Franklin D. Roosevelt — “The Great Communicator”
The Master Speech Files, 1898, 1910-1945

Series 2: “You have nothing to fear but fear itself:” FDR and the New Deal

File No. 1217

1939 April 17

Radio Address to the National Parole Conference
MY FRIENDS:

I am happy to welcome you to the National Parole Conference and to have an opportunity to talk with you and our radio neighbors throughout the country about parole and some of the broad questions of law enforcement as a national problem.

As many of you know, the control of crime is a problem which I began studying many years ago as a member of the executive committee of the National Crime Commission. Later, during my administration as Governor of New York, the improvement of the state penal and correctional system became one of my first important responsibilities. Many of you, and especially my old friend, Sam Lewisohn, were of invaluable assistance in that task, which included the establishment of a modern parole system.
All of us have come to realize that while the responsibility for the control of crime falls primarily in the states and their subdivisions, the activities of criminals are not limited by local and state boundaries. The consequences of lax law enforcement and crime-breeding conditions in one part of the country may be felt in cities and villages across the continent. For instance, I think of the operations of a criminal gang which had its origins in the slum section of a small southwestern city. Before the members of this gang were rounded up, successfully prosecuted, and put in prison by the Federal Government, they had left a trail of robbery and violence in seven midwestern states. This illustrates the essentially nationwide character of the crime problem.

Crime cannot be held in check by a good police system alone. Occasional brilliant prosecutions may arouse our admiration, but they do not solve the crime problem. Long prison sentences for notorious criminals have not rid us of thousands who escape undetected or unpunished because our defenses break down at one point or another.
Public protection against law-breakers demands efficient police work, able and fearless prosecutions, prompt, fair trials, and the intelligent and constructive treatment of the guilty — not just here and there, not only when well-known characters are involved, but in all cases in all jurisdictions throughout the land.

With this in mind, this administration initiated early in 1933 a definite program of crime control which had three major objectives.

First, we sought to broaden and strengthen Federal law enforcement. Secondly, we took steps to promote more effective cooperation among the states, and between the states and the Federal Government. Finally, through a broad program of social welfare, we struck at the very roots of crime.

As a first step, the Attorney General outlined a twelve-point legislative program which resulted in the enactment of twenty-one new Federal crime statutes. Two of
those laws gave the Federal Government drastic powers in
kidnapping cases, with the result that the back of the
kidnapping racket has been broken. Every home in the country
has shared in the sense of relief that has come from the
vigorous enforcement of the anti-kidnapping laws.

Other new laws empowered the national government
to bring its resources into action against robbers of banks.
There have been 245 convictions since this National Bank
Robbery Law was enacted.

Here are some figures on daylight hold-ups of
banks, compiled by the American Bankers' Association. In
1933, there were 516 daylight hold-ups. In 1934, the year
the new Law became effective, the number fell to 364. In
1935 it was down to 258; in 1936, it was down still further
to 148; and in 1937 it dropped to 120. Last year there were
only 110 bank hold-ups — only about one-fifth as many as
there were in 1933. A good record!
Another new law made it a Federal crime to transport stolen goods, in excess of $5,000 in value, across state lines. Still another made it unlawful for any person to flee from one state to another to avoid prosecution or appearance as a material witness in a criminal case.

These and the other new Federal anti-crime laws do not supplant state laws but plug the gaps between the authority of one state and that of its neighbors. They permit the forces of law and order to occupy what was formerly a no-man's land in which roamed some of our most desperate criminals.

But, of course, laws do not enforce themselves. And so we set about systematically to enlarge and improve the equipment and personnel of the Federal agencies of detection and prosecution. The agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Justice Department -- the G-man -- have justly become world famous. Likewise, the agents of the several investigating units in the Treasury Department,
the Postal Inspectors, and their co-workers in other branches of the government have made enviable records in the apprehension of offenders against Federal laws. The efforts of these investigators have been ably supported by a fine corps of United States Attorneys and special prosecutors. Many of these United States Attorneys are here today, and I am glad to welcome them as they assemble to canvass with Attorney General Murphy the ways in which their campaign against crime may be waged even more effectively.

A new spirit and a new energy have been breathed into our Federal court system also. Thirty-eight new district judgeships have been authorized, which will accelerate the splendid progress made in bringing the business of the courts more nearly up-to-date. Archaic forms of civil procedure have been cast aside for a uniform and simple set of rules which will help to speed the wheels of justice. A way of avoiding long delays in determining the constitutionality of Federal laws has been opened by permitting appeal directly from the lower courts to the Supreme Court.
With the authorization of Congress we have also instituted an important change of method in the handling of juvenile offenders against Federal laws. The courts and the Attorney General are now given wide latitude in determining how best to protect the safety of society by trying to prevent a young delinquent from becoming an habitual criminal. Charges against an offender under the age of eighteen may now be heard informally, and if probation is not desirable, the Attorney General is authorized to place him in any suitable public or private educational or correctional institution.

Another important part of our program has been the improvement of the Federal penal and correctional system in all of its branches. We have built different kinds of institutions for different kinds of prisoners, ranging from the now famous penitentiary for the most hardened offenders, on Alcatraz Island, to unwalled reformatories and camps for the offenders who are less dangerous and who seem to offer real hope of becoming law-abiding citizens.
In the administration of our Federal penal institutions we use every known aid to rehabilitation according to the needs of the prisoner. After all, the primary purpose of the prison is to protect the public by releasing men at the end of their sentences better and not worse than when they were received.

For that reason, we have enlarged and improved the opportunities for education and vocational training in the Federal prison system. Moreover, we have provided useful work for those who need to learn how to earn an honest living -- and we have done it without selling a dollar's worth of goods on the open market in competition with private industry or free labor. We can, I think, look for still further improvement in the administration of the Federal prisons as the years go by because we have put the personnel of the prison service on a merit basis with training courses for employees of all grades.
Each year for several years we have increased the number of Federal probation and parole officers and last year we raised the standards governing their appointment. Today the field staff of the Bureau of Prisons is supervising nearly thirty thousand men and women on probation or on parole. No finer tribute could be paid to the work of these officials and to the United States Board of Parole than to mention the fact that about ninety-five per cent of those under their control complete their sentences without further violations of the law.

But our efforts to suppress wrongdoing have not been confined to the field of violent crimes. Through the securities and exchange legislation we have sought to protect the average investor from the depredations of unprincipled financial manipulators. In the administration of this legislation we have struck hard at those gangsters in high places who differ from the ordinary robbers only in the fact that they use the tricky weapons of high finance instead of sawed-off shotguns.
And let us not forget the repeal of the Eighteenth Amendment. You know, and I know, what a toll that took from this country through the flouting of law by thousands of otherwise respectable people as well as through the activities of bootleggers and racketeers who flourished during the prohibition years. It was undoubtedly the greatest source of revenue for organized crime that this nation has ever known.

While we have been tightening up on Federal law enforcement we have also been making headway toward the second of our broad objectives — the development of closer cooperation between the agencies of the several states and those of the Federal Government. The Federal Bureau of Investigation in the Department of Justice has organized the National Police Academy where carefully chosen local peace officers are given training in modern police work. Expert and technical services have been made available to state prison and parole authorities by the Bureau of Prisons. The Works Progress Administration,
in addition to cooperating with the Justice Department in making the first nation-wide survey of the methods by which prisoners are released into society, has furnished much-needed personnel for educational and other programs in the institutions of thirty-two states. It has installed police signal systems and fingerprint files in cities which could not otherwise afford them. It has also furnished the labor for the construction or repair of jails and police stations throughout the country. Through the Public Works Administration over twenty-six million dollars has been made available for the construction, improvement, and repair of prisons and jails, with the result that many old, unhealthy, and overcrowded centers of crime infection have been replaced by modern facilities. Of this amount, over eleven million dollars has been for state and local projects.

All of these direct attacks on crime which we have made through extending and strengthening Federal activities and in helping to improve state and local agencies of law enforcement are important. But I like to think that the most
far-reaching results have come from our broad program of social welfare — from our work relief projects, the Civilian Conservation Corps, the National Youth Administration, and the related measures for providing useful work for those of our citizens who are unemployed by private industry. Our citizens who have been out of work in the last six years have not needed to steal in order to keep from starving. Of course, when we instituted these activities we did not have in mind merely the narrow purpose of preventing crime. However, nobody who knows how demoralizing the effects of enforced idleness may be will be inclined to doubt that crime prevention has been an important by-product of our effort to provide our needy unemployed citizens with the opportunity to earn by honest work at least the bare necessities of life. And a considerable part of that honest work has been devoted to the construction and supervision of such social assets as playgrounds, athletic fields, municipal swimming pools,
gymnasiums, workshops, traveling libraries, schools and other educational and recreational facilities which are of particular benefit to youth.

Throughout the depression approximately one-third of all our unemployed have been youth under the age of twenty-five. Not long ago I read a report from a small city which had a reputation for juvenile delinquency. In collaboration with local agencies, the National Youth Administration started a work project which provided part-time jobs for the idle youth of this community. When the project was first started there was considerable "soldiering" on the job but gradually the interest and pride of these boys was aroused. For the five months since this NYA project had been started there had not been a single complaint of delinquency to the local peace officers. That is a concrete contribution to our common security -- not only now but for the years to come.
As I review our achievements in this coordinated drive against crime, it seems to me that we have made the least progress in the very important matter of getting people from prison back into society. This conclusion I am told is confirmed by the findings of the Attorney General's Survey of Release Procedures now being published by the Department of Justice.

This is an unfortunate state of affairs. Let us not forget for one moment that ninety-seven out of every one hundred of the men and women we send to prison must some day come out again. Between 60,000 and 70,000 persons are released from Federal and state prisons and reformatories every year. What they do when they come out is a matter of great importance to all of us. It is a nation-wide problem and at the same time a local problem. We make little permanent gain by the arrest, prosecution, and punishment of prisoners if they go back to criminal activities. More than one-half of the persons in prison today have had to be locked up at least once before for a violation of the law. We have bungled in the manner and the method of their release.
After the necessarily strict routine of prison life it is difficult for a discharged prisoner to stand on his own feet in the swift-running currents of a free man's world. Often, if he has been in prison very long, he will have lost the habit of making his own decisions. He usually faces tremendous difficulties in finding a job. In many cases his prison record cuts him off from the friendship of law-abiding people. These circumstances tend to push a man back to a life of crime unless we make it our business to help him overcome them. That is the reason why I have long been of the opinion that parole is the most promising method of terminating a prison sentence.

