening to roll down our river valleys -- Now!

The Dust Bowl beginning to blow again -- Now!

If we would keep faith with those who had faith in us, I say
we must act -- Now!

I might also have said to my visitor from Congress: "John,
I have another ambition. It's not so great an ambition as that which
I have for the country. But it's an ambition which a life-long Democrat need not believe unworthy. It's an ambition for the Democratic Party.

"Today, we are the majority party enjoying national power, enjoying the enormous privilege of being allowed to lead in the solution of national problems. Over the greater part of its history, our party has not been the majority party. It has found difficulty in holding together for long the various sources of strength which at times have made it the majority party. Some have said that it was inevitable that the Democratic Party follow this cycle of a short time in power and a long time out of power because it was made up of elements without a common interest -- of one kind of agricultural interest in the South, another kind in the West, of the industrial workers of the great cities and of liberals of all faiths who wanted results more than theories. What an unnatural combination, the wiseacres have said. How can it expect to prevail against the solid phalanxes of the stolidly cautious reactionaries who so easily keep united about the simple platform of saying "no, we can't do anything".

"But to me that which the cynics call an unnatural combination has always seemed the most natural unit in our political history. For the Democratic Party expresses the essential unity of interests
of all the men and women of good will whose sense of good neighborliness and fairness and patriotism make them happier living and letting live for the common good of all than exploiting their fellows in over-acquisitiveness. It has always been the warm-hearted party of the common man. The lessons of the depression have taught a new unity in economic interdependence. For the common man of the farms and mines of the South and West and the common man of the factory and the office of the North and East, now know that each is the other's customer and the other's source of prosperity.

The Democratic Party is, therefore, the logical leader in this struggle to keep this a Nation united through economic and political democracy. It has successfully carried on the experience of unity in spite of the diversity of its adherents. Under the liberal banner it has been able to rally those forces who believe that the enforcement of the human rights of the average man and woman is the proper solution of our major national problems. The job cannot be done by a party made up of one economic group dominated only by a desire never to change things as they are.

This Democratic Party is, therefore, a natural rallying point for all of those who truly believe in political and economic democracy. The reason you and
I can celebrate tonight is that all those believers in democracy felt a new enthusiasm and a new belief last November in the promises of the Democratic Party. Whether we shall be celebrating in 1941, and in 1945, as we are celebrating tonight, will deservedly depend upon whether we have justified their belief in us by meeting their needs.

For let us not forget that we gather here tonight to celebrate a victory which was not a victory over the pressing problems that perplex our generation, but only a victory whereby we won the opportunity to lead in the solution of those problems. It will be a victory for the American people only if we prove loyal to our trust. It will be a victory for the Democratic Party only if we prove able to lead the American people to a triumph over the evils and dangers that becloud the present day and menace their welfare in the days to come.

It will take courage to let our minds be bold and find the ways to meet those needs. But for the Democratic Party, the counsel of courage is as ever the counsel of wisdom.
MY FRIENDS AND FELLOW DEMOCRATS:

I feel that I am speaking tonight not only as the head of the Democratic Party but as the representative of all Americans who have faith in political and economic democracy.

This occasion is more significant than a celebration of success in maintaining office. It signalizes the approval by an overwhelming majority of citizens of all parties of the high purpose and the unremitting efforts with which the administration directed by the Democratic Party has met the most embarrassing problems in our national history.

Because that approval was truly national and truly popular, our party victory is all the more significant. It was not a sectional victory. It was not a victory which came from compromises and bargains. It came from twenty-seven million people -- and from every nook and corner of the land. It was an overwhelming response because the people had confidence in a government in whose heart as well as whose head the people had confidence.

It was a response to the humanity of a government that understood that the problems of the average men and women who make up the Nation are the problems of the Nation itself.

It was a response to the clear-sightedness of a government
that could see the Nation as essentially one -- where the welfare
of each section and each group of citizens is the concern and the
welfare of every section and every group of citizens.

It was a response to the common sense of a government that
could appreciate the importance of purchasing power in our inter-
woven national life; that could see how the purchasing power of
the city dweller fixed the market price of the farmer's produce --
how the purchasing power of the farmer fixed the wages of the in-
dustrial worker -- how the prosperity of all the rest of the popula-
tion depended upon the prosperity of these two great producing and
purchasing groups.

It was a response of practicality from those in other parties
who found that they could make the most practical progress toward
their ideals by working with the Democratic Party.

And above all it was a response of faith on the part of mil-
lions who had lost or who had never had faith in the promises of
political parties and in the effectiveness of the democratic
method -- belief that at last a political leadership had been
found which would keep its promises to those who believed that
democracy could be made to work.

As the sum of all these responses, the Democratic Party, once
a minority party, is today the majority party by the greatest
majority any party ever had.

It will remain the majority party so long as it continues to
justify those responses -- so long as it continues to make modern
democracy work -- so long and no longer. And if I have
ought to say it will continue on its course.

For those short months, there was uneasy concern of some of
our supporters that we were going to find excuses not to justify
those responses. They were worried, as at an evil symptom, be-
cause the propaganda and the epithets of last Summer and Fall had
died down. Today, however, those who placed their confidence in
us are reassured. For the tumult and the shouting have broken
forth anew -- from substantially the same sources. And the roar
of it is the best evidence in the world that we have begun to keep
our promises, that we have begun to move against conditions under
which one-third of this Nation is ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-
Our real opponents are playing for us
housed. The trumpet of the march of democracy.

A few days ago, a distinguished member of Congress came to
see me to talk about national problems in general and about the
problem of the Judiciary in particular.

I said to him:

"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal
to me -- something that you have a right to hear from my own
lips. I have a great ambition in life."

My friend pricked up his ears.

I went on: "I am by no means satisfied with having twice
been elected President of the United States by very large majorities. I have an even greater ambition."

By this time, my friend was sitting on the edge of his chair.

I continued: "John, my ambition relates to January 20, 1941."

I could feel just what my friend was thinking. So in order to
relieve his anxiety, I went on to say:

"John, my great ambition on January 20, 1941, is to turn over
this desk and chair in the White House to my successor, whoever
he may be, with the assurance that I am at the same time turning
over to him, as President, a Nation intact, a Nation at peace, a
Nation prosperous, a Nation clear in its knowledge of what powers
it has to serve its own citizens, a Nation that is in a position
to use those powers to the full to move forward steadily to meet
the modern needs of humanity -- a Nation which has thus proved
that the democratic form and methods of national government can and
will succeed."

"I have only three and a half short years left to do what
I have been trying to do for the last four years. I want
from you to provide such assurance. I want to get as far along the
road of progress as I can. I do not want to leave our country to
my successor in the condition in which Buchanan left it to Lincoln."

That ambition of mine for my successor, my friends, can well be the serious ambition of every citizen of the United States who wants the United States to be handed down intact to his children and grandchildren.

