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Our men have fought with indescribable and unforgettable gallantry under most difficult conditions.

The high tide of this German attack was reached two days after Christmas. Since then we have reassumed the offensive, rescued the isolated garrison at Bastogne, and forced a German withdrawal along the whole line of the salient.

The speed with which we recovered from this savage attack was possible primarily because we have one Supreme Commander in complete control of all the Allied armies in France. General Eisenhower has faced this period of trial with admirable calm and resolution and with steadily increasing success. He has my complete confidence.

Further desperate attempts may well be made to break our lines, to slow our progress. We must never make the mistake of assuming that the Germans are beaten until the last Nazi has surrendered.
And I would express a most serious warning against the poisonous effects of enemy propaganda.

The wedge that the Germans attempted to drive in Western Europe was less dangerous in terms of winning the war than the wedges which they are continually attempting to drive between ourselves and our Allies.

Every little rumor which is intended to weaken our faith in our Allies is like an actual enemy agent in our midst -- seeking to sabotage our war effort. There are, here and there, evil and baseless rumors against the Russians -- rumors against the British -- rumors against our own American commanders in the field.

When you examine these rumors closely, you will observe that every one of them bears the same trademark -- "Made in Germany".

We must resist this propaganda -- we must destroy it -- with the same strength and the same determination that our fighting men are displaying as they resist and destroy the panzer divisions.
I shall not go into the details of war production and the requirements of war materials. They are contained in the message that I sent today, and I hope that many of you will have an opportunity to read that in full.

But there is one very human need that I do want to mention.

We need twenty thousand more trained nurses for our Army and Navy.

Those nurses that we have, are rendering gallant service to our sick and wounded men, but they have been called upon to do more than their share. More than a thousand nurses are now hospitalized themselves -- and part of this is due to overwork. At Army hospitals in the United States there is only one nurse to twenty-six beds instead of one to fifteen beds, as there should be.
Since volunterring has not produced the number of nurses required, I asked the Congress in my message, to amend the Selective Service Act to provide for the induction of registered nurses into the armed forces.

The need is too pressing to await the outcome of further efforts at recruiting. However, I urge registered nurses throughout the country to volunteer immediately for this great service.

The only way to meet our increased needs for more weapons and new weapons is for every American now engaged in war work to stay on his war job -- for additional American civilians, men and women, not now engaged in essential work, to go out and get a war job. Workers who are released because their war production is cut back should get another job where war production is being increased. This is no time to quit or change to less essential jobs.
There is an old and true saying that the Lord hates a quitter. And this nation must pay for all those who leave their essential jobs -- for all those who lay down on their essential jobs for non-essential reasons. And that payment must be made with the life's blood of our sons.

Last year, after much consideration, I recommended that the Congress adopt a national service act as the most efficient and democratic way of insuring full production for our war requirements. This recommendation was not adopted.

I have again called upon the Congress today, to enact this measure for the total mobilization of all our human resources -- men and women -- for the prosecution of the war. I urge that this be done at the earliest possible moment.

It is not too late in the war. In fact, bitter experience has shown that, in this kind of mechanized warfare where new weapons are constantly being created by our enemies and by ourselves, the closer we come to the end of the war, the more pressing becomes the need for sustained war production with which to deliver the final blow to the enemy.
There are three basic arguments for a National Service Law.

First -- it would assure that we have the right numbers of workers in the right places at the right times.

Second -- it would provide supreme proof to all our fighting men that we are giving them what they are entitled to, which is nothing less than our total effort.

And -- third -- it would be the final, unequivocal answer to the hopes of the Nazis and the Japanese that we may become half-hearted about this war, and that they can get from us a negotiated peace.

National Service legislation would be used only to the extent absolutely required by military necessities. In fact, experience in Great Britain and in other nations at war indicates that use of the compulsory powers of national service is necessary only in rare instances.

National Service would provide against loss of retirement and seniority rights and benefits. It would not mean reduction in wages.
The contribution of our workers in this war has been beyond measure. We must now build on the foundations that have already been laid, and supplement the measures now in operation, in order to guarantee the production that may be necessary in the critical period that lies ahead.

The Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy have written me a letter in which, speaking of present war needs, they said:

"In our considered judgment, which is supported by General Marshall and Admiral King, this requires total mobilization of our manpower by the passage of a national war service law. The armed forces need this legislation to hasten the day of final victory, and to keep to a minimum the cost in lives".

That is the testimony of those best qualified to know the situation which confronts us.
Pending action by the Congress on the broader aspects of national service, I have recommended that the Congress immediately enact legislation which will be effective in using the services of the four million men now classified as 4-F in whatever capacity is best for the war effort.

In the field of foreign policy, we propose to stand together with the United Nations not for the war alone but for the victory for which the war is fought.

It is not only a common danger which unites us, but a common hope. Ours is an association not of governments but of peoples -- and the peoples' hope is peace. Here, as in England; in England, as in Russia; in Russia, as in China; in France, and through the continent of Europe, and throughout the world; wherever men love freedom, the hope and purpose of the people are for peace -- a peace that is durable and secure.
It will not be easy to create this peoples' peace. We have seen already, in areas liberated from the Nazi and the Fascist tyranny, what problems peace will bring. And we delude ourselves, if we attempt to believe wishfully that all these problems can be solved overnight.

The firm foundation can be built -- and it will be built. But the continuance and assurance of a living peace must, in the long run, be the work of the people themselves.

We ourselves, like all peoples who have gone through the difficult processes of liberation and adjustment, know of our own experience how great the difficulties can be. We know that they are not difficulties peculiar to any continent or any nation. Our own Revolutionary War left behind it, in the words of one American historian, "an eddy of lawlessness and disregard of human life". There were separatist movements of one kind or another in Vermont, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Tennessee, Kentucky and Maine. There were insurrections, open or threatened, in Massachusetts and New Hampshire. We worked out for ourselves these difficulties -- as the peoples of the
liberated areas of Europe, faced with complex problems of adjustment, will work out their difficulties for themselves.

Peace can be made and kept only by the united determination of free and peace-loving peoples who are willing to work together -- willing to help one another -- willing to respect and tolerate and try to understand one another's opinions and feelings.

In the future world, the misuse of power, as implied in the term "power-politics", must not be a controlling factor in international relations. That is the heart of the principles to which we have subscribed. In a democratic world, as in a democratic nation, power must be linked with responsibility, and obliged to defend and justify itself within the framework of the general good.

In our disillusionment after the last war, we gave up the hope of achieving a better peace because we had not the courage to fulfill our responsibilities in an admittedly imperfect world.
We must not let that happen again, or we shall follow the same tragic road again -- the road to a third world war.

We can fulfill our responsibilities for maintaining the security of our own country only by exercising our power and our influence to achieve the principles in which we believe, and for which we have fought.

It is true that the statement of principles in the Atlantic Charter does not provide rules of easy application to each and every one of the tangled situations in this war-torn world. But it is a good and a useful thing -- it is an essential thing -- to have principles toward which we can aim.

And we shall not hesitate to use our influence -- and to use it now -- to secure so far as is humanly possible the fulfillment of the principles of the Atlantic Charter. We have not shrunk from the military responsibilities brought on by this war. We cannot and will not shrink from the political responsibilities which follow in the wake of battle.
To do this we must be on our guard not to exploit and exaggerate the differences between us and our Allies, particularly with reference to the peoples who have been liberated from fascist tyranny. That is not the way to secure a better settlement of those differences, or to secure international machinery which can rectify mistakes which may be made.

I must admit concern about many situations -- the Greek and Polish for example. But those situations are not as easy or as simple to deal with as some spokesmen, whose sincerity I do not question, would have us believe. We have obligations, not necessarily legal, to the exiled governments, to the underground leaders, and to our major Allies who came much nearer the shadows than we did.

We and our Allies have declared that it is our purpose to respect the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live and to see sovereign rights and self-government restored to those who have been
forcibly deprived of them. But with internal dissension, with many citizens of liberated countries still prisoners of war or forced to labor in Germany, it is difficult to guess the kind of self-government the people really want.

During the interim period, until conditions permit a genuine expression of the people's will, we and our Allies have a duty, which we cannot ignore, to use our influence to the end that no temporary or provisional authorities in the liberated countries block the eventual exercise of the peoples' right freely to choose the government and institutions under which, as free men, they are to live.

It is our purpose to help the peace-loving peoples of Europe to live together as good neighbors, to recognize their common interests, and not to nurse their traditional grievances against one another.
But we must not permit the many specific and immediate problems of adjustment connected with the liberation of Europe to delay the establishment of permanent machinery for the maintenance of peace. Under the threat of a common danger, the United Nations joined together in war to preserve their independence and their freedom. They must now join together to make secure the independence and freedom of all peace-loving states, so that never again shall tyranny be able to divide and conquer.

International peace and well-being, like national peace and well-being, require constant alertness, continuing cooperation, and organized effort.

International peace and well-being, like national peace and well-being, can be secured only through institutions capable of life and growth.

One of the most heartening events of the year in the international field has been the renaissance of the French people and the return of the French nation to the ranks of the United Nations. Far from having been crushed by the
terror of Nazi domination, the French people have emerged with stronger faith than ever in the destiny of their country and in the soundness of the democratic ideals to which the French nation has contributed so greatly.

Today, French armies are again on the German frontier and are again fighting shoulder to shoulder with our sons.

Since our landings in Africa, we have placed in French hands all the arms and material of war which our resources and the military situation permitted. And I am glad to say that we are now about to equip large new French forces with the most modern weapons for combat duty.

I am clear in my own mind that, as an essential factor in the maintenance of world peace in the future, we must have universal military training after this war, and I shall send a special message to the Congress on this subject.
An enduring peace cannot be achieved without a strong America -- strong in the social and economic sense as well as in the military sense.

I have already set forth what I consider to be an American economic Bill of Rights, and the most fundamental of these is the "right to a useful and remunerative job in the industries or shops or farms or mines of the nation".

In turn, others of the economic rights of American citizenship such as the right to a decent home, to a good education, to good medical care, to social security, to reasonable farm income, will, if fulfilled, make major contributions to achieving adequate levels of employment.

In the message that I sent to the Congress today, I discussed the general approach to the program that we have in mind for the provision of close to sixty million jobs.
Although we must plan now for our post war economy, and enact the necessary legislation, and set up the appropriate agencies for reconversion from war to peace, and lay the foundations for that transition period -- all of which we are now doing -- it is obviously impossible for us to do anything which might possibly hinder the production for war at this time, when our men are fighting on the frontiers of Germany and dropping bombs on the war industries of Japan.

In these days, our thoughts and our hopes and our prayers are with our sons and brothers, our loved ones who are far from home.

We can and we will give them all the support of which this great nation is capable. But -- no matter how well they may be equipped with weapons and munitions -- their magnificent fight will have been in vain if this war should end in the breaking of the unity of the United Nations.
We need the continuing friendship of our Allies in this war. Indeed, that need is a matter of life and death. And we shall need that friendship in the peace.

I quote from an editorial in the Stars and Stripes, our soldiers' own newspaper in Europe:

"For the holy love of God let's listen to the dead. Let's learn from the living. Let's join ranks against the foe. The bugles of battle are heard again above the bickering".