Parole is the conditional release of an offender under expert supervision while the state still has control over him. It is an integral part of the treatment begun the moment the man enters a correctional institution.

Parole is not pardon. When a man is pardoned, his crime is forgiven.
Parole is not a shortening of the sentence because of good behavior in prison. This is called "good time allowance" or commutation for good behavior, and it is given by law as an aid to prison discipline.

Parole is not probation. A person on probation has never been sent to prison for his offense.

And, of course, parole differs from outright discharge on the final day of the offender's sentence. When a man is paroled, he is still subject to the control of the authorities and he can be put back in prison without a formal trial if he does not live up to the conditions of his release.

The true purpose of parole is to protect society — all of us — by supervising and assisting released prisoners until they have a chance to get on their feet and show that they intend to live law-abiding, self-supporting lives.

Now, naturally, I am speaking of real, honest, well-administered parole: parole granted only after a prisoner has shown improvement during a period of constructive treatment and training in prison and only after a thorough and searching study of his case; parole under the supervision of qualified
Much of the criticism which we have heard directed at parole is due to the fact that while forty-six of our states have parole laws, less than a dozen have provided the money and the personnel which are necessary to operate a real parole system. Some of the criticism is due, too, to the fact that the parole power sometimes has been used to grant political or personal favors. This combination of neglect and abuse in the administration of the parole power is a matter of serious national concern. How well or how poorly a parole system operates in one section of the country may affect the lives of citizens throughout the nation.

On the other hand, we know from experience that parole, when it is honestly and expertly managed, provides better protection for society than does any other method of release from prison. That has been shown by the operation of the Federal parole system and in those states which have applied modern parole methods.
These are the reasons why I asked Attorney General Murphy to call this National Parole Conference. As I wrote to him on January 25, 1939, I hope that this conference will serve to acquaint our people with the facts concerning parole and clear up widespread misconceptions about it. Parole will never succeed if it is merely a government function and does not have the understanding and help of the individual citizens in every community.

It is especially important that people should not be deceived by violent attacks on properly run parole administrations if one parolee goes wrong and commits another crime. The fact is that while a properly run parole system gives no guarantee of perfection, the percentages of parolees who go straight for the rest of their lives are infinitely higher than where there is no parole system at all.
I hope you will let us know the ways in which the
Federal Government can best cooperate with the governments of
the several states in strengthening this important sector of
our nation-wide attack on crime. I felt that these objectives
could not be reached unless this conference included repre-
sentatives of all branches of law enforcement, public welfare
administration, and the general public. A technical job
necessarily, it is one which must be geared into the work of
other branches of law enforcement.

That is why Attorney General Murphy invited governors,
judges, legislators, state attorneys general, prosecutors,
police and prison officials, public welfare administrators,
social workers, educators, and representative citizens as well
as those directly engaged in parole work to take part in this
conference.

Democracy succeeds through the thoughtful public
service of its citizens. A conference of this kind is in
accord with the American democratic way.
Well-administered parole is an instrument of tested value in the control of crime. Its proper use in all jurisdictions will promote our national security. If your deliberations serve that end, as I am sure they will, you will have rendered a very important public service.

[Signature]

************

[Note: Original copy used in 1st Room of White House]
ADDRESS OF THE PRESIDENT
Delivered to the National Parole Conference
East Room of the White House
April 17, 1939, 6.00 P. M., E. S. T.

(MY FRIENDS:)

MR. ATTORNEY GENERAL, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:

There are a few occasions when I wish the White House were bigger. (Applause) But most of the time I wish it were a great deal smaller.

I am happy to welcome you to the National Parole Conference and to have an opportunity to talk with you and our radio neighbors throughout the country about parole and also about some of the broad questions of law enforcement as a national problem.

As many of you know, the control of crime is a problem which I began studying many years ago as a member of the executive committee of the National Crime Commission. And later, during my administration as Governor of New York, the improvement of the state penal and correctional system became one of my (first) most important responsibilities. Many of you, and especially my old friend, Sam Lewisohn, were of invaluable assistance in that task, which included the establishment of a modern parole system.

All of us have come to realize that while the responsibility for the control of crime falls primarily (in) on the states and their subdivisions, the activities of criminals are not limited by local and state boundaries.
The consequences of lax law enforcement and crime-breeding conditions in one part of the country may be felt in cities and villages and farms all across the continent. For instance, I think of the operations of a criminal gang (which) that had its origins in the slum section of a small southwestern city. Before the members of (this) that gang were rounded up, successfully prosecuted, and put in prison by the Federal Government, they had left a trail of robbery and violence in seven midwestern states. (This) That illustrates the essentially nationwide character of the crime problem.

Crime cannot be held in check by a good police system alone. Occasional brilliant prosecutions may arouse our admiration, but they do not solve the crime problem. Long prison sentences for notorious criminals have not rid us of thousands who escape undetected or unpunished because our defenses break down at one point or (another) other.

Public protection against law-breakers demands efficient police work, able and fearless prosecutions, prompt, fair trials, and the intelligent and constructive treatment of the guilty -- not just here and there, not only when well-known characters are involved, but in all the cases in all jurisdictions (throughout) in every part of the land.

With this in mind, this Administration initiated early in 1933 a definite program of crime control (which)
that had three major objectives.

First of all, we sought to broaden and strengthen Federal law enforcement. Secondly, we took steps to promote more effective cooperation among the states themselves, and between the states and the Federal Government. And finally, through a broad program of social welfare, we struck at the very roots of crime itself.

As a first step (the) Attorney General Cummings outlined a twelve-point legislative program (which) that resulted in the enactment of twenty-one new Federal crime statutes. Two of those laws gave to the Federal Government -- we all know about it -- drastic powers in kidnaping cases, with the result that the back of the kidnaping racket has been broken. Every home in the country has shared in the sense of relief that has come from the vigorous enforcement of the anti-kidnaping laws.

Other new laws empowered the national government to bring its resources into action against robbers of banks. There have been 245 convictions since this National Bank Robbery Law was enacted.

Here are some figures, just by way of illustration, on the daylight hold-ups of banks, compiled by the American Bankers' Association. In 1933, in that year, there were 516 daylight hold-ups. In 1934, the year the new Law became effective, the number fell to 364. In 1935 it was down to 258; in 1936, it was down still further to 148; and in
1937 it dropped to 120. Last year, 1938, there were only 110 bank hold-ups -- only about one-fifth as many as there were in 1933, five years before, and I think that is a pretty good record. (A good record!)

Another (new) law made it a Federal crime to transport stolen goods, in excess of $5,000 in value, transport them across state lines. Still another made it unlawful for any person to flee from one state to another to avoid prosecution or appearance as a material witness in a criminal case.

These and the other new Federal anti-trust (laughter) anti-crime laws (interrupted by laughter and applause) -- I wonder what the connection is. (Laughter) I can assure you it was a pure slip of the tongue; there were no mental reservations. These, the other new Federal anti-crime laws do not supplant state laws but they plug the gaps between the authority of one state and that of its neighbors. They permit the forces of law and order to occupy what was formerly a no-man's land in which as we know roamed some of (our) the most desperate criminals of modern times.

But, of course, laws do not enforce themselves. And so we set about systematically to enlarge and improve the equipment and personnel of the Federal agencies of detection and prosecution. The agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Justice Department -- what
they call the G-man -- have justly become world famous. Likewise, the agents of the several investigating units in the Treasury Department, the Postal Inspectors, and their co-workers in other branches of the Government have made enviable records in the apprehension of offenders against Federal laws. The efforts of these investigators have been ably supported by a fine corps of United States Attorneys and special prosecutors. Many of these United States Attorneys are here today, and I am glad to welcome them as they assemble to canvass with Attorney General Murphy the ways in which their campaign against crime (may) can be waged even more effectively.

A new spirit and a new energy have been breathed into our Federal court system also. Thirty-eight new district judgeships have been authorized, (which will) to accelerate the splendid progress made in bringing the business of the courts more nearly up-to-date. Archaic forms of civil procedure have been cast aside for a uniform and simple set of rules which will help to speed the wheels of justice. A way of avoiding long delays in determining the constitutionality of Federal laws has been opened up by permitting appeal directly from the lower courts to the Supreme Court itself.

With the authorization of Congress we have also instituted an important change of method in the handling of juvenile offenders against Federal laws. The courts and
and the Attorney General are now given wide latitude very properly, latitude in determining how best to protect the safety of society by trying to prevent a young delinquent from becoming an habitual criminal. Charges against an offender under the age of eighteen may now be heard informally, and if probation is not desirable, the Attorney General is authorized to place him in any suitable public or private educational or correctional institution.

Another important part of our program has been the improvement of the Federal penal and correctional system itself in all of its branches. We (have) built different kinds of institutions for different kinds of prisoners, ranging from the now famous penitentiary for the most hardened offenders, on Alcatraz Island, to unwalled reformatories and camps for the offenders who are less dangerous and who seem to offer real hope of becoming law-abiding citizens.

In the administration of our Federal penal institutions we use every known aid to rehabilitation according to the needs of the prisoner. After all, the primary purpose of the prison is to protect the public by releasing men at the end of their sentences better and not worse than when they were received. For that reason, we have enlarged and improved the opportunities for education and vocational training in the Federal prison system. Moreover, we (have) provided useful work for those who need to learn how to earn
an honest living -- and we have done it without selling a
dollar's worth of goods on the open market in competition
with private industry or free labor. We can, I think, look
for still further improvement, yes, great improvement, as we
learn more, in the administration of the Federal prisons as
the years go by because we have put the personnel of the
prison service on a merit basis with training courses for
employees of all grades.

(Each) Every year for (several) a number of years
we have increased the number of Federal probation and parole
officers and last year we raised the standards governing
their appointment. Today the field staff of the Bureau of
Prisons is supervising nearly thirty thousand men and women
on probation or on parole. No finer tribute could be paid
to the work of these officials and to the United States Board
of Parole than to mention the fact that about ninety-five
per cent of those under their control complete their sentences
without further violations of the law.