My friend and I spoke in the dead earnestness of anxiety. I speak to you tonight in the same earnestness. For no one who sees as a whole the picture of this Nation and the world today can help but be concerned for the days that lie ahead.

To the President of the United States there come every day thousands of messages of fact and thought, messages of appeal, of protest, of information, messages from rich and poor, from business man and farmer and factory employee and relief worker, messages from every nook and corner of our wide domain. And to the President of the United States come daily messages of the condition of affairs abroad.

America lies many thousands of miles away from scenes of turmoil on other Continents. But those messages tell me that on this hemisphere and within this Nation there are insistent demands for the satisfaction of human needs which cannot be long thwarted -- which wisdom will try to guide, not to block. Unrecognized, un-guided these great human forces may move here in ways in which
they have moved and are moving in other lands.

The most striking feature of the life of this generation -- and the feature which men who have lived in another generation can least understand -- is the ever-accelerating speed at which human movements gather way.

The machine age and the depression have given them wings. Transportation and communication, and production have not speeded up alone. The needs of humanity along social and economic lines now express themselves far more quickly. The demand for them now becomes crystallized far more quickly. The necessity for doing something about them now becomes irresistible far more quickly.

A century ago, fifty years ago, even twenty-five years ago, the movements of civilization were far slower. The issue of slavery, for example, took at least forty years -- two generations of argument, discussion and futile compromise -- before it came to a head in the War Between the States.

In those days, all human surges toward liberty and free government crept along at the same slow pace. Today they:

Today economic freedom for the wage earner and the farmer and the small business men cannot be delayed as was personal freedom for the slave.

Draft #4
It will not wait, like emancipation, for forty years. It will
not wait for four years. It will not wait at all.

After the disorganization and the disillusion that followed
the World War the people of every civilized nation became articu-
late in their sense of discontent from government, they had
never felt before, and they demanded action to correct
obvious failures to produce these results.

In some countries, the royalist form of government
failed to meet these demands and the monarchy fell and was replaced
by other forms. In other countries, the parliamentary form of
government failed to meet these demands and it too fell before the
 establishment of open or disguised dictatorships. In still other
nations, although the form of government has remained unchanged,
civil strife has flared and threats of upheaval persist.

There is a lesson in all this for the United States. All of
these great changes have come from an insistent demand on the
part of the people themselves for action and efficiency to bring
economic and social order out of chaos.

Democracy in many lands has failed to meet that demand.

Let's admit it. But, in admitting it, we can still assert that
democracy has not failed and does not need to fail in the United
States.
not purpose to let it fail.

I cannot tell you with complete candor that in these past few years democracy in the United States has fully succeeded. Nor can I tell you, under present circumstances, just where American democracy is headed nor just what it can do to direct itself. I can hope, but I cannot assure. No one can assure you today, because today no one knows what action the American legal system will permit American democracy to take to preserve itself.

There lies the challenge which we must meet.

Our people have felt the trend of the world. They, too, have long been coming to expect more from their government. What you and I call the principles of the New Deal were not born on the fourth of March, 1933. Their roots go back many years before that. We think of that date as their beginning, because it was only then that they broke through the inertia of twelve years of little or no measurable improvement in our social and economic processes. Yet, during all those twelve years the demand and the need for social and economic reform, though not visible on the surface, were rising -- gathering head like a vast underground stream ready to burst forth at the first opportunity.

What were those demands and needs? What did we do about them? How far did we succeed in meeting them? Where are they now?
The most pressing demands are familiar to us all.

Ever since the World War the farmers of America have been beating off ever-mounting troubles. The prices of farmers' crops fell to bankruptcy levels -- at the mercy of speculators and of world conditions over which the farmers had no control. They were losing their homes and their farms.

administration oversight

The Democratic Party really tried to help the farmer where no Administration had dared to take the risks before.

As a National Government, our Administration gave the farmers the Agricultural Adjustment Act and the Farm Credit Administration.

As a National Government, by these and other methods, we undertook to work out a national program to assure adequate food and fibre supplies for the whole Nation -- to curb the piling up of vast crop surpluses -- to raise crop prices until the farmers' earnings were nearer to parity with the earnings of other workers -- to increase markets for farm products and decrease speculation in farm commodities -- to refinance farm debts at a lower interest cost.

All this we did in full faith and confidence that the very nature of our major crops makes them articles of commerce between the States. All we did was done in the name of the Nation, full faith and confidence that the preservation of sound agriculture is essential to the general
welfare. For these reasons we assumed in the
believe that the Congress of the United States had full consti-
tutional authority to solve the economic problems of the Nation's
agriculture. The nature, by overwhelming vote to the

That faith and confidence was embodied in the original A.A.A.
statute. You know what happened to that statute.

In 1936 the Democratic Party pledged itself once more to
attack the problem. It said: "Of course we will continue our
efforts in behalf of the farmers of America. With their continued
cooperation we will do all in our power to end the piling up of
huge surpluses which spelled ruinous prices for their crops. We
will persist in successful action for better land use, for refor-
estation, for better marketing facilities for farm commodities,
for a definite reduction of farm tenancy, for encouragement of
farmer cooperatives, for crop insurance and a stable food supply.
For all these things we have only just begun to fight."

It is now the responsibility of all of us whose promises the
farmers believed, to carry forward that fight and not turn from
it until those things have been won. Neither individually nor as
a party can we flee or postpone that fight on advice of defeatist
lawyers. We did not expect the farmers to wait to vote for us
for years to come. But I defy anyone
to read the majority opinion invalidating the A.A.A. and tell us what we can do for agriculture in this session of the Congress with even reasonable certainty that what we do will be constitutional?

Let us look at our next great problem -- the industrial scene.

The farmers were not the only people in distress in 1932. There were millions of workers in industry and in commerce who had lost their jobs, millions of young people who had never been able to find their first job, and even more millions whose jobs did not return them enough to live on. According to the standards we have come to consider adequate for the American family, millions of children were working for a few pennies who should not be working at all. The inability of all these millions to find work at decent wages constantly tended, by a vicious circle, to increase still further the number out of work in the shop and on the farm.

The Democratic Party made pledges to industry and labor in 1932. It tried hard to keep them. We made a gallant effort to reach these problems, to raise wages, to reduce hours, to abolish child labor.

And as an indispensable part of an intelligent approach to the problem of employment and recovery, we tried to establish machinery for mediating the relations between the employer and employee.
We hoped that it would be operating smoothly before there would break over us industrial warfare which might nip in the bud our efforts for continued recovery.

And what happened?

The N.R.A. was outlawed as the Child Labor Statute had been outlawed twenty years before. The judicial nullification did not stop with a condemnation of legislative and administrative methods, but extended to a sweeping denial to the Congress of the right to exercise powers repeatedly declared in previous opinions to reside in the National Government.

Soon thereafter the Nation was told by a judicial that although the Federal Government was powerless to touch the problem of hours and wages, the States were equally helpless and that we had to go on pretending to be intelligent in a Nation where there was no legal power to deal with its most difficult practical problems -

*Women's Land of Final Futility*.