That is the demand of our fighting men. We cannot fail to heed it.

This new year of 1945 can be the greatest year of achievement in human history.

1945 can see the final ending of the Nazi-Fascist reign of terror in Europe.

1945 can see the closing in of the forces of retribution about the center of the malignant power of imperialistic Japan.
Most important of all, 1945 can, and must, see the substantial beginning of the organization of world peace -- for we all know what such an organization means in terms of security, and human rights, and religious freedom.

We Americans of today, together with our Allies, are making history -- and I hope it will be better history than ever has been made before.

We pray that we may be worthy of the unlimited opportunities that God has given us.
and forced a German withdrawal along most of the (the whole) line of the salient.

The speed with which we recovered from this savage attack was possible primarily because we have one Supreme Commander in complete control of all the Allied armies in France. General Eisenhower has faced this period of trial with admirable calm and resolution, and with steadily increasing success. He has my complete confidence.

Further desperate attempts may well be made -- and are being made -- to break up our lines, to slow our progress. We must never make the mistake of assuming that the Germans are beaten until the last Nazi has surrendered.

And I would express a most serious warning against the poisonous effects of enemy propaganda.

The wedge that the Germans attempted to drive in Western Europe was less dangerous in terms of winning the war than the wedges which they are continually attempting to drive between ourselves and our Allies.

Every little rumor which is intended to weaken our faith in our Allies is like an actual enemy agent in our midst -- seeking to sabotage our war effort. There are, here and there, evil and baseless rumors against the Russians -- rumors against the British -- rumors against our own American commanders in the field.

And when you examine these rumors closely, you will observe that every one of them bears the same trademark -- "Made in Germany."
We must resist this propaganda -- we must destroy it -- with the same strength and the same determination that our fighting men are displaying as they resist and destroy the panzer divisions.

In all (of) the far-flung operations of our own armed forces -- on land, and sea and in the air -- the final job, the toughest job, has been performed by the average, easy-going, hard-fighting young American who carries the weight of battle on his own shoulders.

It is to him that we and all future generations of Americans must pay grateful tribute.

But -- it is of small satisfaction to him to know that monuments will be raised to him in the future. He wants, and he needs, and he is entitled to insist upon, our full and active support -- now.

Although unprecedented production figures have made possible our victories, we shall have to increase our goals in certain weapons even more.

Our armed forces in combat have steadily increased their expenditure of ammunition. For example, as we continue the decisive phases of this war, the munitions that we expend will mount day by day.

I shall not go into the details of war production and the requirements of war materials. They are contained in the message that I sent today, and I hope that many of you will have an opportunity to read that in full.

But there is one very human need that I do want
to mention.

We need twenty thousand more trained nurses for our Army and Navy.

Those nurses that we have, are rendering gallant service to our sick and wounded men, but they have been called upon to do more than their share. More than a thousand nurses are now hospitalized themselves — and part of this is due to overwork. At Army hospitals in the United States there is only one nurse to twenty-six beds instead of one to fifteen beds, as there should be.

Since volunteering has not produced the number of nurses required, I asked the Congress in my message, to amend the Selective Service Act to provide for the induction of registered nurses into the armed forces.

The need is too pressing to await the outcome of further efforts at recruiting. However, I urge registered nurses throughout the country — and there are several hundred thousand of them — to volunteer immediately for this great service.

The only way to meet our increased needs for more weapons and new weapons is for every American now engaged in war work to stay on his (war) job — his war job — for additional American civilians, men and women, not now engaged in essential work, to go out and get a war job. Workers who are released because their war production is cut back should get another war job where war production is being increased. I think that this is no time to quit or change to less essential jobs.
There is an old and true saying that the Lord hates a quitter. And this nation must pay for all those who leave their essential jobs -- for all those who lay down on their essential jobs for non-essential reasons. And that payment must be made with the life's blood of our own sons.

Last year, after much consideration, I recommended that the Congress adopt a national service act as the most efficient and democratic way of insuring full production for our war requirements. But this recommendation was not adopted.

So I have again called upon the Congress today, to enact this measure for the total mobilization of all our human resources -- men and women -- for the prosecution of the war. I urge that this be done at the earliest possible moment.

It is not too late in the war. In fact, bitter experience has shown that, in this kind of mechanized warfare where new weapons are constantly being created by our enemies and by ourselves, the closer we come to the end of the war, the more pressing becomes the need for sustained war production with which to deliver the final blow to the enemy.

There are three basic arguments for a National Service Law.

First -- it would assure that we have the right numbers of workers in the right places at the right times.

Second -- it will (would) provide supreme proof to all our fighting men that we are giving them what they are entitled to, which is nothing less than our total effort back home.
And -- third -- it would be the final, unequivocal answer to the hopes of the Nazis and the Japanese that we may become half-hearted about this war, and that they can get from us a negotiated peace.

National Service legislation would be used only to the extent absolutely required by military necessities. In fact, experience in Great Britain and in other nations at war indicates that use of the compulsory powers of national service is necessary only in rare instances.

National Service would provide against loss of retirement and seniority rights and benefits. It would not mean reduction in wages.

The contribution of our workers in this war has been beyond measure. We must now build on the foundations that have already been laid, and supplement the measures now in operation, in order to guarantee the production that may be necessary in the critical period that lies ahead.

The Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy have written me a letter in which, speaking of present war needs, they said:

"In our considered judgment, which is supported by General Marshall and Admiral King, this requires total mobilization of our manpower by the passage of a national war service law. The armed forces need this legislation to hasten the day of final victory, and to keep to a minimum the cost in lives."

That is the testimony of those best qualified to know the situation which confronts us.
Pending action by the Congress on the broader aspects of national service, I have recommended that the Congress immediately enact legislation which will be effective in using the services of the four million men now classified as 4-F in whatever capacity is best for the war effort.

In the field of foreign policy, we propose to stand together with the United Nations not for the war alone, but for the victory for which the war is fought.

It is not only a common danger that (which) unites us, but a common hope. Ours is an association not of governments, but of peoples -- and the peoples' hope is peace. Here, as in England; in England, as in Russia; in Russia, as in China; in France, and through the continent of Europe, and throughout the world; wherever men love freedom, the hope and purpose of the people are for peace -- a peace that is durable and secure.

Now, it will not be easy to create this peoples' peace. We have seen already, in areas liberated from the Nazi and the Fascist tyranny, what problems peace will bring. And we delude ourselves, if we attempt to believe wishfully that all these problems can be solved overnight.

The firm foundation can be built -- and it will be built. But the continuance and assurance of a living peace must, in the long run, be the work of the people themselves.

We ourselves, like all peoples who have gone
through the difficult processes of liberation and adjustment, know of our own experience how great the difficulties can be. We know that they are not difficulties that are peculiar to any continent or any nation. Our own Revolutionary War left behind it, in the words of one American historian, "an eddy of lawlessness and disregard of human life." Why, there were separatist movements of one kind or another in Vermont, and Pennsylvania, and Virginia, and Tennessee, and Kentucky and Maine. There were insurrections, open or threatened, in Massachusetts and New Hampshire. We worked out for ourselves these difficulties -- as the peoples of the liberated areas of Europe, faced with complex problems of adjustment -- and over a period -- will work out their difficulties for themselves.

Peace can be made and kept only by the united determination of free and peace-loving peoples who are willing to work together -- willing to help one another -- willing to respect and tolerate and try to understand one another's opinions and feelings.

In the future world, the misuse of power, as implied in the term "power-politics," must not be a controlling factor in international relations. That is the heart of the principles to which we have subscribed. In a democratic world, as in a democratic nation, power must be linked with responsibility, and obliged to defend and justify itself within the framework of the general good.

In our disillusionment after the last war, we gave up the hope of achieving a better peace because we had not the
courage to fulfill our responsibilities in an admittedly imperfect world.

We must not let that happen again, or we shall follow the same tragic road again -- the road to a third world war.

We can fulfill our responsibilities for maintaining the security of our own country only by exercising our power and our influence to achieve the principles in which we believe, and for which we have fought.

It is true that the statement of principles in the Atlantic Charter does not provide rules of easy application to each and every one of the tangled situations in this war-torn world. But it is a good and a useful thing -- in fact, it is an essential thing -- to have principles toward which we can aim.

And we shall not hesitate to use our influence -- and to use it now -- to secure so far as is humanly possible the fulfillment of the principles of the Atlantic Charter. We have not shrunk from the military responsibilities brought on by this war. We cannot and will not shrink from the political responsibilities that (which) follow in the wake of battle.

To do this we must be on our guard not to exploit and exaggerate the differences between us and our Allies, particularly with reference to the peoples who have been liberated from fascist tyranny. That is not the way to secure a better settlement of those differences, or to secure international machinery which can rectify mistakes that (which) may be made.
I must admit concern about many situations -- the Greek and the Polish, for example. But those situations are not as easy or as simple to deal with as some spokesmen, whose sincerity I do not question, would have us believe. We have obligations, not necessarily legal, to the exiled governments, to the underground leaders, and to our major Allies who came much nearer to the shadows than we did.

We and our Allies have declared that it is our purpose to respect the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live and to see sovereign rights and self-government restored to those who have been forcibly deprived of them. But with internal dissensions, with many citizens of liberated countries still prisoners of war or forced to labor in Germany, it is difficult to guess the kind of self-government the people really want.

During the interim period, until conditions permit a genuine expression of the people's will, we and our Allies have a duty, which we cannot ignore, to use our influence to the end that no temporary or provisional authorities in the liberated countries block the eventual exercise of the peoples' right freely to choose the government and the institutions under which, as free men, they are to live.

It is our purpose to help the peace-loving peoples of Europe to live together as good neighbors, to recognize their common interests, and not to nurse their traditional grievances against one another.

But we must not permit the many specific and
Immediate problems of adjustment connected with the liberation of Europe to delay the establishment of permanent machinery for the maintenance of peace. Under the threat of a common danger, the United Nations joined together in war to preserve their independence and their freedom. They must now join together to make secure the independence and freedom of all peace-loving states, so that never again shall tyranny be able to divide and conquer.

International peace and well-being, like national peace and well-being, require constant alertness, continuing cooperation, (and) organized effort.

International peace and well-being, like national peace and well-being, can be secured only through institutions that are capable of life and growth.

One of the most heartening events of the year in the international field has been the renaissance of the French people and the return of the French nation to the ranks of the United Nations. Far from having been crushed by the terror of Nazi domination, the French people have emerged with stronger faith than ever in the destiny of their country and in the soundness of the democratic ideals to which the French nation has contributed so greatly.

Today, French armies are again on the German frontier and are again fighting shoulder to shoulder with our sons.

Since our landings in Africa, we have placed in French hands all the arms and material of war which our resources and the military situation permitted. And I am
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glad to say that we are now about to equip large new French forces with the most modern weapons for combat duty.

-------------

I am clear in my own mind that, as an essential factor in the maintenance of world peace in the future, we must have universal military training after this war, and I shall send a special message to the Congress on this subject a little later on.

An enduring peace cannot be achieved without a strong America -- strong in the social (and) sense, strong in the economic sense as well as in the military sense.

I have already set forth what I consider to be an American economic Bill of Rights, and the most fundamental of these is the "right to a useful and remunerative job in the industries or shops or farms or mines of the nation."