But our efforts to suppress wrongdoing have not
been confined to the field of violent crimes. Through the
securities and exchange legislation we have sought to pro-
tect the average investor from the depredations of un-
principled financial manipulators. In the administration
of this legislation we have struck hard at those gangsters
in high places who differ from the ordinary robbers only in
the fact that they use the tricky weapons of high finance
instead of sawed-off shotguns. (Applause)

And let us not wholly forget the repeal of the Eighteenth Amendment. (Laughter and applause) You know, and I know, what a toll that took from this country through the flouting of law by thousands of otherwise respectable people as well as through the activities of bootleggers and racketeers who flourished (during) in the prohibition years. It was undoubtedly the greatest source of revenue for organized crime that this nation has ever known.

While we have been tightening up on Federal law enforcement we have also been making headway toward the second of our broad objectives -- the development of closer cooperation between the agencies of the several states and those of the Federal Government. The Federal Bureau of Investigation in the Department of Justice has organized the National Police Academy where carefully chosen local peace officers are given training in modern police work. Expert (and) technical services have been made available to state prison and parole authorities by the Bureau of Prisons. The W. P. A., the Works Progress Administration, in addition to cooperating with the Justice Department in making the first nation-wide survey of the methods by which prisoners are released into society, has furnished much-needed personnel for educational and other programs in the institutions of thirty-two states of the Union. It has installed police signal systems (and), fingerprint files in cities (which)
that could not otherwise afford them. It has (also) furnished the labor for the construction or the repair of
jails and police stations throughout the country. And
through another agency, the Public Works Administration,
over twenty-six million dollars has been made available for
the construction, improvement, and repair of prisons and
jails, with the result that many old, unhealthy, and over-
crowded centers of crime infection have been replaced by
modern facilities. Of (this) that amount, over eleven
million dollars has been for state and local projects.

So you will see that all of these direct attacks
on crime which we have made through the extending and
strengthening of Federal activities and in helping to
improve state and local agencies of law enforcement are very,
very important. But I like to think that the most far-reaching results have come from our broad program of social welfare -- from our work relief projects, the Civilian Conservation Corps, the National Youth Administration, and the related measures for providing useful work for those of our citizens who are unemployed by private industry. Our citizens who have been out of work in the last six years have not needed to steal in order to keep from starving. (Applause)
Of course, when we instituted (these) those activities we did
not have in mind merely the narrow purpose of preventing
crime. However, nobody who knows how demoralizing the ef-
facts of enforced idleness may be will be inclined to doubt
that crime prevention has been an important by-product of our effort to provide our needy unemployed citizens with the opportunity to earn by honest work at least the bare necessities of life. And a considerable part of that honest work has been devoted to the construction and supervision of such social assets as playgrounds, athletic fields, municipal swimming pools, gymnasiums, workshops, traveling libraries, schools and other educational and recreational facilities (which) that are of particular benefit to youth and that has an effect on crime.

Throughout the depression approximately (one) a third of all our unemployed have been youth, young people, under the age of twenty-five. Not long ago I read a report from a small city which had a reputation for juvenile delinquency. In collaboration with local agencies, the (National Youth Administration) N. Y. A. started a work project (which) provided part-time jobs for the idle youth of (this) the community. When the project was first started there was considerable "soldiering" on the job but gradually the interest and the pride of those boys in the job itself was aroused. For the five months since this N. Y. A. project had been started there had not been a single complaint of delinquency to the local (peace) police officers. That is a concrete contribution to our common security -- not only now but for (the) years to come.

So, as I review our achievements in this coordinated
drive against crime, it seems to me that we have made the least progress in the very important matter of getting people from prison back (into) to society. (This) That conclusion I am told is confirmed by the findings of the Attorney General's Survey of Release Procedures now being published by the Department of Justice. This is an unfortunate state of affairs. Let us not forget for one moment that ninety-seven out of every (one) hundred of the men and women we send to prison must some day come out of prison again. Between 60,000 and 70,000 persons are released from Federal and state prisons and reformatories back into the communities of the country every single year. What they do when they come out is a matter of great importance to all of (us) them, to every citizen and every man, woman and child, to every father and mother. It is a nation-wide problem and at the same time it is a local problem. We make little permanent gain by the arrest, the prosecution, and the punishment of prisoners if they go back, when they come out, to criminal activities. More than one-half of the persons in prison today have had to be locked up at least once before for a violation of the law. Yes, we might as well admit it. Taking it by and large, we have bungled in the manner and the method of their release.

After the necessarily strict routine of prison life we know that it is difficult for a discharged prisoner to stand on his own feet in the swift-running currents of a free
man's world. Often, if he has been in prison very long, he will have lost the habit of making his own decisions. He usually faces tremendous difficulties in finding a job. In many cases his prison record cuts him off from the friendship of law-abiding people. These circumstances tend to push a man back to a life of crime unless we make it our business to help him overcome them. And when I say "we", I do not mean just those of us from the point of view of government or because we have a great social interest in the problem. I mean all of the average citizens in every community in the whole of the United States. That is the reason (why) I have long been of the opinion that this problem of parole is the most promising method of terminating a prison sentence. But that it has got to have the interest of the citizens of the country if we are to carry through on that improvement.

Parole is the conditional release of an offender under expert supervision while the state still has control over him. It is an integral part of the treatment begun the moment the man enters a correctional institution.

And parole must not be confused with other things. Parole is not pardon. When a man is pardoned, his crime is forgiven.

Parole is not a shortening of the sentence because of good behavior in prison. This is called "good time allowance" or commutation for good behavior, and it is given by
law as an aid to prison discipline.

Parole is not probation. A person on probation has never been sent to prison for his offense.

And, of course, parole differs from outright discharge on the final day of the offender's sentence. When a man is paroled, he is still subject to the control of the authorities and he can be put back into prison without a formal trial if he does not live up to the conditions of his release.

The true purpose of parole is to protect society -- all of us -- by supervising and assisting released prisoners until they have a chance to get on their feet and show that they intend to live law-abiding, self-supporting lives.

Now, naturally, I am speaking of real, honest, well-administered parole: parole granted only after a prisoner has shown improvement during a long period of constructive treatment and training in prison and only after a thorough and searching study of his case; parole under the supervision of qualified parole officers.

Much of the criticism (which) we have heard directed at parole is due to the fact that while forty-six (of our) states of our Union have parole laws, less than a dozen out of those forty-six have provided the money and the personnel which are necessary to operate a real parole system. Some of the criticism is due, too, to the fact that the parole power sometimes -- yes I would say often -- has been used to
grant political or personal favors. (This) That combination of neglect and abuse in the administration of the parole power is a matter of serious national concern. How well or how poorly a parole system operates in one section of the country may affect the lives of citizens (throughout the nation) in every other part of the country.

On the other hand, we know from experience that parole, when it is honestly and expertly managed, provides better protection for society than does any other method of release from prison. That has been shown by the operation of the Federal parole system and in those states which have applied modern parole methods.

These are the reasons why I asked the Attorney General (Murphy) to call this National Parole Conference. As I wrote (to) him on January 25th, (1939) of this year, I hope that this conference will serve to acquaint our people with the facts concerning parole and clear up widespread misconceptions about it. Parole will never succeed if it is merely a government function and does not have the understanding and help of the individual citizens in every community.

And it is especially important that people in the United States, the whole of our citizenship, should not be deceived by violent attacks on properly run parole administrations if, as has happened, one parolee goes wrong and commits another crime. The fact is that while a properly run parole system (gives no) cannot give the guarantee of
perfection, the percentages of parolees who go straight for the rest of their lives, those percentages are infinitely higher than where there is no parole system at all.

I hope that you will let us know the ways in which the Federal Government can best cooperate with the governments of the several states in strengthening this important sector of our nation-wide attack on crime. I felt that these objectives could not be reached unless this conference included representatives of all branches of law enforcement, public welfare administration, and the general public as well. A technical job necessarily, it is one (which) that must be geared into the work of other branches of law enforcement.

That is why Attorney General Murphy has invited governors and judges and legislators, state attorneys general, prosecutors, police and prison officials, public welfare administrators, social workers and educators, and representative citizens as well as those directly engaged in parole work to take part in this conference.

Democracy succeeds through the thoughtful public service of its citizens. And a conference of this kind (is) seems to me to be in accord with the American democratic way.

Well-administered parole is an instrument of tested value in the control of crime. Its proper use in all jurisdictions in every state will promote our national security.
If your deliberations serve that end, as I am sure they will, you will have rendered a very important public service, for which you will deserve and get the thanks of the American people. (Prolonged applause)
of the White House.
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(My Friends:)

Mr. Attorney General, Ladies and Gentlemen

A. There are a few occasions when I wish the White House were bigger. (Applause) But most of the time I wish it were a great deal smaller.

B. Just by way of illustration

C. Five years before, and I think that is a pretty good record

D. (interrupted by laughter and applause) -- I wonder what the connection is. (Laughter) I can assure it you it was a pure slip of the tongue; there were no mental reservations. These, the other new federal anti-crime laws

E. Yes, great improvement, as we learn more

F. Back into the communities of the country

G. Them to every citizen and every man, woman and child to
every father and mother.

H Yes, we might as well admit it. Taking it by and large, do not mean
I. And, when I say "we", I mean that I do know what I

---

do not mean just those of us from the point of view of government or because we have a great social interest in these problems. I mean all of the average citizens in every community in the whole of the United States. That is the reason (why) I have long been of the opinion that this problem of parole is the most promising method of terminating a prison sentence. But that it has got to have the interest of the citizens of the country if we are to carry through on that improvement.

J And parole must not be confused with other things. and get the
K , for which you will deserve the thanks of the American people. (Prolonged applause)
CAUTION: This address of the President, to be broadcast from the White House in connection with the National Parole Conference, MUST BE HELD IN CONFIDENCE UNTIL RELEASED.

NOTE: Release to editors of all newspapers appearing on the streets NOT EARLIER THAN 6:00 P.M., E.S.T., April 17, 1959.

CARE MUST BE EXERCISED TO PREVENT PREMATURE PUBLICATION.

STEPHEN EARLY
Secretary to the President

MY FRIENDS:

I am happy to welcome you to the National Parole Conference and to have an opportunity to talk with you and our radio neighbors throughout the country about parole and some of the broad questions of law enforcement as a national problem.

As many of you know, the control of crime is a problem which I began studying many years ago as a member of the executive committee of the National Crime Commission. Later, during my administration as Governor of New York, the improvement of the state penal and correctional system became one of my first important responsibilities. Many of you, and especially my old friend, Sam Lewishohn, were of invaluable assistance in that task, which included the establishment of a modern parole system.