Meanwhile the machinery which we devised to settle the great disputes that are now raging in the industrial field -- and, indeed, to prevent such warfare from ever arising -- has been rendered futile by court injunctions. We hope that laws creating this machinery may yet escape condemnation. But, in effect, to date they have been useless as a practical instrument because the attitude and language which the courts have adopted in relation to many other
laws have made the legality of these laws also uncertain and have
couraged those whose duty it is to cooperate with these laws,

In 1936, in addition to our platform pledged, you and I

all other Democrats promised this to the working man: "Of course
we will continue to seek to improve working conditions for the
workers of America — to reduce hours over-long, to increase wages
that spell starvation, to end the labor of children, to wipe out
sweatshops.

"Of course we will provide useful work for the needy unem-
ployed. For all these we have only just begun to fight."

And here again we cannot afford, either personally or as a
party, to flee or postpone that fight on advice of defeatist law-
yers. But who in the face of the language of the Supreme Court in
the opinions concerning N.R.A., A.A.A., the Railroad Retirement
Act and the Cullfey Coal Act and the New York Minimum Wage Law, can
tell us exactly what, if anything, we can do for the industrial
worker. However carefully and prayerfully we may study the Constit-
tution and the writings of the Founding Fathers, we cannot prevent
those who will not understand the needs of the present generation
from adopting a tortured construction of the Constitution or from
reading into the fundamental law of the land their own personal
Let us look at our next great problem -- the preservation of our natural resources.

During the course of the past four years we have been faced by natural disasters of flood and drought of unprecedented intensity. At times our productive land was being either blown away or washed away at a rate that might spell ruin even to this present generation. And in the meantime the loss of property values has been beyond anything we have known in our history.

If ever there was a policy to which sound reasons of national interest compel us, it is to do our utmost as a National Government to save our land from ruin and our people from the recurrence of such catastrophes. Modern engineering knows how to protect us from those catastrophes -- and knows how to produce the blessing of cheaper electric power as a by-product of that protection.

With the Tennessee Valley Authority we made a beginning of that kind of prevention on an intelligent regional basis. With only two of its seven projected dams completed there was little flood damage in the valley of the Tennessee this Winter.

But how can we safely either complete that Tennessee project or extend the idea to the Ohio and other valleys while the validity of such operations has been placed in such doubt by the language of
the highest courts of the Nation that the lowest courts do not hesitate to paralyze its operations by sweeping injunctions.

The Ohio River is not conversant with the habits of the Interstate Commerce Clause. It does not need that or any other thing.

But we shall never be safe as a people in our lives, in our property or in the heritage of our soil until we have somehow made the Interstate Commerce Clause conversant with the habits of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl.

In our campaign you and I and all did take cognizance of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl. We said: "Of course we will continue our efforts for drought and flood control. For these things we have only just begun to fight." Here, too, we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to run away from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. Let them try that advice on men piling sandbags on the dikes at Cairo. Who can read the opinions in the T.V.A. case, the Duke Power Case, the A.A.A. Case and tell us exactly what, if anything, we can constitutionally do as a National Government of flood control and drought control?

The language of the decisions already rendered, the attitude of the courts in those decisions and the new refusal to obey the
law engendered by that attitude, throw a cloud of doubt over almost everything else that we have promised to fight for -- help for the crippled, for the blind, for the mothers -- insurance for the unemployed -- security for the aged -- protection of the consumer against monopoly and speculation -- protection of the honest employer and business man against monopoly, unfair competition and dishonorable trade practices -- protection of the investor against unscrupulous promoters -- curbs on the overweening power and the unholy practices of utility holding companies -- the wiping out of slums -- cheaper electricity in the homes and on the farms of America. You and I owe it to ourselves and to our country to remove that cloud of doubt.

As the American people understand promises, we became pledged in honor bound to carry on and win the fight for those things the moment the election returns were complete. That obligation was to begin that fight not sometime in the unpredictable future, but in the very next session of the Congress of the United States -- and to carry it on to a successful finish as soon as humanly possible.

In this fight, as the lawyers themselves say, time is of the essence. We have been trying to give the majority of people what they asked for nearly five years. During those five years three elections have intervened. In each of them we have had majorities for what we are trying to do. To me, and I am sure to
you, those increasing majorities mean that the people themselves realize the increasing urgency that we meet their needs soon.

All over the world events are moving with a rapidity we have never seen before. Every month of delay creates risks of intervening events which may make more and more difficult an intelligent and democratic solution of our difficulties.

We cannot wait to build a new waterworks before we start to put out present fires -- particularly when we can't hope to start to build a new waterworks until we have fought to the death over what kind of waterworks we shall build.

As Chief Executive and as the head of the Democratic Party, I am unwilling to take the risks -- to the country and to the party -- of postponing one moment beyond absolute necessity the time when we can free from legal doubt the political problems of our party.

Those policies have shown us the possibility of a permanently growing society on our old American foundations. Those policies have thus far successfully brought us through dangers which other nations have not managed to avoid.

Those policies have embodied practical means to adapt the American way of life to the modern world.

We must now find a way to adapt the spirit of our law to that...
practical way of living. And we must do it now. Floods and
droughts and agricultural surpluses, strikes and industrial con-
fusion, incompetence and disorder, cannot be handled forever on
a catch-as-catch-can basis.

One-third of a Nation ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed --

Now!

Thousands upon thousands

of farmers wondering whether next year's prices will
meet their mortgage interest -- Now!

Thousands upon thousands

of women laboring for long hours in

inadequate pay -- Now!

Millions of children who should be at school, working in mines

and factories -- Now!

Strikes more far-reaching than we have ever known, costing

millions of dollars -- Now!

Spring floods threatening to roll down our river valleys --

Now!

The Dust Bowl beginning to blow again -- Now!

If we would keep faith with those who had faith in us, if we
would have the courage of self-preservation, I say we must act -- Now!

I might well have finished my conversation with my visitor
from Congress in this way: "John, I have another ambition -- not
so great an ambition as that which I have for the country, but an
ambition which a life-long Democrat need not believe unworthy. It is an ambition for the Democratic Party.

"Today, we are the majority party in a nation rich in national power, enjoying the privilege of being allowed to lead in the solution of national problems.

"Over the greater part of its history, our party has not been the majority party. It has found difficulty in holding together for long at a time its various sources of strength.

"Some have felt it inevitable that the Party follow this cycle of a short time in power and a long time out of power. They have pointed out it was made up of elements that on the surface may seem diverse in interest — one kind of agricultural problem in the South, another kind in the West, industrial workers of the great cities, liberals of all faiths who cherished results more than theories. An unnatural combination, the wiseacres have said. How can it expect to prevail against the solid phalanxes of the stolidly cautious reactionaries who so easily keep united about the simple platform of saying "No", to everything.