In turn, others of the economic rights of American citizenship such as the right to a decent home, to a good education, to good medical care, to social security, to reasonable farm income, will, if fulfilled, make major contributions to achieving adequate levels of employment.

In the message that I sent to the Congress today, I discussed the general approach to the program that we have in mind for the provision of close to sixty million jobs.

Although we must plan now for our postwar economy, and enact the necessary legislation, and set up the
appropriate agencies for reconversion from war to peace, and lay
the foundations for that transition period -- all of which we
are (now) doing now -- it is obviously impossible for us to do
anything which might possibly hinder the production for war at
this time, when our men are fighting on the frontiers of Ger-
many and dropping bombs on the war industries of Japan.

In these days, our thoughts and our hopes and our
prayers are with our sons and brothers, our loved ones who are
far from home.

We can and we will give them all the support of which
this great nation is capable. But -- no matter how well they
may be equipped with weapons and munitions -- their magnificent
fight will have been in vain if this war should end in the
breaking of the unity of the United Nations.

We need the continuing friendship of our Allies in
this war. Indeed, that need is a matter of life and death.
(And) We shall need that friendship in the peace.

I quote from an editorial in the Stars and Stripes,
our soldiers' own newspaper in Europe:

"For the holy love of God let's listen to the dead.
Let's learn from the living. Let's join ranks against the foe.
The bugles of battle are heard again above the bickering."

That is the demand of our fighting men. We cannot
fail to heed it.

This new year of 1945 can be the greatest year of
achievement in human history.

1945 can see the final ending of the Nazi-Fascist
reign of terror in Europe.

1945 can see the closing in of the forces of retribution about the center of the malignant power of imperialistic Japan.

Most important of all, 1945 can, and must, see the substantial beginning of the organization of world peace — for we all know what such an organization means in terms of security, and human rights, and religious freedom.

We Americans of today, together with our Allies, are making history — and I hope it will be a better history than ever has been made before.

We pray that we may be worthy of the unlimited opportunities that God has given us.
Today, in pursuance of my Constitutional duty, I sent to the Congress a message on the State of the Union -- and this evening I am taking the opportunity to repeat to you some parts of that message.

This war must be waged -- it is being waged -- with the greatest and most persistent intensity. Everything we are and have is at stake. Everything we are, and have, will be given.

We have no question of the ultimate victory. We have no question of the cost. Our losses will be heavy.

But -- we and our Allies will go on fighting together to ultimate total victory.

We have seen a year marked on the whole, by substantial progress toward victory, even though the year ended with a setback for our arms, when the Germans launched a ferocious counter-attack into Luxembourg and Belgium with the obvious objectives of cutting our line in the center.

Our men have fought with indescribable and unforgettable gallantry under most difficult conditions.

The high tide of this German attack was reached two days after Christmas. Since then we have resumed the offensive, rescued the isolated garrison at Bastogne, and forced a German withdrawal along the whole line of the salient.

The speed with which we recovered from this savage attack was possible primarily because we have one Supreme Commander in complete control of all the Allied armies in France. General Eisenhower has faced this period of trial with admirable calm and resolution and with steadily increasing success. He has my complete confidence.

Further desperate attempts may well be made to break our lines, to slow our progress. We must never make the mistake of assuming that the Germans are beaten until the last Nazi has surrendered.
And I would express a most serious warning against the poisonous effects of enemy propaganda.

The wedge that the Germans attempted to drive in Western Europe was less dangerous in terms of winning the war than the wedges which they are continually attempting to drive between ourselves and our Allies.

Every little rumor which is intended to weaken our faith in our Allies is like an actual enemy agent in our midst — seeking to sabotage our war effort. They are, here and there, evil and baseless rumors against the Russians — rumors against the British — rumors against our own American commanders in the field.

When you examine these rumors closely, you will observe that every one of them bears the same trademark — "Made in Germany".

We must resist this propaganda — we must destroy it — with the same strength and the same determination that our fighting men are displaying as they resist and destroy the panzer divisions.

In all of the far-flung operations of our own armed forces — on land, and sea and in the air — the final job, the toughest job, has been performed by the average, easy-going, hard-fighting young American who carries the weight of battle on his own shoulders.

It is to him that we and all future generations of Americans must pay grateful tribute.

But — it is of small satisfaction to him to know that monuments will be raised to him in the future. He wants, he needs, and he is entitled to insist upon, our full and active support — now.

Although unprecedented production figures have made possible our victories, we shall have to increase our goals in certain weapons even more.

Our armed forces in combat have steadily increased their expenditure of ammunition. As we continue the decisive phases of this war, the munitions that we expend will mount day by day.

I shall not go into the details of war production and the requirements of war materials. They are contained in the message that I sent today, and I hope that many of you will have an opportunity to read that in full.

But there is one very human need that I do want to mention.

We need twenty thousand more trained nurses for our Army and Navy.

Those nurses that we have, are rendering gallant service to our sick and wounded men, but they have been called upon to do more than their share. More than a thousand nurses are now hospitalized themselves — and part of this is due to overwork. At Army hospitals in the United States there is only one nurse to twenty-six beds instead of one to fifteen beds, as there should be.

Since volunteering has not produced the number of nurses required, I asked the Congress in my message, to amend the Selective Service Act to provide for the induction of registered nurses into the armed forces.
The need is too pressing to await the outcome of further efforts at recruiting. However, I urge registered nurses throughout the country to volunteer immediately for this great service.

The only way to meet our increased needs for more weapons and new weapons is for every American now engaged in war work to stay on his war job — for additional American civilians, men and women, not now engaged in essential work, to go out and get a war job. Workers who are released because their war production is cut back should get another job where war production is being increased. This is no time to quit or change to less essential jobs.

There is an old and true saying that the Lord hates a quitter. And this nation must pay for all those who leave their essential jobs — for all those who lay down on their essential jobs for non-essential reasons. And that payment must be made with the life’s blood of our sons.

Last year, after much consideration, I recommended that the Congress adopt a national service act as the most efficient and democratic way of insuring full production for our war requirements. This recommendation was not adopted.

I have again called upon the Congress today, to enact this measure for the total mobilisation of all our human resources — men and women — for the prosecution of the war. I urge that this be done at the earliest possible moment.

It is not too late in the war. In fact, bitter experience has shown that, in this kind of mechanized warfare where new weapons are constantly being created by our enemies and by ourselves, the closer we come to the end of the war, the more pressing becomes the need for sustained war production with which to deliver the final blow to the enemy.

There are three basic arguments for a National Service Law.

First — it would assure that we have the right numbers of workers in the right places at the right times.

Second — it would provide supreme proof to all our fighting men that we are giving them what they are entitled to, which is nothing less than our total effort.

And — third — it would be the final, unequivocal answer to the hopes of the Nazis and the Japanese that we may become half-hearted about this war, and that they can get from us a negotiated peace.

National Service legislation would be used only to the extent absolutely required by military necessities. In fact, experience in Great Britain and in other nations at war indicates that use of the compulsory powers of national service is necessary only in rare instances.

National Service would provide against loss of retirement and workmen’s rights and benefits. It would not mean reduction in wages.

The contribution of our workers in this war has been beyond measure. We must now build on the foundations that have already been laid, and supplement the measures now in operation, in order to guarantee the production that may be necessary in the critical period that lies ahead.
The Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy have written me a letter in which, speaking of present war needs, they said:

"In our considered judgment, which is supported by General Marshall and Admiral King, this requires total mobilization of our manpower by the passage of a national war service law. The armed forces need this legislation to hasten the day of final victory, and to keep to a minimum the cost in lives."

That is the testimony of those best qualified to know the situation which confronts us.

Pending action by the Congress on the broader aspects of national service, I have recommended that the Congress immediately enact legislation which will be effective in using the services of the four million men now classified as 4-F in whatever capacity is best for the war effort.

***************

In the field of foreign policy, we propose to stand together with the United Nations not for the war alone but for the victory for which the war is fought.

It is not only a common danger which unites us, but a common hope. Ours is an association not of governments but of peoples -- and the peoples’ hope is peace. Here, as in England; in England, as in Russia; in Russia, as in China; in France, and through the continent of Europe, and throughout the world; wherever men love freedom, the hope and purpose of the people are for peace -- a peace that is durable and secure.

It will not be easy to create this peoples' peace. We have seen already, in areas liberated from the Nazi and the Fascist tyranny, what problems peace will bring. And we delude ourselves, if we attempt to believe wishfully that all these problems can be solved overnight.

The firm foundation can be built -- and it will be built. But the continuance and assurance of a living peace must, in the long run, be the work of the people themselves.

We ourselves, like all peoples who have gone through the difficult processes of liberation and adjustment, know of our own experience how great the difficulties can be. We know that they are not difficulties peculiar to any continent or any nation. Our own Revolutionary War left behind it, in the words of one American historian, "an eddy of lawlessness and disregard of human life". There were separatist movements of one kind or another in Vermont, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Tennessee, Kentucky and Maine. There were insurrections, open or threatened, in Massachusetts and New Hampshire. We worked out for ourselves these difficulties -- as the peoples of the liberated areas of Europe, faced with complex problems of adjustment, will work out their difficulties for themselves.

Peace can be made and kept only by the united determination of free and peace-loving peoples who are willing to work together -- willing to help one another -- willing to respect and tolerate and try to understand one another's opinions and feelings.
In the future world, the misuse of power, as implied in the term "power-politics", must not be a controlling factor in international relations. That is the heart of the principles to which we have subscribed. In a democratic world, as in a democratic nation, power must be linked with responsibility, and obliged to defend and justify itself within the framework of the general good.

In our disillusionment after the last war, we gave up the hope of achieving a better peace because we had not the courage to fulfill our responsibilities in an admittedly imperfect world.

We must not let that happen again, or we shall follow the same tragic road again -- the road to a third world war.

We can fulfill our responsibilities for maintaining the security of our own country only by exercising our power and our influence to achieve the principles in which we believe, and for which we have fought.

It is true that the statement of principles in the Atlantic Charter does not provide rules of easy application to each and every one of the tangled situations in this war-torn world. But it is a good and a useful thing -- it is an essential thing -- to have principles toward which we can aim.

And we shall not hesitate to use our influence -- and to use it now -- to secure so far as is humanly possible the fulfillment of the principles of the Atlantic Charter. We have not shrunk from the military responsibilities brought on by this war. We cannot and will not shrink from the political responsibilities which follow in the wake of battle.

To do this we must be on our guard not to exploit and exaggerate the differences between us and our Allies, particularly with reference to the peoples who have been liberated from fascist tyranny. That is not the way to secure a better settlement of those differences, or to secure international machinery which can rectify mistakes which may be made.

I must admit concern about many situations -- the Greek and Polish for example. But those situations are not as easy or as simple to deal with as some spokesman, whose sincerity I do not question, would have us believe. We have obligations, not necessarily legal, to the exiled governments, to the underground leaders, and to our major Allies who came much nearer the shadows than we did.

We and our Allies have declared that it is our purpose to respect the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live and to see sovereign rights and self-government restored to those who have been forcibly deprived of them. But with internal dissension, with many citizens of liberated countries still prisoners of war or forced to labor in Germany, it is difficult to guess the kind of self-government the people really want.