All of us have come to realize that while the responsibility for the control of crime falls primarily on the states and their subdivisions, the activities of criminals are not limited by local and state boundaries. The consequences of lax law enforcement and crime-breeding conditions in one part of the country may be felt in cities and villages across the continent. For instance, I think of the operations of a criminal gang which had its origins in the slum section of a small southwestern city. Before the members of this gang were rounded up, successfully prosecuted, and put in prison by the Federal Government, they had left a trail of robbery and violence in seven midwestern states. This illustrates the essentially nationwide character of the crime problem.

Crime cannot be held in check by a good police system alone. Occasional brilliant prosecutions may arouse our admiration, but they do not solve the crime problem. Long prison sentences for notorious criminals have not rid us of thousands who escape undetected or unpunished because our defenses break down at one point or another.

Public protection against law-breakers demands efficient police work, able and fearless prosecutions, prompt, fair trials, and the intelligent and constructive treatment of the guilty — not just here and there, not only when well-known characters are involved, but in all cases in all jurisdictions throughout the land.

With this in mind, this administration initiated early in 1953 a definite program of crime control which had three major objectives:

First, we sought to broaden and strengthen Federal law enforcement. Secondly, we took steps to promote more effective cooperation among the states and between the states and the Federal Government. Finally, through a broad program of social welfare, we struck at the very roots of crime.

As a first step, the Attorney General outlined a twelve-point legislative program which resulted in the enactment of twenty-one new Federal crime statutes. Two of these laws gave the Federal Government drastic powers in kidnapping cases, with the result that the book of the kidnapping racket has been broken. Every home in the country has shared in the sense of relief that has come from the vigorous enforcement of the anti-kidnapping laws.
Other new laws empowered the national government to bring its resources into action against robbers of banks. There have been 245 convictions since this National Bank Robbery Law was enacted.

Here are some figures on daylight hold-ups of banks, compiled by the American Bankers' Association. In 1932, there were 515 daylight hold-ups. In 1934, the year the new law became effective, the number fell to 364. In 1935 it was down to 288; in 1936, it was down still further to 149; and in 1937 it dropped to 120. Last year there were only 110 bank hold-ups -- only about one-fifth as many as there were in 1933. (A good record!)

Another new law made it a Federal crime to transport stolen goods, in excess of $6,000 in value, across state lines. Still another made it unlawful for any person to flee from one state to another to avoid prosecution or appearance as a material witness in a criminal case.

These and the other new Federal anti-crime laws do not supplant state laws but plug the gaps between the authority of one state and that of its neighbors. They permit the forces of law and order to occupy what was formerly a no-man's land in which roamed some of our most desperate criminals.

But, of course, laws do not enforce themselves. And so we set about systematically to enlarge and improve the equipment and personnel of the Federal agencies of detection and prosecution. The agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Justice Department -- the G-man -- have proudly become world famous. Likewise, the agents of the several investigating units in the Treasury Department, the Postal Inspectors, and their co-workers in other branches of the government have made enviable records in the apprehension of offenders against Federal laws. The efforts of these investigators have been ably supported by a fine corps of United States Attorneys and special prosecutors. Many of these United States Attorneys are here today, and I am glad to welcome them as they assemble to canvass with Attorney General Murphy the ways in which their campaign against crime may be waged even more effectively.

A new spirit and a new energy have been breathed into our Federal court system also. Thirty-eight new district judgeships have been authorized, which will vitally accelerate the splendid progress made in bringing the business of the courts more nearly up-to-date. Archaic forms of civil procedure have been cast aside for a uniform and simple set of rules which will help to speed the wheels of justice. A way of avoiding long delays in determining the constitutionality of Federal laws has been opened by permitting appeal directly from the lower courts to the Supreme Court.

With the authorization of Congress we have also instituted an important change in method in the handling of juvenile offenders against Federal law. The courts and the Attorney General are now given wide latitude in determining how best to protect the safety of society by trying to prevent a young delinquent from becoming an habitual criminal. Charges against an offender under the age of eighteen may now be heard informally, and if probation is not desirable, the Attorney General is authorized to place him in any suitable public or private educational or correctional institution.

Another important part of our program has been the improvement of the Federal penal and correctional system in all of its branches. We have built different kinds of institutions for different kinds of prisoners, ranging from the now famous penal institution for the most hardened offenders, on Alcatraz Island, to unembellished reformatory and camps for the offenders who are less dangerous and who soon to offer real hope of becoming law-abiding citizens.
In the administration of our Federal penal institutions we use every known aid to rehabilitation according to the needs of the prisoner. After all, the primary purpose of the prison is to protect the public by releasing men at the end of their sentences better and not worse than when they were received. For that reason, we have enlarged and improved the opportunities for education and vocational training in the Federal prison system. Moreover, we (have) provided useful work for those who need to learn how to earn an honest living — and we have done it without selling a dollar's worth of goods on the open market in competition with private industry or free labor. We can, I think, look for still further improvement in the administration of the Federal prisons as the years go by because we have put the personnel of the prison service on a merit basis with training courses for employees of all grades.

Last year for several years we have increased the number of Federal probation and parole officers and last year we raised the standards governing their appointment. Today the field staff of the Bureau of Prisons is supervising nearly thirty thousand men and women on probation or on parole. No finer tribute could be paid to the work of those officials and to the United States Board of Parole than to mention the fact that about ninety-five per cent of those under their control complete their sentences without further violations of the law.

But our efforts to suppress wrongdoing have not been confined to the field of violent crimes. Through the securities and exchange legislation we have sought to protect the average investor from the depredations of unprincipled financial manipulators. In the administration of this legislation we have struck hard at those gangsters in high places who differ from the ordinary robbers only in the fact that they use the tricky weapons of high finance instead of sawed-off shotguns.

And let us not forget the repeal of the Eighteenth Amendment. You know, and I know, what a toll that took from this country through the floating of law by thousands of otherwise respectable people as well as through the activities of bootleggers and racketeers who flourished during the prohibition years. It was undeniably the greatest source of revenue for organized crime that this nation has ever known.

While we have been tightening up on Federal law enforcement we have also been making headway toward the second of our broad objectives — the development of closer cooperation between the agencies of the several states and those of the Federal Government. The Federal Bureau of Investigation in the Department of Justice has organized the National Police Academy where carefully chosen local police officers are given training in modern police work. Export(able) technical services have been made available to state prison and parole authorities by the Bureau of Prisons. The Works Progress Administration, in addition to cooperating with the Justice Department in making the first nation-wide survey of the methods by which prisoners are released into society, has furnished much-needed personnel for educational and other programs in the institutions of thirty-two states. It has also furnished labor for the construction or repair of jails and police stations throughout the country. Through the Public Works Administration, over twenty-six million dollars has been made available for the construction, improvement, and repair of prisons and jails, with the result that many old, unhealthy, and overcrowded centers of crime infection have been replaced by modern facilities. Of that amount, over eleven million dollars has been for state and local projects.

All of these direct attacks on crime which we have made through extending and strengthening Federal activities and in helping to improve state and local agencies of law enforcement are important. But I like to
think that the next far-reaching results have come from our bread program of social welfare -- from our work relief projects, the Civilian Conservation Corps, the National Youth Administration, and the related measures for providing; useful work for those of our citizens who are unemployed by private industry. Our citizens who have been out of work in the last six years have not been prevented from being useful, and we did not have in mind merely the narrow purpose of preventing crime. However, nobody who knows how demoralizing the effects of enforced idleness may be will be inclined to doubt that crime prevention has been an important by-product of our effort to provide our needy unemployed citizens with the opportunity to earn by honest work at least the bare necessities of life. And a considerable part of that honest work has been devoted to the construction and supervision of such social assets as playgrounds, athletic fields, municipal swimming pools, gymnasiums, workshops, traveling libraries, schools and other educational and recreational facilities which are of particular benefit to youth.

Throughout the depression approximately one-third of all our unemployed have been youth under the age of twenty-five. Not long ago I read a report from a small city which had a reputation for juvenile delinquency. In collaboration with local agencies, the National Youth Administration started a work project which provided part-time jobs for the idle youth of this community. When the project was first started there was considerable "soldering" on the job but gradually the interest and pride of those boys was aroused. For the five months since this NYA project had been started there had not been a single complaint of delinquency to the local police officers. That is a concrete contribution to our common security -- not only now but for tomorrow.

As I review our accomplishments in this coordinated drive against crime, it seems to me that we have made the most progress in the very area in which society needs it most. The young people from prison back to the community. (Final conclusion I am told is confirmed by the findings of the Attorney General's survey of pre-sentence procedure now being published by the Department of Justice. This is an unfortunate state of affairs. Let us not forget for one moment that ninety-seven out of every hundred of the men and women we send to prison must come back out again. Between 60,000 and 70,000 persons are released from Federal and state prisons and reformatories every year. What do they do when they come out? It is a matter of great importance to all of us. It is a nation-wide problem and at the same time a local problem. We make little permanent gain by the arrest, prosecution, and punishment of prisoners if they go back to criminal activities. More than one-half of the persons in prison today have had to be locked up at least once before for a violation of the law. We have bungled in the manner and the method of their release.

After the necessarily strict routine of prison life, it is difficult for a discharged prisoner to stand on his own feet in the swift running currents of a free man's world. Often, if he has been in prison very long, he will have lost the habit of making his own decisions. He usually faces tremendous difficulties in finding a job. In many cases he has been in prison several times and is barred from the friendship of law-abiding people. These circumstances tend to push a man back to a life of crime unless we make it our business to help him overcome them. That is the reason why I have long been of the opinion that parole is the most promising method of terminating a prison sentence.

Parole is the conditional release of an offender under expert supervision while he is still in control of him. It is an integral part of the treatment begun the moment the man enters a correctional institution.

Parole is not pardon. When a man is pardoned, his crime is forgiven.

Parole is not a shortening of the sentence because of good behavior in prison. This is called "good time allowance" or commutation for good behavior, and it is given by law as an aid to prison discipline.
My Friends:

I am happy to welcome you to the National Parole Conference and to have an opportunity to talk with you and our radio neighbors throughout the country about parole and some of the broad questions of law enforcement as a national problem.