"But the last three elections have shown that those whom the cynics used to call an unnatural combination have sensed a fundamental community of interest in the modern world that makes them the most natural unit in our political history."
expressed the moral unity of all men and women of good will— all
those whose sense of good neighborliness and fairness and patriot-
ism make them happier \\
living and letting live for the common good 

of all than exploiting their fellows in over-acquisitiveness. It 

has always been the warm-hearted party of the common man. But with 
the lesson the depression has come to express their economic 
unity as well. For both the common man of the farms and mines of 
the South and the common man of the factory and the office of the 
North and East, now know that each is the other's customer and 
the other's ally in a common prosperity.

The Democratic Party, therefore, has new indefinite possi-

bilities of as leader in the struggle that lies ahead to 

keep this a Nation united in the preservation of its old traditions 

through economic and political democracy. It has had the imagina-
tion to perceive essential unity below the surface of apparent di-

versity. It has had the vision to see the trend of the future and 

become a natural rallying point for the cooperative effort of all 

of those who truly believe in political and economic democracy.

And just as faithfully as we meet the needs of the Nation, just as 
steadily as the cause of political and economic democracy advances, 
just that surely will the privilege and responsibility of national 
leadership be ours.
"It will take courage to let our minds be bold and find the ways to meet those needs -- to keep up that advance -- to keep following the star that has brought us to victory. But for the Democratic Party, now as always, the counsel of courage is the counsel of wisdom.

"Ixnox John, we came to national responsibility by conquering the fears of others. I hope we will not have to see that responsibility pass from us because we have become afraid ourselves."

Tonight we celebrate because last November many non-believers in democracy felt a new enthusiasm and a new belief in our promises. Whether we shall be celebrating in 1933, 1941, and in 1945, as we are celebrating tonight, will deserveily depend upon whether we have justified their belief in us by keeping those promises.

For we are celebrating a victory which was not a victory over the pressing problems that perplex our generation, but only a victory whereby we won the opportunity to lead in the solution of those problems. It will be a permanent victory for the American people only if we prove loyal to our trust. It will be a permanent victory for the Democratic Party only if we have the imagination and courage to lead the American people where they want to go. For if we do not lead them there, some one else will."
On this fourth of March, 1937 — not only in this room,
not only at many of these dinners in every part of the Nation,
but also in millions of homes, the thoughts of American families
are reverting to the March 4th of another year. Since early
morning, memory has carried me back to the events of that other
fourth of March.

That day represented the death of one era and the birth
of another. It is fitting that we appreciate our heritage from
those who settled our land and established our democracy. But
a true reverence for the past must never blind us to the necessi-
ties of the present day and the problems of the future.

I speak tonight not only as the head of the Democratic
Party but as the representative of all Americans who have faith
in political and economic democracy.

This occasion is more than a celebration of success in
maintaining office. It signalizes the approval, by an over-
whelming majority of citizens of all parties, of the high
purpose and the unremitting efforts with which your Adminis-
tration has met the most perplexing problems in our national
history.
Our victory was not sectional. It did not come from compromises and bargains. It was the voice of twenty-seven million people -- from every part of the land.

It was a response to the humanity of a government that made honest effort to understand the problems of average men and women.

It was a response to the clear-sightedness of a government that could see the Nation as essentially one -- could see that maintaining the prosperity of all depended on maintaining the purchasing power of all.

It was a response from those in other parties who found that they could make the most practical progress toward their ideals by working with and not against a liberal Democratic Party.

And above all it was a response of faith on the part of millions who had almost lost faith in the promises of political parties and in the effectiveness of the democratic method.

As the sum of all these responses, the Democratic Party, once a minority party, is today the majority party by the greatest majority any party ever had.
It will remain the majority party so long as it continues to justify those responses -- so long as it continues to make modern democracy work -- so long and no longer. We are celebrating a victory, which was not a victory over the pressing problems that perplex our generation, but only a victory whereby the party won the opportunity to lead in the solution of those problems. Whether we shall be celebrating in 1938, 1940, and in 1944, as we are celebrating tonight, will deservedly depend upon whether the party continue on its course and solve those problems. And if I have sought to say it will continue on its course and solve those problems.

After election day in 1936, some of our supporters were uneasy. We might have the excuse of a false era of good feeling to evade our obligations. They were worried by the evil symptom that the propaganda and the epithets of last summer and fall had died down. Today, however, those who placed their confidence in us are reassured. For the tumult and the shouting have broken forth anew -- and from substantially the same elements of opposition. This new roar is the best evidence in the world that we have begun to keep our promises, and that we have begun to move against conditions under which one-third of this Nation is ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed.
We gave warning that we had only just begun to fight. Did not we mean it? Did we not mean it? What did we mean it? Did we mean it?

A few days ago, a distinguished member of Congress came to see me to talk about national problems in general and about the problem of the Judiciary in particular.

I said to him:

"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal to me -- something that you have a right to hear from my own lips. I have a great ambition in life."

My friend pricked up his ears.

I went on: "I am by no means satisfied with having twice been elected President of the United States by very large majorities. I have an even greater ambition."

By this time, my friend was sitting on the edge of his chair.

I continued: "John, my ambition relates to January 20, 1941." I could feel just what horrid thoughts my friend was thinking. So in order to relieve his anxiety, I went on to say:

"John, my great ambition on January 20, 1941, is to turn over this desk and chair in the White House to my successor, whoever he may be, with the assurance that I am at the same
time turning over to him, as President, a Nation intact, a Nation at peace, a Nation prosperous, a Nation clear in its knowledge of what powers it has to serve its own citizens, a Nation that is in a position to use those powers to the full, in order to move forward steadily to meet the modern needs of humanity -- a Nation which has thus proved that the democratic form and methods of national government can and will succeed.

In these coming years I want to provide such assurance. I want to get the Nation as far along the road of progress as I can. I do not want to leave it to my successor in the condition in which Buchanan left it to Lincoln.

That ambition of mine for my successor, can well be the serious ambition of every citizen of the United States who wants the United States to be handed down intact to his children and grandchildren.

I spoke in the dead earnestness of anxiety. I speak to you tonight in the same earnestness. For no one who sees as a whole picture of this Nation and the world today, can help but be concerned for the days that lie ahead.

To the President of the United States there come every day thousands of messages of fact and thought, messages of appeal,
of protest, of information, messages from rich and poor, from business man and farmer and factory employee and relief worker, messages from every corner of our wide domain.

Those messages reflect the most striking feature of the life of this generation -- the feature which men who must live in another generation can least understand -- the ever-accelerating speed at which our century now races.

A century ago, fifty years ago, even twenty-five years ago, the movements of civilization were far slower. The issue of slavery, for example, took at least forty years -- two generations of argument, discussion and futile compromise, before it came to a head in the tragic Civil War between the States.

All human surges toward liberty and free government crept along at that same slow pace. But the machine age and the depression have given them wings, and today they rush upon us.