During the interim period, until conditions permit a genuine expression of the people's will, we and our Allies have a duty, which we cannot ignore, to use our influence to the end that no temporary or provisional authorities in the liberated countries block the eventual exercise of the people's right freely to choose the government and institutions under which, as free men, they are to live.
It is our purpose to help the peace-loving peoples of Europe to live together as good neighbors, to recognize their common interests, and not to nurse their traditional grievances against one another.

But we must not permit the many specific and immediate problems of adjustment connected with the liberation of Europe to delay the establishment of permanent machinery for the maintenance of peace. Under the threat of a common danger, the United Nations joined together in war to preserve their independence and their freedom. They must now join together to make secure the independence and freedom of all peace-loving states, so that never again shall tyranny be able to divide and conquer.

International peace and well-being, like national peace and well-being, require constant alertness, continuing cooperation, and organized effort.

International peace and well-being, like national peace and well-being, can be secured only through institutions capable of life and growth.

One of the most heartening events of the year in the international field has been the renaissance of the French people and the return of the French nation to the ranks of the United Nations. Far from having been crushed by the terror of Nazi domination, the French people have emerged with stronger faith than ever in the destiny of their country and in the soundness of the democratic ideals to which the French nation has contributed so greatly.

Today, French armies are again on the German frontier and are again fighting shoulder to shoulder with our sons.

Since our landings in Africa, we have placed in French hands all the arms and material of war which our resources and the military situation permitted. And I am glad to say that we are now about to equip large new French forces with the most modern weapons for combat duty.

* * * * *

I am clear in my own mind that, as an essential factor in the maintenance of world peace in the future, we must have universal military training after this war, and I shall send a special message to the Congress on this subject.

An enduring peace cannot be achieved without a strong America — strong in the social and economic sense as well as in the military sense.

I have already set forth what I consider to be an American economic Bill of Rights, and the most fundamental of those is the "right to a useful and remunerative job in the industries or shops or farms or mines of the nation".

In turn, others of the economic rights of American citizenship such as the right to a decent home, to a good education, to good medical care, to social security, to reasonable farm income, will, if fulfilled, make major contributions to achieving adequate levels of employment.

In the message that I sent to the Congress today, I discussed the general approach to the program that we have in mind for the provision of close to sixty million jobs.
Although we must plan now for our post war economy, and enact the necessary legislation, and set up the appropriate agencies for reconversion from war to peace, and lay the foundations for that transition period -- all of which we are now doing -- it is obviously impossible for us to do anything which might possibly hinder the production for war at this time, when our men are fighting on the frontiers of Germany and dropping bombs on the war industries of Japan.

In these days, our thoughts and our hopes and our prayers are with our sons and brothers, our loved ones who are far from home.

We can and we will give them all the support of which this great nation is capable. But -- no matter how well they may be equipped with weapons and munitions -- their magnificent fight will have been in vain if this war should end in the breaking of the unity of the United Nations.

We need the continuing friendship of our Allies in this war. Indeed, that need is a matter of life and death. And we shall need that friendship in the peace.

I quote from an editorial in the Stars and Stripes, our soldiers' own newspaper in Europe:

"For the holy love of God let's listen to the dead. Let's learn from the living. Let's join ranks against the foe. The bugles of battle are heard again above the bickering".

That is the demand of our fighting men. We cannot fail to heed it.

This new year of 1945 can be the greatest year of achievement in human history.

1945 can see the final ending of the Nazi-Fascist reign of terror in Europe.

1945 can see the closing in of the forces of retribution about the center of the malignant power of imperialistic Japan.

Most important of all, 1945 can, and must, see the substantial beginning of the organization of world peace -- for we all know what such an organization means in terms of security, and human rights, and religious freedom.

We Americans of today, together with our Allies, are making history -- and I hope it will be better history than ever has been made before.

We pray that we may be worthy of the unlimited opportunities that God has given us.
Today, in pursuance of my Constitutional duty, I sent to the Congress a message on the State of the Union -- and this evening I am taking the opportunity to repeat to you some parts of that message.

This war must be waged -- it is being waged -- with the greatest and most persistent intensity. Everything we are and have is at stake. Everything we are and have will be given. American men, fighting far from home, have already won victories which the world will never forget.

We have no question of the ultimate victory. We have no question of the cost. Our losses will be heavy.

But --

We and our Allies will go on fighting together to ultimate total victory.

We have seen a year marked, on the whole, by substantial progress toward victory, even though the year ended with a set-back for our arms, when the Germans launched a ferocious counter-attack into Luxembourg and Belgium with the obvious objective of cutting our line in the center.

Our men have fought with indescribable and unforgettable
gallantry under most difficult conditions, and our German enemies have sustained considerable losses while failing to obtain their objectives.

The high tide of this German effort was reached two days after Christmas. Since then we have reassumed the offensive, rescued the isolated garrison at Bastogne, and forced a German withdrawal along the whole line of the salient.

The speed with which we recovered from this savage attack was largely possible because we have one Supreme Commander in complete control of all the Allied armies in France. General Eisenhower has faced this period of trial with admirable calm and resolution and with steadily increasing success. He has my complete confidence.

Further desperate attempts may well be made to break our lines, to slow our progress. We must never make the mistake of assuming that the Germans are beaten until the last Nazi has surrendered.

And I would express another most serious warning against the poisonous effects of enemy propaganda.

The wedge that the Germans attempted to drive in Western
Europe was less dangerous in actual terms of winning the war than the wedges which they are continually attempting to drive between ourselves and our Allies.

Every little rumor which is intended to weaken our faith in our Allies is like an actual enemy agent in our midst — seeking to sabotage our war effort. There are, here and there, evil and baseless rumors against the Russians — rumors against the British — rumors against our own American commanders in the field.

When you examine these rumors closely, you will observe that every one of them bears the same trademark — "Made in Germany."

We must resist this propaganda — we must destroy it — with the same strength and the same determination that our fighting men are displaying as they resist and destroy the panzer divisions.

[In Europe, we shall resume the attack and — despite temporary setbacks here or there — we shall continue the attack relentlessly until Germany is completely defeated.]
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In all of the far-flung operations of our own armed forces — on land, and sea and in the air — the final job, the toughest job, has been performed by the average, easy-going, hard-fighting young American who carries the weight of battle on his own shoulders.

It is to him that we and all future generations of Americans must pay grateful tribute.

But — it is of small satisfaction to him to know that monuments will be raised to him in the future. He wants, he needs, and he is entitled to insist upon, our full and active support — now.

Although unprecedented production figures have made possible our victories, we shall have to increase our goals even more in certain items.

Our armed forces in combat have steadily increased their expenditure of ammunition. As we continue the decisive phases of this war, the munitions that we expend will mount day by day.

[In October, 1944, while some were saying the war in Europe was over, the Army was shipping more men to Europe than in any previous month of the war.]

I shall not go into the details of production and requirements of war materials. They are contained in the message that I sent today, and I hope that many of you will have an opportunity to read that in full.
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But there is one very human need that I do want to mention.

We need 20,000 more trained nurses for our Army and Navy.

Those nurses that we have are rendering gallant service to our sick and wounded men, but they have been called upon to do more than their share. More than a thousand nurses are now hospitalized themselves — and part of this is due to overwork. At Army hospitals in the United States there is only one nurse to twenty-six beds instead of one to fifteen beds, as there should be.

The inability to get the needed nurses for the Army is not due to any shortage of nurses. It has been estimated that 27,000 additional nurses could be made available to the armed forces without interfering too seriously with the needs of the civilian population.

Since volunteering has not produced the number of nurses required, I asked the Congress in my message to amend the Selective Service Act to provide for the induction of nurses into the armed forces. The need is too pressing to await the outcome of further efforts at recruiting. However, I urge registered nurses throughout the country to volunteer for this great service at the earliest possible moment.
The care and treatment given to our wounded and sick soldiers have been the best known to medical science. Those standards must be maintained at all costs. We cannot tolerate a lowering of them by failure to provide adequate nursing for the brave men who stand desperately in need of it.

In the continuing progress of this war we have constant need for new types of weapons. We cannot afford to fight the war of today or tomorrow with the weapons of yesterday.

For example, the American Army now has developed a new tank with a gun more powerful than any yet mounted on a fast-moving vehicle. The Army will need many thousands of these new tanks in 1945.

If we do not keep constantly ahead of our enemies in the development of new weapons, we pay for our backwardness with the life's blood of our sons.

The only way to meet these increased needs for new weapons and men is for every American engaged in war work to stay on his war job — for additional American civilians, men and women, not engaged in essential work, to go out and get a war job. Workers who are released because their production is cut back should get another job where production is being increased. This is no time to quit or change to less essential jobs.
There is an old and true saying that the Lord hates a quitter. And this nation must pay for all those who leave their essential jobs — or all those who lay down on their essential jobs for non-essential reasons. And again, that payment must be made with the life's blood of our sons.

Last year, after much consideration, I recommended that the Congress adopt a national service act as the most efficient and democratic way of insuring full production for our war requirements. This recommendation was not adopted.

I have again called upon the Congress to enact this measure for the total mobilization of all our human resources for the prosecution of the war. I urge that this be done at the earliest possible moment.

It is not too late in the war. In fact, bitter experience has shown that, in this kind of mechanized warfare where new weapons are constantly being created by our enemies and by ourselves, the closer we come to the end of the war, the more pressing becomes the need for sustained war production with which to deliver the final blow to the enemy.

There are three basic arguments for a National Service Law.

First — it would assure that we have the right numbers of workers in the right places at the right times.
Second — it would provide supreme proof to all our fighting men that we are giving them what they are entitled to, which is nothing less than our total effort.

And — third — it would be the final, unequivocal answer to the hopes of the Nazis and the Japanese that we may become half-hearted about this war and that they can get from us a negotiated peace.

National Service legislation would make it possible to put ourselves in a position to assure certain and speedy action in meeting our manpower needs.

It would be used only to the extent absolutely required by military necessities. In fact, experience in Great Britain and in other nations at war indicates that use of the compulsory powers of national service is necessary only in rare instances.

This proposed legislation would provide against loss of retirement and seniority rights and benefits. It would not mean reduction in wages.

In adopting such legislation, it is not necessary to discard the voluntary and cooperative processes which have prevailed up to this time. This cooperation has already produced great results. The contribution of our workers to the war effort has been beyond measure. We must build on the foundations that have already been
laid and supplement the measures now in operation, in order to
guarantee the production that may be necessary in the critical period
that lies ahead.

The Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy have
written me a letter in which, speaking of present war needs, they
said:

"In our considered judgment, which is supported by
General Marshall and Admiral King, this requires
total mobilization of our manpower by the passage
of a national war service law. The armed forces
need this legislation to hasten the day of final
victory, and to keep to a minimum the cost in lives."

That is the testimony of those best qualified to know
the situation which confronts us.

Pending action by the Congress on the broader aspects
of national service, I have recommended that the Congress immediately
enact legislation which will be effective in using the services
of the 4,000,000 men now classified as 4-F in whatever capacity
is best for the war effort.

In the field of foreign policy, we propose to stand
together with the United Nations not for the war alone but for the victory for which the war is fought.