As many of you know, the control of crime is a problem which I began studying many years ago as a member of the executive committee of the National Crime Commission. Later, during my administration as Governor of New York, the improvement of the state penal and correctional system became one of my first important responsibilities. Many of you, and especially my old friend, Sam Lewisohn, were of invaluable assistance in that task, which included the establishment of a modern parole system.
All of us have come to realize that while the responsibility for the control of crime falls primarily on the states and their subdivisions, the activities of criminals are not limited by local and state boundaries. The consequences of lax law enforcement and crime-breeding conditions in one part of the country may be felt in cities and villages across the continent. For instance, my attention was called to the operations of a criminal gang which had its origins in the slum section of a small southwestern city. Before the members of this gang were rounded up, successfully prosecuted, and put in prison by the Federal Government, they had left a trail of robbery and violence in seven midwestern states. Although this was a striking case, it is not unique, and it illustrates the essentially nationwide character of the crime problem.

We have come to realize, too, that efficiency in one branch of law enforcement cannot compensate for inefficiency in other branches.
Crime cannot be held in check by a good police system alone. Occasional brilliant prosecutions may arouse our admiration, but they do not solve the crime problem. Long prison sentences for notorious criminals have not rid us of the burden of those thousands who escape undetected or unpunished because our defenses break down at one point or another.

Public protection against law-breakers demands efficient police work, able and fearless prosecutions, prompt, fair trials, and the intelligent and constructive treatment of the guilty - not just here and there, not only when well-known characters are involved, but in all cases in all jurisdictions throughout the land.

With this in mind, this administration initiated early in 1933 a definite program of crime control which had three major objectives.

First, we sought to broaden and strengthen Federal law enforcement. Secondly, we took steps to promote more effective
cooperation among the states and between the states and the Federal Government. Finally, through a broad program of social welfare, we struck at the very roots of crime.

As a first step, the Attorney General outlined a twelve-point legislative program which resulted in the enactment of twenty-one new Federal crime statutes. Two of those laws gave the Federal Government drastic powers in kidnaping cases. The back of the kidnaping racket has been broken. Every home in the country has shared in the great sense of relief that has come from the vigorous enforcement of the anti-kidnaping laws.

Other new laws empowered the national government to bring its resources into action against robbers of national banks and other banks which either belong to the Federal Reserve System or are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. There have been 245 convictions since the National Bank Robbery Law was enacted.
Here are some figures on daylight hold-ups of banks, compiled by the American Bankers' Association. In 1933, there were 516 daylight hold-ups. In 1934, the year the

Law became effective, the number fell to 364. In 1935 it was down to 258; in 1936, it was down still further to 148; and in 1937 it dropped to 120. Last year there were only 110 bank hold-ups — only about one-fifth as many as there were in 1933. A good record!

Another new law made it a Federal crime to transport stolen goods, in excess of $5,000 in value, across state lines.

Still another made it unlawful for any person to flee from one state to another to avoid prosecution or appearance as a material witness in a criminal case.

These and the other new Federal anti-crime laws do not supplant state laws but plug the gaps between the authority of one state and that of its neighbors. They permit the forces of law and order to occupy what was formerly a no-man's land in which roamed some of our most desperate criminals.
But, of course, laws do not enforce themselves. And so
we set about systematically to enlarge and improve the equipment
and personnel of the Federal agencies of detection and prosecution.
The agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Justice
Department - the G-men - have justly become world famous. Likewise,
the agents of the several investigating units in the Treasury Depart-
ment, the Postal Inspectors, and their co-workers in other branches
of the government have made enviable records in the apprehension of
offenders against Federal laws. The efforts of these investigators
have been ably supported by a fine corps of United States District
Attorneys and special prosecutors. Many of these United States
Attorneys are here today, and I am glad to welcome them as they
assemble to canvass with Attorney General Murphy the ways in which
their campaign against crime may be waged even more effectively.
A new spirit and a new energy have been breathed into our Federal court system also. Thirty-eight new district judgeships have been authorized, which will accelerate the splendid progress that has been made in bringing the business of the courts more nearly up-to-date. Archaic forms of civil procedure have been cast aside for a uniform and simple set of rules which will help to speed the wheels of justice. A way of avoiding long delays in determining the constitutionality of Federal laws has been opened by permitting appeal directly from the lower courts to the Supreme Court.

With the authorization of Congress we have also instituted an important change of method in the handling of juvenile offenders against Federal laws. The courts and the Attorney General are now given wide latitude in determining how best to protect the safety of society by trying to prevent a young delinquent from becoming
an habitual criminal. Charges against an offender under the age of eighteen may now be heard informally, and if probation is not desirable, the Attorney General is authorized to place him in any suitable public or private educational or correctional institution.

Another important part of our program has been the improvement of the Federal penal and correctional system in all of its branches. We have built different kinds of institutions for different kinds of prisoners, ranging from the now famous penitentiary for the most hardened offenders, on Alcatraz Island, to unwalled reformatories and camps for the offenders who are less dangerous and who seem to offer real hope of becoming law-abiding citizens.

In the administration of our Federal penal institutions we use every known aid to rehabilitation according to the needs of the prisoner. After all, the primary purpose of the prison is
to protect the public by releasing men at the end of their sentences better and not worse than when they were received.

For that reason, we have enlarged and improved the opportunities for education and vocational training in the Federal prison system. Moreover, we have provided useful work for those who need to learn how to earn an honest living — and we have done it without selling a dollar's worth of goods on the open market in competition with private industry or free labor. We can, I think, look for still further improvement in the administration of the Federal prisons as the years go by because we have put the personnel of the prison service on a merit basis with training courses for employees of all grades.

Each year for several years we have increased the number of Federal probation and parole officers and last year we raised the standards governing their appointment. Today the field staff of the Bureau of Prisons is supervising nearly thirty thousand
men and women on probation or parole with an efficiency which
commands respect. No finer tribute could be paid to the work of
and to the United States board of Parole
these officials/than to mention the fact that about ninety-five
per cent of those under their control complete their sentences
without further violations of the law.

But our efforts to suppress wrongdoing have not been confined
to the field of violent crimes. Through the securities and exchange
legislation we have sought to protect the average investor from
the depredations of unprincipled financial manipulators. In the
administration of this legislation we have struck hard at those
gangsters in high places who differ from the ordinary robbers only
in the fact that they use the tricky weapons of high finance instead
of sawed-off shotguns.

And let us not forget the repeal of the Eighteenth
Amendment. You know, and I know, what a toll that took from this
country through the flouting of law by thousands of otherwise
respectable people as well as through the activities of bootleggers and racketeers who flourished during the prohibition years. It was undoubtedly the greatest source of revenue for organized crime that this nation has ever known.

While we have been tightening up on Federal law enforcement we have also been making headway toward the second of our broad objectives - the development of closer cooperation between the agencies of the several states and those of the Federal Government. The Federal Bureau of Investigation in the Department of Justice has organized the National Police Academy where carefully chosen local peace officers are given training in modern police work, that they may go back to their localities and serve as instructors. Expert and technical services have been made available to state prison and parole authorities by the Bureau of Prisons. The Works Progress Administration, in addition to cooperating with the Justice
Department in making the first nation-wide survey of the methods by which prisoners are released into society, has furnished much-needed personnel for educational and other programs in the institutions of thirty-two states. It has installed police signal systems and fingerprint files in cities which could not otherwise afford them. It has also furnished the labor for the construction or repair of jails and police stations throughout the country. Through the Public Works Administration over twenty-six million dollars has been made available for the construction, improvement, and repair of prisons and jails, with the result that many old, unhealthy, and overcrowded centers of crime infection have been replaced by modern facilities. Of this amount, over eleven million dollars has been for state and local projects.

All of these direct attacks on crime which we have made through extending and strengthening Federal activities and in
helping to improve state and local agencies of law enforcement are important. But I like to think that the most far-reaching results have come from our broad program of social welfare — from our work relief projects, the Civilian Conservation Corps, the National Youth Administration, and the related measures for providing useful work for those of our citizens who are unemployed by private industry. Our citizens who have been out of work in the last six years have not needed to steal in order to keep from starving. Of course, when we instituted these activities we did not have in mind merely the narrow purpose of preventing crime. However, nobody who knows how demoralizing the effects of enforced idleness may be will be inclined to doubt that crime prevention has been an important by-product of our effort to provide our needy unemployed citizens with the opportunity to earn by honest work at least the bare necessities of life. And a considerable part of that honest work has been devoted to the construction and supervision of such
social assets as playgrounds, athletic fields, municipal swimming pools, gymnasiums, workshops, traveling libraries, schools, and other educational and recreational facilities which are of particular benefit to youth.

Throughout the depression approximately one-third of all our unemployed have been youth under the age of twenty-five. Not long ago I read a report from a small city which had a reputation for juvenile delinquency. In collaboration with local agencies, the National Youth Administration started a work project which provided part-time jobs for the idle youth of this community.

The report said that when the project was first started there was considerable "soldiering" on the job but gradually the interest and pride of these boys was aroused in what they were doing. What impressed me most was the statement that for the five months since this NYA project had been started there had not been a single complaint of delinquency to the local peace officers. Incidents of this kind
encourage me to believe that when we give youth a chance to develop normally and to find the satisfaction that comes from wholesome work and play, we are making a concrete contribution to our common security — not only now but for the years to come.

As I review our achievements in this coordinated drive against crime, it seems to me that we have made the least progress in the very important matter of getting people from prison back into society. This conclusion I am told is confirmed by the findings of the Attorney General's Survey of Release Procedures now being published by the Department of Justice. This is an unfortunate state of affairs. Let us not forget for one moment that ninety-seven out of every one hundred of the men and women we send to prison must some day come out again. Between 60,000 and 70,000 persons are released from Federal and state prisons and reformatories every year. What they do when they come out is a matter of great importance to all of us. It is a nation-wide problem. We make little
permanent gain by the arrest, prosecution, and punishment of
prisoners if they go back to criminal activities. How little
have gained in the past is shown by the fact that more than one-
half of the persons in prison today have had to be locked up at
least once before for a violation of the law. I am convinced that
one of the reasons for so large a number of repeaters is the fact
that we have bungled in the manner of their release.