Economic freedom for the wage earner and the farmer and the small business man cannot be delayed today as was personal freedom for the slave a century ago. It will not wait, like emancipation, for forty years. It will not wait for four years. It will not wait at all.
They have forgotten the lessons of history that dictators cost humanity far more than any temporary failures of democracy.
After the World War, there arose throughout the world
insistent demands upon government that human needs be met. Those demands could not long be thwarted. The unthinking, who dwell in the past, have tried to block them. The wise who live in the present have recognized their innate justice and have sought to guide them.

In some countries, a royalist form of government failed to meet these demands -- and fell. In other countries, a parliamentary form of government failed to meet these demands -- and fell. In still other countries, governments have managed to hold on, but civil strife has flared or threats of upheaval persist.

Democracy in many lands has failed to meet human needs. People have become so fed up with futile debate and party bickerings over methods that they have been willing to surrender democratic processes in order to get things done. Let us admit it. Admitting it, we still assert that in the United States democracy has not yet failed and does not need to fail. And we propose not to let it fail.
Nevertheless, I cannot tell you with complete candor that in these past few years democracy in the United States has fully succeeded. Nor can I tell you, under present circumstances, just where American democracy is headed nor just what it can do in order to insure its continued success and survival. 

I can hope, but I cannot assure. No one can today assure. 

Because as yet there is no definite assurance that the three horse team of the American system of government will continue to pull together. If three well-matched horses are put to the task of ploughing up a field where the going is heavy and the team of three pull as one, the field will be ploughed. If one horse lies down in the traces or plunges off in another direction, the field will not be ploughed.

What you and I call the principles of the New Deal did not originate on the fourth of March, 1933. We think of that date as their beginning, because it was not until then that the social demands they represented broke through the inertia of years of failure to improve our political and economic processes.

What were those demands and needs? How far did we succeed in meeting them? What about them today?
Ever since the World War the farmers of America had been beating off ever-mounting disasters. This Administration really tried to help them where no other Administration had dared to take that risk before. That help was built around the Agricultural Adjustment Act.

The A.A.A. testified to our full faith and confidence that the very nature of our major crops makes them articles of commerce between the States. Many years ago I learned that the price of New York State wheat is fixed by the size of the Kansas crop -- that the price of Georgia cotton is fixed by the size of the Texas crop. Can it be really true that the raising of wheat and the raising of cotton concern only the many separate States in which they grow?

The A.A.A. testified also to our full faith and confidence that the preservation of sound agriculture is essential to the general welfare -- that the Congress of the United States had full constitutional authority to solve the national economic problems of the Nation's agriculture. By overwhelming votes, the Congress thought so too:

We did our best to help the farmers of the Nation. But the elected representatives of the people, the instruments of the people's will, found themselves vetoed by a few men not
You know who assumed the power to veto that program.

Once more, in 1936, the Democratic Party pledged itself to attack the former problem. I said, "Of course we will continue our efforts in behalf of the farmers of America. With their continued cooperation we will do all in our power to end the piling up of huge surpluses which spelled ruinous prices for their crops. We will persist in successful action for better land use, for reforestation, *** for better marketing facilities for farm commodities, for a definite reduction of farm tenancy, for encouragement of farmer cooperatives, for crop insurance and a stable food supply. For all these things we have only just begun to fight."

Now it is the responsibility of all those who promised the farmers believe, to carry forward that fight until those things have been won. Neither individually nor as a party can we postpone and run from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But I defy anyone to read the majority opinion invalidating the A.A.A. and tell us what we can do for agriculture in this session of the Congress with reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.
The farmers were not the only people in distress in 1932. There were millions of workers in industry and in commerce who had lost their jobs, millions of young people who had never been able to find their first job, and even more millions whose jobs did not return them and their families enough to live on decently.

The Democratic Party made pledges to labor and industry in 1932. It tried hard to keep them. We made a gallant, sincere effort to raise wages, to reduce hours, to abolish child labor, to eliminate unfair trade practices.

We tried to establish machinery to adjust the relations between the employer and employee. We hoped that it would be operating smoothly before industrial warfare would overwhelm our efforts for industrial recovery.

And what happened?

We did our best to help the workers of the Nation. But the elected representatives of the people, the instruments of the people's will, found themselves vetoed by a few men not elected by the people. You know who assumed the power of veto over that program.

The N.R.A., the Railroad Retirement Act, the Guffey Coal Act were outlawed as the Child Labor Statute had been outlawed twenty years before.
Soon thereafter the Nation was told by a judicial pronouncement that although the Federal Government has thus been rendered powerless to touch the problem of hours and wages, the States were equally helpless; and that it pleased the economic predictions of the Court that we live in a Nation where there is no legal power anywhere to deal with its most difficult practical problems -- a

No Men's Land of final futility.

Furthermore, the machinery which we desired to settle the great disputes that are now raging in the industrial field and, indeed, to prevent such warfare from ever arising, has been rendered futile by court injunctions. We hope that this Act creating this machinery may yet escape final condemnation in the highest court. But, in effect, to date these have been rendered useless as a practical instrument, because the attitude and language of which the courts have adopted in relation to many other laws have made the legality of these laws also uncertain and have encouraged men to defy rather than obey them.
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In the campaign of 1936, in addition to our platform pledges, you and I promised this to working men and women.

"Of course we will continue to seek to improve working conditions for the workers of America -- to reduce hours over-long, to increase wages that spell starvation, to end the labor of children, to wipe out sweatshops. Of course we will provide useful work for the needy unemployed. For all these we have only just begun to fight."

And here again we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to postpone that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But I defy anyone to read the opinions concerning the National Recovery Act, the Railroad Retirement Act and the Guffey Coal Act and the New York Minimum Wage Law, and tell us exactly what, if anything, we can do for the industrial worker in this session of the Congress with reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.

We have the same difficulty with our next great problem -- the preservation of our natural resources.

During the course of the past four years we have been overwhelmed by disasters of flood and drought. At times our productive land has been blown away or washed away at
a rate that might spell ruin even to this present generation.
and in the meantime the loss of property values has been beyond
anything we have known in our history.

If ever there was a policy to which sound reasons of national
interest compel us, it is to do our utmost as a National Government
to protect our land and our people from the recurrence of such
catastrophes. Modern engineering knows how to give that pro-
tection—and knows how to produce as a by-product the blessing
of cheaper electric power.

With the Tennessee Valley Authority we made a beginning of
that kind of protection on an intelligent regional basis. With
only two of its seven projected dams completed there was no flood
damage in the valley of the Tennessee this winter.

But how can we possibly either complete that Tennessee project
or extend the idea to the Ohio and many other valleys while
the validity of such operations has been placed in such doubt by
the language of the highest courts of the nation that the lowest
courts have not hesitated to paralyze its operations by sweeping
injunctions.

The Ohio River and the Dust Bowl are not conversant with
the regulating habits of the Interstate Commerce Clause. But we
shall never be safe in our lives, in our property or in the  
heritage of our soil until we have somehow made the Interstate  
Commerce Clause conversant with the habits of the Ohio River and  
the Dust Bowl.