It is not only a common danger which unites us but a common hope. Ours is an association not of governments but of peoples — and the peoples' hope is peace. Here, as in England; in England, as in Russia; in Russia, as in China; in France, and through the continent of Europe, and throughout the world; wherever men love freedom, the hope and purpose of the people are for peace — a peace that is durable and secure.

It will not be easy to create this peoples' peace. [We delude ourselves if we believe that the surrender of the armies of our enemies will make the peace we long for. The unconditional surrender of the armies of our enemies is the first and necessary step — but the first step only.]

We have seen already, in areas liberated from the Nazi and the Fascist tyranny, what problems peace will bring. And we delude ourselves if we attempt to believe wishfully that all these problems can be solved overnight.
The firm foundation can be built — and it will be built.

But the continuance and assurance of a living peace must, in the long run, be the work of the people themselves.

We ourselves, like all peoples who have gone through the difficult processes of liberation and adjustment, know of our own experience how great the difficulties can be. We know that they are not difficulties peculiar to any continent or any nation. Our own Revolutionary War left behind it, in the words of one American historian, "an eddy of lawlessness and disregard of human life." There were separatist movements of one kind or another in Vermont, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Tennessee, Kentucky and Maine. There were insurrections, open or threatened, in Massachusetts and New Hampshire. We worked out for ourselves these difficulties — as the peoples of the liberated areas of Europe, faced with complex problems of adjustment, will work out their difficulties for themselves.

Peace can be made and kept only by the united determination of free and peace-loving peoples who are willing to work together — willing to help one another — willing to respect and tolerate and try to understand one another's opinions and feelings.

In the future world, the misuse of power, as implied in the term "power-politics", must not be a controlling factor in international
relations. That is the heart of the principles to which we have subscribed. We cannot deny that power is a factor in world politics any more than we can deny its existence as a factor in national politics.

But in a democratic world, as in a democratic nation, power must be linked with responsibility, and obliged to defend and justify itself within the framework of the general good.

In our disillusionment after the last war, we preferred international anarchy to international cooperation with nations which did not see and think exactly as we did. We gave up the hope of gradually achieving a better peace because we had not the courage to fulfil our responsibilities in an admittedly imperfect world.

We must not let that happen again, or we shall follow the same tragic road again — the road to a third world war.

We can fulfill our responsibilities for maintaining the security of our country only by exercising our power and our influence to achieve the principles in which we believe and for which we have fought.

It is true that the statement of principles in the Atlantic Charter does not provide rules of easy application to each and every one of this war-torn world's tangled situations. But it is a good and a useful thing — it is an essential thing — to have principles toward which we can aim.
And we shall not hesitate to use our influence — and to
use it now — to secure so far as is humanly possible the fulfilment
of the principles of the Atlantic Charter. We have not shrunken from
the military responsibilities brought on by this war. We cannot and
will not shrunken from the political responsibilities which follow in
the wake of battle.

To do this we must be on our guard not to exploit and
exaggerate the differences between us and our Allies, particularly with
reference to the peoples who have been liberated from fascist tyranny.
That is not the way to secure a better settlement of those differences
or to secure international machinery which can rectify mistakes which
may be made.

I must admit concern about many situations — the Greek and
Polish for example. But those situations are not as easy or as simple
to deal with as some spokesman, whose sincerity I do not question, would
have us believe. We have obligations, not necessarily legal, to the
exiled governments, to the underground leaders and to our major Allies
who came much nearer the shadows than we did.

We and our Allies have declared that it is our purpose to
respect the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under
which they will live and to see sovereign rights and self-government
restored to those who have been forcibly deprived of them. But with
internal dissension, with many citizens of liberated countries still
prisoners of war or forced to labor in Germany, it is difficult to guess
the kind of self-government the people really want.

During the interim period, until conditions permit a genuine
expression of the people's will, we and our Allies have a duty, which
we cannot ignore, to use our influence to the end that no temporary or
provisional authorities in the liberated countries block the eventual
exercise of the peoples' right freely to choose the government and in-
stitutions under which, as free men, they are to live.

It is our purpose to help the peace-loving peoples of Europe
to live together as good neighbors, to recognize their common interests
and not to nurse their traditional grievances against one another.

But we must not permit the many specific and immediate problems
of adjustment connected with the liberation of Europe to delay the
establishment of permanent machinery for the maintenance of peace.

Under the threat of a common danger, the United Nations joined together
in war to preserve their independence and their freedom. They must now
join together to make secure the independence and freedom of all peace-
loving states, so that never again shall tyranny be able to divide and
conquer.
International peace and well-being, like national peace
and well-being, require constant alertness, continuing cooperation,
and organized effort.

International peace and well-being, like national peace and
well-being, can be secured only through institutions capable of life
and growth.

One of the most heartening events of the year in the
international field has been the renaissance of the French people and
the return of the French nation to the ranks of the United Nations.
Far from having been crushed by the terror of Nazi domination, the
French people have emerged with stronger faith than ever in the destiny
of their country and in the soundness of the democratic ideals to which
the French nation has contributed so greatly.

[During her liberation, France has given proof of her unceasing
determination to fight the Germans, continuing the heroic efforts of the
resistance groups under the occupation and of all those Frenchmen through-
out the world who refused to surrender after the disaster of 1940.]

Today, French armies are again on the German frontier and
are again fighting shoulder to shoulder with our sons.
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Since our landings in Africa, we have placed in French
hands all the arms and material of war which our resources and the
military situation permitted. And I am glad to say that we are now
about to equip large new French forces with the most modern weapons
for combat duty.

---

I am clear in my own mind that, as an essential factor
in the maintenance of peace in the future, we must have universal
military training after this war, and I shall send a special message
to the Congress on this subject.

An enduring peace cannot be achieved without a strong
America — strong in the social and economic sense as well as in the
military sense.

I have already
In the State of the Union Message just year 1944 I set
forth I considered to be an American economic Bill of Rights,
and the most fundamental of these is the "right to a useful and remun-
erative job in the industries or shops or farms or mines of the nation."
In turn, others of the economic rights of American citizenship—such as the right to a decent home, to a good education, to good medical care, to social security, to reasonable farm income, will, if fulfilled, make major contributions to achieving adequate levels of employment.

[The Federal Government must see to it that these rights become realities—with the help of States, municipalities, business, labor and agriculture.]

In the message that I sent to the Congress today, I discussed the program that we have in mind for the provision of close to sixty million jobs.

[That program must be carried out under our American system of private enterprise—on the basis of initiative and vigorous competition, without the stifling presence of monopolies and cartels.]

And Government must be prepared to render aid in carrying out this program, whenever and wherever necessary. [because it is obviously impossible for us to concentrate on the problems of postwar employment at this time when our men are fighting on the frontiers of Germany and dropping bombs on the war industries of Japan.]
In these days, our thoughts and our hopes and our prayers are with our sons and brothers, our loved ones who are far from home.

We can and we will give them all the support of which this great nation is capable. But -- no matter how well they may be equipped with weapons and munitions -- their magnificent fight will have been in vain if this war should end in the breaking of the unity of the United Nations.

We need the continuing friendship of our Allies in this war. Indeed, that need is a matter of life and death. And we shall need that friendship in the peace.

I quote from an editorial in the Stars and Stripes, our soldiers' own newspaper in Europe:

"For the holy love of God let's listen to the dead. Let's learn from the living. Let's join ranks against the foe. The bugles of battle are heard again above the bickering."

That is the demand of our fighting men. We cannot fail to heed it.

This new year of 1945 can be the greatest year of achievement in human history.

1945 can see the final ending of the Nazi-Fascist reign of terror in Europe.
1945 can see the closing in of the forces of retribution about the center of the malignant power of imperialistic Japan.

Most important of all — 1945 can and must see the substantial beginning of the organization of world peace. [This organization must be the fulfilment of the promise for which men have fought and died in this war. It must be the justification of all the sacrifices that have been made — of all the dreadful misery that this world has endured.]

We Americans of today, together with our Allies, are making history — and I hope it will be better history than ever has been made before.

We pray that we may be worthy of the unlimited opportunities that God has given us.
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ADDRESS OF THE PRESIDENT

Today, in pursuance of my Constitutional duty, I sent to the Congress a message on the State of the Union — and this evening I am taking the opportunity to repeat to you some parts of that message.

This war must be waged -- it is being waged -- with the greatest and most persistent intensity. Everything we are and have is at stake. Everything we are, and have, will be given.

We have no question of the ultimate victory. We have no question of the cost. Our losses will be heavy.

But — we and our Allies will go on fighting together to ultimate total victory.

We have seen a year marked, on the whole, by substantial progress toward victory, even though the year ended with a set-back for our arms, when the Germans launched a ferocious counter-attack into Luxembourg and Belgium with the obvious objectives of cutting our line in the center.

Our men have fought with indescribable and unforgettable
gallantry under most difficult conditions.

The high tide of this German attack was reached two days after Christmas. Since then we have reasserted the offensive, rescued the isolated garrison at Bastogne, and forced a German withdrawal along the whole line of the salient.

The speed with which we recovered from this savage attack was largely possible because we have one Supreme Commander in complete control of all the Allied armies in France. General Eisenhower has faced this period of trial with admirable calm and resolution and with steadily increasing success. He has my complete confidence.

Further desperate attempts may well be made to break our lines, to slow our progress. We must never make the mistake of assuming that the Germans are beaten until the last Nazi has surrendered.

And I would express my most serious warning against the poisonous effects of enemy propaganda.

The wedge that the Germans attempted to drive in Western
Europe was less dangerous in the terms of winning the war than the wedges which they are continually attempting to drive between ourselves and our Allies.

Every little rumor which is intended to weaken our faith in our Allies is like an actual enemy agent in our midst — seeking to sabotage our war effort. There are, here and there, evil and baseless rumors against the Russians — rumors against the British — rumors against our own American commanders in the field.

When you examine these rumors closely, you will observe that every one of them bears the same trademark — "Made in Germany."

We must resist this propaganda — we must destroy it — with the same strength and the same determination that our fighting men are displaying as they resist and destroy the panzer divisions.
In all of the far-flung operations of our own armed forces — on land, at sea and in the air — the final job, the toughest job, has been performed by the average, easy-going, hard-fighting young American who carries the weight of battle on his own shoulders.

It is to him that we and all future generations of Americans must pay grateful tribute.

But — it is of small satisfaction to him to know that monuments will be raised to him in the future. He wants, he needs, and he is entitled to insist upon, our full and active support — now.

Although unprecedented production figures have made possible our victories, we shall have to increase our goals even more in certain weapons.

Our armed forces in combat have steadily increased their expenditure of ammunition. As we continue the decisive phases of this war, the munitions that we expend will mount day by day.

I shall not go into the details of war production and the requirements of war materials. They are contained in the message that I sent today, and I hope that many of you will have an opportunity to read that in full.
But there is one very human need that I do want to mention.

We need 20,000 more trained nurses for our Army and Navy.

Those nurses that we have are rendering gallant service to our sick and wounded men, but they have been called upon to do more than their share. More than a thousand nurses are now hospitalized themselves — and part of this is due to overwork. At Army hospitals in the United States there is only one nurse to twenty-six beds instead of one to fifteen beds, as there should be.