After the necessarily strict routine of prison life it is
difficult for a discharged prisoner to stand on his own feet in the
swift-running currents of a free man's world. Often, if he has
been in prison very long, he will have lost the habit of making his
own decisions. He usually faces tremendous difficulties in finding
a job. In many cases his prison record cuts him off from the friend-
ship of law-abiding people. These circumstances tend to push a
man back to a life of crime unless we make it our business to help
him overcome them. That is the reason why I have long been of the
opinion that parole is the most promising method of terminating a
prison sentence.
Parole is the conditional release of an offender under expert supervision while the state still has control over him. It is an integral part of the treatment begun the moment the man enters a correctional institution.

Parole is not pardon. When a man is pardoned, his crime is forgiven. He may be pardoned outright or on condition that he does not commit another crime, but in either case pardon is an act of executive clemency.

Parole is not a shortening of the sentence because of good behavior in prison. This is called "good time allowance" or commutation for good behavior, and it is given by law as an aid to prison discipline.

Parole is not probation. A person on probation has never been sent to prison for his offense.

And, of course, parole differs from outright discharge on the final day of the offender's sentence. When a man is paroled, he is still subject to the control of the authorities and he can be put
back in prison without a formal trial if he does not live up to
the conditions of his release.

It is wrong, I think, to speak of "granting a prisoner"
• • 

It would be more accurate to speak of "subjecting someone
to parole," for the true purpose of parole is to protect society -
all of us - by supervising and assisting released prisoners until
they have a chance to get on their feet and show that they intend
to live law-abiding, self-supporting lives.

Now, naturally, I am speaking of real, honest, well-
administered parole: parole granted only after a prisoner has
shown improvement during a period of constructive treatment and
training in prison and only after a thorough and searching study
of his case, parole under the supervision of qualified parole
officers.
Much of the criticism which we have heard directed at parole is due to the fact that while forty-six of our states have parole laws, less than a dozen have provided the money and the personnel which are necessary to operate a real parole system.

Some of the criticism is due, too, to the fact that the parole power sometimes has been used to grant political or personal favors. This combination of neglect and abuse in the administration of the parole power is a matter of serious national concern.

How well or how poorly a parole system operates in one section of the country may affect the lives of citizens throughout the nation.

On the other hand, we know from experience that parole, when it is honestly and expertly managed, provides better protection for society than does any other method of release from prison. That has been shown by the operation of the Federal parole system and in those states which have applied modern parole methods.
These are the reasons why I asked Attorney General Murphy to call this National Parole Conference. As I wrote to him on January 25, 1939, I hope that this conference will serve to acquaint our people with the facts concerning parole and clear up widespread misconceptions about it. Secondly, I hope that it will be able to reach an agreement upon what is necessary to improve the administration of parole in those states where it is now weak. Thirdly, I hope it will let us know the ways in which the Federal Government can best cooperate with the governments of the several states in strengthening this important sector of our nation-wide attack on crime. I felt that these objectives could not be reached unless this conference included representatives of all branches of law enforcement, public welfare administration, and the general public. While it is a technical job, it is one which must be geared into the work of other branches of law enforcement in such a way that it serves the best interests of all of the people.
Parole will never succeed if it is merely a government function and does not have the understanding and help of the individual citizens in every community.

It is especially important that people should not be deceived by violent attacks on properly run parole administrations if one parolee goes wrong and commits another crime. The fact is that while a properly run parole system gives no guarantee of perfection, the percentages of parolees who go straight for the rest of their lives are infinitely higher than where there is no parole system at all.
That is why Attorney General Murphy invited governors, judges, legislators, state attorneys general, prosecutors, police and prison officials, public welfare administrators, social workers, educators, and representative citizens as well as those directly engaged in parole work to take part in this conference.

Democracy succeeds through the thoughtful public service of its citizens. A conference of this kind, involving together Federal, state, and local officials, representatives of private organizations, and the lay public, to discuss a problem frankly and openly, is in accord with the American democratic way.

Well-administered parole is an instrument of tested value in the control of crime. Its proper use in all jurisdictions will promote our national security. If your deliberations serve that end, as I am sure they will, you will have rendered a very important public service.
Mr. Hughes
I have original file.
SPEECH OF THE PRESIDENT
NATIONAL PAROLE CONFERENCE
APRIL 17, 1939

MY FRIENDS;

I am happy to welcome you to the National Parole Conference and to have an opportunity to talk with you and our radio neighbors throughout the country about parole and some of the broad questions of law enforcement as a national problem.

As many of you know, the control of crime is a problem which I began studying many years ago as a member of the executive committee of the National Crime Commission. Later, during my administration as Governor of New York, the improvement of the state penal and correctional system became one of my first important responsibilities. Many of you, and especially my old friend, Sam Lewisohn, were of invaluable assistance in that task, which included the establishment of a modern parole system.
All of us have come to realize that while the responsibility for the control of crime falls primarily in the states and their subdivisions, the activities of criminals are not limited by local and state boundaries. The consequences of lax law enforcement and crime-breeding conditions in one part of the country may be felt in cities and villages across the continent. For instance, I think of the operations of a criminal gang which had its origins in the slum section of a small southwestern city. Before the members of this gang were rounded up, successfully prosecuted, and put in prison by the Federal Government, they had left a trail of robbery and violence in seven midwestern states. This illustrates the essentially nationwide character of the crime problem.

Crime cannot be held in check by a good police system alone. Occasional brilliant prosecutions may arouse our admiration, but they do not solve the crime problem. Long prison sentences for notorious criminals have not rid us of thousands who escape undetected or unpunished because our defenses break down at one point or another.
Public protection against law-breakers demands efficient police work, able and fearless prosecutions, prompt, fair trials, and the intelligent and constructive treatment of the guilty — not just here and there, not only when well-known characters are involved, but in all cases in all jurisdictions throughout the land.

With this in mind, this administration initiated early in 1833 a definite program of crime control which had three major objectives.

First, we sought to broaden and strengthen Federal law enforcement. Secondly, we took steps to promote more effective cooperation among the states, and between the states and the Federal Government. Finally, through a broad program of social welfare, we struck at the very roots of crime.

As a first step, the Attorney General outlined a twelve-point legislative program which resulted in the enactment of twenty-one new Federal crime statutes. Two of
those laws gave the Federal Government drastic powers in
kidnapping cases, with the result that the back of the
kidnapping racket has been broken. Every home in the country
has shared in the sense of relief that has come from the
vigorous enforcement of the anti-kidnapping laws.

Other new laws empowered the national government
to bring its resources into action against robbers of banks.
There have been 245 convictions since this National Bank
Robbery Law was enacted.

Here are some figures on daylight hold-ups of
banks, compiled by the American Bankers' Association. In
1933, there were 516 daylight hold-ups. In 1934, the year
the new Law became effective, the number fell to 384. In
1935 it was down to 258; in 1936, it was down still further
to 148; and in 1937 it dropped to 130. Last year there were
only 110 bank hold-ups — only about one-fifth as many as
there were in 1933. A good record!
Another new law made it a Federal crime to transport
stolen goods, in excess of $5,000 in value, across state lines.
Still another made it unlawful for any person to flee from one
state to another to avoid prosecution or appearance as a
material witness in a criminal case.

These and the other new Federal anti-crime laws do
not supplant state laws but plug the gaps between the
authority of one state and that of its neighbors. They
permit the forces of law and order to occupy what was
formerly a no-man's land in which roamed some of our most
desperate criminals.

But, of course, laws do not enforce themselves.
And so we set about systematically to enlarge and improve
the equipment and personnel of the Federal agencies of
detection and prosecution. The agents of the Federal Bureau
of Investigation of the Justice Department — the G-man —
have justly become world famous. Likewise, the agents of
the several investigating units in the Treasury Department,
the Postal Inspectors, and their co-workers in other branches of the government have made enviable records in the apprehension of offenders against Federal laws. The efforts of these investigators have been ably supported by a fine corps of United States Attorneys and special prosecutors. Many of these United States Attorneys are here today, and I am glad to welcome them as they assemble to canvass with Attorney General Murphy the ways in which their campaign against crime may be waged even more effectively.

A new spirit and a new energy have been breathed into our Federal court system also. Thirty-eight new district judgeships have been authorized, which will accelerate the splendid progress made in bringing the business of the courts more nearly up-to-date. Archaic forms of civil procedure have been cast aside for a uniform and simple set of rules which will help to speed the wheels of justice. A way of avoiding long delays in determining the constitutionality of Federal laws has been opened by permitting appeal directly from the lower courts to the Supreme Court.
With the authorization of Congress we have also instituted an important change of method in the handling of juvenile offenders against Federal laws. The courts and the Attorney General are now given wide latitude in determining how best to protect the safety of society by trying to prevent a young delinquent from becoming an habitual criminal. Charges against an offender under the age of eighteen may now be heard informally, and if probation is not desirable, the Attorney General is authorized to place him in any suitable public or private educational or correctional institution.

Another important part of our program has been the improvement of the Federal penal and correctional system in all of its branches. We have built different kinds of institutions for different kinds of prisoners, ranging from the now famous penitentiary for the most hardened offenders, on Alcatraz Island, to unwalled reformatories and camps for the offenders who are less dangerous and who seem to offer real hope of becoming law-abiding citizens.
In the administration of our Federal penal institutions we use every known aid to rehabilitation according to the needs of the prisoner. After all, the primary purpose of the prison is to protect the public by releasing men at the end of their sentences better and not worse than when they were received. For that reason, we have enlarged and improved the opportunities for education and vocational training in the Federal prison system. Moreover, we have provided useful work for those who need to learn how to earn an honest living — and we have done it without selling a dollar's worth of goods on the open market in competition with private industry or free labor. We can, I think, look for still further improvement in the administration of the Federal prisons as the years go by because we have put the personnel of the prison service on a merit basis with training courses for employees of all grades.
Each year for several years we have increased the number of Federal probation and parole officers and last year we raised the standards governing their appointment. Today the field staff of the Bureau of Prisons is supervising nearly thirty thousand men and women on probation or on parole. No finer tribute could be paid to the work of these officials and to the United States Board of Parole than to mention the fact that about ninety-five per cent of those under their control complete their sentences without further violations of the law.

But our efforts to suppress wrongdoing have not been confined to the field of violent crimes. Through the securities and exchange legislation we have sought to protect the average investor from the depredations of unprincipled financial manipulators. In the administration of this legislation we have struck hard at those gangsters in high places who differ from the ordinary robbers only in the fact that they use the tricky weapons of high finance instead of sawed-off shotguns.
And let us not forget the repeal of the Eighteenth Amendment. You know, and I know, what a toll that took from this country through the flouting of law by thousands of otherwise respectable people as well as through the activities of bootleggers and racketeers who flourished during the prohibition years. It was undoubtedly the greatest source of revenue for organized crime that this nation has ever known.