In the campaign of 1936, you and I and all who supported  
us did take cognizance of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl. We  
said: "Of course we will continue our efforts *** for drought  
and flood control ***. For these things we have only just begun  
to fight." Here, too, we cannot afford, either individually or  
as a party, to run away from that fight on advice of defeatist  
lawyers. Let them try xxxxx that advice on sweating men piling  
sandbags on the dikes at Cairo. But I defy anyone to read the  
opinions in the T.V.A. case, the Duke Power Case, the A.A.A.  
Case and tell us exactly what we can do as a National Government  
to control flood and drought in this session of the Congress  
with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be  
nullified as unconstitutional.

The language of the decisions already rendered, the wide-  
spread refusal to obey law incited by the attitude of the courts,  
create doubts and difficulties for almost everything else for  
which we have promised to fight -- help for the crippled, for  
the blind, for the mothers -- insurance for the unemployed--
security for the aged -- protection of the consumer against
monopoly and speculation -- protection of the investor against
unscrupulous promoters -- curbs on the overwhelming power and
the unholy practices of utility holding companies -- the wiping
out of slums -- cheaper electricity in the homes and on the
farms of America. You and I owe it to ourselves individually,
as a party, and as a nation to remove those doubts and diffi-
culties.

That obligation started on the morning of November 4,
1936, four months ago. That obligation was to begin that fight
not sometime in the unpredictable future, but in the very next
session of the Congress of the United States -- and to carry
it on to a successful finish as soon as humanly possible.

In this fight, as the lawyers themselves say, time is of
the essence. In three elections during the past five years
great majorities have approved what we are trying to do. To
me, and I am sure to you, those majorities mean that the people
themselves realize the increasing urgency that we meet their
needs now. Every month of delay creates risks of intervening
events which may make more and more difficult an intelligent,
speedy and democratic solution of our difficulties.
We cannot wait to build a new waterworks before we start to put out present fires—particularly when we can't hope to start to build a new waterworks until we have fought to the death over what kind of waterworks we shall build.

As Chief Executive and as the head of the Democratic Party,

I am unwilling to take those risks—to the country and to the party—of postponing one moment beyond absolute necessity the time when we can free from legal doubt those policies which offer a progressive solution of our problems.

We must find a way to adapt the wholly reasonable interpretations of our law to a practical way of doing. And we must do it now. Floods and droughts and agricultural surpluses, strikes and industrial confusion, incompetence, and disorder, cannot be handled forever on a catch-as-catch-can basis.

I might well have finished my conversation with my visitor from the Congress in this vein, I have another ambition—not so great an ambition as that which I have for the country, but an ambition which a life-long Democrat need not believe unworthy. It is an ambition for the Democratic Party.
Over the greater part of its history, our party has not been the majority party. Some have felt it inevitable that the party follow this cycle of a short time in power and a long time out of power. They have pointed out it was made up of elements that on the surface seem diverse in interest. An unnatural combination, the wisecracks have said. How can it expect to prevail against the solid phalanxes of the reactionaries who so easily keep united on the simple platform of saying "No" to everything.

But the Democratic Party has always been the man-hearted party of the common man. Even in the depression those whom the cynics used to call an unnatural combination have become fundamental elements in the community of economic interest in the modern world which makes them the most natural unit in modern political history. For the common man of the farms and mines and factories and offices of the Nation and now know that each is the other's customer, ally in a common national prosperity.

The Democratic Party, and its associates, have had the imagination to perceive essential unity below the surface of apparent diversity. We have become a natural rallying point for the cooperative effort of all of those who truly believe in political and economic democracy.
It will take courage to let our minds be bold and find the ways to meet the needs of the Nation. But as always, the counsel of courage is the counsel of wisdom.

John, we came to national responsibility by conquering the fears of others. I hope we will not have to see that responsibility pass from us because we have succumbed to fear ourselves. For if we do not lead the American people where they want to go, someone else will.

Here is one-third of a Nation ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed -- NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of farmers wondering whether next year's prices will meet their mortgage interest -- NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of men and women laboring for long hours in factories for inadequate pay -- NOW!

Here are thousands upon thousands of children who should be at school, working in mines and factories -- NOW!

Here are strikes more far-reaching than we have ever known, costing millions of dollars -- NOW!

Here are spring floods threatening to roll again down our river valleys -- NOW!
Here is the Dust Bowl beginning to blow again -- NOW!

If we would keep faith with those who had faith in us, if we would have the courage of self-preservation, I say we must act -- NOW!

************
On this fourth of March, 1937, in millions of homes, the thoughts of American families are reverting to the March 4th of another year.

That day in 1933 represented the death of one era and the birth of another. It is fitting that we appreciate our heritage from those who settled our land and established our democracy. But a true reverence for the past must never blind us to the necessities of the present and the problems of the future.

I speak tonight not only as the head of the Democratic Party but as the representative of all Americans who have faith in political and economic democracy.

This occasion is more than a celebration of success in maintaining office. It signalizes the approval, by an overwhelming majority of citizens of all parties, of the high purpose and the unrelenting efforts with which your Administration and your Congress have met the most perplexing problems in our national history.
On this fourth of March, 1937, in millions of homes, the thoughts of American families are reverting to the March 4th of another year.

That day in 1933 represented the death of one era and the birth of another. At that time we were facing one kind of grave national crisis. Today we are facing another crisis -- of a different kind but fundamentally even more grave than that of four years ago. I want to begin a frank discussion with you of that crisis tonight. I shall continue it on Tuesday night in a nation-wide broadcast and thereafter, from time to time, as may be necessary. For I propose to follow frankly my custom of speaking to the Nation concerning our common problems.

I speak tonight not only as the head of the Democratic Party but as the representative of all Americans who have faith in political and economic democracy.
Our victory was not sectional. It did not come from compromises and bargains. It was the voice of twenty-seven million voters -- from every part of the land.

It was a response to the humanity of a government that made honest effort to understand the problems of average men and women.

It was a response to the clear-sightedness of a government that could see the Nation as essentially one -- could see that permanent prosperity of all depends on creating purchasing power to all.

It was a response from those in other parties who found that they could make the most practical progress toward their ideals by working with and not against a liberal Democratic Party.

And above all it was a response of faith on the part of millions who had almost lost faith in the carrying out of promises by political parties.

As the sum of all these responses, the Democratic Party, once a minority party, is today the majority party by the greatest majority any party ever had.
It will remain the majority party so long as it continues 

It will remain the majority party so long as it continues to justify these responses -- so long as it continues to make modern democracy work -- so long and no longer. We are celebrating the 1936 victory. That was not a victory, over the pressing problems that perplex our generation. It was simply a victory whereby our party won the opportunity to lead in the solution of these problems. Whether we shall celebrate in 1938, 1940, and in 1944, as we celebrate tonight, will deservedly depend upon whether the party continues on its course and solves those problems.