Since volunteering has not produced the number of nurses required, I asked the Congress in my message, to amend the Selective Service Act to provide for the induction of registered nurses into the armed forces.

The need is too pressing to await the outcome of further efforts at recruiting. However, I urge registered nurses throughout the country to volunteer for this great service, at the earliest possible moment.
The only way to meet our increased needs for more weapons and new weapons is for every American now engaged in war work to stay on his war job — for additional American civilians, men and women, not now engaged in essential work, to go out and get a war job. Workers who are released because their war production is cut back should get another job where war production is being increased. This is no time to quit or change to less essential jobs.
SECOND DRAFT

There is an old and true saying that the Lord hates a quitter. And this nation must pay for all those who leave their essential jobs -- for all those who lay down on their essential jobs for non-essential reasons. And that payment must be made with the life's blood of our sons.

Last year, after much consideration, I recommended that the Congress adopt a national service act as the most efficient and democratic way of insuring full production for our war requirements. This recommendation was not adopted.

I have again called upon the Congress to-day, to enact this measure for the total mobilization of all our human resources for the prosecution of the war. I urge that this be done at the earliest possible moment.

It is not too late in the war. In fact, bitter experience has shown that, in this kind of mechanized warfare where new weapons are constantly being created by our enemies and by ourselves, the closer we come to the end of the war, the more pressing becomes the need for sustained war production with which to deliver the final blow to the enemy.

There are three basic arguments for a National Service Law.

First -- it would assure that we have the right numbers of workers in the right places at the right times.
Second -- it would provide supreme proof to all our fighting men that we are giving them what they are entitled to, which is nothing less than our total effort.

And -- third -- it would be the final, unequivocal answer to the hopes of the Nazis and the Japanese that we may become half-hearted about this war, and that they can get from us a negotiated peace.

National Service legislation would be used only to the extent absolutely required by military necessities. In fact, experience in Great Britain and in other nations at war indicates that use of the compulsory powers of national service is necessary only in rare instances.

National Service would provide against loss of retirement and seniority rights and benefits. It would not mean reduction in wages.

The contribution of our workers in this war has been beyond measure. We must now build on the foundations that have already been
SECOND DRAFT

laid, and supplement the measures now in operation, in order to
guarantee the production that may be necessary in the critical period
that lies ahead.

The Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy have
written me a letter in which, speaking of present war needs, they
said:

"In our considered judgment, which is supported by
General Marshall and Admiral King, this requires
total mobilization of our manpower by the passage
of a national war service law. The armed forces
need this legislation to hasten the day of final
victory, and to keep to a minimum the cost in lives."

That is the testimony of those best qualified to know
the situation which confronts us.

Pending action by the Congress on the broader aspects
of national service, I have recommended that the Congress immediately
enact legislation which will be effective in using the services
of the 4,000,000 men now classified as 4-F in whatever capacity
is best for the war effort.

In the field of foreign policy, we propose to stand
together with the United Nations not for the war alone but for
the victory for which the war is fought.

It is not only a common danger which unites us, but a
common hope. Ours is an association not of governments but of
peoples — and the peoples’ hope is peace. Here, as in England;
in England, as in Russia; in Russia, as in China; in France, and
through the continent of Europe, and throughout the world; wherever
men love freedom, the hope and purpose of the people are for peace —
a peace that is durable and secure.

It will not be easy to create this peoples’ peace.

We have seen already, in areas liberated from the Nazi
and the Fascist tyranny, what problems peace will bring. And we
delude ourselves if we attempt to believe wishfully that all these
problems can be solved overnight.
relations. That is the heart of the principles to which we have
subscribed. In a democratic world, as in a democratic nation, power
must be linked with responsibility, and obliged to defend and justify
itself within the framework of the general good.

In our disillusionment after the last war, we gave up the
hope of achieving a better peace because we had not the courage to
fulfill our responsibilities in an admittedly imperfect world.

We must not let that happen again, or we shall follow the
same tragic road again — the road to a third world war.

We can fulfill our responsibilities for maintaining the
security of our own country only by exercising our power and our in-
fluence to achieve the principles in which we believe and for which we
have fought.

It is true that the statement of principles in the Atlantic
Charter does not provide rules of easy application to each and every
one of this world's tangled situations. But it is a good and
useful thing — it is an essential thing — to have principles toward
which we can aim.
And we shall not hesitate to use our influence — and to
use it now — to secure so far as is humanly possible the fulfilment
of the principles of the Atlantic Charter. We have not shrunk from
the military responsibilities brought on by this war. We cannot and
will not shrink from the political responsibilities which follow in
the wake of battle.

To do this we must be on our guard not to exploit and
exaggerate the differences between us and our Allies, particularly with
reference to the peoples who have been liberated from fascist tyranny.
That is not the way to secure a better settlement of these differences,
or to secure international machinery which can rectify mistakes which
may be made.

I must admit concern about many situations — the Greek and
Polish for example. But those situations are not as easy or as simple
to deal with as some spokesmen, whose sincerity I do not question,
would have us believe. We have obligations, not necessarily legal, to the
exiled governments, to the underground leaders and to our major Allies
who came much nearer the shadows than we did.

We and our Allies have declared that it is our purpose to
respect the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under
which they will live and to see sovereign rights and self-government
restored to those who have been forcibly deprived of them. But with internal dissension, with many citizens of liberated countries still prisoners of war or forced to labor in Germany, it is difficult to guess the kind of self-government the people really want.

During the interim period, until conditions permit a genuine expression of the people's will, we and our Allies have a duty, which we cannot ignore, to use our influence to the end that no temporary or provisional authorities in the liberated countries block the eventual exercise of the peoples' right freely to choose the government and institutions under which, as free men, they are to live.

It is our purpose to help the peace-loving peoples of Europe to live together as good neighbors, to recognize their common interests, and not to nurse their traditional grievances against one another.

But we must not permit the many specific and immediate problems of adjustment connected with the liberation of Europe to delay the establishment of permanent machinery for the maintenance of peace.

Under the threat of a common danger, the United Nations joined together in war to preserve their independence and their freedom. They must now join together to make secure the independence and freedom of all peace-loving states, so that never again shall tyranny be able to divide and conquer.
Since our landings in Africa, we have placed in French
hands all the arms and material of war which our resources and the
military situation permitted. And I am glad to say that we are now
new
about to equip large French forces with the most modern weapons
for combat duty.

---

I am sure in my own mind that, as an essential factor
"peace in the future, we must have universal
military training after this war, and I shall send a special message
to the Congress on this subject.

An enduring peace cannot be achieved without a strong
America — strong in the social and economic sense as well as in the
military sense.

I have already set forth what I consider to be an
American economic Bill of Rights, and the most fundamental of these
is the "right to a useful and remunerative job in the industries
or shops or farms or mines of the nation."
In these days, our thoughts and our hopes and our prayers are with our sons and brothers, our loved ones who are far from home.

We can and we will give them all the support of which this great nation is capable. But — no matter how well they may be equipped with weapons and munitions — their magnificent fight will have been in vain if this war should end in the breaking of the unity of the United Nations.

We need the continuing friendship of our Allies in this war. Indeed, that need is a matter of life and death. And we shall need that friendship in the peace.

I quote from an editorial in the Stars and Stripes, our soldiers' own newspaper in Europe:

"For the holy love of God let's listen to the dead. Let's learn from the living. Let's join ranks against the foe. The bugles of battle are heard again above the bickering."

That is the demand of our fighting men. We cannot fail to heed it.

This new year of 1945 can be the greatest year of achievement in human history.

1945 can see the final ending of the Nazi-Fascist reign of terror in Europe.
- for we all know what such an organization means in terms of security, and human rights, and religious freedom.
1945 can see the closing in of the forces of retribution about the center of the malignant power of imperialistic Japan.

Most important of all, 1945 can and must see the substantial beginning of the organization of world peace.

We Americans of today, together with our Allies, are making history — and I hope it will be better history than ever has been made before.

We pray that we may be worthy of the unlimited opportunities that God has given us.
I would sound a most serious warning against the poisonous effects of enemy propaganda. The wedge that the Germans attempted to drive in Western Europe was less dangerous in terms of winning the war than the wedges which they are continually attempting to drive between ourselves and our Allies. Every little rumor which is intended to weaken our faith in our Allies is like an actual enemy agent in our midst -- seeking to sabotage our war effort. There are, here and there, evil and baseless rumors against the Russians -- rumors against the British -- rumors against our own American commanders in the field. When you examine these rumors closely, you will observe that every one of them bears the same trademark -- "Made in Germany." We must resist this propaganda -- we must destroy it -- with the same strength and the same determination that our fighting men are displaying as they resist and destroy the panzer divisions.

STOP
There is one very human need that I do want to mention. We need 20,000 more trained nurses for our Army and Navy. Those nurses that we have are rendering gallant service to our sick and wounded men, but they have been called upon to do more than their share. More than a thousand nurses are now hospitalized themselves -- and part of this is due to overwork. At Army hospitals in the United States there is only one nurse to twenty-six beds instead of one to fifteen beds, as there should be. I have again called upon the Congress, to enact this measure for the total mobilization of all our human resources -- men and women -- for the prosecution of the war. I urge that this be done at the earliest possible moment. It is not too late in the war. In fact, bitter experience has shown that, in this kind of mechanized warfare where new weapons are constantly being created by our enemies and by ourselves, the closer we come to the end of the war, the more pressing becomes the need for sustained war production with which to deliver the final blow to the enemy. There are three basic arguments for a National Service Law. First -- it would assure that we have the right numbers of workers in the right places at the right times. Second -- it would provide supreme proof to all our fighting men that we are giving them what they are entitled to, which is nothing less than our total effort. And -- third -- it would be the final, unequivocal answer to the hopes of the Nazis and the Japanese that we may become half-hearted about this war and that they can get from us a negotiated peace.
TAKE III

It is true that the statement of principles in the Atlantic Charter does not provide rules of easy applications to each and every one of this war-torn world's tangled situations. But it is a good and a useful thing -- it is an essential thing -- to have principles toward which we can aim. And we shall not hesitate to use our influence -- and to use it now -- to secure so far as is humanly possible the fulfillment of the principles of the Atlantic Charter. We have not shrank from the military responsibilities brought on by this war. We cannot and will not shrink from the political responsibilities which follow in the wake of battle. We and our Allies have declared that it is our purpose to respect the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live and to see sovereign rights and self-government restored to those who have been forcibly deprived of them.

Until conditions permit a genuine expression of the people's will, we and our Allies have a duty, which we cannot ignore, to use our influence to the end that no temporary or provisional authorities in the liberated countries block the eventual exercise of the peoples' right freely to choose the government and institutions under which, as free men, they are to live.

We must not permit the many specific and immediate problems of adjustment connected with the liberation of Europe to delay the establishment of permanent machinery for the maintenance of peace. Under the threat of a common danger, the United Nations joined together in war to preserve their independence and their freedom. They must now join together to make secure the independence and freedom of all peace-loving states, so that never again shall tyranny be able to divide and conquer.

STOP
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Today, in pursuance of my Constitutional duty, I sent to the Congress a message on the State of the Union — and this evening I am taking the opportunity to repeat to you some parts of that message.