While we have been tightening up on Federal law enforcement we have also been making headway toward the second of our broad objectives — the development of closer cooperation between the agencies of the several states and those of the Federal Government. The Federal Bureau of Investigation in the Department of Justice has organized the National Police Academy where carefully chosen local peace officers are given training in modern police work. Expert and technical services have been made available to state prison and parole authorities by the Bureau of Prisons. The Works Progress Administration,
in addition to cooperating with the Justice Department in making the first nation-wide survey of the methods by which prisoners are released into society, has furnished much-needed personnel for educational and other programs in the institutions of thirty-two states. It has installed police signal systems and fingerprint files in cities which could not otherwise afford them. It has also furnished the labor for the construction or repair of jails and police stations throughout the country. Through the Public Works Administration over twenty-six million dollars has been made available for the construction, improvement, and repair of prisons and jails, with the result that many old, unhealthy, and overcrowded centers of crime infection have been replaced by modern facilities. Of this amount, over eleven million dollars has been for state and local projects.

All of these direct attacks on crime which we have made through extending and strengthening Federal activities and in helping to improve state and local agencies of law enforcement are important. But I like to think that the most
far-reaching results have come from our broad program of social
welfare — from our work relief projects, the Civilian
Conservation Corps, the National Youth Administration, and
the related measures for providing useful work for those of
our citizens who are unemployed by private industry. Our
citizens who have been out of work in the last six years have
not needed to steal in order to keep from starving. Of course,
when we instituted these activities we did not have in mind
merely the narrow purpose of preventing crime. However,
nobody who knows how demoralizing the effects of enforced
idleness may be will be inclined to doubt that crime prevention
has been an important by-product of our effort to provide our
needy unemployed citizens with the opportunity to earn by
honest work at least the bare necessities of life. And a
considerable part of that honest work has been devoted to
the construction and supervision of such social assets as
playgrounds, athletic fields, municipal swimming pools,
gymnasiums, workshops, traveling libraries, schools and other educational and recreational facilities which are of particular benefit to youth.

Throughout the depression approximately one-third of all our unemployed have been youth under the age of twenty-five. Not long ago I read a report from a small city which had a reputation for juvenile delinquency. In collaboration with local agencies, the National Youth Administration started a work project which provided part-time jobs for the idle youth of this community. When the project was first started there was considerable "soldiering" on the job but gradually the interest and pride of these boys was aroused. For the five months since this NYA project had been started there had not been a single complaint of delinquency to the local peace officers. That is a concrete contribution to our common security — not only now but for the years to come.
As I review our achievements in this coordinated drive against crime, it seems to me that we have made the least progress in the very important matter of getting people from prison back into society. This conclusion I am told is confirmed by the findings of the Attorney General's Survey of Release Procedures now being published by the Department of Justice. This is an unfortunate state of affairs. Let us not forget for one moment that ninety-seven out of every one hundred of the men and women we send to prison must some day come out again. Between 50,000 and 70,000 persons are released from Federal and state prisons and reformatories every year. What they do when they come out is a matter of great importance to all of us. It is a nation-wide problem and at the same time a local problem. We make little permanent gain by the arrest, prosecution, and punishment of prisoners if they go back to criminal activities. More than one-half of the persons in prison today have had to be looked up at least once before for a violation of the law. We have bungled in the manner and the method of their release.
After the necessarily strict routine of prison life it is difficult for a discharged prisoner to stand on his own feet in the swift-running currents of a free man’s world. Often, if he has been in prison very long, he will have lost the habit of making his own decisions. He usually faces tremendous difficulties in finding a job. In many cases his prison record cuts him off from the friendship of law-abiding people. These circumstances tend to push a man back to a life of crime unless we make it our business to help him overcome them. That is the reason why I have long been of the opinion that parole is the most promising method of terminating a prison sentence.

Parole is the conditional release of an offender under expert supervision while the state still has control over him. It is an integral part of the treatment begun the moment the man enters a correctional institution.

Parole is not pardon. When a man is pardoned, his crime is forgiven.
Parole is not a shortening of the sentence because of good behavior in prison. This is called "good time allowance" or commutation for good behavior, and it is given by law as an aid to prison discipline.

Parole is not probation. A person on probation has never been sent to prison for his offense.

And, of course, parole differs from outright discharge on the final day of the offender's sentence. When a man is paroled, he is still subject to the control of the authorities and he can be put back in prison without a formal trial if he does not live up to the conditions of his release.

The true purpose of parole is to protect society — all of us — by supervising and assisting released prisoners until they have a chance to get on their feet and show that they intend to live law-abiding, self-supporting lives.

Now, naturally, I am speaking of real, honest, well-administered parole: parole granted only after a prisoner has shown improvement during a period of constructive treatment and training in prison and only after a thorough and searching study of his case; paroled under the supervision of qualified
parole officers.

Much of the criticism which we have heard directed at parole is due to the fact that while forty-six of our states have parole laws, less than a dozen have provided the money and the personnel which are necessary to operate a real parole system. Some of the criticism is due, too, to the fact that the parole power sometimes has been used to grant political or personal favors. This combination of neglect and abuse in the administration of the parole power is a matter of serious national concern. How well or how poorly a parole system operates in one section of the country may affect the lives of citizens throughout the nation.

On the other hand, we know from experience that parole, when it is honestly and expertly managed, provides better protection for society than does any other method of release from prison. That has been shown by the operation of the Federal parole system and in those states which have applied modern parole methods.
These are the reasons why I asked Attorney General Murphy to call this National Parole Conference. As I wrote to him on January 25, 1939, I hope that this conference will serve to acquaint our people with the facts concerning parole and clear up widespread misconceptions about it. Parole will never succeed if it is merely a government function and does not have the understanding and help of the individual citizens in every community.

It is especially important that people should not be deceived by violent attacks on properly run parole administrations if one parolee goes wrong and commits another crime. The fact is that while a properly run parole system gives no guarantee of perfection, the percentages of parolees who go straight for the rest of their lives are infinitely higher than where there is no parole system at all.
I hope you will let us know the ways in which the Federal Government can best cooperate with the governments of the several states in strengthening this important sector of our nation-wide attack on crime. I felt that these objectives could not be reached unless this conference included representatives of all branches of law enforcement, public welfare administration, and the general public. As a technical job necessarily, it is one which must be geared into the work of other branches of law enforcement.

That is why Attorney General Murphy invited governors, judges, legislators, state attorneys general, prosecutors, police and prison officials, public welfare administrators, social workers, educators, and representative citizens as well as those directly engaged in parole work to take part in this conference.

Democracy succeeds through the thoughtful public service of its citizens. A conference of this kind is in accord with the American democratic way.
Well-administered parole is an instrument of tested value in the control of crime. Its proper use in all jurisdictions will promote our national security. If your deliberations serve that end, as I am sure they will, you will have rendered a very important public service.
CAUTION: This address of the President, to be broadcast from the White House in connection with the National Parole Conference, MUST BE HELD IN CONFIDENCE UNTIL RELEASED.

NOTE: Release to editions of all newspapers appearing on the streets NOT EARLIER THAN 6:00 P.M., E.S.T., April 17, 1939.
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STEPHEN EARLY
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MY FRIENDS:

I am happy to welcome you to the National Parole Conference and to have an opportunity to talk with you and our radio neighbors throughout the country about parole and some of the broad questions of law enforcement as a national problem.

As many of you know, the control of crime is a problem which I began studying many years ago as a member of the executive committee of the National Crime Commission. Later, during my administration as Governor of New York, the improvement of the state penal and correctional system became one of my first important responsibilities. Many of you, and especially my old friend, Sam Lewisohn, were of invaluable assistance in that task, which included the establishment of a modern parole system.
All of us have come to realize that while the responsibility for the control of crime falls primarily in the states and their subdivisions, the activities of criminals are not limited by local and state boundaries. The consequences of lax law enforcement and crime-breeding conditions in one part of the country may be felt in cities and villages across the continent. For instance, I think of the operations of a criminal gang which had its origins in the slum section of a small southwestern city. Before the members of this gang were rounded up, successfully prosecuted, and put in prison by the Federal Government, they had left a trail of robbery and violence in seven midwestern states. This illustrates the essentially nationwide character of the crime problem.

Crime cannot be held in check by a good police system alone. Occasional brilliant prosecutions may arouse our admiration, but they do not solve the crime problem. Long prison sentences for notorious criminals have not rid us of thousands who escape undetected or unpunished because our defenses break down at one point or another.
Public protection against law-breakers demands efficient police work, able and fearless prosecutions, prompt, fair trials, and the intelligent and constructive treatment of the guilty -- not just here and there, not only when well-known characters are involved, but in all cases in all jurisdictions throughout the land.

With this in mind, this administration initiated early in 1933 a definite program of crime control which had three major objectives.

First, we sought to broaden and strengthen Federal law enforcement. Secondly, we took steps to promote more effective cooperation among the states, and between the states and the Federal Government. Finally, through a broad program of social welfare, we struck at the very roots of crime.

As a first step, the Attorney General outlined a twelve-point legislative program which resulted in the enactment of twenty-one new Federal crime statutes. Two of
those laws gave the Federal Government drastic powers in kidnaping cases, with the result that the backbone of the kidnaping racket has been broken. Every home in the country has shared in the sense of relief that has come from the vigorous enforcement of the anti-kidnaping laws.

Other new laws empowered the national government to bring its resources into action against robbers of banks. There have been 245 convictions since this National Bank Robbery Law was enacted.

Here are some figures on daylight hold-ups of banks, compiled by the American Bankers' Association. In 1933, there were 516 daylight hold-ups. In 1934, the year the new Law became effective, the number fell to 364. In 1935 it was down to 268; in 1936, it was down still further to 148; and in 1937 it dropped to 130. Last year there were only 110 bank hold-ups — only about one-fifth as many as there were in 1933. A good record!
Another new law made it a Federal crime to transport stolen goods, in excess of $5,000 in value, across state lines. Still another made it unlawful for any person to flee from one state to another to avoid prosecution or appearance as a material witness in a criminal case.