And if I have sought to say it will continue on its course and it will solve those problems.

After election day in 1936, some of our supporters were uneasy lest we grasp the excuse of a false era of good feeling to evade our obligations. They were worried by the evil symptom that the propaganda and the epithets of last Summer and Fall had died down.

Today, however, those who placed their confidence in us are reassured. For the tumult and the shouting have broken forth anew -- and from substantially the same elements of opposition. This new roar is the best evidence in the world that we
began to keep our promises, that we have begun to move against
conditions under which one-third of this Nation is still ill-
nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed.

We gave warning last November that we had only just begun
to fight. Did some people really believe we did not mean it?
Well -- I meant it, and you meant it.

A few days ago, a distinguished member of the Congress came
to see me to talk about national problems in general and about
the problem of the Judiciary in particular.

I said to him:

"John, I want to tell you something that is very personal
to me -- something that you have a right to hear from my own
lips. I have a great ambition in life."

My friend pricked up his ears.

I went on: "I am by no means satisfied with having twice
been elected President of the United States by very large
majorities. I have an even greater ambition."

By this time, my friend was sitting on the edge of his
chair.

I continued: "John, my ambition relates to January 20,
1941." I could feel just what horrid thoughts my friend was
thinking. So in order to relieve his anxiety, I went on to
My great ambition on January 20, 1941, is to turn over this desk and chair in the White House to my successor, whoever he may be, with the assurance that I am at the same
time as President, a Nation intact, a Nation at peace, a Nation prosperous, a Nation clear in its knowledge of what powers it has to serve its own citizens, a Nation that is in a position to use those powers to the full, in order to move forward steadily to meet the modern needs of humanity — a Nation which has thus proved that the democratic form and methods of national government can and will succeed.

In these coming years I want to provide such assurance. I want to get the Nation as far along the road of progress as I can. I do not want to leave it to my successor in the condition in which Buchanan left it to Lincoln."

My friends, that ambition of mine for my successor can well be the serious ambition of every citizen who wants his United States to be handed down intact to his children and grandchildren.

I spoke in the dead earnestness of anxiety, I speak to you tonight in the same earnestness. For no one who sees the whole picture of this Nation and the world can help but feel concern for the future.

To the President of the United States there come every day thousands of messages of appeal,
of protest, of information and advice, messages from rich and poor, from business man and farmer, from factory employee and relief worker, messages from every corner of our wide domain.

Those messages reflect the most striking feature of the life of this generation -- the feature which men who live mentally in another generation can least understand -- the ever-accelerating speed with which social forces now make headway.

A century ago, fifty years ago, even twenty-five years ago, the movements of civilization were far slower. The issue of slavery, for example, took at least forty years -- two generations -- of argument, discussion and futile compromise, before it came to a head in the tragic war between the States.

All human surges toward liberty and free government used to advance at that same slow pace. But today they rush upon us.

But economic freedom for the wage earner and the farmer and the small business man will not wait, like emancipation, for forty years. It will not wait for four years. It will not wait at all.
After the World War, there arose everywhere insistent demands upon government that human needs be met. The unthinking, who dwell in the past, have tried to block them. The wise who live in the present have recognized their innate justice and irresistible — and have sought to guide them.

In some countries, a royalist form of government failed to meet these demands — and fell. In other countries, a parliamentary form of government failed to meet these demands — and fell. In still other countries, governments have managed to hold on, but civil strife has flirted or threats of upheaval persist.

Democracy in many lands has failed for the time being to meet human needs. People have become so fed up with futile debate and party bickerings over methods that they have been willing to surrender democratic processes and principles in order to get things done. They have forgotten the lessons of history that ultimate failures of dictatorships cost humanity far more than any temporary failures of democracy.

In the United States democracy has not yet failed and does not need to fail. And we propose not to let it fail.
Nevertheless, I cannot tell you with complete candor that in these past few years democracy in the United States has fully succeeded. Nor can I tell you, under present circumstances, just where American democracy is headed nor just what it is permitted to do in order to insure its continued success and survival. I can only hope.

For as yet there is no definite assurance that the three horse team of the American system of government will continue to pull together. If three well-matched horses are put to the task of ploughing up a field where the going is heavy, and the team of three pull as one, the field will be ploughed. If one horse lies down in the traces or plunges off in another direction, the field will not be ploughed.

What you and I call the principles of the New Deal did not originate on the fourth of March, 1933. We think of that date as their beginning, because it was not until then that the social demands they represented broke through the inertia of many years of failure to improve our political and economic processes.

What were those demands and needs? How far did we succeed in meeting them? What about them today?
Ever since the World War the farmers of America had been beating off ever-mounting disasters. This Administration tried to help them effectively where no other Administration had dared to take that risk.

The Agricultural Adjustment Act testified to our full faith and confidence that the very nature of our major crops makes them articles of commerce between the States. Many years ago I learned that the price of New York State wheat is fixed by the size of the Kansas crop — that the price of Georgia cotton is fixed by the size of the Texas crop. Can it truthfully be said that the raising of wheat and the raising of cotton are only of local concern?

The A.A.A. testified also to our full faith and confidence that the preservation of sound agriculture is essential to the general welfare — that the Congress of the United States had full constitutional authority to solve the national economic problems of the Nation's agriculture. By overwhelming votes, the Congress thought so too!
You know who assumed the power to veto, and did veto that program.

In the campaign of 1936, I said: "Of course we will continue our efforts in behalf of the farmers of America. With their continued cooperation we will do all in our power to end the piling up of huge surpluses which spelled ruinous prices for their crops. We will persist in successful action for better land use, for forestation, *** for better marketing facilities for farm commodities, for a definite reduction of farm tenancy, for encouragement of farmer cooperatives, for crop insurance and a stable food supply. For all these things we have only just begun to fight."

Neither individually nor as a party can we postpone and run from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. But I defy anyone to read the majority opinion invalidating the A.A.A. and tell us what we can do for agriculture in this session of the Congress with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.
The farmers were not the only people in distress in 1932. There were millions of workers in industry and in commerce who had lost their jobs, millions of young people who had never been able to find their first job, and more millions whose jobs did not return them and their families enough to live on decently.

The Democratic Party made a gallant, sincere effort to raise wages, to reduce hours, to abolish child labor, to eliminate unfair trade practices.

We tried to establish machinery to adjust the relations between the employer and employee. We hoped that it would be operating smoothly before industrial warfare would overwhelm our efforts for industrial recovery.

And what happened?

You know who assumed the power to veto, and did veto, that program.

The Railroad Retirement Act, the National Recovery Act, and the Guizay Coal Act were outlawed as the Child Labor Statute had been outlawed twenty years before.
Soon thereafter the Nation was told by a judicial pronunciamento that although the Federal Government has thus been rendered powerless to touch the problem of hours and wages, the States were equally helpless; and that it pleased the "personal economic predilections" of a majority of the Court that we live in a Nation where there is no legal power anywhere to deal with its most difficult practical problems -- a No Man's Land of final futility.