This war must be waged — it is being waged — with the greatest and most persistent intensity. Everything we are and have is at stake. Everything we are, and have, will be given.

We have no question of the ultimate victory. We have no question of the cost. Our losses will be heavy.

But — we and our Allies will go on fighting together to ultimate total victory.

We have seen a year marked, on the whole, by substantial progress toward victory, even though the year ended with a set-back for our arms, when the Germans launched a ferocious counter-attack into Luxembourg and Belgium with the obvious objectives of cutting our line in the center.
Our men have fought with indescribable and unforgettable gallantry under most difficult conditions.

The high tide of this German attack was reached two days after Christmas. Since then we have reassumed the offensive, rescued the isolated garrison at Bastogne, and forced a German withdrawal along the whole line of the salient.

The speed with which we recovered from this savage attack was possible primarily because we have one Supreme Commander in complete control of all the Allied armies in France. General Eisenhower has faced this period of trial with admirable calm and resolution and with steadily increasing success. He has my complete confidence.

Further desperate attempts may well be made to break our lines, to slow our progress. We must never make the mistake of assuming that the Germans are beaten until the last Nazi has surrendered.
And I would express a most serious warning against the poisonous effects of enemy propaganda.

The wedge that the Germans attempted to drive in Western Europe was less dangerous in terms of winning the war than the wedges which they are continually attempting to drive between ourselves and our Allies.

Every little rumor which is intended to weaken our faith in our Allies is like an actual enemy agent in our midst — seeking to sabotage our war effort. There are, here and there, evil and baseless rumors against the Russians — rumors against the British — rumors against our own American commanders in the field.

When you examine these rumors closely, you will observe that every one of them bears the same trademark — "Made in Germany".

We must resist this propaganda — we must destroy it — with the same strength and the same determination that our fighting men are displaying as they resist and destroy the panzer divisions.
I shall not go into the details of war production and the requirements of war materials. They are contained in the message that I sent today, and I hope that many of you will have an opportunity to read that in full.

But there is one very human need that I do want to mention.

We need twenty thousand more trained nurses for our Army and Navy.

Those nurses that we have, are rendering gallant service to our sick and wounded men, but they have been called upon to do more than their share. More than a thousand nurses are now hospitalized themselves — and part of this is due to overwork. At Army hospitals in the United States there is only one nurse to twenty-six beds instead of one to fifteen beds, as there should be.
Since voluntering has not produced the number of nurses required, I asked the Congress in my message, to amend the Selective Service Act to provide for the induction of registered nurses into the armed forces.

The need is too pressing to await the outcome of further efforts at recruiting. However, I urge registered nurses throughout the country to volunteer immediately for this great service.

The only way to meet our increased needs for more weapons and new weapons is for every American now engaged in war work to stay on his war job — for additional American civilians, men and women, not now engaged in essential work, to go out and get a war job. Workers who are released because their war production is cut back should get another job where war production is being increased. This is no time to quit or change to less essential jobs.
There is an old and true saying that the Lord hates a quitter. And this nation must pay for all those who leave their essential jobs — for all those who lay down on their essential jobs for non-essential reasons. And that payment must be made with the life's blood of our sons.

Last year, after much consideration, I recommended that the Congress adopt a national service act as the most efficient effective and democratic way of insuring full production for our war requirements. This recommendation was not adopted.

I have again called upon the Congress today, to enact this measure for the total mobilisation of all our human resources — men and women — for the prosecution of the war. I urge that this be done at the earliest possible moment.

It is not too late in the war. In fact, bitter experience has shown that, in this kind of mechanized warfare where new weapons are constantly being created by our enemies and by ourselves, the closer we come to the end of the war, the more pressing becomes the need for sustained war production with which to deliver the final blow to the enemy.
There are three basic arguments for a National Service Law.

First — it would assure that we have the right numbers of workers in the right places at the right times.

Second — it would provide supreme proof to all our fighting men that we are giving them what they are entitled to, which is nothing less than our total effort.

And — third — it would be the final, unequivocal answer to the hopes of the Nazis and the Japanese that we may become half-hearted about this war, and that they can get from us a negotiated peace.

National Service legislation would be used only to the extent absolutely required by military necessities. In fact, experience in Great Britain and in other nations at war indicates that use of the compulsory powers of national service is necessary only in rare instances.

National Service would provide against loss of retirement and seniority rights and benefits. It would not mean reduction in wages.
The contribution of our workers in this war has been beyond measure. We must now build on the foundations that have already been laid, and supplement the measures now in operation, in order to guarantee the production that may be necessary in the critical period that lies ahead.

The Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy have written me a letter in which, speaking of present war needs, they said:

"In our considered judgment, which is supported by General Marshall and Admiral King, this requires total mobilization of our manpower by the passage of a national war service law. The armed forces need this legislation to hasten the day of final victory, and to keep to a minimum the cost in lives."

That is the testimony of those best qualified to know the situation which confronts us.
Pending action by the Congress on the broader aspects of national service, I have recommended that the Congress immediately enact legislation which will be effective in using the services of the four million men now classified as 4-F in whatever capacity is best for the war effort.

***************

In the field of foreign policy, we propose to stand together with the United Nations not for the war alone but for the victory for which the war is fought.

It is not only a common danger which unites us, but a common hope. Ours is an association not of governments but of peoples — and the peoples' hope is peace. Here, as in England; in England, as in Russia; in Russia, as in China; in France, and through the continent of Europe, and throughout the world; wherever men love freedom, the hope and purpose of the people are for peace — a peace that is durable and secure.
It will not be easy to create this peoples' peace. We have seen already, in areas liberated from the Nazi and the Fascist tyranny, what problems peace will bring. And we delude ourselves, if we attempt to believe wishfully that all these problems can be solved overnight.

The firm foundation can be built -- and it will be built. But the continuance and assurance of a living peace must, in the long run, be the work of the people themselves.

We ourselves, like all peoples who have gone through the difficult processes of liberation and adjustment, know of our own experience how great the difficulties can be. We know that they are not difficulties peculiar to any continent or any nation. Our own Revolutionary War left behind it, in the words of one American historian, "an eddy of lawlessness and disregard of human life". There were separatist movements of one kind or another in Vermont, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Tennessee, Kentucky and Maine. There were insurrections, open or threatened, in Massachusetts and New Hampshire. We worked out for ourselves these difficulties -- as the peoples of the
liberated areas of Europe, faced with complex problems of
adjustment, will work out their difficulties for themselves.

Peace can be made and kept only by the united
determination of free and peace-loving peoples who are willing
to work together — willing to help one another — willing to
respect and tolerate and try to understand one another’s
opinions and feelings.

In the future world, the misuse of power, as implied
in the term “power-politics”, must not be a controlling factor
in international relations. That is the heart of the principles
to which we have subscribed. In a democratic world, as in a
democratic nation, power must be linked with responsibility,
and obliged to defend and justify itself within the framework
of the general good.

In our disillusionment after the last war, we gave up
the hope of achieving a better peace because we had not the
courage to fulfill our responsibilities in an admittedly
imperfect world.
We must not let that happen again, or we shall follow
the same tragic road again — the road to a third world war.

We can fulfill our responsibilities for maintaining
the security of our own country only by exercising our power
and our influence to achieve the principles in which we
believe, and for which we have fought.

It is true that the statement of principles in the
Atlantic Charter does not provide rules of easy application
to each and every one of the tangled situations in this
war-torn world. But it is a good and a useful thing — it
is an essential thing — to have principles toward which we
can aim.

And we shall not hesitate to use our influence — and
to use it now — to secure so far as is humanly possible the
fulfillment of the principles of the Atlantic Charter. We
have not shrank from the military responsibilities brought
on by this war. We cannot and will not shrink from the
political responsibilities which follow in the wake of battle.
To do this we must be on our guard not to exploit and exaggerate the differences between us and our Allies, particularly with reference to the peoples who have been liberated from fascist tyranny. That is not the way to secure a better settlement of those differences, or to secure international machinery which can rectify mistakes which may be made.

I must admit concern about many situations — the Greek and Polish for example. But those situations are not as easy or as simple to deal with as some spokesmen, whose sincerity I do not question, would have us believe. We have obligations, not necessarily legal, to the exiled governments, to the underground leaders, and to our major Allies who came much nearer the shadows than we did.

We and our Allies have declared that it is our purpose to respect the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live and to see sovereign rights and self-government restored to those who have been
terror of Nazi domination, the French people have emerged with stronger faith than ever in the destiny of their country and in the soundness of the democratic ideals to which the French nation has contributed so greatly.

Today, French armies are again on the German frontier and are again fighting shoulder to shoulder with our sons.

Since our landings in Africa, we have placed in French hands all the arms and material of war which our resources and the military situation permitted. And I am glad to say that we are now about to equip large new French forces with the most modern weapons for combat duty.

I am clear in my own mind that, as an essential factor in the maintenance of world peace in the future, we must have universal military training after this war, and I shall send a special message to the Congress on this subject.
An enduring peace cannot be achieved without a strong America — strong in the social and economic sense as well as in the military sense.

I have already set forth what I consider to be an American economic Bill of Rights, and the most fundamental of these is the "right to a useful and remunerative job in the industries or shops or farms or mines of the nation".

In turn, others of the economic rights of American citizenship such as the right to a decent home, to a good education, to good medical care, to social security, to reasonable farm income, will, if fulfilled, make major contributions to achieving adequate levels of employment.

In the message that I sent to the Congress today, I discussed the general approach to the program that we have in mind for the provision of close to sixty million jobs.
Although we must plan now for our post war economy, and enact the necessary legislation, and set up the appropriate agencies for reconversion from war to peace, and lay the foundations for that transition period — all of which we are now doing — it is obviously impossible for us to do anything which might possibly hinder the production for war at this time, when our men are fighting on the frontiers of Germany and dropping bombs on the war industries of Japan.

In these days, our thoughts and our hopes and our prayers are with our sons and brothers, our love ones who are far from home.

We can and we will give them all the support of which this great nation is capable. But — no matter how well they may be equipped with weapons and munitions — their magnificent fight will have been in vain if this war should end in the breaking of the unity of the United Nations.
We need the continuing friendship of our Allies in this war. Indeed, that need is a matter of life and death. And we shall need that friendship in the peace.

I quote from an editorial in the Stars and Stripes, our soldiers' own newspaper in Europe:

"For the holy love of God let's listen to the dead. Let's learn from the living. Let's join ranks against the foe. The bugles of battle are heard again above the bickering."

That is the demand of our fighting men. We cannot fail to heed it.

This new year of 1945 can be the greatest year of achievement in human history.

1945 can see the final ending of the Nazi-Fascist reign of terror in Europe.

1945 can see the closing in of the forces of retribution about the center of the malignant power of imperialistic Japan.
Most important of all, 1945 can, and must, see the substantial beginning of the organization of world peace -- for we all know what such an organization means in terms of security, and human rights, and religious freedom.

We Americans of today, together with our Allies, are making history -- and I hope it will be better history than ever has been made before.

We pray that we may be worthy of the unlimited opportunities that God has given us.
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Today, in pursuance of my Constitutional duty, I sent
to the Congress a message on the State of the Union -- and this
evening I am taking the opportunity to repeat to you some parts
of that message.