These and the other new Federal anti-crime laws do not supplant state laws but plug the gaps between the authority of one state and that of its neighbors. They permit the forces of law and order to occupy what was formerly a no-man’s land in which roamed some of our most desperate criminals.

But, of course, laws do not enforce themselves. And so we set about systematically to enlarge and improve the equipment and personnel of the Federal agencies of detection and prosecution. The agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Justice Department — the G-man — have justly become world famous. Likewise, the agents of the several investigating units in the Treasury Department,
the Postal Inspectors, and their co-workers in other branches of the government have made enviable records in the apprehension of offenders against Federal laws. The efforts of these investigators have been ably supported by a fine corps of United States Attorneys and special prosecutors. Many of these United States Attorneys are here today, and I am glad to welcome them as they assemble to canvase with Attorney General Murphy the ways in which their campaign against crime may be waged even more effectively.

A new spirit and a new energy have been breathed into our Federal court system also. Thirty-eight new district judgeships have been authorized, which will accelerate the splendid progress made in bringing the business of the courts more nearly up-to-date. Archaic forms of civil procedure have been cast aside for a uniform and simple set of rules which will help to speed the wheels of justice. A way of avoiding long delays in determining the constitutionality of Federal laws has been opened by permitting appeal directly from the lower courts to the Supreme Court.
With the authorization of Congress we have also instituted an important change of method in the handling of juvenile offenders against Federal laws. The courts and the Attorney General are now given wide latitude in determining how best to protect the safety of society by trying to prevent a young delinquent from becoming an habitual criminal. Charges against an offender under the age of eighteen may now be heard informally, and if probation is not desirable, the Attorney General is authorized to place him in any suitable public or private educational or correctional institution.

Another important part of our program has been the improvement of the Federal penal and correctional system in all of its branches. We have built different kinds of institutions for different kinds of prisoners, ranging from the now famous penitentiary for the most hardened offenders, on Alcatraz Island, to unwalled reformatories and camps for the offenders who are less dangerous and who seem to offer real hope of becoming law-abiding citizens.
In the administration of our Federal penal institutions we use every known aid to rehabilitation according to the needs of the prisoner. After all, the primary purpose of the prison is to protect the public by releasing men at the end of their sentences better and not worse than when they were received. For that reason, we have enlarged and improved the opportunities for education and vocational training in the Federal prison system. Moreover, we have provided useful work for those who need to learn how to earn an honest living — and we have done it without selling a dollar's worth of goods on the open market in competition with private industry or free labor. We can, I think, look for still further improvement in the administration of the Federal prisons as the years go by because we have put the personnel of the prison service on a merit basis with training courses for employees of all grades.
Each year for several years we have increased the number of Federal probation and parole officers and last year we raised the standards governing their appointment. Today the field staff of the Bureau of Prisons is supervising nearly thirty thousand men and women on probation or on parole. No finer tribute could be paid to the work of these officials and to the United States Board of Parole than to mention the fact that about ninety-five per cent of those under their control complete their sentences without further violations of the law.

But our efforts to suppress wrongdoing have not been confined to the field of violent crimes. Through the securities and exchange legislation we have sought to protect the average investor from the depredations of unprincipled financial manipulators. In the administration of this legislation we have struck hard at those gangsters in high places who differ from the ordinary robbers only in the fact that they use the tricky weapons of high finance instead of sawed-off shotguns.
And let us not forget the repeal of the Eighteenth Amendment. You know, and I know, what a toll that took from this country through the flouting of law by thousands of otherwise respectable people as well as through the activities of bootleggers and racketeers who flourished during the prohibition years. It was undoubtedly the greatest source of revenue for organized crime that this nation has ever known.

While we have been tightening up on Federal law enforcement we have also been making headway toward the second of our broad objectives — the development of closer cooperation between the agencies of the several states and those of the Federal Government. The Federal Bureau of Investigation in the Department of Justice has organized the National Police Academy where carefully chosen local peace officers are given training in modern police work. Expert and technical services have been made available to state prison and parole authorities by the Bureau of Prisons. The Works Progress Administration,
in addition to cooperating with the Justice Department in making the first nation-wide survey of the methods by which prisoners are released into society, has furnished much-needed personnel for educational and other programs in the institutions of thirty-two states. It has installed police signal systems and fingerprint files in cities which could not otherwise afford them. It has also furnished the labor for the construction or repair of jails and police stations throughout the country. Through the Public Works Administration over twenty-six million dollars has been made available for the construction, improvement, and repair of prisons and jails, with the result that many old, unhealthy, and overcrowded centers of crime infection have been replaced by modern facilities. Of this amount, over eleven million dollars has been for state and local projects.

All of these direct attacks on crime which we have made through extending and strengthening Federal activities and in helping to improve state and local agencies of law enforcement are important. But I like to think that the most
far-reaching results have come from our broad program of social
welfare — from our work relief projects, the Civilian
Conservation Corps, the National Youth Administration, and
the related measures for providing useful work for those of
our citizens who are unemployed by private industry. Our
citizens who have been out of work in the last six years have
not needed to steal in order to keep from starving. Of course,
when we instituted these activities we did not have in mind
merely the narrow purpose of preventing crime. However,
nobody who knows how demoralizing the effects of enforced
idleness may be will be inclined to doubt that crime prevention
has been an important by-product of our effort to provide our
needy unemployed citizens with the opportunity to earn by
honest work at least the bare necessities of life. And a
considerable part of that honest work has been devoted to
the construction and supervision of such social assets as
playgrounds, athletic fields, municipal swimming pools,
gyms, workshops, traveling libraries, schools and other educational and recreational facilities which are of particular benefit to youth.

Throughout the depression approximately one-third of all our unemployed have been youth under the age of twenty-five. Not long ago I read a report from a small city which had a reputation for juvenile delinquency. In collaboration with local agencies, the National Youth Administration started a work project which provided part-time jobs for the idle youth of this community. When the project was first started there was considerable "soldiering" on the job but gradually the interest and pride of these boys was aroused. For the five months since this NYA project had been started there had not been a single complaint of delinquency to the local peace officers. That is a concrete contribution to our common security -- not only now but for the years to come.
As I review our achievements in this coordinated drive against crime, it seems to me that we have made the least progress in the very important matter of getting people from prison back into society. This conclusion I am told is confirmed by the findings of the Attorney General's Survey of Release Procedures now being published by the Department of Justice. This is an unfortunate state of affairs. Let us not forget for one moment that ninety-seven out of every one hundred of the men and women we send to prison must some day come out again. Between 60,000 and 70,000 persons are released from Federal and state prisons and reformatories every year. What they do when they come out is a matter of great importance to all of us. It is a nation-wide problem and at the same time a local problem. We make little permanent gain by the arrest, prosecution, and punishment of prisoners if they go back to criminal activities. More than one-half of the persons in prison today have had to be locked up at least once before for a violation of the law. We have bungled in the manner and the method of their release.
After the necessarily strict routine of prison life it is difficult for a discharged prisoner to stand on his own feet in the swift-running currents of a free man's world. Often, if he has been in prison very long, he will have lost the habit of making his own decisions. He usually faces tremendous difficulties in finding a job. In many cases, his prison record cuts him off from the friendship of law-abiding people. These circumstances tend to push a man back to a life of crime unless we make it our business to help him overcome them. That is the reason why I have long been of the opinion that parole is the most promising method of terminating a prison sentence.

Parole is the conditional release of an offender under expert supervision while the state still has control over him. It is an integral part of the treatment begun the moment the man enters a correctional institution.

Parole is not pardon. When a man is pardoned, his crime is forgiven.
Parole is not a shortening of the sentence because of good behavior in prison. This is called "good time allowance" or commutation for good behavior, and it is given by law as an aid to prison discipline.

Parole is not probation. A person on probation has never been sent to prison for his offense.

And, of course, parole differs from outright discharge on the final day of the offender's sentence. When a man is paroled, he is still subject to the control of the authorities and he can be put back in prison without a formal trial if he does not live up to the conditions of his release.

The true purpose of parole is to protect society — all of us — by supervising and assisting released prisoners until they have a chance to get on their feet and show that they intend to live law-abiding, self-supporting lives.

Now, naturally, I am speaking of real, honest, well-administered parole: parole granted only after a prisoner has shown improvement during a period of constructive treatment and training in prison and only after a thorough and searching study of his case; parole under the supervision of qualified
parole officers.

Much of the criticism which we have heard directed at parole is due to the fact that while forty-six of our states have parole laws, less than a dozen have provided the money and the personnel which are necessary to operate a real parole system. Some of the criticism is due, too, to the fact that the parole power sometimes has been used to grant political or personal favors. This combination of neglect and abuse in the administration of the parole power is a matter of serious national concern. How well or how poorly a parole system operates in one section of the country may affect the lives of citizens throughout the nation.

On the other hand, we know from experience that parole, when it is honestly and expertly managed, provides better protection for society than does any other method of release from prison. That has been shown by the operation of the Federal parole system and in those states which have applied modern parole methods.
These are the reasons why I asked Attorney General Murphy to call this National Parole Conference. As I wrote to him on January 25, 1939, I hope that this conference will serve to acquaint our people with the facts concerning parole and clear up widespread misconceptions about it. Parole will never succeed if it is merely a government function and does not have the understanding and help of the individual citizens in every community.

It is especially important that people should not be deceived by violent attacks on properly run parole administrations if one parolee goes wrong and commits another crime. The fact is that while a properly run parole system gives no guarantee of perfection, the percentages of parolees who go straight for the rest of their lives are infinitely higher than where there is no parole system at all.
I hope you will let us know the ways in which the Federal Government can best cooperate with the governments of the several states in strengthening this important sector of our nation-wide attack on crime. I felt that these objectives could not be reached unless this conference included representatives of all branches of law enforcement, public welfare, administration, and the general public. This is a technical job necessarily, it is one which must be geared into the work of other branches of law enforcement.

That is why Attorney General Murphy invited governors, judges, legislators, state attorneys general, prosecutors, police and prison officials, public welfare administrators, social workers, educators, and representative citizens as well as those directly engaged in parole work to take part in this conference.

Democracy succeeds through the thoughtful public service of its citizens. A conference of this kind is in accord with the American democratic way.
Well-administered parole is an instrument of tested value in the control of crime. Its proper use in all jurisdictions will promote our national security. If your deliberations serve that end, as I am sure they will, you will have rendered a very important public service.