Furthermore, court injunctions have paralyzed the machinery which we created by the National Labor Relations Act to settle great disputes raging in the industrial field, and, indeed, to prevent them from ever arising. We hope that this Act may yet escape final condemnation in the highest court. But so far the attitude and language of the courts in relation to many other laws have made the legality of this Act also uncertain, and have encouraged one to defy rather than obey it.
In the campaign of 1936 you and I promised this to working men and women:

"Of course we will continue to seek to improve working conditions for the workers of America — to reduce hours *tax* over-long, to increase wages that spell starvation, to end the labor of children, to wipe out sweatshops. *** we will provide useful work for the needy *104* unemployed. For all these things we have only *105* begun to fight."

*again*

And here *again* we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to postpone or run from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers.

But I defy anyone to read the opinions concerning A.A.A., the Railroad Retirement Act, the National Recovery Act, the Guffey Coal Act and the New York Minimum Wage Law, and tell us exactly what, if anything, we *can* do for the industrial worker in *this* session of the Congress with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.

During the course of the past four years the Nation has been overwhelmed by disasters of flood and drought. *times our productive land has been blown away or washed away at*
a fate that spells ruin to us and our children.

Modern engineering knows how to protect our land and our people from the recurrence of such catastrophes, and knows how to produce as a by-product the blessing of cheaper electric power. With the Tennessee Valley Authority we made a beginning of that kind of protection on an intelligent regional basis. With only two of its nine projected dams completed there was no flood damage in the valley of the Tennessee this Winter.

But how can we confidently complete that Tennessee Valley project or extend the idea to the Ohio and other valleys while the validity of the Tennessee operation has been placed in such doubt by the language of the highest courts that the lowest courts have not hesitated to paralyze it by sweeping injunctions.

The Ohio River and the Dust Bowl are not conversant with the habits of the Interstate Commerce Clause. But we
shall never be safe in our lives, in our property or in the heritage of our soil until we have somehow made the Interstate Commerce Clause conversant with the habits of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl.

In the campaign of 1936, you and I and all who supported us did take cognizance of the Ohio River and the Dust Bowl. We said: "Of course we will continue our efforts *** for drought and flood control ***. For these things we have only just begun to fight."

Here, too, we cannot afford, either individually or as a party, to postpone or run away from that fight on advice of defeatist lawyers. Let them try that advice on sweating men piling xxxxx sandbags on the ... at Cairo.

But I defy anyone to read the opinions in the T.V.A. ... case and the A.A.A. case and tell us exactly what we can do as a National Government in this session of the Congress to control flood and drought and generate cheap power with any reasonable certainty that what we do will not be nullified as unconstitutional.

The language of the decisions already rendered and the wide-spread refusal to obey law incited by the attitude of the
courts, create doubts and difficulties for almost everything else for which we have promised to fight -- help for the crippled, for the blind, for the mothers -- insurance for the unemployed --
security for the aged -- protection of the consumer against monopoly and speculation -- protection of the investor against unscrupulous promoters -- curbs on the overweening power and the unholy practices of utility holding companies -- the wiping out of slums -- cheaper electricity in the homes and on the farms of America. You and I owe it to our selves individually, as a party, and as a Nation to remove those doubts and difficulties.

That obligation began running on the morning of 25 November 1936, four months ago. That obligation was to begin that fight not sometime in the unpredictable future, but in the very next session of the Congress of the United States -- and to carry it on to a successful finish as soon as humanly possible.

In this fight, as the lawyers themselves say, time is of the essence. In three elections during the past five years great majorities have approved what we are trying to do. To me, and I am sure to you, those majorities mean that the people themselves realize the increasing urgency that we meet their needs now. Every delay creates risks of intervening events which make more and more difficult an intelligent, speedy, and democratic solution of our difficulties.
As Chief Executive and as the head of the Democratic Party, I am unwilling to take those risks — to the country and to the party — of postponing one moment beyond absolute necessity the time when we can free from legal doubt those policies which offer a progressive solution of our problems.

We must find a way to adapt the wholly reasonable interpretations of our law to a practical way of modern life. And we must do it now. Floods and droughts and agricultural surpluses, strikes and industrial confusion and disorder, cannot be handled forever on a catch-as-catch-can basis.

I have another ambition — not so great an ambition as that which I have for the country, but an ambition which as a life-long Democrat, I do not believe unworthy. It is an ambition for the Democratic Party.
In its modern history, our party has not often been the majority party.

Before the depression the wiseacres used to call us an unnatural combination of diverse elements. But the depression made evident a fundamental community of economic interest of those elements in the modern world, making us the most natural unit in American political history. For the common man of the farms, the mines, the factories and the offices of the Nation now knows that each is the other's customer and ally in a common national prosperity.

The Democratic Party, and its associates, have had the imagination to perceive essential unity below the surface of apparent diversity. We can, therefore, long remain a natural rallying point for the cooperative effort of all of those who truly believe in political and economic democracy.
It will take courage to let our minds be bold and find the ways to meet the needs of the Nation. But for our Party, now as always, the counsel of courage is the counsel of wisdom.

If we do not have the courage to lead the American people where they want to go, *someone else* will.

Here is one-third of a Nation ill-nourished, ill-clad, ill-housed — *NOW!*

Here are thousands upon thousands of farmers wondering whether next year's prices will meet their mortgage interest — *NOW!*

Here are thousands upon thousands of men and women laboring for long hours in factories for inadequate pay — *NOW!*

Here are thousands upon thousands of children who should be at school, working in mines and mills — *NOW!*

Here are strikes more far-reaching than we have ever known, costing millions of dollars — *NOW!*

Here are spring floods threatening to roll again down our river valleys — *NOW!*
Here is the Dust Bowl beginning to blow again -- NOW!

If we would keep faith with those who had faith in us, I say we must act -- NOW!
On the left are two drafts of the President's Inaugural Address of January 20, 1937; on the right are two drafts of the Victory Dinner speech of March 4, 1937, one of them the final reading copy. Notice the frequent changes, omissions, and insertions — all in the President's own handwriting.
SPEECH AT THE VICTORY DINNER

At the left is a draft, with corrections by the President, of his speech at the Victory Dinner on March 4, 1937, and on the right is the final reading copy of the same speech.
Four drafts of the address made by President Roosevelt at the Democratic Party "Victory Dinner" held in Washington on March 4, 1937. The changes, additions and corrections are all in President Roosevelt's handwriting.
Call me Mrs. S. tonight.

[Note: The FDR Library staff has translated the stereographic notation on the Ms., as above] - Rush.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Draft of Victory Dinner speech numbered 1.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Draft of Victory Dinner speech numbered 1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; 23 - carbon copy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; 3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; 4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; 14.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; 21.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Reading copy of Victory Dinner Speech (not numbered).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Outline of Victory Dinner speech (not numbered).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Draft of Inaugural Address of January 20, 1937, numbered 1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; 2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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