This war must be waged -- it is being waged -- with the
greatest and most persistent intensity. Everything we are, and every-
hing we have, is at stake. Everything we are, and have, will be given.

We have no question of the ultimate victory. We have
no question of the cost. Our losses will be heavy.

But -- we and our Allies will go on fighting together
to ultimate total victory.

We have seen a year marked, on the whole, by substantial
progress toward victory, even though the year ended with a set-
back for our arms, when the Germans launched a ferocious counter-
attack into Luxembourg and Belgium with the obvious objectives
of cutting our line in the center.

Our men have fought with indescribable and unforgettable
gallantry under most difficult conditions.

The high tide of this German attack was reached two
days after Christmas. Since then we have reassumed the offens-
ine, rescued the isolated garrison at Bastogne, and forced a
German withdrawal along the whole line of the salient.

The speed with which we recovered from this savage attack
was possible primarily because we have one Supreme Commander
in complete control of all the Allied armies in France. General
Eisenhower has faced this period of trial with admirable calm and
resolution and with steadily increasing success. He has my com-
plete confidence.

Further desperate attempts may well be made to break up
our lines, to slow our progress. We must never make the mistake
of assuming that the Germans are beaten until the last Nazi has
surrendered.

(Over)
And I would express a most serious warning against the poisonous effects of enemy propaganda.

The wedge that the Germans attempted to drive in Western Europe was less dangerous in terms of winning the war than the wedges which they are continually attempting to drive between ourselves and our Allies.

Every little rumor which is intended to weaken our faith in our Allies is like an actual enemy agent in our midst — seeking to sabotage our war effort. There are, here and there, evil and baseless rumors against the Russians — rumors against the British — rumors against our own American commanders in the field.

And when you examine these rumors closely, you will observe that every one of them bears the same trademark — "Made in Germany."

We must resist this propaganda — we must destroy it — with the same strength and the same determination that our fighting men are displaying as they resist and destroy the panzer divisions.

In all of the far-flung operations of our own armed forces — on land, and as well in the air — the final job, the toughest job, has been performed by the average, easy-going, hard-fighting young American who carries the weight of battle on his own shoulders.

It is to him that we and all future generations of Americans must pay grateful tributes.

But -- it is of small satisfaction to him to know that monuments will be raised to him in the future. He wants, he needs, and he is entitled to insist upon, our full and active support now.

Although unprecedented production figures have made possible our victories, we shall have to increase our goals in certain weapons even more. For example, our armed forces in combat have steadily increased their expenditure of ammunition. As we continue the decisive phases of this war, the munitions that we expend will mount day by day.

I shall not go into the details of war production and the requirements of war materials. They are contained in the message that I sent today, and I hope that many of you will have an opportunity to read that in full.

But there is one very human need that I do want to mention. We need twenty thousand more trained nurses for our Army and Navy.

Those nurses that we have, are rendering gallant service to our sick and wounded men, but they have been called upon to do more than their share. More than a thousand nurses are now hospitalized themselves — and part of this is due to overwork. At Army hospitals in the United States there is only one nurse to twenty-six beds instead of one to fifteen beds, as there should be.

Since volunteering has not produced the number of nurses required, I asked the Congress in my message, to amend the Selective Service Act to provide for the induction of registered nurses into the armed forces.
The need is too pressing to await the outcome of further efforts at recruiting. However, I urge registered nurses throughout the country to volunteer immediately for this great service.

The only way to meet our increased needs for more weapons and new weapons is for every American now engaged in war work to stay on his war job for additional American civilians, men and women, not now engaged in essential work, to go out and get a war job. Workers who are released because their war production is cut back should get another job where war production is being increased. This is no time to quit or change to less essential jobs.

There is an old and true saying that the Lord hates a quitter. And this nation must pay for all those who leave their essential jobs — for all those who lay down on their essential jobs for non-essential reasons. And that payment must be made with the life's blood of our sons.

Last year, after much consideration, I recommended that the Congress adopt a national service act as the most efficient and democratic way of insuring full production for our war requirements. This recommendation was not adopted.

So I have again called upon the Congress today, to enact this measure for the total mobilization of all our human resources — men and women — for the prosecution of the war. I urge that this be done at the earliest possible moment.

It is not too late in the war. In fact, bitter experience has shown that, in this kind of mechanized warfare where new weapons are constantly being created by our enemies and by ourselves, the closer we come to the end of the war, the more pressing becomes the need for sustained war production with which to deliver the final blow to the enemy.

There are three basic arguments for a National Service Law.

First — it would assure that we have the right numbers of workers in the right places at the right times.

Second — it would provide supreme proof to all our fighting men that we are giving them what they are entitled to, which is nothing less than our total effort back home.

And — third — it would be the final, unequivocal answer to the hopes of the Nazis and the Japanese that we may become half-hearted about this war, and that they can get from us a negotiated peace.

National Service legislation would be used only to the extent absolutely required by military necessities. In fact, experience in Great Britain and in other nations at war indicates that use of the compulsory powers of national service is necessary only in rare instances.

National Service would provide against loss of retirement and seniority rights and benefits. It would not mean reduction in wages.

The contribution of our workers in this war has been beyond measure. We must now build on the foundations that have already been laid, and supplement the measures now in operation, in order to guarantee the production that may be necessary in the critical period that lies ahead.
The Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy have written me a letter in which, speaking of present war needs, they said:

"In our considered judgment, which is supported by General Marshall and Admiral King, this requires total mobilization of our manpower by the passage of a national war service law. The armed forces need this legislation to hasten the day of final victory, and to keep to a minimum the cost in lives."

That is the testimony of those best qualified to know the situation which confronts us.

Pending action by the Congress on the broader aspects of national service, I have recommended that the Congress immediately enact legislation which will be effective in using the services of the four million men now classified as 4-F in whatever capacity is best for the war effort.

**************

In the field of foreign policy, we propose to stand together with the United Nations not for the war alone but for the victory for which the war is fought.

It is not only a common danger which unites us, but a common hope. Ours is an association not of governments but of peoples -- and the peoples' hope is peace. Here, as in England; in England, as in Russia; in Russia, as in China; in France, and through the continent of Europe, and throughout the world; wherever men love freedom, the hope and purpose of the people are for peace -- a peace that is durable and secure.

It will not be easy to create this peoples' peace. We have seen already, in areas liberated from the Nazi and the Fascist tyranny, what problems peace will bring. And we delude ourselves, if we attempt to believe wishfully that all these problems can be solved overnight.

The firm foundation can be built -- and it will be built. But the continuance and assurance of a living peace must, in the long run, be the work of the people themselves.

We ourselves, like all peoples who have gone through the difficult processes of liberation and adjustment, know of our own experience how great the difficulties can be. We know that they are not difficulties peculiar to any continent or any nation. Our own Revolutionary War left behind it, in the words of one American historian, "an eddy of lawlessness and disregard of human life," there were separatist movements of one kind or another in Virginia, in Kentucky, in Tennessee, in Kentucky and Maine. There were insurrections, open or threatened, in Massachusetts and New Hampshire. We worked out for ourselves these difficulties -- as the peoples of the liberated areas of Europe, faced with complex problems of adjustment, will work out their difficulties for themselves.

Peace can be made and kept only by the united determination of free and peace-loving peoples who are willing to work together -- willing to help one another -- willing to respect and tolerate and try to understand one another's opinions and feelings.
In the future world, the misuse of power, as implied
in the term "power-politics", must not be a controlling factor
in international relations. That is the heart of the principles
to which we have subscribed. In a democratic world, as in a
democratic nation, power must be linked with responsibility, and
obliged to defend and justify itself within the framework of the
general good.

In our disillusionment after the last war, we gave up
the hope of achieving a better peace because we had not the
courage to fulfill our responsibilities in an admittedly im-
perfect world.

We must not let that happen again, or we shall follow
the same tragic road again -- the road to a third world war.

We can fulfill our responsibilities for maintaining
the security of our own country only by exercising our power
and our influence to achieve the principles in which we believe,
and for which we have fought.

It is true that the statement of principles in the
Atlantic Charter does not provide rules of easy application
to each and every one of the tangled situations in this
war-torn world. But it is a good and a useful thing -- it
is an essential thing -- to have principles toward which we
can aim.

And we shall not hesitate to use our influence -- and
to use it now -- to secure so far as is humanly possible the
fulfillment of the principles of the Atlantic Charter. We
have not shrunk from the military responsibilities brought on
by this war. We cannot and will not shrink from the political
responsibilities which follow in the wake of battle.

To do this we must be on our guard not to exploit and
exaggerate the differences between us and our Allies, particularly
with reference to the peoples who have been liberated from fascist
tyranny. That is not the way to secure a better settlement of
these differences, or to secure international machinery which can
rectify mistakes which may be made.

I must admit concern about many situations -- the Greek
and Polish for example. But those situations are not as easy or
as simple to deal with as some spokesmen, whose sincerity I do
not question, would have us believe. We have obligations, not
necessarily legal, to the exiled governments, to the underground
leaders, and to our major Allies who came much nearer the shadows
than we did.

We and our Allies have declared that it is our purpose
to respect the right of all peoples to choose the form of govern-
ment under which they will live and to see sovereign rights and
self-government restored to those who have been forcibly deprived
of them. But with internal dimensions with many citizens of
liberated countries still prisoners of war or forced to labor in
Germany, it is difficult to guess the kind of self-government the
people really want.

During the interim period, until conditions permit a
genuine expression of the people's will, we and our Allies have
a duty, which we cannot ignore, to use our influence to the end
that no temporary or provisional authorities in the liberated
countries block the eventual exercise of the peoples' right freely
to choose the government and institutions under which, as free
men, they are to live.
Although we must plan now for our post war economy, and enact the necessary legislation, and set up the appropriate agencies for reconversion from war to peace, and lay the foundations for that transition period -- all of which we are now doing -- it is obviously impossible for us to do anything which might possibly hinder the production for war at this time, when our men are fighting on the frontiers of Germany and dropping bombs on the war industries of Japan.

In these days, our thoughts and our hopes and our prayers are with our sons and brothers, our loved ones who are far from home.

We can and we will give them all the support of which this great nation is capable. But -- no matter how well they may be equipped with weapons and munitions -- their magnificent fight will have been in vain if this war should end in the breaking of the unity of the United Nations.

We need the continuing friendship of our Allies in this war. Indeed, that need is a matter of life and death. And we shall need that friendship in the peace.

I quote from an editorial in the Stars and Stripes, our soldiers' own newspaper in Europe:

"For the holy love of God let's listen to the dead. Let's learn from the living. Let's join ranks against the foe. The bugles of battle are heard again above the bickering."

That is the demand of our fighting men. We cannot fail to heed it.

This new year of 1945 can be the greatest year of achievement in human history.

1945 can see the final ending of the Nazi-Fascist reign of terror in Europe.

1945 can see the closing in of the forces of retribution about the center of the malignant power of imperialistic Japan.

Most important of all, 1945 can, and must, see the substantial beginning of the organization of world peace -- for we all know what such an organization means in terms of security, and human rights, and religious freedom.

We Americans of today, together with our Allies, are making history -- and I hope it will be better history than ever has been made before.

We pray that we may be worthy of the unlimited opportunities that God has given us.